

2017

Peanut Variety and Quality Evaluation Results

Quality Data

Tidewater Agricultural Research and Extension Center

Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station



**Virginia
Cooperative
Extension**

PEANUT VARIETY AND QUALITY EVALUATION RESULTS 2017

II. Quality Data

Maria Balota, Ph.D.
Associate Professor Crop Physiology
Virginia Tech – Tidewater AREC

Thomas G. Isleib, Ph.D.
Professor, Peanut Breeder
North Carolina State University

Joseph Oakes, Ph.D.
Research Associate
Virginia Tech – Tidewater AREC

Dan Anco, Ph.D.
Extension Specialist
Clemson University

TECHNICAL SUPPORT:
D. Redd, Ag Specialist
F. Bryant, Ag Specialist
C. Hoy, Ag Specialist
C. Daughtrey, Ag Technician
B. Kennedy, Ag Technician
A. Acharya, Ag Technician
J. Flynn, Ag. Technician

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station
Tidewater Agricultural Research and Extension Center
Suffolk, Virginia 23437

Information Series No 512
March 2018

Virginia Tech does not discriminate against employees, students, or applicants on the basis of race, color, sex, disability, age, veteran status, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, or political affiliation. Anyone having questions concerning discrimination or accessibility should contact the Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Office.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the following institutions and organizations:

Virginia Tech

Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station

NC State University

Virginia Carolina Peanut Association

South Carolina Peanut Growers

North Carolina Peanut Growers

Clemson University



TECHNICAL SUPPORT

The following agricultural specialists, technicians, and lab assistants are gratefully acknowledged for their professionalism, and dedication to achieve tasks on time and in a collegial manner: Frank Bryant, Doug Redd, Carolyn Daughtrey, Brenda Kennedy, Anita Acharya, Austen Sexton, and Gaby Rawls.

Carolyn Daughtrey, below



Frank Bryant, below



Anita Acharya and Austen Sexton, below



Doug Redd, below



All of the following cooperators are also acknowledged for their various support provided to the PVQE program in 2017.

LIST OF COOPERATORS

Virginia Tech, Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station, and VCIA

Mr. K. Jones, Farm Manager, Tidewater AREC
Mr. B. Slye, Assistant Farm Manager, Tidewater AREC
Mr. Phillip Browning, VCIA
Mr. T. Hardiman, VCIA

Other universities

Dr. T. Isleib, NCSU
Dr. B. Tillman, University of Florida
Mr. C. Bogle, Upper Coastal Plain Research Station, NCSU
Dr. D. Anco, Clemson University

Growers

Mr. T. Slade, Martin Co., NC
Mr. D. McDuffie, Bladen Co., NC

County Agents

Ms. L. Preisser, Isle of Wight Co., VA
Mr. S. Reiter, Prince George Co., VA
Mr. M. Parrish, Dinwiddie Co., VA
Ms. S. Rutherford, Greensville/Emporia, VA
Mr. A. Cochran, Martin Co., NC

Commodity Groups

Mr. D. Cotton, Virginia Peanut Board
Mr. B. Sutter, North Carolina Peanut Board
Mr. M. Copelan, South Carolina Peanut Board

Companies

Mr. M. Simmons, Birdsong Peanut
Mr. K. Bennett, Birdsong Peanut
Mr. J. Laine, Wakefield Peanut Company
Mr. B. Gwaltney, Indika Farms, Inc.
Mr. L. Fowler, Helena
Mr. H. Hamlin, Helena

Amadas Industries

BASF Corporation

Bayer Crop Science

Coastal Chemical Corporation

Monsanto

DuPont

Dow Agro Sciences LLC

Helena

Syngenta Crop Protection

Valent USA Corporation

AMVAC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	i
Technical Support.....	ii
List of Cooperators	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables.....	v
Introduction	1
Plant Material and Test Location	2
2017 Blanching Results.....	4
2017 Fatty Acid Results	22

1.	Breeding lines and varieties evaluated in 2017	2
2.	Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK) From Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, Dig 1, 2017	4
3.	Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK) From Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, Dig 2, 2017	5
4.	Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK) From Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, Average of all Digs, 2017	6
5.	Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK) from Martin County, NC, Dig 1, 2017	7
6.	Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK) from Martin County, NC, Dig 2, 2017	8
7.	Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK) from Martin County, NC, Average of all Digs, 2017	9
8.	Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK). Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA and Martin County, NC, 2017	10
9.	Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK). Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA and Martin County, NC. Two years averages (2016-2017).....	11
10.	Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK). Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA and Martin County, NC. Three years averages (2015-2017).....	12
11.	Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, Dig 1, 2017	13
12.	Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, Dig 2, 2017	14
13.	Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, Average of all Digs, 2017.....	15
14.	Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels from Martin County, NC, Dig 1, 2017	16
15.	Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels from Martin County, NC, Dig 2, 2017	17
16.	Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels from Martin County, NC, Average of all Digs, 2017	18
17.	Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels. Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA and Martin County, NC, 2017	19
18.	Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels. Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA and Martin County, NC, Two years averages (2016-2017).....	20
19.	Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels. Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA and Martin County, NC. Three years averages (2015-2017).....	21
20.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, Dig 1, 2017	22
21.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, Dig 2, 2017	24
22.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, average of all Digs, 2017	26
23.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Martin County, NC, Dig 1, 2017	28
24.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Martin County, NC, Dig 2, 2017	30

List of tables continued

25.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Martin County, NC, Average of all Dig, 2017	32
26.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Rocky Mount, NC, 2017	34
27.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Bladen, NC, 2017	36
28.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Blackville, SC, 2017	38
29.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Averaged across all locations, 2017	40
30.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Two years averages across all locations, (2016- 2017)	42
31.	Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) Ratio, % Total Saturated, Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Three years averages across all locations, (2015- 2017)	44

Introduction**INTRODUCTION**

Along with agronomic and grade information, data on kernel and pod quality are essential for release of new peanut cultivars to ensure acceptability by the entire peanut trade. The present report contains the quality data collected on 5 Virginia-type cultivars that currently are on the market and 25 advanced breeding lines tested in the Peanut Variety and Quality Evaluation (PVQE) small plots in 2017. The small PVQE plots with 30 varieties were tested at five locations in Virginia, North Carolina, and South Carolina: Suffolk, VA, Martin Co., NC, Rocky Mount, NC, Bladen Co., NC, and Blackville, SC. At Suffolk, VA and at Martin Co., NC, two digs were achieved. For the other locations, only one dig was tested. Each genotype was replicated 2 times at each location and digging date. Varieties' names and pedigree are presented in Table 1. Since none of the advanced breeding lines were proposed for release, PVQE seed increase plots were not planted in 2017. A detailed description of the plant material, test locations, weather conditions, and cultural practices is included in the PVQE 2017 Results. I. Agronomic and Grade Data, at <https://pubs.ext.vt.edu/AREC/AREC-231/AREC-231.html>.

2017 SMALL PLOT TESTS

Blanching evaluations were determined by a laboratory sample blancher of two 250 g peanut samples from the early-dig at Martin Co., NC, and the Tidewater AREC. Tables 2 through 19 contain blanching data for the extra large kernels (ELK) and medium size kernels. Statistical analysis were determined for percentage of splits, whole blanched, not blanched, and partially blanched.

Small Plot Tests

PLANT MATERIAL AND TEST LOCATIONS

Table 1. Names and pedigree of the genotypes (advanced breeding lines and commercial varieties) evaluated in 2017.

Genotype Number	Variety or Line	Parentage
1	Bailey	NC 12C*2 / N96076L
2	Emery	
3	Sullivan	Bailey / X03034 (F01)
4	Wynne	N03079FT / X03034(F01)
5	08X09-1-2-1	
6	08X09-3-14-1	
7	09X37-1-19-2	
8	09X38-1-5-1	
9	09X39-1-11-2	
10	09X44-2-14-1	
11	N12008olCLSmT	Bailey / X07016 (BC2F1-04:F01)
12	N13003olF	Bailey // X05027 (F01), Bailey / N02060ol (Per)
13	N13006ol	Bailey // X05027 (F01), Bailey / N02060ol (Per)
14	N13007ol	Bailey // X05027 (F01), Bailey / N02060ol (Per)
15	N13048+ol	N03079olFT // X03034 (F1), N03079FT / N02059ol (Per), X03155 (ol ol, BC1F1-04-01-S-04-S-01: F09) /3/ N05044FCsm
16	N13058olSm	Bailey // X03036 (F01), Bailey / Brantley, X03157 (ol ol, BC1F1-04-01-S-04-S-05: F09) /3/ SPT 06-06
17	N14001ol	N02006 // X05012 (F01), N02006 / N02064ol
18	N14002olJ	N03079FT // X05024 (F01), N03079FT / N02064ol
19	N14004olJ	Bailey // X05027 (F01), Bailey / N02060ol (Per)
20	N14007ol	Phillips / N99121CSm, X00044 (F2-02-S-04-S-04: F08, 04 DPT 030) /3/ X05036 (F01), Phillips / N99121CSm, X00044 (F2-02-S-04-S-04: F08, 04 DPT 030) // N02064ol
21	N14009olJ	Phillips / N99121CSm, X00044 (F2-02-S-04-S-04: F08, 04 DPT 030) /3/ X05036 (F01), Phillips / N99121CSm, X00044 (F2-02-S-04-S-04: F08, 04 DPT 030) // N02064ol
22	N14014olF	N00088ol (92R) // N01013T / N00088ol (92R), X03134 (BC1F1-02-01-02: F04) /3/ Sugg
23	N14015olJ	N00088ol (92R) // N01013T / N00088ol (92R), X03134 (BC1F1-02-01-02: F04) /3/ Sugg
24	N14017olJ	N02054ol (11) // N02005 / N02054ol (11), X03138 (BC1F1-11-03-01: F04) /3/ N03084FT
25	N14023ol	N01015T / N00098ol (Gre), X02083 (F2-01-S-01-S-05: F07) // Sugg
26	N14024olJ	Bailey /4/ X07013 (BC2F1-03: F01), Bailey // X05027 (F01), Bailey / N02060ol (Per), X05249 (BC1F1-04-01: F03 ol ol) /3/ Bailey
27	N14035olSmT	Sullivan /3/ X09006 (F01), Sullivan // SPT 07-01, NC-V 11 / GP-NC WS 11
28	N15052ol	N08082olJCT // X09019 (F01), N08082olJCT / Florida Fancy
29	N15053ol	N08082olJCT // X09019 (F01), N08082olJCT / Florida Fancy
30	N15054ol	N08082olJCT // X09019 (F01), N08082olJCT / Florida Fancy

Small Plot Tests

Fatty acid content and composition of the sound mature kernels (SMK) was determined by gas chromatography and expressed as % from total seed oil content. Iodine value, oleic/linoleic (O/L) ratio, % total saturated, polyunsaturated/saturated (P/S) ratio, and % total long chain-saturated acids were also calculated using the following formulas:

$$\text{Iodine Value} = (\% \text{ oleic}) (0.8601) + (\% \text{ linoleic}) (1.7321) + (\% \text{ eicosenoic}) (0.7854)$$

$$\text{Oleic/Linoleic (O/L) ratio} = \% \text{ oleic} / \% \text{ linoleic}$$

$$\% \text{ Total Saturated} = \% \text{ palmitic} + \% \text{ stearic} + \% \text{ arachidic} + \% \text{ behenic} + \% \text{ lignoceric}$$

$$\text{Polyunsaturated/Saturated (P/S) ratio} = \% \text{ polyunsaturated (linoleic)} / \% \text{ total saturated}$$

$$\% \text{ Total Long Chain Saturated} = \% \text{ arachidic} + \% \text{ behenic} + \% \text{ lignoceric}$$

The definition of a high oleic peanut is a peanut line and seed that has an oleic acid content of from about 74% to about 84% and a linoleic acid content of from about 2% to about 8%, each based upon the total fatty acid content of the seed, and a ratio of the amount of oleic acid to linoleic acid in the seed of from about 9:1 to about 42:1.

Fatty acid composition is reported from all 2017 PVQE locations and digging dates in Tables 20 through 28. Table 29 shows the content of the fatty acids averaged across all locations in 2017. Two- and three-year averages are included in Tables 30 and 31.

Statistics:

Analysis of Variance was run for the cultivars and breeding lines on individual digging dates and locations, and averaged digging dates, locations, and years. When significant differences between cultivars and lines were detected, means were compared by the Tukey HSD test and the minimum significant difference was included in the tables. These values were used to compare the varieties.

For example in Table 2, the difference between Bailey and Sugg for percent whole blanched kernels is 2.4 (93.4-95.8) and this is not a significant difference because it is smaller than 4.7, which is the minimum significant difference. Bailey and Sugg are, therefore, not significantly different from each other for this trait. However, Sugg and 08X09-3-14-1 are significantly different for the percent whole blanched kernels because their means difference is 6.8; and this difference is more than 4.7.

Blanching Results

Table 2. Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK) from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk) VA, Dig 1, 2017 (18 September).

Variety	%H ₂ O before Roasting	%H ₂ O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.4	93.0	0.0	3.9
Sullivan	5.8	4.7	1.6	1.4	94.3	0.0	2.8
Wynne	5.8	4.7	1.6	1.1	93.6	0.0	3.8
Emery	5.7	4.6	1.6	0.8	94.8	0.0	2.9
N12008o1CLSmT	5.8	4.8	2.1	0.8	94.6	0.0	2.6
08X09-1-2-1	5.9	4.8	1.6	1.9	92.9	0.0	3.6
08X09-3-14-1	5.8	4.8	1.6	1.3	91.1	0.0	6.0
09X37-1-19-2	5.7	4.7	1.7	2.8	92.3	0.0	3.3
09X38-1-5-1	5.7	4.7	1.7	2.2	91.8	0.0	4.4
09X39-1-11-2	5.8	4.8	1.7	1.5	91.8	0.2	5.0
09X44-2-14-1	5.7	4.9	1.7	1.7	92.8	0.0	3.9
N13003o1F	5.8	4.8	1.7	1.0	94.3	0.0	3.1
N13006o1	5.8	4.7	1.7	0.8	94.4	0.0	3.2
N13007o1	5.7	4.7	1.7	2.2	92.1	0.0	4.0
N13048+o1	5.5	4.6	1.6	2.1	91.8	0.0	4.4
N13058o1Sm	5.7	4.7	1.7	1.6	92.3	0.0	4.6
N14001o1	5.5	4.6	1.6	1.2	94.7	0.0	2.6
N14002o1J	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.5	94.4	0.0	2.5
N14004o1J	5.7	4.9	1.7	1.7	93.3	0.0	3.3
N14007o1	5.5	4.6	1.7	1.8	91.9	0.0	4.7
N14009o1J	5.4	4.5	1.7	1.1	93.5	0.0	3.8
N14014o1F	5.5	4.6	1.6	2.3	92.7	0.2	3.3
N14015o1J	5.6	4.8	1.5	1.9	94.6	0.0	1.9
N14017o1J	5.4	4.6	1.7	2.6	92.3	0.0	3.6
N14023o1	5.4	4.7	1.7	1.7	92.9	0.0	3.8
N14024o1J	5.4	4.5	1.6	3.2	91.3	0.0	4.0
N14035o1SmT	5.8	4.8	1.7	2.6	92.6	0.0	3.3
N15052o1	5.5	4.6	1.7	1.7	93.3	0.0	3.4
N15053o1	5.4	4.6	1.7	2.3	93.5	0.0	2.6
N15054o1	5.5	4.6	1.7	1.3	94.1	0.0	3.0
Mean	5.6	4.7	1.7	1.7	93.1	0.0	3.6
Tukey HSD¹	0.9	0.7	0.5	3.4	6.6	0.2	5.2

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 3. Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK) from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk) VA, Dig 2, 2017 (4 October).

