

Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Problem statement.....	3
1.3. Research objective.....	5
1.4. Thesis outline.....	5
Chapter 2: Literature review.....	6
2.1. Review of queuing network based manufacturing models.....	6
2.2. Review of lot sizing and lead-time effect on MRP system	8
2.3. Review of planned lead-time estimation methods.....	10
Chapter 3: Approach	12
3.1. General assumptions.....	13
3.2. Dynamic programming based optimization routine.....	13
3.2.1. General approach.....	14
3.2.2. Principle of optimality.....	16
3.3. Queuing network theory based manufacturing system model.....	19
3.3.1. Basic elements of queuing model.....	19
3.3.2. Analysis of approximate GI/G/m queues.....	20
3.3.2.1. Basic GI/G/1 open queue network and decomposition analysis.....	21
3.3.2.2. Notations	23
3.3.2.3. Mean arrival rates.....	23
3.3.2.4. Mean values of GI/G/1 queuing system.....	24
3.3.2.5. Output process of GI/G/1 queue system.....	25
3.3.2.6. Traffic and traffic variability equations.....	25
3.3.3. GI/G/m queuing model with interference.....	27
3.3.4. Summary of queuing model discussion	27

3.3.5. MPX.....	28
3.3.5.1 Theory behind MPX.....	28
3.3.5.2. Input parameters of a MPX model.....	29
3.3.5.3. Limitations of modeling in MPX.....	29
Chapter 4: Methodology.....	31
4.1. Overcoming the MPX limitations.....	33
4.1.1. Unlimited buffer capacity.....	33
4.1.2. Introducing time phasing among lots.....	33
4.1.3. Discussion on using steady state average values	37
4.1.3.1. Determining the arrival rate of a lot.....	38
4.1.3.2. MPX steady state values vs. simulation results.....	40
4.1.3.3. Unbiased nature of steady state values.....	43
4.2. Assumptions on lot-sizing problem formulation.....	47
4.3. Notation definition.....	48
4.4. Problem formulation.....	49
4.5. Determination of lot release dates.....	50
4.6. Lead time estimation in the MPX model.....	52
4.7. Concept of early starting	54
4.8. Algorithm.....	56
4.9. Algorithm execution.....	60
4.10. Approximating MPX results.....	61
4.11. Limitations of SLLS algorithm	62
Chapter 5: Modeling a manufacturing system in MPX.....	63
5.1. Input menu bar.....	63
5.1.1. General data	63
5.1.2. Labor data.....	64
5.1.3. Equipment data.....	65
5.1.4. Product data.....	66

5.2. Run menu bar.....	68
5.3. Output menu bar.....	68
Chapter 6: Simulation model and methodology	69
6.1. Modeling logic.....	69
6.2. Discussion on different ARENA template modules.....	70
6.3. Discussion of the statistical issues	73
6.4. Estimation procedure and statistical issues.....	74
6.4.1. Computation.....	75
Chapter 7: Experimental design.....	76
7.1. Wagner-Whitin (WW) algorithm.....	76
7.2. Lead-time estimation method.....	78
7.3. Experiment methodology.....	79
7.4. Design of experiments.....	79
7.5. Performance measures.....	85
Chapter 8: Experiment results and discussion.....	87
8.1. Parameter setting.....	87
8.2. Results	87
8.3. Results discussion	93
8.3.1. Results summary	93
8.3.2. Effect of number of workstations that parts visit on the percentage of lead-time error	93
8.3.3. Effect of number of workstations that parts visit on WIP cost	95
8.4. Effect of safety factor K	100
8.5. Tractability.....	104
Chapter 9: Conclusion.....	105
9.1 Future Research	106
Bibliography	107

