Current and Preferred Academic Advising Styles of African American Students in the College of Engineering at Virginia Tech

by

Melendez O. Byrd

Dissertation submitted to the faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Counselor Education

APPROVED:

David E. Hutchins, Co-Chair Nancy E. Bodenhorn, Co-Chair

Gary E. Skaggs Octavia D. Madison-Colmore

Thomas H. Hohenshil James L. Moore, III

January 15, 2003 Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: African American, Engineering, Academic Advising, Prescriptive Advising, Developmental Advising

CURRENT AND PREFFERED ACADEMIC ADVISING STYLES OF AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS IN THE COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AT VIRGINIA TECH

by

Melendez O. Byrd

Counselor Education Program Area Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

David E. Hutchins & Nancy E. Bodenhorn Committee Co-Chairs

Abstract

This study was designed to explore the advising styles that are currently perceived and preferred by African American and White students in the College of Engineering at Virginia Tech. The high attrition rate of African Americans in the engineering colleges both nationally and at Virginia Tech makes it critical to investigate what can be done to increase retention. The lack of awareness of academic advising preferences could be a major component in the high attrition of African American students in the College of Engineering at Virginia Tech. Moreover, increased awareness and understanding for advisors, faculty, administrators and even students will befall, and in turn strengthen retention.

The purpose of this study was to determine; (a) the current advising African American and White students in the College of Engineering at Virginia Tech are perceiving; prescriptive versus developmental; and (b) what the preferences are for advising African American and White students in engineering; prescriptive versus developmental. This study specifically examined the comparisons between race, gender, classification, grade point average (GPA), and major.

The instrument that was administered via the Internet is called the Academic Advising Inventory (Winston & Sander, 1984). This four-part instrument measures the level of the developmental or prescriptive advising that occurs between students and advisors and student satisfaction with advising in the College of Engineering. The sample consisted of a total of 373 undergraduate engineering students, 265 (71%) males and 108 (29%) females. African Americans made up 35% (n = 132) of the sample and Whites 65% (n = 241). The sample consisted of 25% (n = 93) African American males, 10% (n = 39) African American females, 46% (n = 172) White males, and 19% (n = 69) White females. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and t-tests were conducted to analyze differences in advising style when categorized by race, gender, classification, GPA, and major.

The results revealed a significant difference between the style of academic advising currently perceived by African American and White engineering students. The majority of the African Americans reported receiving prescriptive advising and the majority of the Whites reported receiving a developmental style of advising. The results also indicated that the majority of the African American males (55%) perceived receiving a prescriptive style of advising. When looking at African Americans when categorized by classifications, all reported receiving a prescriptive style of advising. The junior class of African Americans was the only group that is borderline prescriptive/developmental. The ANOVA test also indicated a significant interaction between race and GPA. Results showed that African Americans engineering students in the GPA categories of 1.0-1.9 and 2.0-2.9 reported receiving prescriptive advising, while the 3.0-3.9 category of African Americans reported receiving developmental advising. This data was not

consistent with White students in the GPA category of 1.0-1.9. All White students regardless of GPA reported receiving a developmental style of advising. All engineering students regardless of race, gender, classification, GPA, or major preferred a developmental style of advising. African American females significantly preferred a more developmental style of advising than the other groups. A Chi square test of independence also indicated that a significantly large portion of African American students felt that their academic advisor did not understand them.

Dedication

There are many individuals that I would love to dedicate my dissertation to, but only two come to mind, my beautiful mother and wife. This is for you Jacqueline LaVerne Purdie-Lewis, and Dr. Lynelle Winona Slade-Byrd.

A wise man will hear, and will increase learning; and a man of understanding shall attain unto wise counsels. Proverbs 1:5

Hear counsel, and receive instruction, that thou mayest be wise in thy latter end. Proverbs 19:20.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank my Lord and Savior Jesus Christ for His many blessings and for giving me the strength and endurance to accomplish this goal. I continue to ask my Almighty God to bless me, and use me, that he may increase my territory, as I trust in Him with all my might and all my soul, and lean not onto my own understanding, but unto His power, as I acknowledge Him as He shall direct my paths, and keep me from evil.