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	5.6	4.8	1.7	1.6	93.6	0.0	3.2
Sullivan	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.8	93.8	0.0	2.7
Wynne	4.6	4.9	1.7	2.0	93.6	0.0	2.8
Emery	5.7	4.8	1.7	0.8	95.1	0.0	2.5
N12008oICLSmT	5.7	4.9	1.6	1.4	93.7	0.0	3.4
08X09-1-2-1	5.6	4.8	1.7	1.9	91.6	0.0	4.9
08X09-3-14-1	5.6	4.8	1.6	2.3	91.3	0.0	4.9
09X37-1-19-2	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.6	92.3	0.0	4.5
09X38-1-5-1	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.0	91.3	0.0	5.0
09X39-1-11-2	5.6	4.8	1.7	1.7	92.8	0.0	3.9
09X44-2-14-1	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.0	92.7	0.0	3.7
N13003oIF	5.6	4.8	1.7	1.5	93.6	0.0	3.2
N13006ol	5.7	4.9	1.7	1.7	94.3	0.0	2.4
N13007ol	5.6	4.7	1.7	1.3	93.1	0.0	4.0
N13048+ol	5.7	4.8	1.6	1.0	86.8	0.0	10.7
N13058olSm	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.4	89.7	0.0	6.3
N14001ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.0	90.8	0.0	5.5
N14002oIJ	5.8	4.8	1.7	1.4	93.7	0.0	3.3
N14004oIJ	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.1	93.4	0.0	2.9
N14007ol	5.7	4.9	1.7	2.6	91.4	0.0	4.4
N14009oIJ	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.6	90.6	0.0	6.2
N14014oIF	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.3	91.7	0.0	4.4
N14015oIJ	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.7	93.0	0.0	3.6
N14017oIJ	5.7	4.7	1.7	1.7	92.8	0.0	3.9
N14023ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.1	92.3	0.0	5.0
N14024oIJ	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.6	92.8	0.0	4.1
N14035oISmT	5.8	4.8	1.7	2.0	92.4	0.0	3.9
N15052ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.4	92.7	0.0	4.3
N15053ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.3	93.3	0.0	3.8
N15054ol	5.7	4.8	1.2	2.6	90.5	0.0	5.8
Mean	5.6	4.8	1.6	1.7	92.3	0.0	4.3
Tukey HSD¹	1.2	0.3	0.6	2.5	4.2	0.0	4.4

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 4. Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK). Averages of both digging dates from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, 2017.

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.5	93.3	0.0	3.5
Sullivan	5.7	4.7	1.7	1.6	94.1	0.0	2.8
Wynne	5.2	4.8	1.7	1.5	93.6	0.0	3.3
Emery	5.7	4.7	1.6	0.8	95.0	0.0	2.7
N12008olCLSmT	5.7	4.8	1.8	1.1	94.2	0.0	3.0
08X09-1-2-1	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.9	92.3	0.0	4.2
08X09-3-14-1	5.7	4.8	1.6	1.8	91.2	0.0	5.5
09X37-1-19-2	5.7	4.7	1.7	2.2	92.3	0.0	3.9
09X38-1-5-1	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.1	91.6	0.0	4.7
09X39-1-11-2	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.6	92.3	0.1	4.4
09X44-2-14-1	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.8	92.7	0.0	3.8
N13003olF	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.3	93.9	0.0	3.2
N13006ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.2	94.3	0.0	2.8
N13007ol	5.7	4.7	1.7	1.8	92.6	0.0	4.0
N13048+ol	5.6	4.7	1.6	1.5	89.3	0.0	7.6
N13058olSm	5.7	4.7	1.7	2.0	90.9	0.0	5.4
N14001ol	5.6	4.7	1.6	1.6	92.8	0.0	4.1
N14002olJ	5.8	4.8	1.7	1.5	94.1	0.0	2.9
N14004olJ	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.9	93.3	0.0	3.1
N14007ol	5.6	4.7	1.7	2.2	91.7	0.0	4.5
N14009olJ	5.5	4.7	1.7	1.3	92.0	0.0	5.0
N14014olF	5.5	4.7	1.6	2.3	92.2	0.1	3.8
N14015olJ	5.7	4.8	1.6	1.8	93.8	0.0	2.7
N14017olJ	5.5	4.6	1.7	2.1	92.6	0.0	3.7
N14023ol	5.5	4.7	1.7	1.4	92.6	0.0	4.4
N14024olJ	5.5	4.6	1.6	2.4	92.0	0.0	4.0
N14035olSmT	5.8	4.8	1.7	2.3	92.5	0.0	3.6
N15052ol	5.6	4.7	1.7	1.5	93.0	0.0	3.8
N15053ol	5.5	4.7	1.7	1.8	93.4	0.0	3.2
N15054ol	5.6	4.7	1.5	1.9	92.3	0.0	4.4
Mean	5.6	4.7	1.7	1.7	92.7	0.0	3.9
Tukey HSD¹	0.8	0.4	0.4	2.0	4.0	0.1	3.8

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 5. Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK) from Martin County, NC, Dig 1, 2017 (1 October).

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	5.7	4.4	1.7	1.7	93.9	0.0	2.7
Sullivan	5.7	4.9	1.6	2.2	93.2	0.0	3.0
Wynne	5.7	4.9	1.7	1.8	93.5	0.0	3.0
Emery	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.5	94.7	0.0	2.2
N12008olCLSmT	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.4	94.1	0.0	2.9
08X09-1-2-1	5.6	4.8	1.7	3.0	89.3	0.0	6.2
08X09-3-14-1	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.9	91.1	0.0	4.4
09X37-1-19-2	5.7	4.9	1.7	1.8	93.2	0.0	3.3
09X38-1-5-1	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.9	91.2	0.0	4.3
09X39-1-11-2	5.7	4.9	1.7	2.5	90.6	0.0	5.2
09X44-2-14-1	5.7	4.8	1.6	2.5	90.8	0.0	5.2
N13003olF	5.7	4.9	1.7	1.7	92.2	0.0	4.5
N13006ol	5.6	4.7	1.7	1.5	93.5	0.0	3.5
N13007ol	5.6	4.7	1.7	2.1	91.8	0.0	4.5
N13048+ol	5.6	4.8	1.7	3.3	87.4	0.0	7.7
N13058olSm	5.6	4.8	1.7	1.7	93.1	0.0	3.6
N14001ol	5.6	4.8	1.7	3.5	89.4	0.0	5.4
N14002olJ	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.3	92.8	0.0	3.3
N14004olJ	5.6	4.8	1.7	1.5	93.0	0.0	4.0
N14007ol	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.1	91.2	0.0	5.1
N14009olJ	5.6	4.8	1.7	3.1	89.9	0.0	5.4
N14014olF	5.7	4.9	1.7	3.3	89.8	0.0	5.2
N14015olJ	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.7	92.0	0.0	3.7
N14017olJ	5.6	4.7	1.7	2.9	92.2	0.0	3.3
N14023ol	5.5	4.7	1.7	3.4	88.6	0.0	6.3
N14024olJ	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.9	91.0	0.0	4.4
N14035olSmT	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.0	91.8	0.0	4.6
N15052ol	5.6	4.7	1.7	1.7	92.7	0.0	3.9
N15053ol	5.6	4.8	1.7	3.3	90.2	0.0	4.9
N15054ol	5.6	4.8	2.2	2.3	91.1	0.0	4.5
Mean	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.4	91.6	0.0	4.3
Tukey HSD¹	0.3	0.5	0.6	2.8	5.1	0.0	3.8

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 6. Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK) from Martin County, NC, Dig 2, 2017 (19 October).

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	5.9	5.0	1.7	1.6	93.9	0.0	2.8
Sullivan	6.0	5.0	1.6	1.8	93.1	0.0	3.6
Wynne	5.9	5.0	1.7	1.3	93.7	0.0	3.4
Emery	6.0	5.0	1.7	0.8	94.8	0.0	2.7
N12008oICLSmT	4.9	5.0	1.7	1.6	93.0	0.0	3.8
08X09-1-2-1	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.4	92.3	0.0	3.6
08X09-3-14-1	5.9	5.0	1.7	2.6	90.4	0.0	5.3
09X37-1-19-2	5.9	5.0	1.7	1.5	93.2	0.0	3.7
09X38-1-5-1	6.0	5.0	1.7	1.7	91.8	0.0	4.9
09X39-1-11-2	6.0	5.0	1.7	1.9	91.6	0.0	4.9
09X44-2-14-1	5.9	5.0	1.7	2.0	90.9	0.0	5.4
N13003oIF	5.9	4.9	1.7	3.1	90.8	0.0	4.5
N13006ol	6.1	5.0	4.0	0.6	93.9	0.0	1.5
N13007ol	5.9	5.0	1.7	2.1	91.6	0.0	4.7
N13048+ol	6.1	5.0	1.7	2.8	87.0	0.0	8.6
N13058oISm	6.0	4.9	1.7	2.2	91.1	0.0	5.0
N14001ol	5.9	5.0	1.7	2.1	92.1	0.0	4.1
N14002oIJ	6.0	5.0	1.7	1.9	92.0	0.0	4.5
N14004oIJ	6.0	5.0	1.7	1.6	92.1	0.0	4.6
N14007ol	6.0	5.0	2.0	1.7	91.7	0.0	4.7
N14009oIJ	5.9	5.0	1.7	2.1	91.4	0.0	4.9
N14014oIF	6.0	5.0	1.7	1.1	93.1	0.0	4.2
N14015oIJ	6.0	5.0	1.6	1.5	93.2	0.0	3.8
N14017oIJ	5.9	4.9	1.7	1.4	93.7	0.0	3.3
N14023ol	6.0	5.0	1.7	1.6	91.0	0.0	5.8
N14024oIJ	6.0	5.0	1.7	1.9	92.7	0.0	3.8
N14035oISmT	6.1	5.0	1.7	2.0	90.6	0.0	5.8
N15052ol	6.0	5.0	1.7	2.4	90.2	0.0	5.8
N15053ol	6.0	5.0	1.7	1.9	92.0	0.0	4.5
N15054ol	6.0	5.0	1.6	1.6	91.1	0.0	5.8
Mean	5.9	5.0	1.8	1.8	92.0	0.0	4.4
Tukey HSD¹	1.1	0.3	2.6	3.0	5.3	0.0	4.9

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 7. Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK). Averages of both digging dates from Martin County, NC, 2017.

Variety	%H ₂ O before Roasting	%H ₂ O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	5.8	4.7	1.7	1.6	93.9	0.0	2.7
Sullivan	5.8	4.9	1.6	2.0	93.1	0.0	3.3
Wynne	5.8	4.9	1.7	1.5	93.6	0.0	3.2
Emery	5.8	4.9	1.7	1.2	94.7	0.0	2.4
N12008olCLSmI	5.3	4.9	1.7	1.5	93.6	0.0	3.3
08X09-1-2-1	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.7	90.8	0.0	4.9
08X09-3-14-1	5.7	4.9	1.7	2.7	90.7	0.0	4.9
09X37-1-19-2	5.8	4.9	1.7	1.6	93.2	0.0	3.5
09X38-1-5-1	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.3	91.5	0.0	4.6
09X39-1-11-2	5.8	5.0	1.7	2.2	91.1	0.0	5.0
09X44-2-14-1	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.2	90.8	0.0	5.3
N13003olF	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.4	91.5	0.0	4.5
N13006ol	5.8	4.9	2.8	1.0	93.7	0.0	2.5
N13007ol	5.7	4.9	1.7	2.1	91.7	0.0	4.6
N13048+ol	5.8	4.9	1.7	3.0	87.2	0.0	8.1
N13058olSm	5.8	4.8	1.7	2.0	92.1	0.0	4.3
N14001ol	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.8	90.8	0.0	4.8
N14002olJ	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.1	92.4	0.0	3.9
N14004olJ	5.8	4.9	1.7	1.5	92.5	0.0	4.3
N14007ol	5.8	4.9	1.9	1.9	91.4	0.0	4.9
N14009olJ	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.6	90.7	0.0	5.1
N14014olF	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.2	91.5	0.0	4.7
N14015olJ	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.1	92.6	0.0	3.7
N14017olJ	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.2	92.9	0.0	3.3
N14023ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.5	89.8	0.0	6.1
N14024olJ	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.4	91.8	0.0	4.1
N14035olSmT	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.0	91.2	0.0	5.2
N15052ol	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.0	91.5	0.0	4.8
N15053ol	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.6	91.1	0.0	4.7
N15054ol	5.8	4.9	1.9	2.0	91.1	0.0	5.1
Mean	5.8	4.9	1.7	2.1	91.8	0.0	4.4
Tukey HSD¹	0.7	0.4	1.2	2.2	3.7	0.0	2.8

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 8. Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK). Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk) VA and Martin County, NC, 2017.

