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It is common for there to be a separation between quarries and the buildings and landscape we create from their materials. People rarely realize the materials are the records and links of the history, culture, society and ecology of the paired landscape between construction and material producer. This thesis starts from investigating the origin of building stones used for the memorials on the National Mall. Tracing stone sources links the National Mall landscape and the quarries that supported its construction. It focuses on the Washington Monument and its source of material, the Cockeysville Quarry, Maryland.

The thesis examines the geographic, hydrological and physiographic information of the landscape between the National Mall and the Cockeysville Quarry based on ecological methods, especially the understanding of both sites as landscapes in process. It also studies the sites' histories including landforms, immigrated laborers and railways changes associated with quarrying and construction. The thesis design project explores the question of how the relationships can be reflected and applied in the intervention of the two landscapes. Within the framework generated by the relationship study, water issues have been identified as the main common problem. Protecting the Marble Valley aquifer and dealing with the thread of the sea level rise on the National Mall is the major consideration. The main strategy of design is to use the anticipated hydrologies to drive the new uses, earthwork, urban forest (tree canopies), and axial relationships, inspired by the materials, forms, and historical links between the two landscapes. By looking at the landscapes as a pair, the design recalls the past connections between the sites and constructs new relationships ideologically and physically.
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It is common for there to be a separation between quarries and the buildings and landscapes we create from their materials. Even for very important landscapes and buildings, we don't often recall the source of their materials. For example, there are many memorials in Washington, D.C. The building stones used in the memorials have given these landscapes special meanings. Only a very few people consider the origins of these stones matter. We rarely realize that the stones are not only the significant aspects of the design, but also the records and links of the history, culture, society and ecology of the landscapes supplying the materials.

This thesis investigates the origins of building stones used for the memorials on the National Mall. Tracing stone sources links the quarries and National Mall landscape. Two questions drive the thesis: what is the relationship of the two landscapes? How does the relationship inform the future design?

Jane Hutton (2013) addresses the importance of studying paired landscape between construction and material producers:

These accumulated urban stocks produce at once ecological (the material exchanges produced through construction), economic (the trade made possible through infrastructural networks) and social (the discourse enabled through the public commons) conditions in situ. At the same time, the construction materials that designers specify are implicated in the ecological, economic and social relations of their own extraction, production and reuse. Materials in landscape architecture are physical fragments of remote quarries, factories and forests and their production is responsible for landscape transformation elsewhere.

(Hutton, 2013, p. 40)

In her essay, reciprocal landscapes: material portraits in New York City and elsewhere, three
cases are examined: the landscapes of the Fox Islands and Central Park, the Ambridge and Riverside Park, and the Amazon Forest of Northern Brazil and the High Line. They are three paired sites linked by material displacement. The construction of Central Park during the late nineteenth century “controlled the cycles of boom and bust employment for granite cutters of the Fox Islands” (Hutton 2013: 45); As the tropical lumbers Ipê have been widely used in the decking and benches on the High Line, the High Line became the “strategic place” from which to evoke the attention of illegal logging, deforestation and slave labor in Brazil launched by rainforest protection organizations; the covering of the rail tracks at Riverside Park ordered 5,400 metric tons of structural steel from the American Bridge Company to cover New York Central’s tracks, and the city’s construction related to the New Deal legislation “both facilitated the construction of massive infrastructural works as well as stimulated the widespread organization of trade workers” (Hutton, 2013, p. 45).

The three cases provide good examples of how to study the relationship of paired landscapes through history. However, although the author calls for the expansion of site and scale that landscape architect might practice from built landscape to its related production landscape, it does not account for how the relationships can be reflected and applied in the intervention of the two landscapes.

In Design with Nature (McHarg, 1969), both the areas, the Cockeysville Marble Valley and the National Mall were discussed. In the chapter “A Response to Values” (figure 1.1), McHarg studied the seventy square mile valley north of Baltimore, Maryland. The Cockeysville Marble Valley was at the east end; in the chapter “The City, Process and Form” (figure1.2), he analyzed the nature form and man-made form of Washington D.C.

