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Dendritic cells (DC) play an important role in the pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), an autoimmune disease with multiple tissue manifestations. In this review, we summarize recent studies on the roles of conventional DC and plasmacytoid DC in the development of both murine lupus and human SLE. In the past decade, studies using selective DC depletions have demonstrated critical roles of DC in lupus progression. Comprehensive *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies suggest activation of DC by self-antigens in lupus pathogenesis, followed by breakdown of immune tolerance to self. Potential treatment strategies targeting DC have been developed. However, many questions remain regarding the mechanisms by which DC modulate lupus pathogenesis that require further investigations.

**1. Introduction**

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is an autoimmune disease that causes damage of multiple organs [1]. Disease activity and stages can be generally divided into three patterns—the remitting relapsing pattern, chronically active disease, and long quiescence—based on various clinical manifestations that include, but are not limited to, skin rash, arthritis, nephritis, hematological disorders, and neurological disorders [2]. During SLE pathogenesis, autoreactive T cells are activated which in turn activate autoreactive B cells to produce high affinity autoantibodies against self-antigens [3]. Immune complexes (ICs) formed by aggregation of autoantibodies and self-antigens circulate in the blood and eventually deposit in peripheral tissues where the complement system is activated, ultimately inducing the release of signals that further recruit and activate autoreactive cells to feed forward a vicious cycle of chronic inflammation. Different innate and adaptive immune cell populations, including monocytes/macrophages, neutrophils, dendritic cells (DC), and lymphocytes, are recruited into peripheral tissues following the inflammatory signals to amplify inflammation and cause tissue damage [1, 4–6].

DC were discovered as the professional antigen-presenting cells (APC) with a primary function of priming naïve T cell activation [7]. Since their discovery, our understanding of how DC contribute to immune responses has much expanded, and DC have been divided into many subpopulations with distinct phenotypes and functions [8]. Two main subpopulations are classical DC (cDC) and plasmacytoid DC (pDC). DC are developed from a series of dedicated DC progenitors [8]. Common dendritic cell progenitors (CDP) originated from macrophage dendritic cell progenitors (MDP) are the first dedicated DC progenitors that can differentiate into pre-cDC and pDC in bone marrow. Pre-cDC then migrate into lymphoid and nonlymphoid tissues to differentiate into cDC. Monocytes originated from MDP can also differentiate into cDC in lymphoid and nonlymphoid tissues [8]. Murine cDC is characterized by high expression of CD11c and MHC-II on surface, while human cDC also express nonoverlapping makers CD1c (blood dendritic cell antigen 1 or BDCA1) or CD141 (BDCA3) on different subsets besides CD11c and MHC-II. Different from cDC, murine pDCs express low level CD11c and MHC-II but are positive for B220 and Siglec-H on surface, and human pDCs are defined by the expression of MHC-II, BDCA2, and BDCA4.
Functionally, cDC are professional APC that prime naïve T cells upon antigen uptake and maturation induced by appropriate maturation signals (e.g., upon TLR ligation). Mature cDC start to prime naïve T cells with the interaction between MHC-II-peptide complex on cDC and T cell receptor on T cells. The ligation of costimulatory molecules, with CD80 and CD86 on cDC and CD28 on T cells, further mutually activates cDC and T cells. Finally the cytokines secreted by cDC induce the differentiation of naïve T cells into different effector helper T cell subsets. pDCs, on the other hand, are professional interferon-α (IFNα) producing cells that, through producing a high level of IFNα, activate multiple immune cell populations that express type I IFN receptor (IFNAR) [9]. Interestingly, pDC can also upregulate MHC-II upon activation and act like cDC to activate T cells [10].

Both cDC and pDC are important for immune tolerance to self [8]. Immature cDC when presenting self-antigens in the absence of maturation stimuli express low level MHC-II on the surface and induce immune tolerance to self. Upon activation by maturation stimuli, however, cDC mature with upregulation of MHC-II and activation markers (CD40, CD80, CD86, PD-L1, PD-L2, etc.) to facilitate inflammation. For pDCs, while their primary function is to control infections, pDCs in thymus are involved in the negative selection to maintain the central tolerance. Not surprisingly, studies have shown that both cDC and pDC play important roles in the development of autoimmune diseases, such as SLE [11].

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from SLE patients can be used to study in vitro DC responses. Whilst important, information obtained from blood cells is limited. To this end, lupus-prone mouse models that develop lupus-like symptoms spontaneously or artificially can be used to better understand DC-mediated mechanisms of lupus progression under both in vivo and in vitro conditions. In this review, we summarize recent results obtained from studies of SLE patients and lupus-prone mice on the roles of cDC and pDC in lupus development.

2. In Vivo DC Depletion Studies: Indication of DC Involvement in Lupus

A direct strategy to study whether a cell population is critical for the development of a disease is to deplete the population in vivo. Depletion of DC in wild-type mice and lupus-prone mice shows differential contributions of DC to immune homeostasis, with a tolerogenic role of DC in wild-type mice versus an immunogenic role of DC in lupus-prone mice. In wild-type mice, constitutive depletion of CD11c-high cDC showed normal development of regulatory T (Treg) cells and normal negative selection of CD4+ T cells in the thymus without an autoimmune response [12]. Constitutive depletion of both cDC and pDC in wild-type mice, however, led to increased autoimmunity inflammation with elevated autoantibodies, increased IFNγ/IL-17-secreting T cells in peripheral tissues, and abnormal negative selection of CD4+ T cells in the thymus [13]. This suggests that pDC, or the combination of pDC and cDC, may contribute to immune tolerance to self. Interestingly, regardless of the presence of pDC, the absence of cDC consistently resulted in a dramatic expansion of myeloid cells, particularly neutrophils and macrophages [12,13].

