

## ON THE NUMBER OF ZEROS OF ITERATED OPERATORS ON ANALYTIC LEGENDRE EXPANSIONS

CARL PRATHER

(Received 10 September 2000)

ABSTRACT. Let  $L = (1 - z^2)D^2 - 2zD$ ,  $D = d/dz$  and  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n P_n(z)$ , with  $P_n$  being the  $n$ th Legendre polynomial and  $f$  analytic in an ellipse with foci  $\pm 1$ . Set  $L^k = L(L^{k-1})$ ,  $k \geq 2$ . Then the number of zeros of  $L^k f(z)$  in this ellipse is  $O(k \ln k)$ .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30D10, 30D15.

**1. Introduction.** In [3], Erdős and Rényi showed that for a function analytic in  $|z| \leq R$ , the number of zeros of the  $k$ th derivative  $f^{(k)}(z)$  in  $|z| \leq r < R$  is  $O(k)$ . This result includes an earlier result of Pólya [8] that for a function that is real on the real axis and is the restriction to a closed interval  $I$  of an analytic function, the number of zeros of  $f^{(k)}$  in  $I$  is  $O(k)$ .

Let

$$L = (1 - z^2)D^2 - 2zD, \tag{1.1}$$

with  $D = d/dz$ . Let  $f(z)$  be analytic in an ellipse  $E_R$  with foci at  $\pm 1$ , where the sum of the semiaxes is  $R > 1$ . Now,  $f(z)$  can be represented as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n P_n(z), \tag{1.2}$$

where  $P_n$  is the  $n$ th Legendre polynomial [17, Theorem 9.1.1]. Moreover, by [17, formula (9.1.4)]

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|c_n|^{-1/n}) = R. \tag{1.3}$$

Calculation shows that

$$(L^k f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda_n)^k c_n P_n(z), \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\lambda_n = n(n+1)$ . Formula (1.4) holds for  $x \in (-1, 1)$  and hence in  $E_R$  by analytic continuation. Moreover,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\lambda_n^k c_n|^{-1/n}) = R \tag{1.5}$$

for every positive integer  $k$  so that  $(L^k f)(z)$  is also analytic in  $E_R$ .

**2. The main theorem and lemmas**

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $f$  be analytic in  $E_R$  of the form (1.2). Let  $1 < T < R$ . Then the number of zeros of  $(L^k f)(z)$  in  $E_T$  is  $O(k \ln k)$ .*

The above theorem implies our next result. For the next corollary we consider the operator  $L$  to be restricted to the real axis. That is,

$$L = (1 - x^2)D^2 - 2xD \tag{2.1}$$

with

$$D = \frac{d}{dx}. \tag{2.2}$$

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $L$  be given by (2.1) and (2.2), and let  $f$  be analytic in  $E_R$  of the form (1.2), with real  $c_n$ . Then the number of sign changes of  $(L^k f)(x)$  in  $(-1, 1)$  is  $O(k \ln k)$ .*

We next give the lemmas needed. The first is a version of Jensen’s formula for functions analytic in an ellipse [7, page 58].

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $f(z)$  be analytic inside the ellipse  $z = (Se^{i\theta} + (Se^{i\theta})^{-1})/2$ , for  $R > S > 1$ . For  $1 < r \leq S$ , denote by  $N(r)$  the number of zeros of  $f$  (counting multiplicities) inside and on the ellipse*

$$z = \frac{1}{2}(re^{i\theta} + (re^{i\theta})^{-1}). \tag{2.3}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^S \frac{1}{r} N(r) dr &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln \left| f\left(\frac{1}{2}(Se^{i\theta} + (Se^{i\theta})^{-1})\right) \right| d\theta \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(\cos \theta)| d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We also need Laplace’s method [9, Part 2, Chapter 5, no. 201] and [1, Section 5.1].

