Virginia Cooperative Extension

REVISED 2000



Tobacco



Burley Tobacco Variety Information for 2000

C. A. Wilkinson, D. R. Peek, T. D. Reed, and C. S. Johnson*

Four new varieties will be commercially available to tobacco producers in 2000. NC 2000 and R 712 met the chemical and physical standards in the 1998 Regional Variety Evaluation Program. R 630 and NBH 98 met the chemical and physical standards in the 1997 and 1995 Regional Variety Evaluation Program, respectively. Growers are advised to plant only a limited acreage of any new variety until more information and experience is available from a wider range of soil and climatic conditions.

NC 2000 (tested as DH 408) was developed by North Carolina State University. This is the first variety to be released with some resistance to blue mold. The blue mold resistance is derived from Ovens 62, an Australian variety. NC 2000 is lower yielding but similar in quality to KY 14. It is resistant to tobacco mosaic virus and wildfire.

R 630 (tested as R 99x) and **R 712** (tested as R 710) were developed by Rickard Seeds. R 630 is similar to TN 90 for yield and disease resistance. It matures about a week earlier than TN 90. R 630 has a small stalk and is easy to handle. R 712 is a stand up variety with good quality. It is moderate to late in maturity. R 712 is resistant to tobacco mosaic virus and black root rot. It has no resistance to black shank.

NBH 98 (tested as N 5789) was developed by Newton Seed. It was similar in yield and quality to KY 14 and VA 509 when averaged across eight test locations. It is medium early in maturity and has a low level of resistance to black shank.

Information is provided for widely grown and recently released varieties in Tables 1 to 3 of this publication. Average performance of twelve varieties in the 1999 Virginia Official Variety Tests (OVT) are shown in Table 1. These tests were conducted in Washington (B. Miller, Jr. farm, G. Thomas farm, and Southwest Virginia Agricultural Research and

Extension Center), Lee (H. Scott farm), and Scott (L. Culbertson farm) counties under the joint supervision of Extension agents in the respective counties and Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University research and Extension personnel. Testing in various locations throughout the production area makes it possible to evaluate varietal performance under the widely ranging soil and weather conditions existing in Virginia. Such a testing program also provides an opportunity for producers to observe burley tobacco varieties under field conditions in their particular region. Contact the Extension agent in your county to arrange a visit to the on-farm variety test nearest you and to learn of tours of tobacco on-farm tests.

Data in Table 1 are for only one year and the results may not be indicative of what might be obtained in other years. Where available, averages that include 1995 to 1999 data are also presented in Table 2. Do not compare the average yield of varieties unless each variety was grown the same number of years. Yields in 1995 were low due to a combination of a dry growing season and the presence of blue mold.

Information on agronomic performance and disease resistance levels is given in Table 3. In addition to yield, quality potential, and ease of handling, the history of various disease problems on your farm should weigh into the decision of which variety is best suited to your production system. Varietal resistance alone cannot prevent losses to diseases. Any variety may suffer damage when disease causing organisms are present and when weather conditions favor their development. An effective pest management program will also include crop rotation and other cultural control practices. Combining varietal resistance with crop rotation, early root destruction, and proper use of labeled pesticides is the only way to achieve consistent, cost-effective pest control

^{*} Associate Professor of Agronomy; Extension Specialisí, Burley Tobacco; Extension Agronomist, Tobacco; and Extension Plant Pathologist, Tobacco, respectively; Virginia Tech, Southern Piedmont Agricultural Research and Extension Center, Blackstone, VA.





Table 1. Results from Virginia Burley Tobacco Variety Tests, Yield, Value, Price and Grade Index, 1999. 1

			Southwest VA	est VA	B. H.	B. H. Miller	G. Thomas	mas	H. Scott	cott	L. Cul	Culbertson
	State Average	verage	AR	AREC	farm	n	farm	ш	farm	m	farm	m
	Yield	Price ²	Yield	Price	Yield	Price	Yield	Price	Yield	Price	Yield	Price
Variety	Ibs/A	\$/cwt	Ibs/A	\$/cwt	Ibs/A	\$/cwt	Ibs/A	\$/cwt	lbs/A	\$/cwt	lbs/A	\$/cwt
KY 14	2478	170	2140	172	3230	173	2620	168	2990	173	1550	161
KY 910	2571	186	2193	185	3000	184	2140	189	3170	188	1920	187
TN 86	2750	188	2738	184	3250	193	2650	189	3370	184	1640	190
TN 90	2779	182	2674	174	3270	179	2140	191	3070	184	2100	190
79 NT	2888	186	2422	186	3020	190	2600	190	3320	176	2790	190
Bu 21 x KY 10	2790	178	2570	164	3310	176	2810	187	3240	184	2040	189
KY 14 x L8	2861	187	2864	180	3220	191	2620	191	3440	188	1920	190
NC BH129	3017	185	2567	184	3230	191	2130	170	3230	176	3040	190
Clay's 403	2875	182	2201	165	3360	189	2590	169	3240	188	2700	187
HY 502	2739	185	2567	177	2900	189	2450	189	3310	188	2180	187
PF 561	2636	183	2452	185	3030	189	2280	185	3320	184	1740	173
R 711	2701	177	2293	172	3060	189	2500	189	3320	166	2130	180
Location Average	2757	182	2473	177	3157	186	2461	184	3252	182	2146	185
	Value	Grade ³	Value	Grade	Value	Grade	Value	Grade	Value	Grade	Value	Grade
	\$/A	Index	\$/A	Index	\$/A	Index	\$/A	Index	\$/A	Index	\$/A	Index
KY 14	4250	27	3693	57	5585	28	4410	45	5225	89	2495	43
KY 910	4787	99	4070	63	5519	28	4053	2	2962	82	3591	61
1N 86	5150	19	5030	61	6262	<i>L</i> 9	5019	9	9619	9/	3113	62
06 NI	5034	09	4664	51	5857	48	4092	70	5632	78	3982	62
79 NT	5355	65	4505	09	5751	69	4950	74	2860	99	5304	65
Bu 21 x KY 10	4969	27	4221	42	5832	45	5250	26	5977	9/	3847	65
KY 14 x L8	5359	89	5155	55	6139	73	4994	74	6490	80	3651	64
NC BH129	5587	65	4728	19	6165	75	3628	37	5675	62	5781	62
Clay's 403	5279	63	3620	38	6335	75	4369	38	6100	9/	5059	63
HY 502	2090	19	4557	55	5482	73	4626	70	6235	77	4085	62
PF 561	4838	64	4527	99	5727	75	4220	28	2609	74	3002	42
R 711	4762	54	3953	43	5784	89	4721	69	5486	50	3823	53