The fundamental principle of the book is that nature is process. We are not able to understand nature without knowing the process. Ecology is “the single indispensable basis for landscape architecture and regional planning” . Because it can reveal the process and tell us the “intrinsic form”. The final goal is to understand the relationship of the nature and built. In the basis, the Marble Valley is the subregional area waiting for development. The ecological method reveals the advantages and vulnerability of the area as a valley. It indicates the future land use and prohibitions exhibited by natural processes. Washington, D.C. is an existing singular city. The ecological method can make a distinction between the “green form” and “made form”. It allows people to understand the natural landscape characteristics. It could be used to study whether the successive stages of urbanization adapt to the given forms or not.

However, there are some things missing in McHarg’s analysis of the two areas:

First, McHarg overlooked Cockeysville. The proposal for the Maryland valley is only applied within the boundary extending from the Baltimore to the northern slope of the Western Run, from the interstate road and to the Western Maryland railroad to the Baltimore-Harrisburg Expressway. Cockeysville was excluded. It is probably because McHarg’s project project was only to help the wealthy residents to preserve the scenic beauty and environmental quality of the area. (Sprin, 2001). The Cockeysville valley, which had already been developed for industrial use, had nothing to do with beauty and to McHarg, it seemed useless, dead.

Secondly, for Washington D.C, McHarg appreciated L’Enfant’s plan. He thought it respected, preserved and enhanced the natural characteristics rather than obliterated them. He has written, “L’Enfant had an overriding concern for the axial arrangement of spaces, the flanking buildings and diagonal avenues with the perceptiveness to the subtleties of land from” (McHarg, 1969, p.181 ). In particular, he thought that “the Mall unites the Potomac with the Capitol, the cross axis unites the White House, the Tidal Basin and the Jefferson memorial” (McHarg, 1969, p.110). However, McHarg did not mention the development of DC after L’Enfant’s plan. He did not point out that L’Enfant’s plan has not been fully realized. Like Dan Kiley (2003) said, the Senate Park commission plan “failed to appreciate the power and significance of L’Enfant’s original scheme” (p.297). Kiley pointed out that
"A Critical Look at the McMillan Plan" suggests that the original L'Enfant's vision of Venice-like Washington, bequeathed to the city by nature and extending outward to the region, was defeated and replaced by a closed, insular design (p. 297). In addition, McHarg's analysis did not project the future of Washington D.C., especially under the threat of sea level rise. Despite these neglected issues, McHarg's ecological principle is the foundation for the analysis in the thesis.

Firstly, nature is process, presenting its form through a series of changes such as earth uplifting, sink and erosion, water rising and fall. Neither process of the two sites ever stops. By analyzing the geology, topographic surface, groundwater, and floodplain, we can tell what the nature will be in the future.

Secondly, after knowing the natural characters, understanding the relationships between the built form and natural form for the two sites is critical for the study. Each site has evolved into its own forms in separate ways. Due to the construction of the Washington Monument, their paths were intersected during a relatively short time period. Looking for the relationship is to look for the impacts of one on the other.

A series of history maps suggest the development of the built forms. In Cockeysville, the built form comes from quarrying activities. As for the National Mall, the built form comes from the new street plans and designs for the city. By further studying the related history associated with people, earth change and infrastructure, the links embedded in the built forms will be revealed. It indicates that all the associated historical events left marks on the landscapes, but evolved to different effects due to the unequal places. These explained the different features of the two areas we see today.

Thirdly, by overlapping the built forms and the natural forms, we are not only able to examine whether the current forms respect and adapt to the natural process, but also able to identify the influences of the interrelated history on the landscapes. As for the National Mall, the Washington Monument ground shaping has changed the relationship of the land and the Potomac River dramatically. Geologic change and ecological change resulting from construction of monument itself. As for Cockeysville, these changes are in part the result of the National Mall construction. The construction of the Washington Monument, from a long-run perspective, stimulated the development of the site in Washington D.C. as national capital core area and in Cockeysville as an industry town and thus speeded up the geologic and ecological changes.