In MRL/lpr lupus-prone mice, constitutive depletion of cDC and pDC did not influence the negative selection of T cells in the thymus. However, it led to fewer splenic Treg cells and less CD25 expression on the surface of these cells, suggesting compromised immune tolerance in MRL/lpr mice in the absence of DC [14]. Importantly, even though myeloid cells expanded dramatically as in wild-type mice [12,13], glomerulonephritis and dermatitis were significantly reduced with DC depletion in MRL/lpr mice, which was accompanied by a significant decrease of the proliferation of total T cells and IFNγ-producing effector T cells. The lack of DC also led to significantly fewer plasmablasts and impaired autoantibody production and class switching to IgG, the primary autoantibody isotype in lupus [14]. These results demonstrate a critical role of DC in promoting lupus-like disease in MRL/lpr mice. Interestingly, the initiation of T cell activation in lupus may be DC-independent, as the ratio of naïve to activated T cells in the spleen did not change with DC depletion. It appears that autoreactive B cells, instead of DC, initiate the activation of autoreactive T cells through antigen presentation in MRL/lpr mice [15]. These data suggest that although DC can maintain immune tolerance to self in wild-type mice, overall their functions have switched to promoting autoimmune responses in lupus-prone mice.

For pDC, early transient depletion of these cells from BXSB (Yaa) lupus-prone mouse model inhibited type I IFN signature, reduced T and B cell activation, decreased autoantibody production, and improved lupus nephritis [16]. While pDC reappeared later on, the effect of early depletion was sustained, suggesting that pDCs contribute to lupus disease at the initiation stage. This observation has been confirmed by another study using B6.Nba2 lupus-prone mice [17]. These depletion studies indicate the importance of cDC and pDC in the development of lupus. Therefore, we will next summarize in detail how cDC and pDC, respectively, break down immune tolerance to self and facilitate lupus progression.

3. Breakdown of Immune Tolerance to Self in SLE by cDC

3.1. Changes of cDC Number and Phenotype in Lupus. Changes of cell number and phenotype may reflect changes of the cells’ activation status and/or their dynamic trafficking into different tissues. Studies on the changes of cDC number and phenotype in lupus will help us understand whether cDC are activated and where they function to break down self immune tolerance. In SLE patients, a general sense is that cDC number and frequency in the blood are lower with higher disease activity [18–23]. The decrease of blood cDC may be due to increased migration of cDC into peripheral tissues. For example, more cDC were found to infiltrate the tubulointerstitial region in the kidney biopsy of SLE patients with proliferative or active nephritis than the healthy control (HC) or patients with nonproliferative nephritis, and the increase in renal infiltration was accompanied by a decrease
of cDC number in the peripheral blood [20, 24]. Murine cDC, particularly those expressing CD11b, also accumulated in the kidney of various types of lupus-prone mice as lupus nephritis progressed [25–27]. In addition, we and others showed increased cDC accumulation in the spleen and lymph nodes of lupus-prone mice [28–32]. How cDC infiltrated inflamed tissues is unclear, but studies have shown that chemokine receptors chemR23 and CCR7 may be important for cDC migration into the kidney and secondary immune tissues, respectively [32–35]. Renal expression of chemerin—the chemokine ligand of chemR23—and increased chemR23+ DC in the kidney of SLE patients suggest chemerin-dependent migration of cDC into inflamed kidney in lupus [34]. CCR7, on the other hand, mediates migration of cDC to lymph nodes. Upon IFNα priming and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation, monocyte-derived cDC (moDC) from SLE patients expressed a significantly higher level of CCR7 [35]. Besides IFNα and LPS, ICs can also induce the migration of moDC towards CCR7 ligands both in vitro and in vivo [32].

The phenotype of cDC is different between tolerogenic cDC, which suppress inflammation, and immunogenic cDC that stimulate inflammation. cDC in the blood of SLE patients or secondary immune tissues of lupus-prone mice have been shown to exhibit elevated expression of CD40, CD80, CD86, PD-1, and PD-L2, suggesting that cDC in lupus may be activated and immunogenic [18, 36–38]. However, in vitro studies using moDC from SLE patients or lupus-prone mice have shown inconsistent results regarding the activation phenotype of cDC [18, 36, 39–41]. Some showed higher activation state of moDC and enhanced T cell activation with lupus, while others showed either comparable activities or reduced moDC and T cell activation. The inconsistency may be due to different methods used for moDC differentiation, maturation, and activation, as well as different amounts of granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and IL-4 used to generate immature moDC, and different stimuli (e.g., LPS, TNFα, CpG, or IFNα) were used to mature or activate moDC in different studies.

3.2. MoDC in Lupus. Monocytes can differentiate into cDC under both steady state and inflammatory state in vivo [8]. GM-CSF and IL-4 can also induce moDC in vitro [42]. However, whether monocytes are a precursor of cDC in lupus is still an open question. Monocytes incubated with sera from SLE patients could differentiate into cDC, but the differentiation depended on the presence of IFNα in the serum [43]. Later studies also showed that IgG-containing ICs in the serum, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor I on monocytes, and the interaction between monocytes and T cells are all important for the differentiation of monocytes into cDC in lupus [35, 43, 44]. Regarding the function of differentiated moDC, only those generated in the presence of SLE sera, rather than moDC generated by IFNα/GM-CSF alone, could promote differentiation of IgG- and IgA-producing plasmablasts from B cells. This suggests that factors other than IFNα in the SLE patient sera affect the function of moDC in lupus [45].