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Suppose that the functions  $\phi(x)$  and  $\exp(h(x))$  are defined and satisfy the following conditions on  $(0, \infty)$ :*

- (1)  $\phi(x) \exp(kh(x))$  is absolutely integrable over  $(0, \infty)$  for every  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- (2) The function  $h(x)$  attains its maximum only at the point  $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$ . Moreover,  $h(x) < h(x_0)$  on any closed interval that does not contain the point  $x_0$ . Furthermore, there is a neighborhood of  $x_0$  where  $h'(x)$  exists and is continuous with  $h'(x_0) < 0$ .
- (3)  $\phi(x)$  is continuous at  $x_0$ ,  $\phi(x_0) \neq 0$ .

Then

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(x) \exp(kh(x)) dx \sim \sqrt{2\pi} \phi(x_0) \exp(kh(x_0)) (-kh'(x_0))^{-1/2} \tag{2.5}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

We also need an expansion for Legendre polynomials [2, Lemma 12.4.1].

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Given  $P_n$ ,*

$$P_n\left(\frac{(Re^{i\theta} + (Re^{i\theta})^{-1})}{2}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j a_{n-j} (Re^{i\theta})^{n-2j}, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$a_j = 2^{-2j} \binom{2j}{j}. \tag{2.7}$$

**3. Proof of the main theorem**

**PROOF.** We will use Jensen’s formula in the form (3.15). Let  $1 < S < R$  and  $z = (Se^{i\theta} + (Se^{i\theta})^{-1})/2$ . By (1.3), for a fixed  $\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < R - S$ , there exists  $N = N(\epsilon)$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies that

$$|c_n| \leq (R - \epsilon)^{-n}. \tag{3.1}$$

Now,

$$(L^k f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n^k c_n P_n(z) \tag{3.2}$$

which, by Lemma 2.5, equals

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^k c_n}{4^n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{2j}{j} \binom{2n-2j}{n-j} (Se^{i\theta})^{n-2j}. \tag{3.3}$$

Taking the modulus,

$$|(L^k f)(z)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n^k |c_n| \left(\frac{S}{4}\right)^n \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{2j}{j} \binom{2n-2j}{n-j} S^{-2j}. \tag{3.4}$$

We now employ an identity that is a special case of the Chu-Vandermonde sum, which is

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{2j}{j} \binom{2n-2j}{n-j} = 4^n. \tag{3.5}$$

Since  $S > 1$ ,

$$|(L^k f)(z)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n^k |c_n| S^n, \tag{3.6}$$

which, by (3.1) and  $R > S$ , is less than or equal to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda_n^k |c_n| S^n + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \lambda_n^k \left(\frac{S}{R-\epsilon}\right)^n. \tag{3.7}$$

The second term in (3.7) is less than

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (n(n+1))^k \exp\left(-n \ln \frac{R-\epsilon}{S}\right) dn \\ = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(k\left(\ln(n(n+1)) - \frac{n}{k} \ln \frac{R-\epsilon}{S}\right)\right) dn. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

In (3.8),  $n$  is considered a continuous variable.

Next we employ Laplace's method as in Lemma 2.4. We set

$$h(n) = \ln\left(n(n+1) - \frac{n}{k} \ln \frac{R-\epsilon}{S}\right), \tag{3.9}$$

with  $n \in (0, \infty)$ .

Calculation shows that  $h'(n_0) = 0$  where

$$n_0 = \left(\frac{2k}{\ln((R-\epsilon)/S)}\right) - 1 + \left(\frac{(1+4k^2)}{\ln((R-\epsilon)/S)}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{3.10}$$

Now, for all sufficiently large  $k$ , the term  $n_0$  is positive. Note also that

$$n_0 \sim \alpha k \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.11}$$

where the constant  $\alpha$  is independent of  $k$ .

Further calculation shows that

$$h''(n_0) = -2 \frac{\lambda_{n_0} + 1}{\lambda_{n_0}^2} < 0, \tag{3.12}$$

with  $\lambda_n$  given by  $h(n+1)$ .

By Lemma 2.4, the integral in (3.8) is asymptotic to

$$(\lambda_{n_0})^k \left(\frac{S}{R-\epsilon}\right)^{n_0} \left(\frac{\pi(\lambda_{n_0})^2}{k(\lambda_{n_0} + 1)}\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.13}$$

The first term in (3.7) is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda_n^k |c_n| S^n \leq c(N-1) (\lambda_{N-1})^k \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S^n\right) = c(N-1) (\lambda_{N-1})^k \frac{S^N - 1}{S - 1}, \tag{3.14}$$

where  $c = \max\{|c_j|\}$ , for  $j = 0, \dots, N-1$ .