Variety	%H ₂ O before Roasting	%H ₂ O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	5.7	4.7	1.7	1.6	93.6	0.0	3.1
Sullivan	5.8	4.8	1.6	1.8	93.6	0.0	3.0
Wynne	5.5	4.9	1.7	1.5	93.6	0.0	3.2
Emery	5.8	4.8	1.7	1.0	94.8	0.0	2.6
N12008oICLSmI	5.5	4.8	1.7	1.3	93.8	0.0	3.1
08X09-1-2-1	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.3	91.5	0.0	4.5
08X09-3-14-1	5.7	4.8	1.6	2.3	91.0	0.0	5.2
09X37-1-19-2	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.9	92.8	0.0	3.7
09X38-1-5-1	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.2	91.5	0.0	4.6
09X39-1-11-2	5.8	4.9	1.7	1.9	91.7	0.0	4.7
09X44-2-14-1	5.7	4.9	1.7	2.0	91.8	0.0	4.6
N13003oIF	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.8	92.7	0.0	3.8
N13006ol	5.8	4.8	2.3	1.1	94.0	0.0	2.6
N13007ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.9	92.1	0.0	4.3
N13048+ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.3	88.2	0.0	7.8
N13058oISm	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.0	91.5	0.0	4.9
N14001ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.2	91.7	0.0	4.4
N14002oIJ	5.8	4.8	1.7	1.8	93.2	0.0	3.4
N14004oIJ	5.7	4.9	1.7	1.7	92.9	0.0	3.7
N14007ol	5.7	4.8	1.8	2.0	91.5	0.0	4.7
N14009oIJ	5.6	4.8	1.7	1.9	91.3	0.0	5.1
N14014oIF	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.3	91.8	0.0	4.3
N14015oIJ	5.7	4.8	1.6	1.9	93.2	0.0	3.2
N14017oIJ	5.6	4.7	1.7	2.1	92.8	0.0	3.5
N14023ol	5.6	4.8	1.7	1.9	91.2	0.0	5.2
N14024oIJ	5.6	4.7	1.7	2.4	91.9	0.0	4.1
N14035oISmT	5.8	4.8	1.7	2.1	91.8	0.0	4.4
N15052ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.8	92.2	0.0	4.3
N15053ol	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.2	92.2	0.0	3.9
N15054ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.9	91.7	0.0	4.7
Mean	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.9	92.3	0.0	4.1
Tukey HSD¹	0.5	0.3	0.2	1.5	2.8	0.1	2.3

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 9. Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK). Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk) VA, and Martin County, NC. Two-year averages (2016- 2017).

Variety	%H ₂ O before Roasting	%H ₂ O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
08X09-3-14-1	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.6	89.8	0.3	5.7
09X38-1-5-1	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.2	90.9	0.0	5.2
09X39-1-11-2	5.7	4.8	1.8	2.3	91.2	0.1	4.7
Bailey	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.7	93.3	0.1	3.2
Emery	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.2	94.0	0.0	3.1
N12008olCLSmT	5.6	4.8	1.7	1.5	93.2	0.1	3.4
N13003olF	5.8	4.8	1.9	1.4	93.4	0.1	3.2
N13006ol	5.8	4.8	2.0	1.6	93.0	0.0	3.4
N13048+ol	5.7	4.7	1.7	2.4	86.5	0.5	8.9
N13058olSm	5.7	4.7	1.7	2.4	89.2	0.4	6.4
N14035olSmT	5.7	4.6	1.7	2.3	90.9	0.1	5.0
Sullivan	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.0	92.9	0.1	3.3
Wynne	5.6	4.8	1.7	2.0	92.7	0.1	3.5
Mean	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.0	91.6	0.1	4.5
Tukey HSD¹	0.3	0.3	0.6	1.1	2.3	0.4	1.7

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 10. Laboratory sample blanching of Extra Large Kernels (ELK). Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk) VA, and Martin County, NC. Three-year averages (2015- 2017).

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
08X09-3-14-1	5.8	4.8	1.7	2.8	89.4	0.4	5.8
Bailey	5.8	4.8	1.7	1.9	92.5	0.1	3.8
Emery	5.8	4.8	1.7	1.4	93.3	0.0	3.6
N12008olCLSmT	5.7	4.8	1.7	1.8	92.5	0.1	3.9
N13048+ol	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.7	85.5	0.9	9.2
Sullivan	5.8	4.8	1.7	2.2	92.0	0.2	4.0
Wynne	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.3	91.5	0.3	4.2
Mean	5.7	4.8	1.7	2.1	91.0	0.3	4.9
Tukey HSD¹	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.7	1.9	0.4	1.3

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 11. Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk) VA, Dig 1, 2017 (18 September).

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	5.8	4.9	1.4	4.1	81.8	0.4	12.3
Sullivan	5.8	5.0	1.7	4.5	82.6	1.1	10.2
Wynne	5.9	4.9	1.7	3.2	83.5	1.1	10.6
Emery	5.8	4.9	1.7	3.8	85.0	1.3	8.3
N12008olCLSm1	5.8	4.9	1.7	1.7	86.7	1.5	8.5
08X09-1-2-1	5.8	4.9	1.6	3.2	77.7	1.4	16.2
08X09-3-14-1	5.8	4.9	1.7	3.7	80.2	1.4	13.2
09X37-1-19-2	5.8	5.0	1.7	3.9	82.2	1.5	10.8
09X38-1-5-1	5.8	4.9	1.7	4.4	78.6	1.9	13.5
09X39-1-11-2	5.7	4.9	1.7	2.8	83.8	2.2	9.6
09X44-2-14-1	5.8	4.9	1.6	3.7	82.1	1.7	11.1
N13003olF	5.8	4.9	1.2	4.6	82.6	1.7	10.0
N13006ol	5.8	4.9	1.7	4.3	84.0	0.9	8.8
N13007ol	5.8	4.9	1.8	4.3	79.9	1.3	12.8
N13048+ol	5.7	4.8	1.6	3.4	80.7	1.1	13.3
N13058olSm	5.8	5.0	1.7	3.1	83.2	1.0	11.1
N14001ol	5.8	4.9	1.7	3.6	81.8	0.9	12.0
N14002olJ	5.7	4.8	2.1	2.7	84.6	1.3	9.5
N14004olJ	5.7	4.9	1.7	3.4	82.8	1.3	10.9
N14007ol	5.8	4.9	1.7	4.6	81.1	1.2	11.5
N14009olJ	5.7	4.8	1.7	3.6	78.5	2.1	14.2
N14014olF	5.7	4.8	1.7	3.3	81.7	1.7	11.7
N14015olJ	5.8	4.9	2.2	3.2	84.8	1.1	8.9
N14017olJ	5.8	4.8	1.7	4.0	82.6	1.4	10.5
N14023ol	5.8	4.9	1.7	4.3	79.8	1.8	12.5
N14024olJ	5.8	4.8	1.7	4.2	79.3	1.8	13.1
N14035olSmT	5.7	4.5	1.7	3.9	80.8	1.4	12.2
N15052ol	5.7	4.9	1.7	3.6	83.8	0.7	10.3
N15053ol	5.8	4.8	1.7	3.4	84.0	0.7	10.3
N15054ol	5.8	4.9	2.0	3.6	79.8	2.0	12.7
Mean	5.8	4.9	1.7	3.6	82.0	1.3	11.3
Tukey HSD¹	0.9	0.7	0.5	3.4	6.6	0.2	5.2

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 12. Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk) VA, Dig 2, 2017 (4 October).

Variety	%H ₂ O before Roasting	%H ₂ O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	6.3	5.3	1.7	3.0	82.6	2.8	10.1
Sullivan	6.4	5.4	1.7	3.4	81.8	2.8	10.3
Wynne	6.3	5.4	1.7	3.6	81.7	2.1	11.1
Emery	6.4	5.4	1.2	3.6	82.6	2.8	9.9
N12008olCLSmI	6.4	5.4	1.6	2.7	82.3	2.0	11.5
08X09-1-2-1	6.4	5.3	1.6	4.4	78.0	2.2	13.9
08X09-3-14-1	6.4	5.4	1.6	3.2	76.5	2.1	16.7
09X37-1-19-2	6.3	5.4	1.7	4.1	79.7	2.4	12.3
09X38-1-5-1	6.3	5.3	1.6	4.1	75.8	3.3	15.3
09X39-1-11-2	6.4	5.4	1.6	3.8	81.9	2.6	10.1
09X44-2-14-1	6.4	5.4	1.6	4.2	82.2	2.2	10.0
N13003olF	6.3	5.4	1.6	2.7	82.8	1.2	11.7
N13006ol	6.3	5.4	1.7	3.2	83.6	2.4	9.2
N13007ol	6.4	5.4	1.6	4.4	78.3	2.7	13.1
N13048+ol	6.4	5.4	1.6	3.6	79.3	3.1	12.4
N13058olSm	6.4	5.3	1.6	4.3	80.9	2.1	11.2
N14001ol	6.4	5.4	1.7	2.9	81.7	1.9	12.0
N14002olJ	6.4	5.4	0.7	3.7	83.2	2.1	10.5
N14004olJ	6.3	5.3	1.6	2.0	80.9	1.4	14.2
N14007ol	6.3	5.4	1.7	3.0	80.3	2.0	13.2
N14009olJ	6.3	5.3	1.6	4.1	76.6	2.7	15.1
N14014olF	6.3	5.3	1.6	3.8	81.1	2.8	10.7
N14015olJ	6.3	5.4	1.6	2.8	83.3	2.0	10.3
N14017olJ	6.4	5.4	1.6	2.6	83.2	2.2	10.5
N14023ol	6.3	5.3	1.6	3.4	81.8	2.2	11.1
N14024olJ	6.4	5.4	1.6	4.1	77.6	2.5	14.3
N14035olSmT	6.4	5.3	1.6	3.9	80.5	2.4	11.8
N15052ol	6.3	5.4	1.7	2.5	80.8	2.9	12.2
N15053ol	6.3	5.4	1.7	3.7	82.5	2.2	10.1
N15054ol	6.3	5.3	1.6	3.2	81.9	1.1	12.3
Mean	6.3	5.3	1.6	3.4	80.8	2.3	11.9
Tukey HSD¹	1.2	0.3	0.6	2.5	4.2	0.0	4.4

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 13. Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels. Averages from both digging dates from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk) VA, 2017.

Variety	%H ₂ O before Roasting	%H ₂ O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	6.1	5.1	1.5	3.5	82.2	1.6	11.2
Sullivan	6.1	5.2	1.7	3.9	82.2	2.0	10.3
Wynne	6.1	5.1	1.7	3.4	82.6	1.6	10.8
Emery	6.1	5.1	1.4	3.7	83.8	2.1	9.1
N12008olCLSmT	6.1	5.1	1.7	2.2	84.5	1.7	10.0
08X09-1-2-1	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.8	77.8	1.8	15.1
08X09-3-14-1	6.1	5.2	1.6	3.4	78.3	1.7	14.9
09X37-1-19-2	6.0	5.2	1.7	4.0	80.9	1.9	11.5
09X38-1-5-1	6.1	5.1	1.7	4.3	77.2	2.6	14.4
09X39-1-11-2	6.0	5.1	1.7	3.3	82.8	2.4	9.9
09X44-2-14-1	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.9	82.1	1.9	10.5
N13003olF	6.1	5.2	1.4	3.7	82.7	1.5	10.9
N13006ol	6.1	5.2	1.7	3.8	83.8	1.6	9.0
N13007ol	6.1	5.2	1.7	4.3	79.1	2.0	12.9
N13048+ol	6.0	5.1	1.6	3.5	80.0	2.1	12.8
N13058olSm	6.1	5.1	1.7	3.7	82.1	1.6	11.1
N14001ol	6.1	5.2	1.7	3.2	81.8	1.4	12.0
N14002olJ	6.0	5.1	1.4	3.2	83.9	1.7	10.0
N14004olJ	6.0	5.1	1.7	2.7	81.8	1.3	12.5
N14007ol	6.0	5.2	1.7	3.8	80.7	1.6	12.3
N14009olJ	6.0	5.1	1.7	3.8	77.5	2.4	14.7
N14014olF	6.0	5.0	1.7	3.5	81.4	2.3	11.2
N14015olJ	6.0	5.1	1.9	3.0	84.1	1.5	9.6
N14017olJ	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.3	82.8	1.8	10.5
N14023ol	6.0	5.1	1.7	3.8	80.8	2.0	11.8
N14024olJ	6.1	5.1	1.7	4.1	78.5	2.1	13.7
N14035olSmT	6.0	4.9	1.6	3.9	80.6	1.9	12.0
N15052ol	6.0	5.1	1.7	3.0	82.3	1.8	11.2
N15053ol	6.0	5.1	1.7	3.6	83.2	1.4	10.2
N15054ol	6.0	5.1	1.8	3.4	80.8	1.5	12.5
Mean	6.0	5.1	1.6	3.5	81.4	1.8	11.6
Tukey HSD¹	0.8	0.4	0.4	2.0	4.0	0.1	3.8

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 14. Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels from Martin County, NC, Dig 1, 2017 (1 October).

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	6.1	5.0	1.6	3.1	83.6	1.6	10.1
Sullivan	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.2	83.7	0.7	11.0
Wynne	6.2	5.1	1.6	2.7	79.1	2.2	14.6
Emery	6.0	5.1	1.6	3.5	82.1	1.7	11.2
12008olCLSr	6.1	5.2	1.6	4.0	84.1	1.3	9.1
08X09-1-2-1	6.0	5.1	1.6	3.8	75.3	2.1	17.2
08X09-3-14-1	6.0	5.1	1.7	3.2	77.3	2.9	15.0
09X37-1-19-2	6.1	5.1	1.7	3.8	79.2	1.5	14.0
09X38-1-5-1	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.4	78.8	2.9	13.3
09X39-1-11-2	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.2	77.9	2.7	14.7
09X44-2-14-1	6.1	5.1	1.7	3.9	82.8	1.2	10.6
N13003olF	6.0	5.0	1.6	4.1	85.0	1.0	8.4
N13006ol	6.0	5.1	1.6	2.8	83.5	1.9	10.3
N13007ol	6.0	5.1	1.6	2.8	82.5	1.2	11.9
N13048+ol	6.0	5.0	1.6	3.9	77.6	3.0	14.0
N13058olSm	6.0	5.0	1.6	3.5	81.8	2.3	10.9
N14001ol	6.0	5.1	1.6	4.0	82.3	2.5	9.7
N14002olJ	6.0	5.1	1.6	3.8	82.7	2.4	9.6
N14004olJ	5.5	5.0	1.6	3.7	78.5	2.1	14.2
N14007ol	6.0	5.1	1.6	4.0	82.5	1.6	10.5
N14009olJ	6.0	5.1	1.6	4.2	76.9	3.0	14.4
N14014olF	6.0	5.0	1.6	3.5	79.3	2.3	13.3
N14015olJ	6.1	5.0	1.6	3.1	81.4	2.7	11.3
N14017olJ	6.0	5.0	1.6	3.5	81.5	1.9	11.6
N14023ol	6.0	5.0	1.6	4.2	80.6	2.6	11.1
N14024olJ	6.1	5.1	1.6	4.6	74.8	3.0	16.0
N14035olSmT	6.0	5.0	1.6	3.7	78.0	2.5	14.3
N15052ol	6.0	5.1	1.6	3.9	79.8	3.1	11.6
N15053ol	6.0	5.0	1.1	3.9	81.7	2.5	11.0
N15054ol	6.0	5.0	1.6	3.2	81.6	2.6	11.1
Mean	6.0	5.0	1.6	3.6	80.5	2.1	12.2
Tukey HSD¹	0.5	0.3	5.3	2.6	9.3	2.9	7.3

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 15. Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels from Martin County, NC, Dig 2, 2017 (19 October).