3.3. Regulation of cDC Activation in Lupus. As discussed earlier, activated cDC accumulate in lymphoid and nonlymphoid tissues during lupus progression. It is important to understand how they are activated in the context of lupus. In vitro studies suggest that self-DNA and/or self-RNA containing antigens could activate cDC [46–48]. In vitro generated moDC from both healthy human PBMC and wild-type mouse bone marrow can be activated by necrotic or apoptotic cell particles containing self-DNA and self-RNA to produce inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNFα), upregulate MHC-II and costimulatory molecules (CD40, CD80, and CD86), and activate alloenogeneic T cells that in turn produce IL-2, IFNγ, and IL-17. It has been demonstrated that cDC generated in vitro or isolated directly from human or mouse could be activated by DNA- and RNA-containing self-antigens through the signaling of toll-like receptor (TLR)9 and TLR7/8, respectively [49–54]. However, it is still unclear whether cDC can be activated by nucleic acid-containing self-antigens in vivo, because natural IgM antibodies and complement C3q-opsonized apoptotic particles, both present in vivo but not necessarily in in vitro experiments, have the ability to suppress cDC activation [55–57]. The suppression of p38 MAPK phosphorylation by MAPK phosphatase-1 appears to be important for cDC tolerance induced by natural IgM [56].

Studies using gene knockouts in mice have shown that TLR7, MyD88, and interferon regulatory factor (IRF)5 are important for cDC activation in lupus, and TLR8, A20, Lyn, B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1 (Blimp1), and Bim can downregulate cDC activation [58–65]. While TLR7 promotes cDC activation in lupus, TLR8 downregulates TLR7 expression and TLR7-dependent cDC activation [58]. IRF5-deficient cDC exhibited a reduced ability to produce TNFα, IL-6, and IL-10 in lupus-prone mice [61]. DC-specific deficiency of A20, Lyn, or Blimp1 led to lupus-like disease in mice [60, 62–64]. cDC isolated from Bim−/− mice compared to wild-type mice induced higher T cell proliferation in vivo, and autoantibodies can be generated in non-lupus-prone mice upon transfer of Blm-deficient cDC [65]. The role of MyD88 in lupus cDC is debated. One study using MyD88-deficient MRL/lpr mice showed no obvious change of lupus nephritis [59], while another study using DC-specific MyD88 and Lyn double-deficient mice showed attenuated lupus disease compared to DC-specific Lyn-deficient mice [60]. Interestingly, polymorphisms within TLR7, IRF5, TLR8, A20, Lyn, and Blimp1 gene loci have all been shown to be associated with SLE [66–70].

Activation of cDC can be regulated by several additional factors according to studies of SLE patient samples. Expression of immunoglobulin-like transcript (ILT)3, an inhibitory receptor, was found to be decreased on circulating cDC of SLE patients, and the decrease was correlated with higher levels of proinflammatory cytokines (type I IFN, TNFα) in the plasma of these patients [71]. Not surprisingly, SLE-susceptible single nucleotide polymorphisms were identified in the ILT3 gene locus. Sex hormones may also affect the activation of cDC. In a minichromosome maintenance protein (MCM)6 dependent manner, 17beta-estradiol, a female hormone, could induce upregulation of CD40 on in vitro-generated moDC.
that in turn increased T cell activation [72]. cDC purified from SLE patients compared to HC expressed a higher level of MCM6, and MCM6 expression was positively correlated with the level of 17beta-estradiol in the sera of SLE patients [72]. Moreover, cDC activation is affected by complement C1q, although the effect of complement C1q on cDC is still unclear. One study showed that immobilized C1q coated on plates induced maturation of immature moDC differentiated in vitro from healthy PBMC by GM-CSF/IL-4 [73]. Mature moDC, compared to immature moDC, had increased production of IL-12, TNF-α, and IL10 and enhanced T cell proliferation and secretion of IFNγ. However, another study showed that, when immobilized C1q was added concurrently with GM-CSF/IL-4 during moDC differentiation from PBMC, moDC stayed at immature state [74]. Upon LPS or LPS/IFNγ stimulation, these moDC did mature, but they produced less IL-12, TNF-α, and IL-6 but more IL-10 [74]. Mature cDC generated by LPS or LPS/IFNγ also had reduced ability to activate T cells. The timing of C1q addition appears to be important, and further studies are required to uncover the roles of C1q in regulating cDC maturation and activation.

Apoptosis of activated cDC is important for immune tolerance to self. Under normal condition, activated cDC undergo apoptosis through either Fas-dependent or mitochondria-dependent pathways, the latter by interacting with activated Tregs that express lymphocyte-activation gene (LAG)3 [75, 76]. DC-specific deficiency in either Fas-dependent or Fas-independent apoptosis in mice could induce lupus-like symptoms, suggesting that abnormal accumulation of activated cDC may contribute to breakdown of self-tolerance and lupus development [75–77].