We next take  $1 < T < S$ . We use Jensen's formula in (2.4) with  $f$  replaced by  $L^k f$ . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} N(T) \ln \frac{S}{T} &\leq \int_T^S \frac{1}{r} N(r) dr \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln \left| (L^k f) \left( \frac{1}{2} (Se^{i\theta} + (Se^{i\theta})^{-1}) \right) \right| d\theta \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |(L^k f)(\cos \theta)| d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

We first use the estimates in (3.6), (3.7), (3.13), and (3.14) to estimate the first integral on the right-hand side of inequality (3.15). In light of these estimates, we choose a constant  $M > 1$  independent of  $k$  such that for all sufficiently large  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln \left| (L^k f) \left( \frac{1}{2} (Se^{i\theta} + (Se^{i\theta})^{-1}) \right) \right| d\theta \\ & \leq \ln \left\{ Mc(N-1)(\lambda_{N-1})^k \frac{S^N - 1}{S - 1} + M(\lambda_{n_0})^k \left( \frac{S}{R - \epsilon} \right)^{n_0} \left( \frac{\pi(\lambda_{n_0})^2}{k(\lambda_{n_0} + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

By (3.11), for all sufficiently large  $k$ ,

$$n_0 > N - 1. \tag{3.17}$$

Accordingly, rewrite the term on the right-hand side of (3.16) as

$$\ln \left\{ k^{1/2} (\lambda_{n_0})^k \left[ M \left( \frac{S}{R - \epsilon} \right)^{n_0} \left( \frac{\pi(\lambda_{n_0})^2}{k^2(\lambda_{n_0} + 1)} \right)^{1/2} + k^{-1/2} Mc(N-1) \left( \frac{\lambda_{N-1}}{\lambda_{n_0}} \right)^k \frac{S^N - 1}{S - 1} \right] \right\}. \tag{3.18}$$

Now, for the first term inside the bracket in (3.18), by (3.11) this term is  $O(1)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Next, by (3.17),

$$\left( \frac{\lambda_{N-1}}{\lambda_{n_0}} \right)^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.19}$$

In summary, by (1.4), (3.11), (3.16), (3.18), and (3.19), the integral in (3.16) that appears in (3.15) as well is  $O(k \ln k)$ .

Finally, we estimate the second integral on the right-hand side of (3.15). This is, of course, the case  $S = 1$  in the integral just estimated. So, we fix  $\epsilon$ , with

$$0 < \epsilon < R - 1 \tag{3.20}$$

which is possible as  $R > 1$ . Inequality (3.20) is equivalent to  $1/(R - \epsilon) < 1$ . First, we replace the estimate in (3.14) by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\lambda_n)^k |c_n| \leq c(N-1)(\lambda_{N-1})^k, \tag{3.21}$$

where, again,  $c = \max\{|c_j|\}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, N - 1$ .

We first note that Jensen's formula as used in (3.15) is independent of the estimates done in (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), (3.16), (3.17), and (3.18). Next, set  $z = x = \cos \theta = (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})/2$ . The estimates in (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), (3.16), (3.17), and (3.18) are replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |(L^k f)(\cos \theta)| d\theta \\ & \leq \ln \left[ k^{1/2} (\lambda_{n_0})^k \left[ M \left( \frac{1}{R - \epsilon} \right)^{n_0} \left( \frac{\pi \lambda_{n_0}^2}{k^2(\lambda_{n_0} + 1)} \right)^{1/2} + k^{-1/2} Mc(N-1) \left( \frac{\lambda_{N-1}}{\lambda_{n_0}} \right)^k \right] \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

this inequality holds for all sufficiently large  $k$ . Again, by (3.11), the first term inside the bracket in (3.22) is  $O(1)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, because of (3.11) and (3.19), the integral in (3.22) is  $O(k \ln k)$ .