The book Humans as Geologic Agents (Ehlen, 2005) estimates that average material movement from construction and agricultural activity surpassed that resulting from all geological processes. If we look at the huge hole and hydrology change, we will know that this fact is also true in Cockeysville as well as in the National Mall: the rate of ecological change caused by quarrying activities far exceeded that caused by past natural processes.

In the thesis design project, the futures of the two sites are envisioned. It explores the question of how to relate the paired landscapes through future intervention. The relationship study generates a framework for examining the sites futures. By applying a version of McHarg's method above, water issues have been identified as the main common problem. The strategy then is to use the anticipated hydrologies to drive the new uses, earthwork, urban forest (tree canopies) at both sites. History, form and material relationships inspire new connections between the sites. In Cockeysville, the dispersed, unrelated links embedded in the built forms are reorganized by applying the strategy which is identical used for the plan of Washington D.C. It also responds to the north-south axis on the National Mall. Thirdly, the material and the edge of the quarry in Cockeysville inspired the design of the water edge on the National Mall.
Table 2.1: By studying the construction time and the materials used for the memorials, the stone origins and the quarry current conditions are found. (Data from USGS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memorials and Monuments</th>
<th>Stone Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Quarry Name</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Washington Monument</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>1848</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>Vermont Marble</td>
<td>Highly polished Vermont Marble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Memorial</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>1861</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>Vermont Marble</td>
<td>Highly polished Vermont Marble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean War Veterans Memorial</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Korean Black granite</td>
<td>Korean Black granite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>USA Granite</td>
<td>USA Granite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National World War II Memorial</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>USA Granite</td>
<td>USA Granite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BACKGROUND

STONES

One year ago, I read The Marble Industry of Vermont by chance. It was the first time that I heard about Vermont marble. It was also the first time that I heard of the Arlington Memorial Amphitheater, which was entirely constructed of Vermont marble. To the small blader, the reader made a comparison, "The stone was planned and raised in the midst of struggle and privation and hardship, the work of hands trained to the axe and the plough; it was simply given a place in the cemetery and then left to fight its own way through the centuries. The other is a masterpiece of design, produced largely by modern machinery amid surroundings conductive to faultless workmanship. It will be given intelligent care and a setting worthy of subject" (The Marble Industry of Vermont, 1920, p.18).

One kind of stone is raw, rough, primitive and made by handwork; the other is "masterpiece", designed by "modern machine" and "faultless workmanship". The comparison between them is interesting. Several questions were raised:

- What are the stones used in the memorials on the National Mall?
- Where do they come from?
- What do these places look like?

Based on these questions, I started tracing the stones of the memorials on the National Mall (table 2.1).

02 BACKGROUND
The Town, the Quarry and the Memorial
The population indicates the town’s industry relating to the constructions of memorials.

The origins of the building stones on the National Mall in Washington, DC.

Figure 2.2: The map shows the connection of the memorials and their material suppliers. From 1848-2011, eight memorials were designed and built on the National Mall (Figure 2.2).

Each memorial is composed of different materials. The stones were gathered from 18 discrete places, including overseas. Tons of materials were transported to the National Mall by train, ship and truck. Stones have linked the National Mall and the remote quarries.

However, the construction of the National Mall and the excavation in the remote quarries take not only place, but also time (Carlisle, 2015). In the map, each of the lines represents a process, rather than just place to place. For example, the construction of the Lincoln Memorial lasted 8 years. During this period of time, the Potomac River on the National Mall had been filled while the stone from Colorado, Tennessee, and Indiana was extracted. What accompanied the change of the landscape was the change of water flow, vegetation, infrastructure, land use and livelihood.