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	6.3	5.3	1.7	2.8	84.1	1.3	10.3
Sullivan	6.3	5.2	1.6	3.9	82.0	2.5	10.1
Wynne	6.2	5.3	1.6	3.4	83.9	1.0	10.2
Emery	6.2	5.3	1.6	2.8	84.5	2.7	8.5
N12008oICLSmT	6.3	5.2	1.6	3.0	82.0	2.0	11.5
08X09-1-2-1	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.1	75.8	2.0	17.5
08X09-3-14-1	6.2	5.2	1.6	4.5	74.1	2.4	17.5
09X37-1-19-2	6.4	5.4	1.7	3.6	79.5	1.4	13.9
09X38-1-5-1	6.1	5.2	1.7	3.2	73.4	3.3	18.5
09X39-1-11-2	6.3	5.3	1.6	3.6	76.5	2.0	16.5
09X44-2-14-1	6.3	5.3	1.6	2.4	81.4	1.4	13.2
N13003oIF	6.2	5.3	1.6	3.1	82.2	1.6	11.6
N13006ol	6.4	5.3	1.6	3.5	81.6	2.4	11.0
N13007ol	6.1	5.1	1.7	3.9	82.2	1.7	10.7
N13048+ol	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.9	76.4	3.0	15.1
N13058olSm	6.2	5.2	1.6	4.1	79.8	1.2	13.4
N14001ol	6.3	5.3	1.6	2.7	82.5	1.2	12.1
N14002oIJ	6.3	5.3	1.6	2.5	82.3	1.7	12.0
N14004oIJ	6.3	5.3	2.0	2.6	79.4	1.6	14.5
N14007ol	6.1	5.2	1.6	3.5	80.3	2.3	12.4
N14009oIJ	6.1	5.2	1.8	3.4	77.8	3.0	14.1
N14014oIF	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.2	79.8	2.7	12.8
N14015oIJ	6.2	5.2	1.6	4.1	82.2	1.7	10.5
N14017oIJ	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.8	81.1	2.0	11.6
N14023ol	6.1	5.2	1.6	3.8	79.7	2.1	12.9
N14024oIJ	6.1	5.1	1.5	3.9	75.2	2.4	17.1
N14035olSmT	6.2	5.2	1.6	4.5	79.7	2.5	11.8
N15052ol	6.1	5.2	1.6	3.6	80.7	2.2	12.0
N15053ol	6.1	5.2	1.7	3.9	82.9	2.3	9.3
N15054ol	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.7	80.8	1.6	12.3
Mean	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.4	80.1	2.0	12.8
Tukey HSD¹	0.5	0.4	0.3	3.1	5.6	2.5	4.7

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 16. Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels. Averages from both digging dates from Martin County, NC, 2017.

Variety	%H ₂ O before Roasting	%H ₂ O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	6.2	5.2	1.6	2.9	83.8	1.4	10.2
Sullivan	6.2	5.1	1.6	3.5	82.8	1.6	10.5
Wynne	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.0	81.5	1.6	12.4
Emery	6.1	5.2	1.6	3.1	83.3	2.2	9.9
N12008oICLSm ¹	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.5	83.0	1.6	10.3
08X09-1-2-1	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.5	75.6	2.0	17.4
08X09-3-14-1	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.8	75.7	2.6	16.2
09X37-1-19-2	6.2	5.3	1.7	3.7	79.3	1.4	14.0
09X38-1-5-1	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.3	76.1	3.1	15.9
09X39-1-11-2	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.4	77.2	2.3	15.6
09X44-2-14-1	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.2	82.1	1.3	11.9
N13003oIF	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.6	83.6	1.3	10.0
N13006oI	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.1	82.6	2.1	10.6
N13007oI	6.0	5.1	1.6	3.3	82.3	1.5	11.3
N13048+oI	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.9	77.0	3.0	14.5
N13058oISm	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.8	80.8	1.8	12.1
N14001oI	6.2	5.2	1.6	3.4	82.3	1.8	10.9
N14002oIJ	6.1	5.2	1.6	3.1	82.5	2.0	10.8
N14004oIJ	5.9	5.1	1.8	3.2	78.9	1.8	14.3
N14007oI	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.7	81.4	1.9	11.4
N14009oIJ	6.1	5.1	1.7	3.8	77.4	3.0	14.2
N14014oIF	6.0	5.0	1.6	3.3	79.6	2.5	13.1
N14015oIJ	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.6	81.8	2.2	10.9
N14017oIJ	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.6	81.3	2.0	11.6
N14023oI	6.0	5.1	1.6	4.0	80.1	2.3	12.0
N14024oIJ	6.1	5.1	1.6	4.3	75.0	2.7	16.5
N14035oISmT	6.1	5.1	1.6	4.1	78.8	2.5	13.1
N15052oI	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.8	80.3	2.6	11.8
N15053oI	6.0	5.1	1.4	3.9	82.3	2.4	10.1
N15054oI	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.4	81.2	2.1	11.7
Mean	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.5	80.3	2.1	12.5
Tukey HSD¹	0.5	0.3	2.5	2.0	5.2	1.9	4.9

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 17. Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels. Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk) VA and Martin County, NC, 2017.

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
Bailey	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.2	83.0	1.5	10.7
Sullivan	6.1	5.2	1.6	3.7	82.5	1.8	10.4
Wynne	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.2	82.0	1.6	11.6
Emery	6.1	5.1	1.5	3.4	83.5	2.1	9.5
N12008olCLSmT	6.1	5.1	1.6	2.9	83.8	1.7	10.1
08X09-1-2-1	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.6	76.7	1.9	16.2
08X09-3-14-1	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.6	77.0	2.2	15.6
09X37-1-19-2	6.1	5.2	1.7	3.8	80.1	1.7	12.7
09X38-1-5-1	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.8	76.7	2.8	15.1
09X39-1-11-2	6.1	5.2	1.6	3.3	80.0	2.3	12.7
09X44-2-14-1	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.5	82.1	1.6	11.2
N13003olF	6.1	5.1	1.5	3.6	83.1	1.4	10.4
N13006ol	6.1	5.2	1.6	3.4	83.2	1.9	9.8
N13007ol	6.1	5.1	1.7	3.8	80.7	1.7	12.1
N13048+ol	6.0	5.1	1.6	3.7	78.5	2.5	13.7
N13058olSm	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.7	81.4	1.7	11.6
N14001ol	6.1	5.2	1.6	3.3	82.1	1.6	11.5
N14002olJ	6.1	5.1	1.5	3.1	83.2	1.8	10.4
N14004olJ	5.9	5.1	1.7	2.9	80.4	1.6	13.4
N14007ol	6.0	5.1	1.6	3.7	81.0	1.8	11.9
N14009olJ	6.0	5.1	1.7	3.8	77.5	2.7	14.4
N14014olF	6.0	5.0	1.6	3.4	80.5	2.4	12.1
N14015olJ	6.1	5.1	1.8	3.3	82.9	1.9	10.2
N14017olJ	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.4	82.1	1.9	11.0
N14023ol	6.0	5.1	1.6	3.9	80.5	2.2	11.9
N14024olJ	6.1	5.1	1.6	4.2	76.7	2.4	15.1
N14035olSmT	6.0	5.0	1.6	4.0	79.7	2.2	12.5
N15052ol	6.0	5.1	1.7	3.4	81.3	2.2	11.5
N15053ol	6.0	5.1	1.5	3.7	82.8	1.9	10.1
N15054ol	6.0	5.1	1.7	3.4	81.0	1.8	12.1
Mean	6.1	5.1	1.6	3.5	80.9	1.9	12.0
Tukey HSD¹	0.5	0.4	0.4	1.4	3.8	1.5	3.5

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 18. Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels. Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk) VA, and Martin County, NC. Two-year averages (2016- 2017).

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
08X09-3-14-1	5.9	4.9	1.6	3.1	83.5	1.4	10.4
09X38-1-5-1	5.9	5.0	1.7	3.6	82.3	1.5	11.0
09X39-1-11-2	5.9	5.0	1.6	3.2	81.1	1.6	12.4
Bailey	5.9	5.0	1.6	3.2	82.3	2.2	10.7
Emery	5.9	4.9	1.7	3.1	82.2	1.8	11.2
N12008olCLSmT	5.9	4.9	1.7	4.0	78.6	2.0	13.7
N13003olF	5.9	5.0	1.6	3.4	77.4	2.5	15.0
N13006ol	5.9	5.0	1.6	3.7	80.4	2.2	12.2
N13048+ol	5.8	4.9	1.6	3.6	83.3	1.5	10.1
N13058olSm	5.8	5.0	1.6	3.5	81.9	1.8	11.2
N14035olSmT	5.8	4.9	1.7	3.9	71.5	2.8	20.3
Sullivan	5.9	5.0	1.7	3.5	74.7	2.1	18.0
Wynne	5.8	4.9	1.7	3.7	79.9	2.3	12.5
Mean	5.9	4.9	1.6	3.5	79.9	2.0	13.0
Tukey HSD¹	0.3	0.3	0.2	1.0	4.4	1.0	4.1

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Blanching Results

Table 19. Laboratory sample blanching of Medium Kernels. Averages from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA and Martin County, NC. Three-year averages (2015- 2017).

Variety	%H2O before Roasting	%H2O after Roasting	% Blanching Loss	% Splits Blanched	% Whole Blanched	% Not Blanched	% Partially Blanched
08X09-3-14-1	5.9	4.9	1.6	3.1	80.8	1.8	12.8
Bailey	5.9	4.9	1.7	3.4	77.2	2.3	15.4
Emery	5.8	4.9	1.6	3.1	77.4	2.2	15.7
N12008olCLSmT	5.8	4.9	1.6	3.1	79.3	2.6	13.4
N13048+ol	5.8	4.9	1.7	3.1	78.9	2.6	13.8
Sullivan	5.8	4.8	1.7	3.8	75.5	2.7	16.3
Wynne	5.8	4.9	1.7	3.6	69.4	3.1	22.3
Mean	5.8	4.9	1.6	3.3	76.9	2.5	15.7
Tukey HSD¹	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.7	5.1	1.1	4.5

¹ Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test

Fatty Acid Results

Table 20. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA Dig 1, 2017¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	9.8	2.3	49.6	31.4	1.2	1.4
Emery	5.6	2.5	80.4	4.5	1.3	1.8
Sullivan	5.7	2.2	80.7	4.4	1.2	1.9
Wynne	6.4	2.2	76.5	7.8	1.2	1.9
08X09-1-2-1	5.9	2.1	78.1	5.6	1.1	2.3
08X09-3-14-1	5.4	2.4	80.4	3.6	1.3	2.3
09X37-1-19-2	5.6	2.4	80.7	4.3	1.2	1.8
09X38-1-5-1	6.0	2.4	79.0	5.6	1.3	1.7
09X39-1-11-2	5.6	2.8	80.8	3.4	1.4	1.7
09X44-2-14-1	8.2	2.3	61.0	21.2	1.3	1.6
N12008olCLSmT	6.4	2.3	74.6	9.9	1.2	1.8
N13003olF	5.7	2.3	80.1	4.6	1.2	2.0
N13006ol	5.8	2.3	80.4	4.6	1.2	1.9
N13007ol	6.7	2.4	72.3	11.7	1.2	1.7
N13048+ol	5.8	1.9	80.7	4.6	1.1	2.1
N13058olSm	5.9	1.9	80.6	4.8	1.1	2.0
N14001ol	5.5	2.4	81.8	3.7	1.2	1.7
N14002olJ	5.9	2.5	80.9	4.0	1.2	1.7
N14004olJ	5.7	2.4	79.6	5.2	1.2	1.9
N14007ol	7.8	2.1	63.4	19.7	1.2	1.7
N14009olJ	5.5	2.1	81.2	4.2	1.1	2.0
N14014olF	5.4	2.1	82.0	3.6	1.1	1.9
N14015olJ	5.3	2.2	81.6	3.9	1.2	1.9
N14017olJ	5.5	2.3	81.1	4.2	1.2	1.9
N14023ol	5.9	2.1	80.4	5.2	1.1	1.8
N14024olJ	5.9	2.2	79.9	5.2	1.2	1.9
N14035olSmT	5.6	2.4	81.0	3.9	1.2	1.8
N15052ol	5.6	2.3	81.5	4.1	1.2	1.7
N15053ol	5.8	2.4	81.4	4.1	1.2	1.6
N15054ol	6.0	2.4	80.2	4.4	1.2	1.8
Mean	6.1	2.3	77.7	6.9	1.2	1.8
Tukey HSD²	1.2	0.5	8.2	6.8	0.2	0.3

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 20. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA Dig 1, 2017¹ (cont.).