¹ Tests were conducted in Washington (Southwest Virginia Ag. Res. and Ext. Ctr., B. H. Miller Jr., and G. Thomas farms), Lee (H. Scott farm), and Scott (L. Culbertson farm) counties in 1999.

² Based on season average prices for Virginia.

³ Grade index is a numerical quality rating based on government grade. High ratings are best.

Table 2. Virginia Burley Tobacco Official Variety Test Results by Years, Southwest Virginia Agricultural Research and Extension Center, Glade Spring, VA.

dex ³	1999	57	62	63	61	51	09	42	55	61	54	38	55	99	43	L
	1998	74	9/		72	73	75	75	74	72	74	9/	92	74	92	1
Grade Index ³	1997	55	55		89	63	69	54	47	72	43	20	59	99	62	C L
S	1996	70	9/		78	75		77	92	92	92	73	82	77	92	1
	1995	71			77	75		73	98	73	77	9/		74	69	1
	1999	3693	4566	4070	5030	4664	4505	4221	5155	4728	4423	3620	4557	4527	3953	000
Value ² , \$/A	1998	4904	4095		4730	4433	4749	4950	4859	4557	4544	5180	5074	4968	6699	1000
	1997	4234	4099		5179	4745	4685	4895	4327	4637	4363	4883	4404	4575	4999	1
	1996	3273	3440		4412	4063		4641	4114	3998	3959	3794	4208	4333	4077	1
	1995	2723			2654	2709		2847	3078	2798	2859	2876		2613	2540	
	Avg.	2038	2161	2193	2349	2232	2457	2364	2330	2215	2192	2225	2456	2247	2302	
	1999	2140	2488	2193	2738	2674	2422	2570	2864	2567	2552	2201	2567	2452	2293	
Yield,/lbs/A	1998	2576	2160		2483	2330	2491	2613	2576	2399	2400	2736	2677	2623	3007	
Yield	1997	2251	2164		2734	2523	2459	2614	2352	2461	2344	2604	2340	2433	2657	1
	1996	1741	1830		2347	2162		2476	2189	2127	2110	2018	2238	2305	2169	0110
	1995	1481			1441	1473		1548	1671	1521	1556	1566		1420	1382	1001
Variety or	Hybrid	KY 14	KY 908	KY 910	1N 86	1N 90	79 NT	Bu 21 x KY 10	KY 14 x L 8	NC BH 129	Coop 313	Clay's 403	HY 502	PF 561	R 711	47 47

¹ Averages are not directly comparable unless the number of years is equivalent.

² Based on season average prices for Virginia.
³ Grade index is a numerical quality rating based on government grade. High ratings are best.

Table 3. Agronomic and Disease Information for Varieties Tested at the Southwest Virginia Agricultural Research and Extension Center, Glade Spring, VA.

	Days to	Plant height	Leaf	Leaf Length	Leaf Width		Disease Reaction ¹				
Variety	Flower	(in.)	No.	(in.)	(in.)	BS	BRR	TMV	WF		
KY 14	64	47.8	17.2	26.9	11.4	S	M	Н	Н		
KY 908 ²	57	44.5	17.5	29.4	13.7	M	H	H	H		
KY 910 ²	56	48.4	17.1	29.9	13.3	L	H	Н	H		
TN 86 ²	67	52.2	22.1	28.8	13.4	M	H	S	H		
$TN 90^2$	64	55.9	20.5	28.8	12.8	M	H	H	H		
TN 97 ²	66	51.8	21.5	28.4	12.9	M	H	H	H		
Bu 21 x KY 10	61	50.3	18.5	28.9	13.0	S	L	H	H		
KY 14 x L8	56	42.7	17.4	33.5	14.2	3	M	H	H		
NC BH129	56	48.3	17.5	30.3	13.3	S	H	H	H		
Coop 313	62	48.3	18.9	28.2	12.6	S	MH	H	H		
Clay's 403	66	56.0	19.9	27.2	11.5	S	M	Н	Н		
HY 502	60	48.8	16.0	28.7	12.4	M	H	S	H		
PF 561	61	52.0	19.0	29.2	13.3	M	H	H	H		
R 711	66	45.3	17.7	29.0	11.9	S	M	H	H		

¹ BS = black shank; BRR = black root rot; TMV = tobacco mosaic virus; and WF = wildfire. Resistance levels: H = high; M = moderate; L = low; S = susceptible, and — = not determined.

Disclaimer

Commercial products are named in this publication for information purposes only. Virginia Cooperative Extension and Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University do not endorse these products and do not intend discrimination against other products which also may be suitable.

BULK RATE

POSTAGE & FEES PAID

POSTAGE & FEES PAID

Virginia Cooperative Extension U.S. Department of Agriculture Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

² High resistance to tobacco vein mottling virus and medium resistance to tobacco etch virus.

³ High resistance to race 0 and no resistance to race 1.