In order to better understand the process, the paired landscape, the Washington Monument and its quarries in Cockeysville are examined in the thesis. There are two reasons for the selection: First, 90% of the exterior marbles of the Washington Monument came from the same place. Secondly, the Washington Monument was the first built memorial on the National Mall. Its design and construction has a great impact on the landscape of the National Mall (Figures 2.3, 2.4).
The construction of the Washington Monument lasted 40 years. It began in 1848, and was halted from 1854 to 1877. The second phase was from 1880–1884. The final structure was completed in 1884. Cockeysville marble composed the whole outer surface of the Washington Monument. Each stone is 14 to 18 inches thick and 2-foot high. During the first phase from 1848-1854, its white marble exterior came from the Texas quarry in the 19th-century town of Cockeysville, Maryland. In the second phase, three courses from 152–156 feet came from Sheffield, Massachusetts. All other courses of white marble came from the Beaver Dam quarry, also in Cockeysville. Cockeysville is a town located to the north of the Baltimore Beltway along Interstate 83 and York Road. It is in the valley underlain with white marble. The Texas quarry was named after the town’s old name which is known as Texas by old local people. Today the Texas quarry is operated by Lafarge Company which produces crushed stone and high-purity calcite. Beaver Dam quarry is flooded and has been used as swimming pool since 1930s.
Layered study is applied in the analysis of the two sites (Figure 3.3). The site is divided into three layers: the natural form, the built form and the physical elements associated with the construction of the Washington Monument and stone quarrying in Cockeysville. Comparisons are made among them in order to understand their relationships.

1. The natural form is studied through physiography, hydrology and geology. Each site has its own natural form. It reveals its intrinsic character. The characters of the two sites are very different, but the common feature is that they are both in process.

2. The built form of each site is presented as the sequence of the historic development of the places. In Cockeysville, it is from quarrying activities. On the National Mall, it comes from the plans and designs for the city. The construction of monument and the National Mall made the Cockeysville Marble history famous and stimulated the growth of the built form in Cockeysville.

3. The elements left by constructing the Washington Monument and quarrying stones simultaneously are embedded in the built forms. They could be found by the study of the interrelated history associated with laborers, earth change and railroads.

4. The built form changes the geology of the sites. Some of them preserve and enhance the identity of the natural form, some do not adapt to it.

5. Ecological change caused by the process of the construction of the Washington Monument and quarrying stones simultaneously affected the past development of the built forms as the natural forms.

INTERRELATED HISTORY

In history, the Baltimore & Ohio railroad and the Baltimore & Pennsylvania Railroad played important roles in transporting marbles from Cockeysville to Washington DC. The Washington Branch of Baltimore & Ohio railroad was opened in 1835. The Baltimore & Potomac Railroad was completed in 1872. The two railroads speeded up the development of the town Cockeysville as the materials supplier for Washington DC. According to the report of A History of Washington Monument, during the construction time of the Washington Monument, marbles from the quarries were delivered to Washington DC by the Baltimore & Ohio and Baltimore & Pennsylvania Railroad. Marbles had been dragged through the streets of Washington by workmen and anyone else who could seize a line of the cumbersome vehicle transporting it from the railroad station to the site. In 1880, a new track was built for transporting marbles. With the permission of the District authorities, the marble contractor was authorized to construct this track in the grounds of the Washington Monument from the Baltimore & Pennsylvania tracks on Maryland Avenue. This permitted delivery of marbles from the quarry in Maryland direct to the stone sheds.

Today, we can experience the route of marble shipping by taking the Maryland Area Regional Commuter (MARC) train from Washington DC to Baltimore, then to Cockeysville by transferring to Light Rail on the Northern Center Railway (Figure 3.1). The MARC runs on some of the old B&O Railroad. The Northern Center Railway was completed in 1858. Before that, there was Baltimore & Susquehanna Railroad running from Baltimore to the end of York Road in Cockeysville. These two railroads were responsible for the marble transportation from the quarries to Baltimore before being loaded to the B&O and B&P railroad during the first and second phases of construction. The construction of the monument was not only speeded up by the railroad, but also the increasing number of laborers. In Washington DC, according to the records of payrolls from 1880 to 1895, 57 workmen were employed, including stone masons, stone cutters, carpenters, helper, diggers, laborers and sunshine. ‘This number was increased to 118 during the second phase’. In Cockeysville, Irish immigrants escaping Ireland’s Great Famine came and settled down in the area. They made their living by working in the quarry mines. The village was transformed into an established Irish enclave by 1880. But due to the discoveries of cheaper and

3. Ibid.
better quality marble and limestone in other places in the first several decades of the 20th century, many quarry owners abandoned the Texas quarries. Fewer workers were needed. The village of Texas finally dried up. Today, the St. Joseph’s Parish built by the Irish immigrants in 1852 and stone houses built in the mid-1800s can be found along Church Lane.