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	2.9	1.5	98.1	1.6	17.6	1.8	5.6
Emery	2.5	1.4	78.3	18.2	13.4	0.3	5.2
Sullivan	2.5	1.5	78.5	18.6	13.0	0.3	5.2
Wynne	2.6	1.5	80.8	10.3	13.8	0.6	5.2
08X09-1-2-1	3.1	1.7	78.8	13.9	13.9	0.4	6.0
08X09-3-14-1	2.9	1.7	77.2	22.7	13.7	0.3	5.9
09X37-1-19-2	2.5	1.5	78.3	18.9	13.2	0.3	5.2
09X38-1-5-1	2.6	1.4	79.0	14.8	13.7	0.4	5.2
09X39-1-11-2	2.9	1.3	76.8	23.5	14.0	0.2	5.6
09X44-2-14-1	2.8	1.6	90.5	2.9	16.1	1.3	5.7
N12008olCLSmT	2.5	1.4	82.7	7.6	13.7	0.7	5.1
N13003olF	2.6	1.5	78.4	17.5	13.3	0.3	5.3
N13006ol	2.4	1.5	78.6	17.9	13.2	0.4	5.1
N13007ol	2.6	1.5	83.7	8.0	14.4	0.8	5.3
N13048+ol	2.4	1.5	79.0	17.8	12.6	0.4	4.9
N13058olSm	2.3	1.5	79.1	17.7	12.7	0.4	4.8
N14001ol	2.3	1.3	78.1	22.1	12.8	0.3	4.9
N14002olJ	2.4	1.4	77.9	20.3	13.3	0.3	4.9
N14004olJ	2.5	1.5	78.9	15.6	13.3	0.4	5.3
N14007ol	2.7	1.5	89.9	3.2	15.3	1.3	5.4
N14009olJ	2.5	1.4	78.7	19.3	12.6	0.3	5.0
N14014olF	2.4	1.4	78.3	22.5	12.5	0.3	5.0
N14015olJ	2.5	1.4	78.4	21.4	12.6	0.3	5.1
N14017olJ	2.5	1.4	78.4	19.8	12.9	0.3	5.1
N14023ol	2.1	1.4	79.6	16.2	12.6	0.4	4.6
N14024olJ	2.4	1.4	79.2	16.3	13.0	0.4	4.9
N14035olSmT	2.5	1.5	77.9	20.7	13.3	0.3	5.2
N15052ol	2.3	1.3	78.5	20.1	12.7	0.3	4.8
N15053ol	2.3	1.3	78.3	20.0	12.9	0.3	4.8
N15054ol	2.6	1.3	78.1	18.1	13.5	0.3	5.2
Mean	2.5	1.4	80.3	16.2	13.5	0.5	5.2
Tukey HSD²	0.6	0.3	4.9	12.1	1.9	0.4	1.0

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 21. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA Dig 2, 2017¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	9.4	2.3	51.5	30.0	1.2	1.4
Emery	5.6	2.7	81.0	4.1	1.3	1.7
Sullivan	5.9	2.2	77.6	7.0	1.2	2.0
Wynne	5.8	2.3	79.7	5.3	1.2	1.9
08X09-1-2-1	5.4	2.1	80.3	4.3	1.1	2.2
08X09-3-14-1	5.2	2.3	80.7	3.8	1.2	2.4
09X37-1-19-2	5.5	2.3	79.6	5.5	1.2	2.0
09X38-1-5-1	5.8	2.5	80.0	4.6	1.3	1.8
09X39-1-11-2	5.4	2.7	80.9	3.3	1.4	1.9
09X44-2-14-1	8.7	2.4	56.3	25.4	1.3	1.5
N12008olCLSmT	6.1	2.4	75.6	9.0	1.2	1.8
N13003olF	5.3	2.2	81.7	3.5	1.2	2.0
N13006ol	5.5	2.3	80.5	4.4	1.2	2.0
N13007ol	7.7	2.3	64.2	19.1	1.2	1.6
N13048+ol	5.9	2.0	80.1	5.4	1.0	1.9
N13058olSm	5.9	2.1	79.5	5.6	1.1	2.0
N14001ol	5.6	2.2	80.4	5.1	1.2	1.8
N14002olJ	5.7	2.3	81.2	3.9	1.2	1.9
N14004olJ	5.6	2.4	80.9	4.3	1.2	1.8
N14007ol	7.3	2.3	67.2	16.5	1.2	1.6
N14009olJ	5.4	2.1	81.0	4.7	1.1	1.9
N14014olF	5.4	2.3	80.8	4.3	1.2	2.0
N14015olJ	5.2	2.2	82.5	3.5	1.2	1.8
N14017olJ	5.2	2.3	82.7	3.2	1.2	1.8
N14023ol	5.5	2.0	82.2	3.8	1.1	1.9
N14024olJ	5.6	2.0	81.4	4.1	1.1	2.0
N14035olSmT	5.4	2.1	81.3	4.0	1.2	1.9
N15052ol	5.6	2.4	80.5	4.6	1.2	1.9
N15053ol	5.6	2.3	80.5	4.7	1.2	1.8
N15054ol	5.6	2.3	80.6	4.8	1.2	1.8
Mean	5.9	2.3	77.8	7.1	1.2	1.9
Tukey HSD²	0.8	0.5	5.2	4.5	0.2	0.4

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Peanut Variety & Quality Evaluation Results – II Quality Data 2017

Fatty Acid Results

Table 21. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA Dig 2, 2017¹ (cont.).

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	2.7	1.5	97.4	1.7	17.1	1.8	5.5
Emery	2.3	1.4	78.1	19.8	13.2	0.3	5.0
Sullivan	2.5	1.6	80.4	11.2	13.4	0.5	5.3
Wynne	2.4	1.4	79.2	15.7	13.2	0.4	5.0
08X09-1-2-1	2.8	1.6	78.3	20.2	13.2	0.3	5.6
08X09-3-14-1	2.7	1.7	77.9	21.2	13.1	0.3	5.7
09X37-1-19-2	2.5	1.5	79.5	16.1	13.0	0.4	5.2
09X38-1-5-1	2.6	1.4	78.2	17.5	13.6	0.3	5.3
09X39-1-11-2	3.0	1.4	76.8	24.5	13.9	0.2	5.8
09X44-2-14-1	2.8	1.6	93.7	2.2	16.7	1.5	5.6
N12008olCLSmT	2.4	1.5	82.1	8.9	13.6	0.7	5.1
N13003olF	2.5	1.6	77.9	23.4	12.8	0.3	5.2
N13006ol	2.5	1.6	78.5	18.9	13.1	0.3	5.3
N13007ol	2.5	1.4	89.6	3.4	15.1	1.3	5.1
N13048+ol	2.2	1.5	79.8	15.2	12.5	0.4	4.7
N13058olSm	2.3	1.5	79.6	15.0	12.9	0.4	4.9
N14001ol	2.3	1.4	79.4	15.8	12.7	0.4	4.9
N14002olJ	2.4	1.4	78.1	20.8	13.0	0.3	5.0
N14004olJ	2.3	1.5	78.4	19.4	13.0	0.3	5.0
N14007ol	2.5	1.4	87.7	4.1	14.6	1.1	5.1
N14009olJ	2.4	1.4	79.3	17.6	12.4	0.4	4.9
N14014olF	2.6	1.5	78.4	19.0	13.0	0.3	5.3
N14015olJ	2.3	1.4	78.4	23.8	12.2	0.3	4.8
N14017olJ	2.2	1.3	78.2	25.6	12.2	0.3	4.7
N14023ol	2.1	1.4	78.7	22.0	12.1	0.3	4.6
N14024olJ	2.2	1.5	78.7	20.1	12.5	0.3	4.8
N14035olSmT	2.4	1.6	78.3	20.6	12.8	0.3	5.2
N15052ol	2.4	1.5	78.6	18.1	13.1	0.4	5.1
N15053ol	2.4	1.5	78.8	17.3	13.0	0.4	5.1
N15054ol	2.4	1.4	79.0	17.1	12.9	0.4	4.9
Mean	2.5	1.5	80.6	16.5	13.3	0.5	5.1
Tukey HSD²	0.4	0.3	3.4	13.3	1.2	0.3	0.8

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.

⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Peanut Variety & Quality Evaluation Results – II Quality Data 2017

Fatty Acid Results

Table 22. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Averages of all Digs from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, 2017¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	9.6	2.3	50.6	30.7	1.2	1.4
Emery	5.6	2.6	80.7	4.3	1.3	1.7
Sullivan	5.8	2.2	79.2	5.7	1.2	2.0
Wynne	6.1	2.2	78.1	6.6	1.2	1.9
08X09-1-2-1	5.7	2.1	79.2	5.0	1.1	2.3
08X09-3-14-1	5.3	2.3	80.6	3.7	1.2	2.4
09X37-1-19-2	5.5	2.4	80.1	4.9	1.2	1.9
09X38-1-5-1	5.9	2.4	79.5	5.1	1.3	1.8
09X39-1-11-2	5.5	2.7	80.9	3.4	1.4	1.8
09X44-2-14-1	8.4	2.4	58.7	23.3	1.3	1.6
N12008olCLSmT	6.2	2.3	75.1	9.5	1.2	1.8
N13003olF	5.5	2.3	80.9	4.1	1.2	2.0
N13006ol	5.6	2.3	80.4	4.5	1.2	1.9
N13007ol	7.2	2.3	68.2	15.4	1.2	1.6
N13048+ol	5.9	1.9	80.4	5.0	1.0	2.0
N13058olSm	5.9	2.0	80.1	5.2	1.1	2.0
N14001ol	5.5	2.3	81.1	4.4	1.2	1.8
N14002olJ	5.8	2.4	81.1	4.0	1.2	1.8
N14004olJ	5.7	2.4	80.2	4.8	1.2	1.8
N14007ol	7.5	2.2	65.3	18.1	1.2	1.6
N14009olJ	5.4	2.1	81.1	4.5	1.1	2.0
N14014olF	5.4	2.2	81.4	3.9	1.2	2.0
N14015olJ	5.3	2.2	82.0	3.7	1.2	1.9
N14017olJ	5.4	2.3	81.9	3.7	1.2	1.9
N14023ol	5.7	2.1	81.3	4.5	1.1	1.9
N14024olJ	5.8	2.1	80.7	4.7	1.1	1.9
N14035olSmT	5.5	2.3	81.1	4.0	1.2	1.9
N15052ol	5.6	2.3	81.0	4.3	1.2	1.8
N15053ol	5.7	2.3	80.9	4.4	1.2	1.7
N15054ol	5.8	2.4	80.4	4.6	1.2	1.8
Mean	6.0	2.3	77.7	7.0	1.2	1.9
Tukey HSD²	0.8	0.3	5.2	4.4	0.1	0.3

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Peanut Variety & Quality Evaluation Results – II Quality Data 2017

Fatty Acid Results

Table 22. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Average of all Digs from Tidewater AREC (Suffolk), VA, 2017¹ (cont.).

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	2.8	1.5	97.7	1.7	17.4	1.8	5.5
Emery	2.4	1.4	78.2	19.0	13.3	0.3	5.1
Sullivan	2.5	1.5	79.5	14.9	13.2	0.4	5.2
Wynne	2.5	1.4	80.0	13.0	13.5	0.5	5.1
08X09-1-2-1	3.0	1.7	78.5	17.0	13.5	0.4	5.8
08X09-3-14-1	2.8	1.7	77.5	22.0	13.4	0.3	5.8
09X37-1-19-2	2.5	1.5	78.9	17.5	13.1	0.4	5.2
09X38-1-5-1	2.6	1.4	78.6	16.1	13.6	0.4	5.2
09X39-1-11-2	3.0	1.4	76.8	24.0	14.0	0.2	5.7
09X44-2-14-1	2.8	1.6	92.1	2.5	16.4	1.4	5.6
N12008olCLSmT	2.4	1.4	82.4	8.3	13.6	0.7	5.1
N13003olF	2.5	1.5	78.2	20.5	13.0	0.3	5.3
N13006ol	2.5	1.5	78.5	18.4	13.1	0.3	5.2
N13007ol	2.5	1.5	86.6	5.7	14.7	1.0	5.2
N13048+ol	2.3	1.5	79.4	16.5	12.6	0.4	4.8
N13058olSm	2.3	1.5	79.4	16.4	12.8	0.4	4.9
N14001ol	2.3	1.3	78.8	19.0	12.7	0.3	4.9
N14002olJ	2.4	1.4	78.0	20.5	13.2	0.3	5.0
N14004olJ	2.4	1.5	78.7	17.5	13.2	0.4	5.1
N14007ol	2.6	1.5	88.8	3.7	14.9	1.2	5.2
N14009olJ	2.4	1.4	79.0	18.5	12.5	0.4	5.0
N14014olF	2.5	1.4	78.3	20.8	12.8	0.3	5.1
N14015olJ	2.4	1.4	78.4	22.6	12.4	0.3	4.9
N14017olJ	2.4	1.4	78.3	22.7	12.5	0.3	4.9
N14023ol	2.1	1.4	79.1	19.1	12.4	0.4	4.6
N14024olJ	2.3	1.5	78.9	18.2	12.8	0.4	4.9
N14035olSmT	2.5	1.6	78.1	20.6	13.0	0.3	5.2
N15052ol	2.4	1.4	78.6	19.1	12.9	0.3	5.0
N15053ol	2.4	1.4	78.6	18.6	12.9	0.3	4.9
N15054ol	2.5	1.4	78.5	17.6	13.2	0.3	5.1
Mean	2.5	1.5	80.4	16.4	13.4	0.5	5.2
Tukey HSD²	0.4	0.2	3.3	8.9	1.1	0.3	0.6

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.

⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 23. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Martin County, NC Dig 1, 2017¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	9.6	2.4	50.8	30.3	1.3	1.4
Emery	5.7	2.4	81.2	4.7	1.2	1.6
Sullivan	5.8	2.4	80.1	4.7	1.2	1.9
Wynne	6.2	2.3	76.2	8.1	1.2	1.8
08X09-1-2-1	6.0	2.1	77.4	6.5	1.1	2.2
08X09-3-14-1	5.6	2.3	79.9	4.9	1.2	2.1
09X37-1-19-2	5.5	2.3	80.1	4.8	1.2	1.9
09X38-1-5-1	6.1	2.4	79.8	4.7	1.3	1.8
09X39-1-11-2	5.6	2.9	80.6	3.6	1.4	1.7
09X44-2-14-1	9.0	2.5	55.2	26.4	1.3	1.4
N12008oLCLSmT	7.2	2.5	69.4	14.5	1.2	1.6
N13003oLF	5.8	2.4	80.8	4.5	1.2	1.8
N13006oL	5.5	2.3	81.3	3.9	1.2	2.0
N13007oL	7.9	2.3	64.1	18.4	1.2	1.6
N13048+oL	5.9	2.1	81.1	4.4	1.1	1.9
N13058oLSm	5.9	2.2	80.9	4.5	1.1	1.8
N14001oL	5.7	2.2	81.8	4.0	1.2	1.7
N14002oLJ	6.0	2.4	80.6	4.3	1.2	1.8
N14004oLJ	5.9	2.5	80.5	4.1	1.2	1.8
N14007oL	7.2	2.2	69.3	14.6	1.2	1.7
N14009oLJ	5.3	2.2	82.0	3.7	1.2	1.9
N14014oLF	5.5	2.4	81.5	4.0	1.2	1.8
N14015oLJ	5.7	2.3	80.4	4.9	1.2	1.8
N14017oLJ	5.7	2.3	79.5	5.6	1.2	1.9
N14023oL	5.7	2.0	81.6	3.9	1.1	2.0
N14024oLJ	5.8	2.0	81.8	3.9	1.1	1.9
N14035oLSmT	5.6	2.3	80.7	4.2	1.2	1.9
N15052oL	5.7	2.2	81.3	4.5	1.2	1.7
N15053oL	5.7	2.3	80.6	4.6	1.2	1.8
N15054oL	5.9	2.2	79.4	5.6	1.2	1.8
Mean	6.2	2.3	77.3	7.4	1.2	1.8
Tukey HSD²	0.8	0.4	5.8	4.6	0.1	0.4

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Peanut Variety & Quality Evaluation Results – II Quality Data 2017

Fatty Acid Results

Table 23. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Martin County, NC Dig 1, 2017¹ (cont.).