Second, I would like to thank my mother, wife and family. Mama, I would like to thank you for your encouragement from day one. Abraham Lincoln once said, "All that I am or ever hope to be, I owe to my mother." You have truly been a blessing and I thank you for your many prayers and support throughout my entire life. You were there as my first coach in little league basketball, and you were there when I was playing professional football in Baltimore. Once again, I thank you for everything. You are a true example of the power of a praying mother. I thank my blessed wife, my future, my love, and my beautiful confidante. From the first day that we met in the study hall in the athletic department we both new that God had a future for us together. You are my everything and I look forward to our tomorrow and the next day, as we grow old together. I thank God everyday for blessing me with the wife that I always dreamed of. You are my best friend (and little nugget). I have truly found my virtuous woman (Proverbs 31: 10). There are three special men that I would like to thank, my father Hudson Lorenzo Byrd, Jr., my older brother Hudson Lorenzo Byrd III "Rusty," and my stepfather Charlie Bland "Coach" Lewis. You all have laid the path, I thank you three men for your guidance, support, and the many days that you showed me what it takes to become a true man. I

thank you all for your continued prayers throughout the years. I am a product of you three, and I thank you for allowing me to pilfer all of your perfect qualities that have molded me into the man that I am. I would like to thank my in-laws Mr. and Mrs David and Dianne Slade. Mr. Slade you are a true example of a God fearing man. Mrs. Slade you know I love joking with you all the time. I would like to thank my younger siblings Elliott Lorenzo Byrd and Catherine Elizabeth Byrd. Hopefully one day this dissertation may have a positive impact on your lives. I also would like to acknowledge my brother who has passed on Lyle Lorenzo Byrd. I would also like to thank Gwen Byrd and Leah Byrd. I cannot forget another important man that is like a mentor, father, and brother, Dr. Curtis J. Blakely (the battery). Thank you Doc for your continued encouragement for me to go back to school and get this degree from day one. Your presence has truly been a blessing; God has brought us together for a special reason. You and I both know that Utopia is right around the corner. I would also like to thank my grandparents Lawrence Neal Purdie, Carrie Alexine Bailey-Purdie, Hudson Lorenzo Byrd and Larnell Selma Jenkins-Byrd. I thank all of my grandparents for looking down on me through this process.

Third, I would like to thank all of my committee members for guiding me successfully through this process. I thank you all for your support, advice, encouragement, patience and guidance. Finally, I would like to thank my many friends that have supported me in many ways through this entire process: Darrell L. Hairston (soon to be Dr. Hairston), Dr. Michael K Herndon Psalm 32:8 (a true example of a Developmental advisor, thanks for all your prayers), Rev. Dr. Jerome Barber (Sixth Mount Zion Baptist Temple), Rev. Edward Seville, Rev. Ronald Freeman (St. Paul), Rev.

Dr. Edward Scott, Dr. Patrick Francis Healy (the first Black to earn a doctorate, July 26, 1865), Emet and Kimberly Laboone (soon to be Drs. Laboone), Dr. Darlene Eberhardt and little D.D., Dr. James L Moore, III (it is funny how life goes in circles), Dr. Cheryl Seals, Roderick Seals, Monique Wade, Shamara Payne, James Wynn III, Steven Thomas Jr., Dr. Charlotte Amenkhienan, Alrue Brumskine, Robin Littlejohn, Paula Spruill, Tamara Chiltion, John "Coach Q" Quillian, Dr. Spencer Baker, Dwight L. Ausbrooks, William Boatwright, Barbara Blakely, Chris White, Troy Fullwood, Michael K. Johnson, Chris Jackson, Dr. Sharrae Gravely, Dwight Vick, Candice Allen, Edward Lucas, Marcus Washington, Ced J. Reynolds, Wesley Tunning, Rick Watson, Carolyn Pollard, Aunt Joyce Byrd-Clarke, Brent Byrd, Sandra Griffith, Dr. Carl McDaniels, Coach Frank Beamer, Coach Mike Clark, David Craig, Mr. Hawkins, Crystal Cobb, Dr. Launce Brown, Larry Orie, Dr. Barbara Pendergrass, Dr. Jerry Via, Lois Berg, Bobby Joe (math tutor), Dr. Norrine Bailey Spencer, Dr. Octavia D. Madison-Colmore, Dr. Deloris Scott, Dr. Sean Warner and Norfolk State SESL faculty and staff, Coach Dennis Kozlowski, Vicki Meadows, the Office of Minority Engineering Programs, ASPIRE 01 & 02, Lockheed Martin Co., Alpha Phi Alpha Fraternity, Inc., 7 Below Zero, all of the engineering students who participated in this study, and all my many other friends and family members who I may not have mentioned. God Bless you all, Proverbs 3:5-6 (tyJ).