Earth movement, infrastructure for transportation and laborers were indispensable parts of the process of quarrying and building the monument. They left marks on the two sites simultaneously. However, these marks evolved in different forms due to different developments (figure 3.5). In Washington, the B&O Rail depot was relocated from Pennsylvania Avenue to the New Jersey Avenue in 1851. In 1907, both the New Jersey Avenue Depot and the B&P Railroad Station which was located on the National Mall were relocated to the new Union Station as the National Mall was planned to be a public space in the McMillan Plan (Steckelberg, 2016). The filled land around the Washington Monument grounds was redesigned in 2013. In Cockeysville, all the marks remained there due to a lack of plan. We can see the holes, the mounds, the train stations and a historic Irish church and houses. They only grow or disappear with the rise and decline of the quarrying industry.

The physical links are embedded in the built forms. In Cockeysville, they are hidden in the disperse elements, while on the National Mall, the links are recognizable, except the Monument.
Washington DC is on the coastal plain. The National Mall is within the Potomac Estuary & Lowlands District, which is sitting on the flood plain of Potomac River and the lowest part of the city.

Cockeysville is in the Piedmont Plateau Province. It is located in the Timonium Valley District, which is a broad flat-bottomed valley underlain by Cockeysville Marble. Chemical weathering of the marble produces a distinctive dolomite sand and numerous pinnacles and residual boulders. It is surrounded by four interconnected gently rolling to subdued hilly dome-like uplands underlain mainly by Baltimore Gneiss.

Located in the flood plain of Potomac River, the National Mall has experienced periodic flooding from Potomac River flooding and stormwater flooding since its completion in 1880s. The effects will be intensified by the global climate change in the future. The area is under higher threat of the sea level rise, because it is on the lowest part of the coastal plain and facing directly with the rising water level.
Cockeysville is in the Loch Raven Reservoir watershed. It is influenced by the Beaver Dam Run subshed, Oregon subshed, Goodwin Run subshed and Western Run Loch Raven Subshed. The main surface water of Goodwin Run and Beaver Dam Run flows northeast to the Loch Raven Reservoir. The Loch Raven Reservoir watershed occupies a large area of Baltimore County and provides drinking water for the City of Baltimore and most of Baltimore County, Maryland.

Determined by the physiographic characters, the weathered limestone forms underground with many pocket-like holes. It can hold large amounts of groundwater. Surface water disappears in some places through sink holes. The water table in the area is high.

The current quarrying activities in the area keep pumping the water out of the pit, increasing the risk of groundwater level drop. In addition, the quarrying generates more sink holes on the surface, aggravating the pollution of aquifer.
The design aims at transforming the severely damaged place into a beautiful, healthy and public environment by respecting its natural processes and industrial history.

PHASING - COCKEYSVILLE

The landscape will grow over time. As the underground water level rises in the pit naturally, the shifting hydrology drives new uses, earthwork, circulation and tree planting on the site.
“It was L’Enfant’s idea that seminal source of the design for Washington would be the meeting of the city and the river, and that the design of the city would join the force of the region, the Potomac River. So from the White House, there would have been a great sweeping view down a long stretch of the Potomac, and from the Capitol a vista across an expanse of the water of the river as a foreground for the Virginia Hills.”

- Edmund N. Bacon, Design of Cities, p.222

In L’Enfant’s plan for Washington DC, major buildings were put on the high points of the land. Two axes united the White House and the Capitol Building with the Potomac River. Diagonal lines connected other elements, creating dynamic interrelationships with the region.