3.4. Activation of T Cells and B Cells by cDC in Lupus. Upon activation by self-antigens, cDC can promote lupus development by interacting with T cells and B cells. While in vivo studies of how cDC affect autoreactive T cells are still lacking, in vitro evidence suggests that moDC derived from the bone marrow of lupus mice or from PBMC of SLE patients, upon activation, can promote T cell activation and hamper Treg response [39, 52, 78–80]. It is demonstrated in both mouse and human cell studies that moDC activated by apoptotic cells or cytosolic dsDNA could induce the activation of T cells, including that of autoreactive T cells [52, 79]. In addition, compared to bone marrow-derived macrophages, bone marrow-derived cDC (BMDC) from lupus-prone mice possessed higher ability to activate autoreactive T cells, suggesting that cDC rather than macrophages are the APC for autoreactive T cell activation [78]. Moreover, in vitro generated tolerogenic BMDC from SLE patients were less capable of generating Tregs in vitro than HC BMDC [80]. Furthermore, LPS-activated BMDC from lupus-prone mice suppressed Treg function by producing more IL-6, which indirectly promoted proliferation of CD4+ T cells [39].

Several studies using in vitro systems have indicated possible roles of cDC in promoting autoreactive B cell activation [45, 52, 81–83]. A couple of them have shown that GM-CSF/IL-4-induced BMDC from B6 Sle1.Sle2.Sle3 lupus-prone mice, compared to BMDC from B6 mice, promoted better B cells proliferation and IgM/IgG production in in vitro coculture system upon anti-CD40 ligation [81, 82]. The enhancement was partially dependent on elevated IL-6 and IFNγ produced by activated BMDC. In addition, upon i.p. injection of ICs, splenic CD11c+ DC from B6.Sle1.Sle2.Sle3 mice produced more IL-6 and IFNγ than those from B6 mice. In human cell studies, moDC derived from healthy PBMC in vitro activated by either the sera from SLE patients or cytosolic dsDNA promoted B cell antibody class switch to IgG and IgA [45, 52]. Contradictory to these observations, however, one study showed that BMDC from several lupus-prone mouse models, when activated by LPS, possessed reduced IL-6-producing ability compared to BMDC from B6 mice [83]. Due to the decrease of IL-6 production, LPS-activated BMDC from MRL/lpr mice failed to suppress autoreactive IgM production by B cells. The discrepancy may have been due to different lupus-prone mouse models used or different activation methods (anti-CD40 versus LPS), although another study has shown that LPS could increase IL-6 production from BMDC of B6.Sle1.Sle2.Sle3 mice [39].

Besides activating T cells and B cells, cDC may also promote lupus development by producing high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) protein that not only binds nucleosomes to facilitate activation of cDC as a positive feedback but also enhances IFNγ production by pDC, the latter of which will be discussed below [46, 49, 84].

3.5. Potential Treatment Strategies of Lupus by Targeting cDC. Since cDC can promote lupus development, they are a potential target for the development of new drugs against lupus. To target innate immune cells such as cDC, nanogel-based immunosuppressive drugs have been tested in lupus-prone mice that led to prolonged survival and reduced lupus nephritis [85, 86]. The lipid coating of nanogel enables better uptake of the drug by cDC, thus increasing the amount of immunosuppressive drug inside the cells. In addition, in vitro studies have shown that BMDC incubated with immunosuppressive drug-containing nanogel had lower production of inflammatory cytokines compared to cells incubated with free drug. The ability of pDC to produce IFNα was also suppressed, with less IFNα produced in the presence of nanogel [85]. It appears that cDC-targeted therapies may benefit from nanogel-based delivery with minimal side effects.

Efforts have been made to induce the generation of tolerogenic cDC to ameliorate lupus. Several studies have shown that tolerogenic cDC generated by transgenic method or induced in vitro can rebuild immune tolerance to self after adoptive transfer to lupus-prone mice [87–89]. Tolerogenic cDC can also be induced from PBMC of SLE patients in vitro to suppress T cell activation [18, 90].

4. Breakdown of Immune Tolerance to Self in SLE by pDC

4.1. Changes of pDC Number and Phenotype in Lupus. pDCs play an important role in lupus development in addition to cDC. Human studies of pDC frequency and number in the blood of SLE patients have shown inconsistent results [19–21, 91–95]. The inconsistency may reflect the dynamic
change of cell number and migration of pDC corresponding to different disease stages and/or treatments. The decrease of pDC in the circulation of some SLE patients may indicate increased migration of the cells into peripheral tissues. Notably, increased infiltration of pDC to the kidney of SLE patients has been confirmed by several studies [20, 24, 95], although the location of the infiltrate is still a matter of debate. It has been suggested that pDC may use IL-18 receptor and chemR23 to migrate into the inflamed kidney that expresses IL-18 and chemerin, respectively [33, 34, 95]. In mice, however, one study showed no change of pDC in the kidney as lupus progressed [27]. pDC can also accumulate in the skin of SLE patients and lupus-prone mice [96, 97]. In MRL/lpr lupus-prone mice, UVB irradiation induces skin infiltration of pDC, while IFNα response in the skin has been shown to be positively correlated with the level of chemerin that can attract pDC through chemR23 [97].

Conversely, the increase of pDC in the circulation of some SLE patients may be due to increased generation and emigration of pDC from the bone marrow. Our study using MRL/lpr mice demonstrated that the number of pDC was increased in the bone marrow compared to MRL control mice [28]. A higher percentage of pDC was also found in the bone marrow of SLE patients compared to HC [98]. It is worth noting that phenotypic identification of pDC varies from one study to another and that the surface markers used to define pDC in healthy individuals may not be appropriate under the disease environment [99].