In summary, by Jensen's formula as in (3.15),  $N(T)$ , which equals the number of zeros of  $(L^k f)(z)$  in  $E_T$ , satisfies

$$N(T) = O(k \ln k). \quad (3.23)$$

□

**4. Commentary.** The order of growth  $O(k \ln k)$  that appears in the conclusions of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 is due to the method of the proof used. The inspiration for this method was corresponding methods used by Erdős and Rényi [3]. The correct order of growth, namely  $O(k)$ , should be possible to obtain in the conclusion of this theorem and corollary.

In this paper, we have assumed a function to be analytic in an ellipse with foci at  $\pm 1$  and obtained asymptotic bounds on the number of zeros of  $L^k f(z)$  in this ellipse, which in particular bounds the number of sign changes of  $(L^k f)(x)$  in  $(-1, 1)$ . The definition of  $L$  appears in the introduction.

Much work has been done in various contexts addressing the converse of the problem posed here. That is, one assumes for a real function that is  $C^\infty$  on a real interval  $I$  an asymptotic bound on the number of sign changes of  $(L^k f)(x)$  in  $I$ , where  $L$  is the appropriate differential operator. One then deduces extendability by analytic continuation of the function to be analytic, or even to be an entire function, or even entire of a certain growth, depending on the frequency of sign changes of  $(L^k f)(x)$  in  $I$ . Work on problems of this type can be found in [4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bleistein and R. A. Handelsman, *Asymptotic Expansions of Integrals*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1975. Zbl 0327.41027.
- [2] P. J. Davis, *Interpolation and Approximation*, Dover, New York, 1975. MR 52#1089. Zbl 0329.41010.
- [3] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, *On the number of zeros of successive derivatives of analytic functions*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 7 (1956), 125-144. MR 18,201b. Zbl 0070.29601.
- [4] E. Hille, *On the oscillation of differential transforms. II. Characteristic series of boundary value problems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1942), 463-497. MR 4,97c. Zbl 0060.19506.
- [5] I. I. Hirschman Jr., *Proof of a conjecture of I. J. Schoenberg*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), 63-65. MR 11,334d. Zbl 0036.03303.
- [6] V. È. Kacnel'son, *Oscillation of the derivatives of almost periodic functions*, Teor. Funkcii Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. Vyp. 2 (1966), 42-54 (Russian). MR 34#1794.
- [7] P. Koosis, *The Logarithmic Integral. II*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 21, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992. MR 94i:30027. Zbl 0791.30020.
- [8] G. Pólya, *On the zeros of the derivatives of a function and its analytic character*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1943), 178-191. MR 4,192d. Zbl 0061.11510.
- [9] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Problems and Theorems in Analysis. I*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 193, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978. MR 81e:00002.

- [10] G. Pólya and N. Wiener, *On the oscillation of the derivatives of a periodic function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1942), 249–256. MR 4,97a. Zbl 0060.19504.
- [11] C. Prather, *The oscillation of differential transforms. Analyticity of Gegenbauer expansions*, preprint.
- [12] ———, *The oscillation of derivatives: the Bernstein problem for Fourier integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **108** (1985), no. 1, 165–197. MR 86j:42036. Zbl 0589.42008.
- [13] C. Prather and J. K. Shaw, *On the oscillation of differential transforms of eigenfunction expansions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **280** (1983), no. 1, 187–206. MR 84m:42036. Zbl 0528.34025.
- [14] A. C. Schaeffer, *On the oscillation of differential transforms. III. Oscillations of the derivative of a function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1943), 278–285. MR 5,4f. Zbl 0061.11702.
- [15] G. Szegő, *On the oscillation of differential transforms. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1942), 450–462. MR 4,97b. Zbl 0060.19505.
- [16] ———, *On the oscillation of differential transforms. IV. Jacobi polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1943), 463–468. MR 4,244d. Zbl 0060.19507.
- [17] ———, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1975. MR 51#8724.

CARL PRATHER: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA TECH, BLACKSBURG, VA 24061-0123, USA

*E-mail address:* cprather@vt.edu