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	2.8	1.4	97.3	1.7	17.5	1.7	5.5
Emery	2.1	1.1	79.2	18.0	12.5	0.4	4.3
Sullivan	2.5	1.5	78.5	17.2	13.3	0.4	5.2
Wynne	2.6	1.5	81.0	9.7	13.8	0.6	5.3
08X09-1-2-1	3.0	1.6	79.7	12.3	13.8	0.5	5.8
08X09-3-14-1	2.5	1.5	78.9	18.5	13.1	0.4	5.2
09X37-1-19-2	2.6	1.5	78.8	17.3	13.1	0.4	5.3
09X38-1-5-1	2.6	1.3	78.2	18.2	13.7	0.3	5.2
09X39-1-11-2	3.0	1.3	76.8	22.9	14.2	0.3	5.7
09X44-2-14-1	2.7	1.5	94.4	2.1	16.9	1.6	5.5
N12008olCLSmT	2.3	1.3	86.0	4.8	14.5	1.0	4.9
N13003olF	2.2	1.3	78.6	18.9	12.9	0.3	4.8
N13006ol	2.4	1.5	78.1	21.1	12.9	0.3	5.1
N13007ol	2.7	1.6	88.4	3.5	15.8	1.2	5.5
N13048+ol	2.2	1.4	78.8	18.6	12.6	0.4	4.7
N13058olSm	2.2	1.4	78.8	18.3	12.8	0.4	4.7
N14001ol	2.2	1.2	78.7	20.4	12.5	0.3	4.6
N14002olJ	2.4	1.4	78.2	19.0	13.3	0.3	4.9
N14004olJ	2.5	1.5	77.8	19.6	13.6	0.3	5.2
N14007ol	2.5	1.4	86.1	4.8	14.5	1.0	5.1
N14009olJ	2.4	1.4	78.4	22.5	12.5	0.3	4.9
N14014olF	2.3	1.3	78.4	20.7	12.7	0.3	4.9
N14015olJ	2.4	1.4	79.1	18.1	12.9	0.4	4.9
N14017olJ	2.5	1.4	79.5	14.6	13.1	0.4	5.1
N14023ol	2.2	1.5	78.5	20.9	12.5	0.3	4.8
N14024olJ	2.1	1.4	78.7	20.8	12.4	0.3	4.6
N14035olSmT	2.6	1.6	78.1	19.4	13.2	0.3	5.3
N15052ol	2.2	1.3	79.0	18.7	12.5	0.4	4.6
N15053ol	2.4	1.4	78.7	17.8	13.0	0.4	5.0
N15054ol	2.5	1.4	79.3	14.6	13.2	0.4	5.1
Mean	2.4	1.4	80.7	15.8	13.5	0.5	5.1
Tukey HSD²	0.7	0.4	3.2	14.3	1.4	0.3	1.0

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.

⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 24. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Martin County, NC Dig 2, 2017¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	9.6	2.4	49.8	31.5	1.3	1.4
Emery	5.6	2.3	81.1	4.3	1.2	1.8
Sullivan	5.6	2.2	80.0	5.2	1.2	2.0
Wynne	6.2	2.3	76.4	8.3	1.2	1.8
08X09-1-2-1	5.6	2.1	79.5	5.1	1.1	2.3
08X09-3-14-1	5.3	2.5	80.6	4.0	1.3	2.1
09X37-1-19-2	5.6	2.2	80.0	5.3	1.2	1.9
09X38-1-5-1	6.2	2.6	80.4	4.1	1.3	1.7
09X39-1-11-2	5.5	2.9	80.9	3.3	1.4	1.7
09X44-2-14-1	8.7	2.5	57.7	24.8	1.3	1.3
N12008olCLSmT	6.3	2.3	75.3	9.6	1.2	1.7
N13003olF	5.6	2.4	80.0	5.0	1.3	1.9
N13006ol	5.4	2.2	82.4	3.3	1.1	1.9
N13007ol	7.7	2.2	63.9	19.0	1.2	1.7
N13048+ol	5.6	2.0	82.3	3.7	1.1	1.9
N13058olSm	6.0	2.1	79.1	6.2	1.1	1.9
N14001ol	5.4	2.3	82.2	3.8	1.2	1.7
N14002olJ	6.1	2.3	78.7	6.1	1.2	1.8
N14004olJ	5.7	2.5	81.7	3.6	1.2	1.7
N14007ol	7.6	2.2	65.2	18.6	1.2	1.5
N14009olJ	5.2	2.3	82.1	3.7	1.2	1.9
N14014olF	5.3	2.3	82.6	3.2	1.2	1.8
N14015olJ	5.2	2.2	82.8	3.2	1.2	1.8
N14017olJ	5.2	2.3	82.7	3.1	1.2	1.8
N14023ol	5.6	2.0	82.2	3.8	1.1	1.8
N14024olJ	5.6	2.0	82.6	3.5	1.1	1.8
N14035olSmT	5.6	2.2	80.8	4.2	1.2	1.9
N15052ol	5.5	2.2	81.4	4.3	1.2	1.7
N15053ol	5.5	2.2	81.9	3.8	1.2	1.8
N15054ol	5.6	2.2	80.9	4.5	1.2	1.8
Mean	6.0	2.3	77.9	7.1	1.2	1.8
Tukey HSD²	0.7	0.3	6.4	5.2	0.1	0.4

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Peanut Variety & Quality Evaluation Results – II Quality Data 2017

Fatty Acid Results

Table 24. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Martin County, NC Dig 2, 2017¹ (cont.).

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	2.7	1.5	98.4	1.6	17.4	1.8	5.5
Emery	2.3	1.4	78.6	19.1	12.8	0.3	4.8
Sullivan	2.4	1.5	79.3	15.7	12.9	0.4	5.1
Wynne	2.4	1.4	81.4	10.1	13.5	0.6	5.1
08X09-1-2-1	2.8	1.6	79.0	15.7	13.1	0.4	5.4
08X09-3-14-1	2.6	1.6	77.9	23.2	13.3	0.3	5.4
09X37-1-19-2	2.4	1.5	79.5	15.1	12.9	0.4	5.1
09X38-1-5-1	2.5	1.3	77.6	19.8	13.8	0.3	5.0
09X39-1-11-2	2.9	1.4	76.6	25.6	14.1	0.2	5.7
09X44-2-14-1	2.4	1.3	93.6	2.3	16.2	1.5	5.0
N12008olCLSmT	2.3	1.4	82.7	7.8	13.4	0.7	4.8
N13003olF	2.4	1.5	78.9	16.0	13.2	0.4	5.1
N13006ol	2.2	1.5	78.0	25.2	12.5	0.3	4.9
N13007ol	2.6	1.6	89.2	3.4	15.4	1.2	5.4
N13048+ol	2.1	1.4	78.6	22.4	12.2	0.3	4.6
N13058olSm	2.2	1.5	80.1	12.9	12.9	0.5	4.8
N14001ol	2.2	1.3	78.5	22.3	12.4	0.3	4.7
N14002olJ	2.4	1.4	79.7	15.8	13.4	0.5	5.0
N14004olJ	2.2	1.4	77.8	23.0	13.0	0.3	4.8
N14007ol	2.4	1.4	89.5	3.6	14.7	1.3	4.9
N14009olJ	2.3	1.4	78.5	22.6	12.3	0.3	4.8
N14014olF	2.3	1.4	78.0	25.9	12.4	0.3	4.9
N14015olJ	2.2	1.3	78.2	26.3	12.2	0.3	4.7
N14017olJ	2.3	1.4	77.9	26.5	12.4	0.3	4.9
N14023ol	2.1	1.4	78.7	21.7	12.2	0.3	4.5
N14024olJ	2.0	1.4	78.6	23.5	12.1	0.3	4.5
N14035olSmT	2.5	1.6	78.2	19.6	13.1	0.3	5.3
N15052ol	2.3	1.4	78.8	19.6	12.5	0.3	4.8
N15053ol	2.3	1.4	78.4	21.8	12.5	0.3	4.8
N15054ol	2.4	1.4	78.8	18.0	12.8	0.4	4.9
Mean	2.4	1.4	80.6	17.5	13.2	0.5	5.0
Tukey HSD²	0.5	0.3	3.7	15.7	1.3	0.3	0.8

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.

⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Peanut Variety & Quality Evaluation Results – II Quality Data 2017

Fatty Acid Results

Table 25. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Average of Digs from Martin County, NC, 2017¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	9.6	2.4	50.3	30.9	1.3	1.4
Emery	5.7	2.4	81.2	4.5	1.2	1.7
Sullivan	5.7	2.3	80.0	4.9	1.2	1.9
Wynne	6.2	2.3	76.3	8.2	1.2	1.8
08X09-1-2-1	5.8	2.1	78.5	5.8	1.1	2.2
08X09-3-14-1	5.5	2.4	80.2	4.5	1.2	2.1
09X37-1-19-2	5.6	2.2	80.0	5.1	1.2	1.9
09X38-1-5-1	6.2	2.5	80.1	4.4	1.3	1.7
09X39-1-11-2	5.6	2.9	80.7	3.4	1.4	1.7
09X44-2-14-1	8.9	2.5	56.5	25.6	1.3	1.4
N12008oICLSmT	6.7	2.4	72.3	12.1	1.2	1.6
N13003oIF	5.7	2.4	80.4	4.7	1.2	1.8
N13006oI	5.5	2.2	81.8	3.6	1.2	1.9
N13007oI	7.8	2.3	64.0	18.7	1.2	1.7
N13048+oI	5.7	2.0	81.7	4.0	1.1	1.9
N13058oICLSm	5.9	2.1	80.0	5.3	1.1	1.8
N14001oI	5.6	2.2	82.0	3.9	1.2	1.7
N14002oIJ	6.0	2.4	79.6	5.2	1.2	1.8
N14004oIJ	5.8	2.5	81.1	3.9	1.2	1.8
N14007oI	7.4	2.2	67.2	16.6	1.2	1.6
N14009oIJ	5.3	2.2	82.0	3.7	1.2	1.9
N14014oIF	5.4	2.3	82.0	3.6	1.2	1.8
N14015oIJ	5.5	2.3	81.6	4.0	1.2	1.8
N14017oIJ	5.4	2.3	81.1	4.4	1.2	1.8
N14023oI	5.7	2.0	81.9	3.9	1.1	1.9
N14024oIJ	5.7	2.0	82.2	3.7	1.1	1.9
N14035oICLSmT	5.6	2.2	80.7	4.2	1.2	1.9
N15052oI	5.6	2.2	81.4	4.4	1.2	1.7
N15053oI	5.6	2.3	81.3	4.2	1.2	1.8
N15054oI	5.8	2.2	80.1	5.0	1.2	1.8
Mean	6.1	2.3	77.6	7.2	1.2	1.8
Tukey HSD²	0.6	0.2	4.4	3.6	0.1	0.3

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Peanut Variety & Quality Evaluation Results – II Quality Data 2017

Fatty Acid Results

Table 25. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Average of Digs from Martin County, NC, 2017¹ (cont.).

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	2.7	1.5	97.8	1.6	17.4	1.8	5.5
Emery	2.2	1.2	78.9	18.5	12.6	0.4	4.6
Sullivan	2.4	1.5	78.9	16.4	13.1	0.4	5.2
Wynne	2.5	1.5	81.2	9.9	13.7	0.6	5.2
08X09-1-2-1	2.9	1.6	79.3	14.0	13.5	0.4	5.6
08X09-3-14-1	2.5	1.6	78.4	20.8	13.2	0.3	5.3
09X37-1-19-2	2.5	1.5	79.1	16.2	13.0	0.4	5.2
09X38-1-5-1	2.5	1.3	77.9	19.0	13.7	0.3	5.1
09X39-1-11-2	2.9	1.3	76.7	24.2	14.2	0.2	5.7
09X44-2-14-1	2.6	1.4	94.0	2.2	16.6	1.5	5.2
N12008olCLSmT	2.3	1.4	84.4	6.3	14.0	0.9	4.9
N13003olF	2.3	1.4	78.8	17.5	13.0	0.4	4.9
N13006ol	2.3	1.5	78.1	23.2	12.7	0.3	5.0
N13007ol	2.7	1.6	88.8	3.5	15.6	1.2	5.5
N13048+ol	2.1	1.4	78.7	20.5	12.4	0.3	4.6
N13058olSm	2.2	1.5	79.5	15.6	12.8	0.4	4.8
N14001ol	2.2	1.3	78.6	21.4	12.4	0.3	4.6
N14002olJ	2.4	1.4	79.0	17.4	13.3	0.4	5.0
N14004olJ	2.4	1.4	77.8	21.3	13.3	0.3	5.0
N14007ol	2.4	1.4	87.8	4.2	14.6	1.1	5.0
N14009olJ	2.3	1.4	78.4	22.6	12.4	0.3	4.9
N14014olF	2.3	1.4	78.2	23.3	12.6	0.3	4.9
N14015olJ	2.3	1.3	78.6	22.2	12.5	0.3	4.8
N14017olJ	2.4	1.4	78.7	20.6	12.7	0.3	5.0
N14023ol	2.1	1.5	78.6	21.3	12.4	0.3	4.7
N14024olJ	2.1	1.4	78.6	22.2	12.2	0.3	4.5
N14035olSmT	2.5	1.6	78.2	19.5	13.2	0.3	5.3
N15052ol	2.2	1.3	78.9	19.2	12.5	0.3	4.7
N15053ol	2.3	1.4	78.5	19.8	12.8	0.3	4.9
N15054ol	2.4	1.4	79.1	16.3	13.0	0.4	5.0
Mean	2.4	1.4	80.7	16.7	13.4	0.5	5.0
Tukey HSD²	0.4	0.2	2.6	10.0	1.0	0.2	0.6

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.

⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 26. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Rocky Mount, NC, 2017¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	8.2	2.4	62.9	19.7	1.3	1.5
Emery	5.7	2.3	81.8	3.8	1.2	1.7
Sullivan	5.5	2.3	81.8	3.5	1.2	1.8
Wynne	6.3	2.4	78.0	6.6	1.3	1.7
08X09-1-2-1	5.8	2.2	80.1	4.6	1.2	2.0
08X09-3-14-1	7.2	2.3	68.2	15.1	1.2	1.7
09X37-1-19-2	5.9	2.4	80.0	4.9	1.2	1.7
09X38-1-5-1	6.1	2.5	79.7	5.0	1.3	1.7
09X39-1-11-2	5.8	2.5	80.7	3.9	1.3	1.9
09X44-2-14-1	7.5	2.5	67.0	16.3	1.3	1.6
N12008olCLSmT	6.7	2.3	74.9	9.6	1.2	1.6
N13003olF	5.9	2.3	80.7	4.3	1.2	1.8
N13006ol	7.6	2.4	66.5	16.8	1.2	1.5
N13007ol	7.0	2.2	72.3	12.0	1.1	1.7
N13048+ol	5.9	2.3	80.1	5.2	1.2	1.8
N13058olSm	5.9	2.3	81.1	4.1	1.2	1.8
N14001ol	7.2	2.5	71.0	12.9	1.2	1.5
N14002olJ	6.0	2.3	80.1	4.6	1.2	1.9
N14004olJ	5.8	2.5	80.0	4.9	1.3	1.7
N14007ol	7.6	2.3	67.6	16.0	1.2	1.6
N14009olJ	7.1	2.4	70.3	13.6	1.2	1.6
N14014olF	5.7	2.3	80.5	4.6	1.2	1.9
N14015olJ	5.9	2.5	78.4	6.1	1.3	1.8
N14017olJ	5.8	2.3	80.4	4.7	1.2	1.8
N14023ol	5.6	2.2	82.3	3.6	1.1	1.8
N14024olJ	5.8	2.2	81.3	4.3	1.1	1.8
N14035olSmT	5.9	2.2	80.5	4.4	1.2	1.8
N15052ol	5.5	2.3	82.1	3.6	1.2	1.7
N15053ol	6.1	2.4	78.2	6.7	1.2	1.7
N15054ol	5.7	2.2	81.4	4.2	1.2	1.7
Mean	6.3	2.4	77.0	7.6	1.2	1.7
Tukey HSD²	3.9	0.9	28.4	24.6	0.3	0.7

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 26. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Rocky Mount, NC, 2017¹ (cont.).

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	2.6	1.4	89.4	4.8	15.9	1.2	5.3
Emery	2.2	1.3	78.3	21.4	12.7	0.3	4.7
Sullivan	2.4	1.5	77.8	23.7	12.9	0.3	5.1
Wynne	2.4	1.4	79.8	12.4	13.7	0.5	5.0
08X09-1-2-1	2.6	1.5	78.4	17.8	13.3	0.3	5.3
08X09-3-14-1	2.6	1.5	86.1	8.1	15.0	1.0	5.4
09X37-1-19-2	2.4	1.4	78.7	17.4	13.3	0.4	5.0
09X38-1-5-1	2.4	1.3	78.5	16.3	13.6	0.4	5.0
09X39-1-11-2	2.6	1.4	77.6	20.9	13.6	0.3	5.3
09X44-2-14-1	2.5	1.4	87.1	4.3	15.2	1.1	5.1
N12008olCLSmT	2.3	1.4	82.4	12.6	13.9	0.7	4.9
N13003olF	2.3	1.5	78.4	19.2	13.1	0.3	5.0
N13006ol	2.4	1.4	87.5	7.7	15.2	1.0	5.1
N13007ol	2.3	1.4	84.2	7.2	14.1	0.8	4.8
N13048+ol	2.2	1.4	79.2	16.5	13.0	0.4	4.8
N13058olSm	2.3	1.4	78.3	19.8	13.0	0.3	4.8
N14001ol	2.4	1.3	84.6	11.6	14.6	0.8	4.9
N14002olJ	2.4	1.5	78.4	17.5	13.4	0.3	5.1
N14004olJ	2.4	1.5	78.6	17.8	13.4	0.4	5.1
N14007ol	2.4	1.4	87.0	4.3	14.9	1.1	5.0
N14009olJ	2.4	1.3	85.2	8.3	14.5	0.9	5.0
N14014olF	2.4	1.5	78.7	19.4	13.1	0.4	5.1
N14015olJ	2.6	1.4	79.4	13.5	13.7	0.4	5.3
N14017olJ	2.4	1.4	78.8	17.3	13.1	0.4	5.0
N14023ol	2.1	1.3	78.3	23.4	12.4	0.3	4.6
N14024olJ	2.2	1.4	78.7	19.2	12.7	0.3	4.7
N14035olSmT	2.5	1.4	78.4	18.1	13.2	0.3	5.1
N15052ol	2.2	1.3	78.2	22.9	12.6	0.3	4.7
N15053ol	2.4	1.4	80.2	11.7	13.4	0.5	5.0
N15054ol	2.3	1.4	78.6	19.6	12.7	0.3	4.8
Mean	2.4	1.4	80.8	15.2	13.6	0.5	5.0
Tukey HSD²	0.7	0.4	17.9	22.2	4.6	1.4	1.2

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 27. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Bladen County, NC, 2017¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	9.8	2.4	50.2	31.2	1.3	1.2
Emery	5.7	2.4	81.9	3.6	1.2	1.6
Sullivan	5.7	2.2	81.7	3.4	1.2	1.8
Wynne	5.9	2.4	80.8	4.5	1.2	1.6
08X09-1-2-1	5.9	2.0	80.4	4.1	1.1	2.2
08X09-3-14-1	5.6	2.3	81.3	3.2	1.2	2.1
09X37-1-19-2	6.0	2.2	78.6	6.2	1.2	1.8
09X38-1-5-1	6.0	2.4	81.9	3.2	1.2	1.7
09X39-1-11-2	5.6	2.8	81.3	3.1	1.4	1.7
09X44-2-14-1	8.3	2.3	61.2	21.4	1.2	1.5
N12008olCLSmT	6.6	2.3	74.3	10.3	1.2	1.6
N13003olF	5.7	2.4	81.6	3.3	1.3	1.9
N13006ol	5.6	2.2	82.4	3.1	1.2	1.8
N13007ol	8.3	2.6	61.9	20.4	1.3	1.4
N13048+ol	5.9	2.1	82.4	3.4	1.1	1.7
N13058olSm	5.9	2.1	81.9	3.7	1.1	1.8
N14001ol	5.6	2.4	82.2	3.4	1.2	1.6
N14002olJ	5.9	2.4	81.1	4.0	1.2	1.7
N14004olJ	5.9	2.3	80.5	4.6	1.2	1.7
N14007ol	7.4	2.1	67.5	16.1	1.2	1.6
N14009olJ	5.4	2.4	82.4	3.2	1.2	1.7
N14014olF	5.5	2.3	82.3	3.5	1.2	1.7
N14015olJ	5.4	2.3	82.6	3.2	1.2	1.8
N14017olJ	5.3	2.3	83.3	2.7	1.2	1.6
N14023ol	5.8	2.1	82.1	3.7	1.1	1.7
N14024olJ	5.8	2.1	82.1	3.6	1.1	1.8
N14035olSmT	5.8	2.3	81.9	3.3	1.2	1.7
N15052ol	5.6	2.4	82.4	3.3	1.2	1.6
N15053ol	5.6	2.3	81.9	3.6	1.2	1.7
N15054ol	5.6	2.5	81.9	3.6	1.3	1.6
Mean	6.1	2.3	78.6	6.3	1.2	1.7
Tukey HSD²	1.1	0.4	7.8	6.6	0.1	0.3

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 27. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Bladen County, NC, 2017¹ (cont.).

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	2.6	1.4	98.1	1.6	17.4	1.8	5.2
Emery	2.2	1.3	78.0	22.9	12.9	0.3	4.8
Sullivan	2.4	1.5	77.6	24.1	13.1	0.3	5.1
Wynne	2.3	1.3	78.5	19.4	13.1	0.3	4.8
08X09-1-2-1	2.8	1.6	77.9	20.6	13.4	0.3	5.5
08X09-3-14-1	2.7	1.6	77.1	25.3	13.4	0.2	5.5
09X37-1-19-2	2.5	1.5	79.7	12.8	13.4	0.5	5.2
09X38-1-5-1	2.4	1.3	77.3	25.6	13.3	0.2	4.9
09X39-1-11-2	2.8	1.4	76.7	26.1	13.9	0.2	5.5
09X44-2-14-1	2.6	1.5	90.8	2.9	15.9	1.3	5.4
N12008olCLSmT	2.3	1.4	83.0	9.1	13.8	0.7	4.9
N13003olF	2.5	1.5	77.3	24.9	13.3	0.2	5.3
N13006ol	2.3	1.5	77.6	27.0	12.8	0.2	4.9
N13007ol	2.6	1.5	89.7	3.1	16.3	1.3	5.5
N13048+ol	2.0	1.4	78.1	24.2	12.5	0.3	4.5
N13058olSm	2.1	1.4	78.3	21.9	12.6	0.3	4.6
N14001ol	2.3	1.3	77.9	24.6	12.8	0.3	4.8
N14002olJ	2.4	1.4	78.0	21.9	13.3	0.3	5.0
N14004olJ	2.4	1.4	78.6	18.0	13.2	0.4	5.0
N14007ol	2.5	1.5	87.3	4.2	14.7	1.1	5.2
N14009olJ	2.4	1.4	77.8	25.6	12.7	0.3	5.0
N14014olF	2.3	1.3	78.1	24.5	12.5	0.3	4.8
N14015olJ	2.3	1.3	77.9	26.0	12.5	0.3	4.9
N14017olJ	2.2	1.3	77.6	30.8	12.4	0.2	4.7
N14023ol	2.1	1.4	78.3	23.1	12.5	0.3	4.5
N14024olJ	2.1	1.4	78.4	23.0	12.4	0.3	4.6
N14035olSmT	2.4	1.5	77.5	25.0	13.1	0.3	5.0
N15052ol	2.3	1.3	77.8	25.7	12.7	0.3	4.8
N15053ol	2.3	1.3	78.1	22.7	12.8	0.3	4.9
N15054ol	2.3	1.3	77.9	22.7	12.9	0.3	4.8
Mean	2.4	1.4	79.9	20.3	13.4	0.4	5.0
Tukey HSD²	0.4	0.2	4.8	15.0	1.4	0.4	0.6

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 28. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Blackville, SC, 2017¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	9.5	2.6	53.9	26.4	1.4	1.4
Emery	6.5	2.8	77.0	6.9	1.4	1.6
Sullivan	6.3	2.6	78.0	5.8	1.3	1.8
Wynne	6.6	2.7	76.3	7.3	1.3	1.7
ACI789	6.0	2.4	79.1	4.2	1.3	2.3
AU17	6.5	3.0	77.7	4.0	1.5	2.0
Ga06G	9.8	2.7	53.6	26.1	1.4	1.3
Ga12Y	9.9	3.0	49.6	28.2	1.6	1.5
GA13M	6.3	2.5	76.6	4.4	1.4	2.4
N13003oIF	6.2	2.3	79.2	5.0	1.2	1.9
N13006oI	6.5	2.6	77.1	6.4	1.3	1.8
N13007oI	8.0	2.5	66.4	16.1	1.3	1.6
N13048+oI	6.2	2.2	80.4	3.9	1.2	2.0
N13058oISm	6.3	2.4	80.5	4.2	1.2	1.7
N14001oI	6.6	2.5	76.2	7.4	1.3	1.8
N14002oIJ	6.3	2.6	80.0	4.1	1.3	1.8
N14004oIJ	6.5	2.6	79.5	4.6	1.3	1.7
N14007oI	8.0	2.5	65.0	17.4	1.3	1.5
N14009oIJ	5.9	2.4	80.5	4.1	1.2	1.8
N14014oIF	5.9	2.6	80.4	4.1	1.3	1.8
N14015oIJ	6.0	2.3	79.1	5.3	1.3	1.9
N14017oIJ	5.9	2.6	80.5	3.5	1.4	1.9
N14023oI	6.2	2.3	80.2	4.5	1.2	1.8
N14024oIJ	6.3	2.2	79.2	4.9	1.2	1.9
N14035oISmT	6.1	2.5	80.5	3.7	1.3	1.8
N15052oI	6.0	2.5	80.1	4.4	1.3	1.7
N15053oI	6.1	2.5	79.7	4.8	1.3	1.7
N15054oI	6.2	2.5	79.1	5.3	1.3	1.7
TR297	6.3	2.7	79.3	3.5	1.4	2.1
TR511	6.0	2.4	79.5	3.8	1.3	2.2
Mean	6.7	2.5	75.5	7.8	1.3	1.8
Tukey HSD²	1.2	0.4	8.9	7.5	0.1	0.3

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 28. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated from Blackville, SC, 2017¹ (cont.).