However, we and others have consistently observed the expansion of pDC in secondary immune tissues during lupus progression. We have found that pDC are increased in the MLN of young MRL/lpr mice compared to age-matched MRL controls [28]. Others using NZB/W F1 mice and NZM2328 mice have found similar results in MLN and renal lymph nodes [38, 100]. pDCs also accumulate in the spleen of lupus-prone mice, particularly in the marginal zone (MZ) of the spleen [30, 38, 82, 101, 102]. The increase of pDC in secondary lymph tissues on one hand may be caused by inflammation-induced migration and/or self-expansion in situ, as will be discussed later. On the other hand, pDCs appear to be able to survive better in lupus [102–104], as their expression of antiapoptotic Bcl-2 was found to be increased [102]. Survival signal in pDC from both humans and lupus-prone mice is activated by TLR7/9-induced NfkB pathway [103, 105]. pDCs in lupus are constantly stimulated by TLR7/9 ligands, which are known to suppress miR-29b and miR-29c, allowing for upregulation of the target of these microRNAs, including Bcl-2 [104].

Many functional markers expressed on pDC are altered in SLE patients and lupus-prone mice. The expression of three inhibitory receptors, BDCA2, leukocyte-associated immunoglobulin-like receptor 1 (LAIR-1), and ILT3, on human pDC is reduced in SLE patients compared to HC [94, 106, 107]. On the contrary, MHC-II and costimulatory molecules are increased on pDC of both SLE patients and lupus-prone mice, suggesting an increased ability to present self-antigens and activate autoreactive T cells [28, 37, 38, 101, 108, 109].

4.2. Critical Roles of IFNα in Lupus Development. One major function of pDC in immune responses against foreign pathogens is to produce a large amount of type I IFN. Many studies have shown that type I IFN, particularly IFNα, is critical for lupus development. It is well known that SLE patients have elevated serum IFNα level that is positively correlated with disease severity [43]. Administration of IFNα into humans for antiviral or antitumor treatment, or into preautoimmune lupus-prone mice, can induce or accelerate lupus-like symptoms [110–112]. Deficiency of the receptor of type I IFN and IFNAR in several lupus-prone mouse models resulted in ameliorated lupus symptoms [100, 113, 114]. Interestingly, anti-IFNAR treatment transiently ameliorated lupus disease in MRL/lpr mice, but constitutive depletion of IFNAR in the same model deteriorated lupus symptoms [115, 116]. IFNβ deficiency in BXSB mice failed to modify lupus progression, indicating that the IFNα subtype is the principal type I IFN important for lupus development [116]. Recent studies have shown that by either depleting pDC or abrogating IFNα production of pDC, lupus disease is reduced [16, 17, 117]. However, only the depletion of pDC or blockade of IFNα signaling at early stage of disease could prevent lupus development [17, 116]. Together, these studies suggest that through secreting IFNα, pDC may play a critical role in the development of lupus disease at the early initiation stage.

Many types of leukocytes can express IFNAR on the surface and respond to IFNα, including monocytes, cDC, pDC, T cells, and B cells [116]. Sera from SLE patients can induce normal monocytes to differentiate into cDC in an IFNα-dependent manner [43]. Differentiated cDC can subsequently activate both alloimmune and autologous CD4+ T cells. IFNα can also expand splenic cDC, particularly C11b+ CX3CR1+ cDC, that may have been derived from monocytes [30]. In addition, IFNα is able to precondition the immunogenic status of monocytes. Without IFNα priming, monocytes incubated with RNA-containing ICs from SLE patients failed to upregulate activation markers [118]. The same phenomenon was observed for moDC differentiated by apoptotic blebs or apoptotic cells, where IFNα priming enabled these moDC, which were tolerogenic without IFNα, to activate T cells [119, 120]. The molecular mechanism of how IFNα activates monocytes is still unclear, but studies have shown increased expression of two IFNα inducible genes, Ifi202 in bone marrow-derived DC from lupus-prone mice and Ifi4 in monocytes from SLE patients [121, 122]. Overexpression of these genes can activate normal moDC with enhanced IL-12 production, which promotes Th1 differentiation. Besides activation, IFNα also affects the migration of moDC. IFNα/GM-CSF-induced rather than IL-4/GM-CSF-induced moDC from healthy human PBMC can upregulate MMP-9 and migrate towards CCL5 and CCL3 that are expressed in inflamed tissues [123].

IFNα also influences pDC themselves as well as non-monocyte-derived cDC. In lupus-prone mice, IFNα-dependent expansion of splenic pDC has been documented [30]. With IFNAR-I deficiency, both cell number and surface activation markers of splenic pDC were reduced [100]. In the case for non-monocyte-derived cDC, studies of IFNAR-I-deficient NZM2328 mice have shown reduced splenic CD8+...
and CD8− cDC with decreased activation markers [100]. IL-12- and TNFα-producing ability of CD8− cDC was also reduced in the absence of IFNAR-I [100].

Regarding T cells, an in vitro study showed that normal cDC primed by IFNα could promote naïve T cells to differentiate into Th1/Th17 T cells [124]. However, if IFNα was constantly present in the cDC-T cell coculture system, it had a suppressive effect for Th1/Th17 differentiation. IFNα can also promote inflammatory T cell function by inducing the migration of effector T cells into inflamed tissues in a CXCR3-dependent manner [125].

Studies on lupus-prone mouse models have shown that IFNα-producing pDC can directly influence autoreactive B cell response. In BXD2 lupus-prone mice, it was demonstrated that the accumulation of activated pDC in the MZ of spleen resulted in the upregulation of CD86 on MZ B cells, which was important for germinal center (GC) formation and autoantibody production [126]. In addition, MZ B cells increased their migration into the follicular region in response to IFNα produced by the accumulated pDC. Such migration of B cells reduced the interaction with MZ macrophages, causing the macrophages to decrease in number in the MZ [127]. This would compromise clearance of apoptotic cells in the spleen of lupus-prone mice and promote exposure of autoantigens to DC, autoreactive T cells, and B cells.

