

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
DEDICATION	vii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	viii
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Problem Description and Scope.....	1
1.2 Research Objectives	1
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	9
2.1 Introduction.....	9
2.2 The Time-Dependent Shortest Path Problem.....	9
2.3 Minimum Risk Routing of Hazardous Materials on Transportation Networks.....	13
2.4 Distribution, Location-Allocation and Simulation Models on Networks for Emergency Response and Risk Management.....	16
2.5 Optimal Design of Water Distribution Systems.....	24
2.6 Euclidean Distance and l_p Distance Location and Location-Allocation Problems...	29
CHAPTER 3. THE TIME-DEPENDENT SHORTEST PAIR OF DISJOINT PATHS PROBLEM: COMPLEXITY, MODELS, AND ALGORITHMS.....	36
3.1 Introduction.....	36
3.2 Motivating Examples	38
3.3 Complexity Results	40
3.4 A 0-1 Linear Programming Model for Problem TD-2SP.....	47
3.5 Computational Results	59

3.6 Summary, Conclusions and Future Research	64
CHAPTER 4. LOW-PROBABILITY HIGH CONSEQUENCE CONSIDERATIONS IN A MULTIOBJECTIVE APPROACH TO RISK MANAGEMENT.....	66
4.1 Introduction	66
4.2 Modeling Considerations.....	67
4.3 A Discrete Fractional Programming Optimization Approach.....	72
4.4 Illustrative Example	80
4.5 Computational Experience	83
4.6 Case Study.....	84
4.7 Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations for Future Research.....	91
CHAPTER 5. OPPORTUNITY COST BASED MODELS FOR TRAFFIC INCIDENT RESPONSE PROBLEMS	94
5.1 Introduction	94
5.2 The m -Incident, n -Response Problem.....	96
5.3 Polynomially Solvable Special Cases Of The MIMR Problem	100
5.4 Computational Experience And An Alternative Model And Heuristic	107
5.5. Practical Implementation Issues: Integration Within WAIMSS	112
5.6 Summary And Discussion.....	115
CHAPTER 6. EFFECTIVE RELAXATION AND PARTITIONING SCHEMES FOR SOLVING WATER DISTRIBUTION NETWORK DESIGN PROBLEMS TO GLOBAL OPTIMALITY	124
6.1 Introduction	124

6.2 Model Formulation	126
6.3 Lower and Upper Bounding Problems.....	132
6.4 A Branch-and-Bound Algorithm.....	142
6.5. A Reformulation-Linearization Technique for Computing Enhanced Lower Bounds	149
6.6 Computational Experience	154
6.7 Summary and Conclusions	161
CHAPTER 7. A GLOBAL OPTIMIZATION PROCEDURE FOR THE CAPACITATED EUCLIDEAN AND l_p DISTANCE MULTIFACILITY LOCATION- ALLOCATION PROBLEMS.....	176
7.1 Introduction.....	176
7.2 A Branch-and-Bound Algorithm Using a Projected Location Space Bounding Scheme.....	178
7.3 A Reformulation-Linearization Technique for Computing Enhanced Lower Bounds	185
7.4 Alternative Branching Scheme for the Euclidean Distance Location-Allocation Problem.....	196
7.5. Extension to the Case of l_p Distances	200
7.6 Computational Experience	201
7.7 Summary and Conclusions	209
REFERENCES.....	217
VITA.....	237

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE NO.
Figure 3.1. An example of a TD-2SP problem.	39.
Figure 3.2. An instance of RTD-2SP that is equivalent to the partition problem.	42
Figure 3.3. Link delay function $D(t)$ for Corollary 2.	44
Figure 3.4. Link delay function $D(t)$ for Corollaries 4 and 5.	45
Figure 3.5. Link delay functions $D_1(t)$ and $D_2(t)$ for Corollary 5.	46
Figure 3.6. Generation of $G_T(N_T, A_T)$ and the solution of TD-1SP on G .	48
Figure 3.7. Network G having Time-Dependent Link Delays.	52
Figure 3.8. Time-space network G_T corresponding to the graph G of Figure 3.7.	52
Figure 4.1. Example to Illustrate the Concept of Conditional Expectation.	69
Figure 4.2. Cycles among arcs with unit flows.	74
Figure 4.3. Illustrative Example of Section 4.3.	80
Figure 4.4. Sample Test Network for $p = 2$ and $r = 3$.	84
Figure 4.5. Illustration and Computations for the Case Study.	86
Figure 5.1. Example for illustrating the effect of opportunity costs.	98
Figure 6.1. Plot of $q(\lambda)$ for Concave-Convex Case (a).	140
Figure 6.2. Relaxation of $q(\lambda)$ for Concave-Convex Case (a).	140
Figure 6.3. Plot of $q(\lambda)$ for Concave-Convex Case (b).	141
Figure 6.4. Relaxation of $q(\lambda)$ for Concave-Convex Case (b).	141
Figure 6.5. Relaxation of $q(\lambda)$ for the Concave Function.	142
Figure 6.6. Two Loop Network Configuration.	163
Figure 6.7. Hanoi Network Configuration.	163
Figure 6.8. The New York Test Network (including Parallel Pipes).	164
Figure 6.9. Blacksburg Network Configuration.	165