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	3.2	1.6	93.3	2.0	18.2	1.5	6.1
Emery	2.5	1.4	79.5	12.3	14.5	0.5	5.2
Sullivan	2.7	1.5	78.6	13.4	14.4	0.4	5.5
Wynne	2.7	1.5	79.6	11.6	14.7	0.5	5.5
ACI789	3.0	1.9	77.0	19.1	14.5	0.3	6.1
AU17	3.6	1.7	75.3	20.1	16.3	0.2	6.8
Ga06G	3.4	1.6	92.3	2.1	19.03	1.4	6.5
Ga12Y	4.3	2.0	92.7	1.8	20.7	1.4	7.8
GA13M	4.2	2.2	75.4	17.3	16.6	0.3	7.9
N13003olF	2.6	1.5	78.2	17.6	13.9	0.4	5.4
N13006ol	2.7	1.6	78.8	12.8	14.7	0.4	5.6
N13007ol	2.7	1.5	86.1	4.4	16.0	1.0	5.5
N13048+ol	2.6	1.6	77.5	20.4	13.7	0.3	5.3
N13058olSm	2.3	1.4	77.9	19.1	13.6	0.3	4.9
N14001ol	2.8	1.5	79.7	13.0	14.7	0.5	5.6
N14002olJ	2.6	1.4	77.3	19.5	14.2	0.3	5.3
N14004olJ	2.5	1.4	77.7	18.0	14.3	0.3	5.1
N14007ol	2.8	1.5	87.2	3.8	16.1	1.1	5.6
N14009olJ	2.6	1.4	77.8	19.8	13.5	0.3	5.2
N14014olF	2.5	1.4	77.6	20.1	13.8	0.3	5.3
N14015olJ	2.7	1.5	78.7	16.6	13.7	0.4	5.4
N14017olJ	2.8	1.5	76.8	22.8	14.1	0.3	5.6
N14023ol	2.4	1.5	78.1	18.1	13.5	0.3	5.0
N14024olJ	2.6	1.6	78.1	16.3	13.9	0.4	5.4
N14035olSmT	2.6	1.5	77.1	21.7	14.0	0.3	5.5
N15052ol	2.6	1.4	77.9	18.2	13.8	0.3	5.3
N15053ol	2.5	1.4	78.3	17.0	13.8	0.4	5.2
N15054ol	2.6	1.4	78.5	15.2	13.9	0.4	5.3
TR297	3.0	1.8	75.9	22.4	15.1	0.2	6.1
TR511	3.1	1.8	76.7	20.9	14.5	0.3	6.2
Mean	2.8	1.6	79.9	15.2	14.9	0.5	5.7
Tukey HSD²	0.7	0.3	5.4	15.9	1.8	0.4	1.1

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 29. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated averaged across all locations, 2017.¹

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	9.4	2.4	52.7	28.6	1.3	1.4
Emery	5.8	2.5	80.6	4.6	1.2	1.7
Sullivan	5.8	2.3	80.0	4.8	1.2	1.9
Wynne	6.2	2.4	77.7	6.8	1.2	1.8
08X09-1-2-1	5.8	2.1	79.3	5.0	1.1	2.2
08X09-3-14-1	5.7	2.4	78.5	5.8	1.2	2.1
09X37-1-19-2	5.7	2.3	79.8	5.2	1.2	1.9
09X38-1-5-1	6.1	2.5	80.1	4.5	1.3	1.7
09X39-1-11-2	5.6	2.8	80.9	3.4	1.4	1.8
09X44-2-14-1	8.4	2.4	59.8	22.6	1.3	1.5
ACI789	6.0	2.4	79.1	4.2	1.3	2.3
AU17	6.5	3.0	77.7	4.0	1.5	2.0
Ga06G	9.8	2.7	53.6	26.1	1.4	1.3
Ga12Y	9.9	3.0	49.6	28.2	1.6	1.5
GA13M	6.3	2.5	76.6	4.4	1.4	2.4
N12008oIcLSmT	6.5	2.3	74.0	10.5	1.2	1.7
N13003oIF	5.7	2.3	80.6	4.3	1.2	1.9
N13006oI	6.0	2.3	78.7	6.1	1.2	1.8
N13007oI	7.6	2.4	66.4	16.7	1.2	1.6
N13048+oI	5.9	2.1	81.0	4.4	1.1	1.9
N13058oISm	6.0	2.2	80.5	4.7	1.1	1.8
N14001oI	5.9	2.4	79.4	5.8	1.2	1.7
N14002oIJ	6.0	2.4	80.4	4.4	1.2	1.8
N14004oIJ	5.9	2.5	80.4	4.5	1.2	1.8
N14007oI	7.6	2.2	66.5	17.0	1.2	1.6
N14009oIJ	5.7	2.3	79.9	5.3	1.2	1.8
N14014oIF	5.5	2.3	81.4	3.9	1.2	1.8
N14015oIJ	5.5	2.3	81.0	4.3	1.2	1.8
N14017oIJ	5.5	2.3	81.5	3.9	1.2	1.8
N14023oI	5.8	2.1	81.6	4.0	1.1	1.8
N14024oIJ	5.8	2.1	81.2	4.2	1.1	1.9
N14035oISmT	5.7	2.3	81.0	4.0	1.2	1.8
N15052oI	5.6	2.3	81.3	4.1	1.2	1.7
N15053oI	5.8	2.3	80.6	4.6	1.2	1.7
N15054oI	5.8	2.3	80.5	4.6	1.2	1.8
TR297	6.3	2.7	79.3	3.5	1.4	2.1
TR511	6.0	2.4	79.5	3.8	1.3	2.2
Mean	6.4	2.4	76.3	7.8	1.2	1.8
Tukey HSD²	1.0	0.3	7.1	6.1	0.1	0.3

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Peanut Variety & Quality Evaluation Results – II Quality Data 2017

Fatty Acid Results

Table 29. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated averaged across all locations, 2017¹. (cont.)

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	2.8	1.5	96.0	2.1	17.3	1.6	5.5
Emery	2.3	1.3	78.6	18.8	13.1	0.3	4.9
Sullivan	2.5	1.5	78.7	17.7	13.3	0.4	5.2
Wynne	2.5	1.4	80.1	12.8	13.7	0.5	5.1
08X09-1-2-1	2.9	1.6	78.7	16.8	13.5	0.4	5.6
08X09-3-14-1	2.7	1.6	79.2	19.8	13.6	0.4	5.5
09X37-1-19-2	2.5	1.5	79.1	16.3	13.2	0.4	5.2
09X38-1-5-1	2.5	1.3	78.1	18.7	13.6	0.3	5.1
09X39-1-11-2	2.9	1.4	76.9	23.9	13.9	0.2	5.6
09X44-2-14-1	2.6	1.5	91.7	2.8	16.2	1.4	5.4
ACI789	3.0	1.9	77.0	19.1	14.5	0.3	6.1
AU17	3.6	1.7	75.3	20.1	16.3	0.2	6.8
Ga06G	3.4	1.6	92.3	2.1	19.0	1.4	6.5
Ga12Y	4.3	2.0	92.7	1.8	20.7	1.4	7.8
GA13M	4.2	2.2	75.4	17.3	16.6	0.3	7.9
N12008olCLSmT	2.4	1.4	83.1	8.5	13.8	0.7	4.9
N13003olF	2.4	1.5	78.3	19.6	13.2	0.3	5.2
N13006ol	2.4	1.5	79.6	18.7	13.5	0.4	5.1
N13007ol	2.6	1.5	87.3	4.7	15.3	1.1	5.3
N13048+ol	2.2	1.4	78.7	19.3	12.7	0.3	4.8
N13058olSm	2.2	1.4	78.9	17.8	12.9	0.4	4.8
N14001ol	2.4	1.3	79.6	18.6	13.2	0.4	4.9
N14002olJ	2.4	1.4	78.2	19.3	13.4	0.3	5.0
N14004olJ	2.4	1.4	78.3	18.8	13.4	0.3	5.1
N14007ol	2.5	1.4	87.8	4.0	15.0	1.1	5.2
N14009olJ	2.4	1.4	79.4	19.4	12.9	0.4	5.0
N14014olF	2.4	1.4	78.2	21.7	12.8	0.3	5.0
N14015olJ	2.4	1.4	78.6	20.8	12.8	0.3	5.0
N14017olJ	2.4	1.4	78.2	22.5	12.9	0.3	5.0
N14023ol	2.2	1.4	78.6	20.8	12.5	0.3	4.7
N14024olJ	2.2	1.4	78.6	19.9	12.7	0.3	4.8
N14035olSmT	2.5	1.5	77.9	20.7	13.3	0.3	5.2
N15052ol	2.3	1.4	78.4	20.5	12.9	0.3	4.9
N15053ol	2.4	1.4	78.7	18.3	13.1	0.4	5.0
N15054ol	2.4	1.4	78.6	17.9	13.1	0.4	5.0
TR297	3.0	1.8	75.9	22.4	15.1	0.2	6.1
TR511	3.1	1.8	76.7	20.9	14.5	0.3	6.2
Mean	2.7	1.5	80.5	16.4	14.2	0.5	5.4
Tukey HSD²	0.4	0.2	4.4	9.7	1.5	0.4	0.6

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.

⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 30. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Two-year averages across all locations, (2016 – 2017)¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
Bailey	9.5	2.4	53.1	28.2	1.3	1.3
Sullivan	5.9	2.4	79.8	4.8	1.2	1.9
Wynne	6.3	2.5	77.9	6.6	1.3	1.7
08X09-3-14-1	5.7	2.5	79.5	4.6	1.3	2.1
09X38-1-5-1	6.5	2.7	78.8	5.2	1.3	1.6
09X39-1-11-2	5.8	3.0	80.5	3.5	1.4	1.7
Ga06G	9.8	2.8	55.0	25.0	1.4	1.2
Ga12Y	9.9	3.0	50.7	27.8	1.6	1.4
Ga13M	6.3	2.7	77.5	3.8	1.5	2.1
N12008olCLSmT	6.8	2.4	73.4	10.8	1.2	1.6
N13003olF	5.8	2.3	80.5	4.2	1.2	1.9
N13006ol	6.0	2.4	79.7	5.0	1.2	1.8
N13048+ol	6.0	2.1	80.6	4.6	1.1	1.9
N13058olSm	6.1	2.2	80.2	4.8	1.1	1.8
N14035olSmT	5.9	2.4	80.0	4.6	1.2	1.8
TR297	6.4	2.6	79.7	3.5	1.3	2.0
TR511	6.1	2.4	80.2	3.4	1.3	2.0
Mean	6.7	2.5	74.5	8.9	1.3	1.7
Tukey HSD²	0.7	0.3	4.5	3.9	0.1	0.2

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 30. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Two-year averages across all locations, (2016 – 2017)¹. (cont.)

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
Bailey	2.8	1.4	95.5	2.0	17.4	1.6	5.5
Sullivan	2.5	1.5	78.5	17.5	13.5	0.4	5.2
Wynne	2.5	1.4	79.7	13.2	13.9	0.5	5.1
08X09-3-14-1	2.7	1.6	78.0	21.7	13.8	0.3	5.6
09X38-1-5-1	2.5	1.3	78.2	16.6	14.3	0.4	5.1
09X39-1-11-2	2.8	1.3	76.7	23.4	14.3	0.2	5.6
Ga06G	3.3	1.5	91.5	2.2	18.8	1.3	6.2
Ga12Y	3.9	1.8	92.9	1.8	20.1	1.4	7.2
Ga13M	4.0	2.1	74.9	20.8	16.6	0.2	7.6
N12008olCLSmT	2.4	1.4	83.1	7.7	14.2	0.8	5.0
N13003olF	2.5	1.5	78.0	19.9	13.4	0.3	5.2
N13006ol	2.4	1.5	78.7	19.7	13.4	0.4	5.1
N13048+ol	2.3	1.4	78.7	18.8	12.9	0.4	4.8
N13058olSm	2.3	1.4	78.7	17.9	13.2	0.4	4.8
N14035olSmT	2.5	1.5	78.2	18.6	13.6	0.3	5.3
TR297	2.9	1.7	76.1	23.3	14.9	0.2	5.9
TR511	2.9	1.6	76.5	23.8	14.4	0.2	5.8
Mean	2.8	1.5	80.8	15.8	14.9	0.5	5.6
Tukey HSD²	0.3	0.1	3.0	6.9	0.9	0.2	0.4

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 31. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Three-year averages across all locations, (2015 – 2017)¹.

Variety	Palmitic C16:0	Stearic C18:0	Oleic C18:1	Linoleic C18:2	Arachidic C20:0	Eicosenoic C20:1
08X09-3-14-1	5.8	2.7	79.0	4.9	1.3	2.0
Bailey	9.5	2.4	52.6	28.7	1.3	1.4
Ga06G	9.8	2.7	54.4	25.5	1.4	1.3
Ga12Y	10.0	2.8	49.7	28.9	1.5	1.4
N12008olCLSmT	6.6	2.5	74.3	10.0	1.2	1.7
N13048+ol	6.1	2.1	80.1	4.9	1.1	1.9
Sullivan	6.0	2.4	78.7	5.8	1.2	1.9
Wynne	6.2	2.5	78.1	6.4	1.3	1.7
Mean	7.5	2.5	68.4	14.4	1.3	1.6
Tukey HSD²	0.6	0.3	4.0	3.6	0.1	0.2

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

Fatty Acid Results

Table 31. Fatty Acid Composition, Iodine Values, Oleic/Linoleic O/L Ratio, % Total Polysaturated/Saturated (P/S) Ratio, and % Total Long Chain Saturated. Three-year averages across all locations, (2015 – 2017)¹. (cont.)

Variety	Behenic C22:0	Lignoceric C24:0	Iodine ³ Value	O/L ⁴ Ratio	%Total Saturated	P/S Ratio	% Total Long Chain Saturated
08X09-3-14-1	2.8	1.6	78.0	21.0	14.1	0.3	5.7
Bailey	2.8	1.5	95.9	2.0	17.4	1.6	5.5
Ga06G	3.3	1.6	92.0	2.2	18.8	1.4	6.3
Ga12Y	3.9	1.8	93.8	1.7	20.1	1.4	7.2
N12008olCLSmT	2.4	1.4	82.4	9.3	14.1	0.7	5.0
N13048+ol	2.3	1.5	79.0	17.5	13.0	0.4	4.9
Sullivan	2.5	1.5	79.2	16.3	13.6	0.4	5.3
Wynne	2.5	1.4	79.5	13.7	13.9	0.5	5.1
Mean	2.8	1.5	85.0	10.5	15.6	0.8	5.6
Tukey HSD²	0.2	0.1	2.8	5.3	0.7	0.2	0.3

¹ Refer to page 3 for an explanation of the computations of these characters.

² Minimum significant difference at P=0.05, based on the TUKEY HSD test.

³ Lower iodine value indicates longer shelf life.

⁴ Higher O/L ratio indicates longer shelf life.

www.ext.vt.edu

Produced by Communications and Marketing, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Virginia Tech, 2018

Virginia Cooperative Extension programs and employment are open to all, regardless of age, color, disability, gender, gender identity, gender expression, national origin, political affiliation, race, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, veteran status, or any other basis protected by law. An equal opportunity/affirmative action employer. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Virginia State University, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture cooperating. Edwin J. Jones, Director, Virginia Cooperative Extension, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg; M. Ray McKinnie, Administrator, 1890 Extension Program, Virginia State University, Petersburg.