4.3. Regulation of IFNα Production from pDC in Lupus. Due to the critical role of IFNα in lupus development, how pDC are activated to produce IFNα in lupus has been studied. pDCs produce a large amount of IFNα upon TLR7 and TLR9 stimulation by bacterial or viral nucleic acids [8]. Thus, infections could be a trigger of IFNα production by pDC in lupus. One study showed that Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection was associated with lupus [128]. In addition, nucleic acid self-antigens and/or nucleic acid-containing ICs are another potential inducer of TLR7/9-dependent IFNα production by pDC in lupus [128]. Nucleic acid self-antigens derived from apoptotic or necrotic cells are increased significantly in SLE patients and lupus-prone mice compared to respective controls [1]. When the sera of SLE patients were mixed with healthy PBMC, more IFNα production was induced from pDC [129]. The patient sera contained ICs formed between IgG and apoptotic cells, which were found to activate pDC to produce IFNα through TLR7/9 [53, 130–133]. Interestingly, IgG alone or ICs with nucleic acid digestion failed to induce IFNα production by normal pDC, suggesting a critical role of TLR7/9 stimulation by nucleic acids within the ICs. However, DNA/RNA alone or nucleic acid–containing ICs in the presence of FcyRAII blockade also could not trigger pDC to produce IFNα, indicating that the interaction between IgG in ICs and FcyRAII on pDC is important for IC-induced IFNα production by pDC [130, 133]. Moreover, it has been shown that Cpg motif in dsDNA of DNA-containing ICs is required for IFNα production by normal pDC [50].

Nucleic acid self-antigens can also induce IFNα production by pDC in an Fc receptor- (FcR-) independent pathway free from the formation of ICs. LL37, an antimicrobial peptide, has been shown to complex with self-DNA and self-RNA to form nanoscale aggregates that trigger IFNα production by normal pDC in a TLR7/9-dependent manner [54, 134]. Neutrophils from SLE patients possess an increased ability to release neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), which contain LL37 [108, 135]. When LL37 was digested, NETs were no longer able to induce IFNα production by pDC, suggesting a critical role for this peptide [135]. IFNα in turn can upregulate LL37 and HNP (another antimicrobial peptide) on the surface neutrophils as seen in the blood of SLE patients [108]. The levels of anti-LL37 and anti-HNP antibodies in the patient sera are also increased, which, when ligated with transmembrane expressed LL37 and HNP, respectively, can trigger the release of NETs by neutrophils. These results suggest that a positive feedback loop between NETs release by neutrophils and IFNα production by pDC may initiate and/or promote lupus development in SLE patients. Interestingly, LL37 has been found to be also important for FcyRIIA-dependent IFNα production from pDC, likely through facilitating the internalization of ICs [135].

Signaling molecules in the TLR7/9 pathway are important for autoantigen-induced IFNα production from pDC. SLCL15A4-, MyD88-, IRF8-, or IRF5-deficient lupus-prone mice have shown ameliorated lupus symptoms with reduced IFNα protein level in the serum, decreased IFNα transcript level in pDC, downregulation of type I IFN inducible genes, and suppressed activation of both T cells and B cells [59, 61, 136–138]. In addition, pDC from IRF-5− or IRF7-deficient mice failed to produce IFNα upon stimulation with RNA-containing ICs from the sera of SLE patients [50, 139]. Moreover, interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase (IRAK)1 and IRAK4 are required for IFNα induction from pDC, as their inhibition abrogates the production of IFNα from healthy pDC stimulated with the sera of SLE patients [140].

The ability of pDC to produce IFNα is also regulated by many other factors that may influence the outcome of lupus development. High-mobility group box (HMGB) proteins, for example, function as universal sentinels for nucleic acid-mediated immune response through both cytosolic receptors and those in endosomes including TLR9 and TLR7 [141]. It has been shown that, compared to Cpg-A alone, HMGB1-bound Cpg-A could induce higher IFNα and TNFα production by normal pDC [142]. This is due to increased recruitment of MyD88 to TLR9 in the presence of HMGB1. In addition, HMGB1 can facilitate the formation of Cpg-TLR9 complexes and retain the complexes in early endosome rather than lysosome, resulting in sustained IFNα production by pDC [49]. Studies on SLE patient samples have shown that the level of HMGB1 in the circulation was positively correlated with the concentration of IFNα [46, 107]. Moreover, the interaction between HMGB1 and receptor for advanced glycation endproducts (RAGE) is required, as PBMC from HC incubated with the sera of SLE patients produce much less IFNα when the interaction is blocked [46, 142].

Amyloid fibrils can also regulate IFNα production from pDC by modulating the trafficking of nucleic acid–TLR complexes. These are stable insoluble aggregates of misfolded protein products with extensive β-sheet structure that can facilitate the maintenance of nucleic acid antigens in early endosomes of pDC [143]. Albeit rare, amyloid fibrils have been
found to be associated with SLE cases and complicate lupus nephritis [144]. Immunization of healthy mice with DNA-containing amyloid fibrils induces lupus-like disease, promoting autoantibody production and lupus nephritis [143].

C-reactive protein (CRP), an acute-phase reactant produced by liver in response to inflammation, can suppress IFNα production from normal pDC by increasing the trafficking to ICs into late endosomes in pDC [132]. Therefore, CRP may be beneficial for lupus disease through inhibiting IFNα production. In SLE patients, the elevation of CRP in response to inflammation is modest and much less than expected, suggesting compromised regulation of IFNα production [145].