The form inspired the design structure of the Cockeysville site. The structure integrates distributed individual elements into a whole system. The character and history of the site can be read through the design entirety, rather than just the fragments. The force of lines sets in motion the growing process of the landscape.
WATER FLOW - COCKEYSVILLE
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Figure 4.6: Water circulation

Figure 4.7: Water change over time

WATER PURIFICATION PROCESS - COCKEYSVILLE
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Figure 4.8: Goodwin Run flow

Figure 4.9: Water cleaning strategies. Several strategies are applied to purify the Goodwin Run water before it recharges the aquifer and flows out of the area to the Loch Raven Reservoir.

Figure 4.10: Goodwin Run flow
Build
Build all on three terraces here during quarrying to allow land to grow within the design structure.

Remove
Soil is removed, allowing the connection of the wetland and the Goodwin Run.

Figure 4.10: Topography plan

Figure 4.11: Earthwork strategies

Figure 4.12: Planting plan

VEGETATION - COCKEYSVILLE

TOPOGRAPHIC DESIGN - COCKEYSVILLE

Figure 4.13: Topography plan

Figure 4.13: Planting plan
A main new oak loop trail is designed based on the existing loop. Several other trails linked by the main loop providing multiple experiences for visitors.

There were plans to add an infill station at Texas. It is still uncompleted. It is not only the start of the trail connecting the existing Warren Road station, but also the old name Texas of the area which was the quarry for the Washington Monument.

The water trail under the W Warren Road Bridge, brings people to the old quarry site, the abandoned Beaver Dam quarry.

Industrial facilities are transformed to be the visitor center and recreational structure.
Figure 4.18: Detail plan

Figure 4.19: Topographic design

Figure 4.20: Bird-eye view. Existing ramp is maintained. New designed retaining walls heighten the unique vertical edges of the quarry face.
The designed height from the bottom of the quarry to the summit of the mound constructs connection with the Washington Monument ideologically.

The size of the canal reminds visitors of the identity of the site as the material source of the Washington Monument. The proportion of the stones and people recalls the ancient quarrying history.

Figure 4.22: Cross section.

Figure 4.23: Sketch of the Canal.

Figure 4.24: History photo of quarrying (top right)
The design explored the landscape around the Tidal Basin after the sea level rises in the future. Two canals are created to adapt to the rising water. A new island will appear on the south end of the National Mall, recalling L’Enfant’s North South axis.

The landscape will grow over time. As the sea level rises, the Tidal Basin will acquire different forms at different phases. The changing water levels require new earthwork, circulation, and tree planting at the National Mall.
The form of the quarry edge in Cockeysville inspired the waterfront design of the Tidal Basin for the adaptation to sea level rise.
Figure 4.34: Cherry Hill waterfront changes as sea level rises.
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**Figure 4.34:** Cherry Hill waterfront changes as sea level rises.
This thesis studied the National Mall and the quarries that supported its construction. Understanding their relationships and how the relationships can inform the future design of the two landscapes is the goal and challenge of the thesis.

McHarg’s writing about the two landscapes in Design with Nature is a critical starting point for the exploration. The key method applied here is to distinguish the built form from natural form of the each site and make comparisons among them.

The design of the two sites aims at creating landscapes that respect and adapt to the natural processes. By using shifting hydrologies at both sites, new land uses, earthwork, urban forest (tree canopy) in the future can be imagined. At the same time, materials, forms, and histories discovered in the study link the two landscape designs. The designs recall the past connections between the sites and construct new physical and symbolic relationships.
Figure 1.1: The Physiographic features of the Valley and its bird’s eye view.
Figure 1.2: The given form and made form of Washington DC.
Figure 3.2: Aerial photos of Cockeysville marble valley, National Mall.
From google earth
Figure 3.6: The map of the National Mall in 1900.
Figure 3.8: The physical links with the National Mall left by quarrying.
From https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ekrobArD_RM
Figure 3.11: The physical links with Cockeysville
Figure 3.18: Prediction and impact of sea level rise on the National Mall.
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