Appendix A: Experiment 7 Results	110
Vita	135

List of Figures

Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the proposed approach	12
Figure 2: General schematic diagram of dynamic programming.....	15
Figure 3: Schematic diagram of modified dynamic programming for lot-sizing model.....	16
Figure 4: Node representation of the multi period lot-sizing problem	17
Figure 5: Elementary GI/G/1 queue station	22
Figure 6: Description of the simultaneous lot size and lead-time setting (SLLS) methodology.....	32
Figure 7: Display of time phasing concept in MPX.....	35
Figure 8: Display of “DELAY” concept in MPX for lot2	35
Figure 9: Display of “DELAY” concept in MPX for lot1	36
Figure 10: Display of “production period” parameter in MPX.....	40
Figure 11: Parts routing 1	41
Figure 12: Parts routing 2.....	44
Figure 13: Node representation of the two-period lot-sizing problem	44
Figure 14: Description of cumulative time concept.....	52
Figure 15: Concept of early starting	55
Figure 16: Display of “General data” submenu in MPX.....	64
Figure 17: Display of “Labor” submenu in MPX	65
Figure 18: Display of “Equipment” submenu in MPX	66
Figure 19: Display of “Product” submenu in MPX	67
Figure 20: Display of “Output” menu bar in MPX	68
Figure 21: High-level description of simulation model	71
Figure 22: Description of lot n operation-1 module	72
Figure 23: Description of lot n operation-2 module	72

Figure 24: Description of lot n record module	73
Figure 25: Description of experiment methodology.....	80
Figure 26: Routing of parts visiting two workstations.....	84
Figure 27: Routing of parts visiting four workstations.....	84
Figure 28: Routing of parts visiting eight workstations.....	85
Figure 29: Number of workstations that parts visit vs. percentage of lead-time error for the conventional method	94
Figure 30: Number of workstations that parts visit vs. percentage of lead-time error for the SLLS method.....	95
Figure 31: Comparison of WIP cost with respect to number of workstations that parts visit for demand values at level one	96
Figure 32: Comparison of WIP cost with respect to number of workstations that parts visit for demand values at level two	97
Figure 33: Comparison of WIP cost with respect to number of workstations that parts visit for demand values at level three	98
Figure 34: Comparison of WIP cost with respect to number of workstations that parts visit for demand values at level four	98
Figure 35: Comparison of WIP cost with respect to number of workstations that parts visit for demand values at level five	99
Figure 36: Safety factor vs. lead-time error estimated for the conventional method ...	101
Figure 37: Safety factor vs. total cost of the conventional production plan.....	102
Figure 38: Safety factor vs. Number of tardy jobs caused by the conventional production plan.....	103
Figure 39: The determination of simulation length for Experiment 7.....	134

List of Tables

Table 1: Comparison between MPX and simulation results	42
Table 2: Set of demand values and lot size.....	45
Table 3: Comparison of the decisions made by using both the steady state average values and the simulation results.....	46
Table 4: Description of demand values allotted for each i^{th} level	82
Table 5: Description of number of machines allotted for each j^{th} level.....	82
Table 6: Arrangement for a Two-Factor Factorial Design	83
Table 7: General arrangement of parameters for different experiments	88
Table 8: Comparison of lot size estimated by conventional and SLLS methods.....	89
Table 9: Comparison of PLT estimated by conventional and SLLS methods.....	90
Table 10: Comparison of percentage of lead-time error	91
Table 11: Comparison of total production cost	92
Table 12: Summary of results	93
Table 13: Experiment 7-Conventional - Wagner-Whitin Algorithm.....	110
Table 14: Experiment 7-Conventional - Wagner-Whitin algorithm results.....	111
Table 15: Experiment 7-Conventional – Lead estimation (K=2).....	111
Table 16: Experiment 7-Conventional – Lot size and PLT	111
Table 17: Experiment 7-SLLS - PLT updating.....	112
Table 18: Experiment 7-SLLS - Lot sizing results.....	112
Table 19: Experiment 7-SLLS – PLT results.....	113
Table 20: Experiment 7-Conventional – % lead-time error estimation.....	113
Table 21: Experiment 7-Conventional – Holding cost & late penalty.....	114
Table 22: Experiment 7-Conventional – WIP cost & setup cost.....	114
Table 23: Experiment 7-SLLS - % lead-time error estimation.....	115
Table 24: Experiment 7-SLLS– Holding cost & late penalty.....	115

Table 25: Experiment 7-SLLS – WIP cost & setup cost.....116