Complement C1q is another suppressive factor of IFNα production from pDC. Human individuals with C1q-deficiency can develop SLE [146, 147]. When C1q is added simultaneously, RNA-containing ICs or CpG stimulated less production of IFNα, IL-6, IL-8, and TNFα from PBMC or purified healthy pDC [148]. The suppressive effect of C1q on IFNα production from pDC has been shown to be dependent on the ligation of C1q to LAIR-1 expressed on pDC [149].

Sex hormones may also regulate IFNα production from pDC in SLE patients. One study has shown that sex hormones affect their ability to produce IFNα. Studies have shown that B cells, platelets, NK cells, and monocytes can differentially influence IFNα production by pDC [152–156]. B cells facilitate IFNα production by normal pDC stimulated with RNA-containing ICs or CpG-A [152]. Interestingly, the mechanisms of B cell involvement are different depending on the stimulation. For RNA-containing ICs, the contact between B cells and pDC through adhesion molecule CD31 is required, while the elevation of CpG-induced IFNα production is B cell contact-independent. The latter may be dependent on an unknown secreted molecule, as the supernatant from CpG-A-stimulated B cell culture could promote IFNα production from pDC. In addition, activated platelets, found to be more abundant in the blood of SLE patients, can promote IFNα production from normal pDC stimulated with nucleic acid-containing ICs through interaction between CD154 on platelets and CD40 on pDC [153]. In lupus-prone mice, depletion of platelets improved, while administration of activated platelets worsened, lupus disease, suggesting the involvement of platelets in lupus development. Moreover, CD56dimCD16+ NK cells can promote IFNα production from pDC upon stimulation with RNA-containing ICs in the coculture of pDC and NK cells through both secreted MIP-1β and CD11a-dependent direct contact between the two cell types [154, 155]. NK cells isolated from SLE patients, however, produced less IFNα than NK cells from HC, since most of them were CD56bright/CD16− rather than CD56dim/CD16+ NK cells. Furthermore, CD14+ monocytes, contrary to B cell, platelets, and NK cells, can suppress IFNα production from pDC through various mechanisms. It has been shown that upon RNA-containing ICs stimulation, CD14+ monocytes produced TNFα, prostaglandin E2, and reactive oxygen species, all of which suppressed IFNα production from normal pDC in coculture [155]. Additionally, monocytes can suppress IFNα production from normal pDC through competitive binding of C1q-coated ICs to reduce internalization of ICs in pDC [156]. Monocytes isolated from SLE patients have less suppressive effect on IFNα production from pDC compared to those isolated from HC [155].

4.4. IFNα-Producing Ability of pDC in Lupus. While the essential role of IFNα-producing pDC in lupus is inarguable, questions remain on whether pDCs are the major IFNα-producing cells during the entire course of lupus progression. It has been demonstrated in several studies that PBMC or pDC purified from PBMC of SLE patients produced much less IFNα upon TLR9-ligand stimulation compared to HC [93, 157–159]. Similar results have been obtained from lupus-prone mice [101]. We have shown in our recent study that pDC isolated from older MRL/lpr mice in the late stage of lupus development produced significantly less IFNα upon CpG stimulation in vitro compared to pDC purified from younger mice in the early stage [109]. The reduced IFNα-producing ability may be due to continuous exposure to nucleic acid self-antigens, as pDC from HC produced much less IFNα after repeated stimulation with CpG or DNA-containing ICs [159]. Notably, one study showed comparable IFNα production between pDC from SLE patients versus healthy individuals [160]. In their study, however, IL-3 was added in cell culture medium, which may have enhanced IFNα production by pDC from SLE patients. Resting or the addition of IFNα, IFNγ, and GM-CSF could also recover IFNα-producing ability of pDC from SLE patients to some extent [157, 159]. This suggests that the deficiency of IFNα production from pDC is reversible. Moreover, IFNα production by pDC from SLE versus HC was comparable upon stimulation with influenza viruses or TLR7 agonist [43, 158]. It is possible that pDC in SLE patients and lupus-prone mice can still produce a normal level of IFNα through the TLR7 pathway. Collectively, the results of these studies have raised two important questions: (1) Do pDCs gradually lose the ability to produce IFNα in vivo during lupus progression? (2) If pDC fail to produce IFNα in late stage lupus, what is the source of IFNα that stays at a high level in SLE patients and lupus-prone mice? [94].

4.5. Possible IFNα Production from Cells Other Than pDC in Lupus. An early study showed that PBMC from SLE patients could still produce detectable IFNα when pDCs were depleted, suggesting that other cell types besides pDC may have the ability to produce IFNα in SLE [43]. Neutrophils isolated from HC, SLE patients, and B6 mice were able to do so upon nucleosomes or CpG-B stimulation [161]. Interestingly, neutrophils from TLR9-deficient mice retained their ability to produce IFNα upon nucleosomes stimulation, suggesting that the production IFNα in neutrophils is TLR9-independent. Moreover, neutrophils from both SLE patients and lupus-prone mice possessed increased IFNα transcript level compared to HC, although the protein level of IFNα was
not measured in these studies [162–164]. Besides neutrophils, monocytes and cDC can also produce IFNα. With IFNβ priming, monocytes purified from healthy human PBMC, as well as cDC derived in vitro from bone marrow of normal mice, were shown to produce IFNα through LPS-activated TLR4 pathway [165]. Monocytes from healthy human PBMC also produced IFNα upon stimulation with liposome-coated RNA [166]. In addition, Ly6C^high monocytes are the primary source of IFNα in pristine-induced lupus-prone mice, as depletion of these monocytes abrogated IFNα production [167]. cDC, on the other hand, have been shown to produce IFNα through a cytosolic pattern recognition pathway via stimulator of interferon genes (STING) [168].