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE NO.
Table 3.1a. Model (3.5)	61
Table 3.1b. Model (3.7)	61
Table 3.1c. Model (3.11)	61
Table 3.2a. Model (3.5)	62
Table 3.2b. Model (3.7)	62
Table 3.2c. Model (3.11)	62
Table 3.2a. Model (3.5)	63
Table 3.2b. Model (3.7)	64
Table 3.2c. Model (3.11)	64
Table 4.1. Computational Results for Randomly Generated Test Problems.	84
Table 5.1. Comparison of the Specialized and MIP Approaches.	116
Table 5.2. CPLEX-MIP Results for MIMR-1 for Various Ranges of Response Times.	116
Table 5.3. CPLEX-MIP Results for MIMR-1 for Low Variances in Response Times.	117
Table 5.4. Comparative Results for Models MIMR-1 and MIMR-2.	118
Table 5.5. 1% Optimality Results for MIMR-2.	119
Table 5.6. 3% Optimality Results for MIMR-2.	120
Table 5.7. 5% Optimality Results for MIMR-2.	121
Table 5.8. Comparative Results for the LP-based Heuristic (LPH) and Model MIMR-2.	122
Table 6.1a. Arc Data for the Two Loop Network	165
Table 6.1b. Node Data for the Two Loop Network	165
Table 6.1c. Computational results for the two-loop network using no RLT or MSTR, for various ϵ values.	165
Table 6.1d. Computational results for the two-loop network using RLT but no MSTR, for various ϵ values.	166

Table 6.1e. Computational results for the Two Loop Network using MSTR but no RLT, for various ϵ values.	166
Table 6.1f. Computational Results for the Two Loop Network using both RLT and MSTR, for various ϵ values.	166
Table 6.1g. Optimum design for the two loop network ($\epsilon = 10^{-6}$).	167
Table 6.2a. Arc data for the Hanoi network.	167
Table 6.2b. Node Data for the Hanoi network.	168
Table 6.2c. Computational results for the Hanoi Network using MSTR but no RLT, for various ϵ values.	168
Table 6.2d. Computational results for the two-loop network using both MSTR and RLT, for various ϵ values.	168
Table 6.2e. Optimal design, flows, and pressure heads for the Hanoi network.	169
Table 6.3a. Arc Data for the New York Network (without the parallel pipes).	169
Table 6.3b. Node Data for the New York Network.	170
Table 6.3c. Computational results for the New York network using MSTR but no RLT, for various ϵ values.	170
Table 6.3d. Computational results for the New York network using both MSTR and RLT, for various ϵ values.	170
Table 6.3e. Optimal design for the New York network.	171
Table 6.4a. Arc data for the Blacksburg network.	172
Table 6.4b. Node data for the Blacksburg network.	172
Table 6.4c. Computational results for the Blacksburg network using MSTR, but no RLT, for various ϵ values.	173
Table 6.4d. Computational results for the Blacksburg network using MSTR and RLT, for various ϵ values.	173
Table 6.4e. Optimal design for the Blacksburg network.	173
Table 6.4f. Comparative results between RLT and non-RLT based bounding procedures (using MSTR) for $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$.	174

Table 6.4g. Comparative results between RLT and non-RLT based bounding Procedures (without using MSTR) for $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$.	174
Table 7.1. Supply Values for Test Problems 15-20.	212
Table 7.2. Computational Results for Problem EDLAP using the Exact Branch-and-Bound Procedure.	212
Table 7.3. Computational Results for Problem EDLAP using the Heuristic Branching Procedure.	212
Table 7.4. Comparative Computational Results for Various Branching Strategies using the RLT based Heuristic Approach.	213
Table 7.5. Computational Results for Problem l_p -LAP using the Exact Branch-and-Bound Procedure.	213
Table 7.6. Computational Results for Problem l_p -LAP using the Heuristic Branching Procedure.	214
Table 7.7. Comparative Computational Results for Various Values of p using the RLT based Heuristic Procedure.	214
Table 7.8. Computational Results for the Euclidean Distance Case using the RLT-based CP Approach with Branching Rule (7.22).	215
Table 7.9. Computational Results on selected problems for the Euclidean distance case using the RLT-based CP Approach with Branching Rule (7.22) and enhanced by Additional Supporting Constraints (7.26).	215
Table 7.10. Computational results on selected problems for the Euclidean Distance case using the RLT-based CP Approach with Branching Rule (7.18a-7.22, 7.23) and Enhanced by Additional Supporting Constraints (7.26).	216