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Dedication	V
Acknowledgements	vi
Tables of Contents	ix
List of Tables	xi
List of Tables	xiii
CHAPTER I – INTRODUCTION	1
Statement of Problem Purpose of Study Research Questions Hypotheses Rationale of Study Limitations Definition of Terms	66 77 77 79 9
CHAPTER II – LITERATURE REVIEW	13
Historical Background of African Americans in Education Comparative Data by Race African Americans in Higher Education African Americans in Engineering African Americans at Virginia Tech Academic Advising in Higher Education Academic Advising at Virginia Tech The Importance of African American Students Communication with Faculty Hurdles Approaching Faculty at a Predominantly White Institute African American Faculty at Predominantly White Institutes	13 17 25 29 31 33 44 47 49 52
CHAPTER III – METHODOLOGY	57
Research Questions Hypotheses Participants Instrumentation Data Collection Independent and Dependent Variables	57 57 58 58 61 62

Data Analysis		62
CHAPTER IV – RES	SULTS OF STUDY	65
Demographic	Data	65
Results Based	l on Race	71
Results Based	l on Gender	75
Results Based	l on Classification	79
Results Based	l on Grade Point Average	83
Results Based	l on Major	87
Additional Da		91
Summary of N	Major Results	98
CHAPTER V – DISC	CUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	101
Overview of S	Study and Summary of Major Results	101
Discussion		105
Recommenda	tions	112
Areas for Futi	ure Research	115
REFERENCES		119
APPENDICES		129
Appendix 1	Letter to Request for Inventory Instrument	129
Appendix 2	Letter of Approval from Student Development Associates	130
Appendix 3	Request to Institutional Review Board	131
Appendix 4	Letter of Approval from Institutional Review Board	132
Appendix 5	Letter from Office of Minority Engineering Programs	133
Appendix 6	First Email sent to African American Engineering Students	134
Appendix 7	Second Email sent to African American Engineering Students	135
Appendix 8	Email sent to White Engineering Students	136
Appendix 9	Academic Advising Inventory Web Consent Form	137
Appendix 10	Academic Advising Inventory Web Survey	139
Appendix 11	Result of Web Survey Response	147
VITAE		148

List of Tables

Table 1	Percentages of African Americans and Whites in the U.S. Population for the Year 2000 by Gender	18
Table 2	Percentages of African Americans and Whites in the population Age 18-24 in the United States	18
Table 3	Percentages of Undergraduate Enrollments by Race and Gender In Higher Education Nationally	19
Table 4	Percentages of Undergraduate Students Majoring in Engineering Nationally by Race	20
Table 5	Percentages of Degrees Conferred Nationally in Engineering by Race and Gender	20
Table 6	Percentages of African American and Whites in the State of Virginia	21
Table 7	Percentages of Undergraduate Enrollments by Race and Gender In Higher Education in the State of Virginia	22
Table 8	Percentages of African Americans and Whites Majoring in Engineering in the State of Virginia	22
Table 9	Percentages of African Americans and Whites at Virginia Tech	23
Table 10	Percentages of African American and Whites Majoring in Engineering at Virginia Tech by Gender	24
Table 11	Percentages of Degrees Conferred in Engineering by Race and Gender at Virginia Tech	24
Table 12	Demographics	66
Table 13	Age of Participants by Race	67
Table 14	Class Standing and Race Relationships	68
Table 15	Classification of Major by Race	70
Table 16	Advising Style Received and Preferred	72

Table 17	Means of Advising Style Received-Inventory Score and Means of Advising Preferred	76
Table 18	Two-way Analysis of Variance based on Gender and Race for Advising Received	76
Table 19	Two-way Analysis of Variance based on Gender and Race for Advising Preferred	77
Table 20	Two-way Analysis of Variance based on Race and Classification for Advising Received	79
Table 21	Advising Received and Class Comparisons and Advising Preferred and Class Comparisons	80
Table 22	Two-way Analysis of Variance based on Race and Classification for Advising Preferred	81
Table 23	Race and GPA Comparison	84
Table 24	Advising Received and GPA Mean Comparison and Advising Preferred and GPA Mean Comparison	84
Table 25	Two-way Analysis of Variance based on Race and GPA for Advising Received	85
Table 26	Two-way Analysis of Variance based on Race and GPA for Advising Preferred	86
Table 27	Engineering Major and Advising Received and Preferred Means by Race	88
Table 28	Two-way Analysis of Variance based on Race and Major for Advising Received	88
Table 29	Two-way Analysis of Variance based on Race and Major for Advising Preferred	89
Table 30	I am Satisfied in General with the Academic Advising I have Received. Descriptive	92
Table 31	Mean Comparison of Level of Satisfaction with Advising	92
Table 32	I have Received Accurate Information about Courses, Programs, and Requirements through Academic Advising. Descriptive	93

Table 33	Mean Comparison of Students receiving Accurate Information	93
Table 34	Description of Advising	94
Table 35	Communication with Advisor	95
Table 36	Relationship with Advisor	96
Table 37	Time Per Session with Advisor	97
Table 38	Advising Sessions Per Year	98
List of Figure	S	
Figure 1		73
Figure 2		74
Figure 3		74
Figure 4		77
Figure 5		78
Figure 6a		81
Figure 6b		82
Figure 7		85
Figure 8		86
Figure 9		89
Figure 10		90