4.6. Potential Treatment Strategies of Lupus by Targeting pDC and IFNα. Due to the critical role of pDC and IFNα in the development of lupus, potential treatment strategies targeting them have been proposed. One example is intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) therapy, where IgG, the major antibody in IVIG, inhibits IC- or CpG-A-mediated production of IFNα from pDC [129]. It has been suggested that Fc fragment of IgG through blocking FcγRIIA on pDCs directly suppresses the uptake of nucleic acid-containing ICs by pDC [169]. Through the function of IgG glycan hydrolysis, Endoglycosidase S (Endo S) can also inhibit the uptake of ICs [170]. Sialylated fraction positive (SNA⁺) Fab' fragment of IgG, targeting unknown receptor on monocytes, induces production of PGE2 by monocytes, which in turn suppresses TLR7/9 agonist-mediated IFNα production by pDC. Another potential treatment targeting pDC and IFNα is DNA-like class R inhibitory oligonucleotides (INH-ODNs), which block TRL7/9-mediated activation of pDC upon stimulation with nucleic acid-containing ICs [171]. Administration of INH-ODN in MRL/lpr lupus-prone mice dramatically ameliorated lupus disease with reduced pathology and autoantibodies. Moreover, proteasome inhibitors have been shown to suppress IFNα production from normal pDC by inhibiting TLR9 translocation from endoplasmic reticulum to endosomes and lysosomes [172, 173]. Furthermore, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) and histone deacetylases inhibitors can suppress IFNα production by healthy human pDC through inhibiting IRF7 translocation into the nucleus [174, 175]. Lastly, by neutralizing IFNα directly, sifalimumab, a monoclonal antibody against human IFNα, was able to reduce IFNα-signature in phase I clinical trial [176].

A strategy to induce tolerogenic pDC has also been proposed. Subcutaneous injection of H471-94 peptide from histone proteins into NSFl lupus-prone mice at a low dose induced tolerogenic pDC that promoted Treg cells [177]. Adoptive transfer of tolerogenic pDC into lupus-prone mice was able to reduce autoantibodies against DNA-containing antigens, decrease IL-17 production in spleen, and delay the development of lupus nephritis [177].

5. Open Questions

Many questions remain regarding the mechanisms by which DC modulate lupus pathogenesis that needs to be revealed by additional studies. The first question is whether and how selective depletion of cDC would affect lupus. Many different lupus-prone mouse models have been generated, making it feasible to investigate whether DC are important for lupus development in vivo. Depletion studies of whole DC populations, including both cDC and pDC, in MRL/lpr lupus-prone mice suggest the involvement of DC in promoting lupus development, but not activation of naïve T cells. Two additional studies that selectively deplete pDC or abrogate IFNα-producing ability of pDC in lupus-prone mouse models other than MRL/lpr further demonstrate the importance of pDC in lupus pathogenesis. However, selective depletion of cDC populations in lupus-prone mice has not been reported.

The second question is which TLR, TLR9, or TLR7 is critical for the role of pDC in lupus pathogenesis. Studies have shown that the pathogenic role of TLR7 in lupus-prone mice is partially dependent on IFNα induction, and TLR9 on the contrary can regulate lupus progression by suppressing TLR7 signaling [178–181]. However, pDC-specific TLR7 or TLR9 deficiency in lupus-prone mice has not been reported, as B cells and some other innate immune cell types also express TLR7 and TLR9.

A third question is how to develop new treatment strategies targeting DC populations for lupus. Current treatments for lupus are nonspecific immunosuppressive drugs that suppress general immune responses from both innate and adaptive immune system. Side effects, including increases susceptibility to cancers and/or infections, can be severe. Future direction for lupus treatment should be focused on specific targeting with minimal side effects, where DC are a valuable target. New drugs targeting DC should avoid blocking the mechanism by which they defend against pathogens or cancer cells. Therefore, a better understanding of how DC are activated in lupus versus cancer/infection will be particularly useful.

How to translate results obtained from in vitro studies is another question. Through either purifying DC directly from PBMC of SLE patients or in vitro generating moDC, researchers have investigated activation of DC by self-antigens, activation/maturation markers on DC, cytokine production by DC, and the ability of DC to activate T cells. Similar studies have also been done with bone marrow cells or sorted splenic DC from lupus-prone mice. However, the results from different studies are not always consistent or even contradictory to each other, likely due to differences in stimulation protocols. It is also unclear whether in vitro stimulation methods would create the actual environment for DC in SLE patients or lupus-prone mice. In many cases, in vitro studies have revealed that the stimuli for DC activation have to be of certain concentrations or given at specific time points, making it difficult to translate the results.

6. Summary

Based on the reviewed studies above, we summarize how cDC and pDC may be involved in lupus pathogenesis. At the initiation stage of lupus, dysregulated cDC and pDC are activated by accumulated self-antigens (e.g., self-nucleic acids bound with associated molecules) and cytokines in genetically predisposed individuals and accumulate in peripheral
immune and nonimmune tissues. Activated pDCs through secreting IFNα then provide immunogenic signals to other immune cells including cDC, monocytes, neutrophils, T cells, and B cells. These leukocytes further promote the activation of pDC and IFNα production. With increasing inflammation, monocytes differentiate into activated cDC, which, together with CDP-derived activated cDC, sustain and amplify primed adaptive immune responses in both immune and nonimmune tissues, thus exacerbating the disease.
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