

**Design of a Cyclic Sliding, Dynamically Loaded
Wear Testing Device for the Evaluation of
Total Knee Replacement Materials**

Matthew Thomas Thompson

Thesis submitted to the faculty of the
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Mechanical Engineering

Approved by:

Dr. Jonette Rogers Foy, Co-Chair
Dr. Charles Reinholtz, Co-Chair
Dr. Harry Robertshaw

July 30, 2001
Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: wear testing device, UHMWPE wear, total knee
replacements, knee simulator

Copyright 2001, Matthew T. Thompson

Design of a Cyclic Sliding, Dynamically Loaded Wear Testing Device for the Evaluation of Total Knee Replacement Materials

Matthew T. Thompson
Department of Mechanical Engineering

Abstract

During normal walking, the relative motion of the human knee involves flexion/extension, anterior/posterior sliding, and medial/lateral rotation. As well, the knee experiences a complex, dynamic loading curve with a peak of up to seven times body weight. However, most wear testing machines that have been used to evaluate total knee replacement materials are unidirectional and/or apply only static force. This thesis presents an alternate wear testing device capable of simulating the most prevalent motions of the knee, and applying physiologically-correct loading to the material interface. By incorporating a CoCr disc, an UHMWPE block, stepping motors, pneumatic components, computer control, and linear tables in an x-y configuration, the device is capable of quickly screening new and alternative materials to UHMWPE before evaluating them on a much more expensive knee simulator. In addition, flexibility of the device allows programming of many different motion and loading configurations permitting materials testing under only certain circumstances, or evaluating the effects on wear of specific motions. Design rationale, development, validation, and future recommendations are presented.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my co-chair, Dr. Jonette Foy, for her initial guidance and continued supervision from over 250 miles away, as well as for the freedom and responsibilities that she gave me without reluctance throughout the project. I want to thank my other co-chair, Dr. Charles Reinholtz, for his help whenever it was needed and for his support in the final stages of this project. I am also grateful to Dr. Robertshaw for being a member of my committee, and for providing funding through assistantships at the most needed times.

This work would not have been possible without the financial support provided by the Optical Sciences and Engineering Research Center / Carilion[®] Health Initiative of Virginia Tech. Additionally, I would like to thank Dave Simmons and Darrell Link of the ESM Machine Shop for their exceptional work, and for putting up with my occasional design changes and constant pestering. I also appreciated the administrative assistance of Paul Siburt, Patricia Baker, and several others in the ESM office. Finally, I would like to thank my mother and father for their unconditional support throughout graduate school and their continued support beyond.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

A/A	=	Abduction/adduction
ACL	=	Anterior cruciate ligament
AP	=	Anterior/posterior, as in AP sliding
BW	=	Bodyweight
CAD	=	Computer Aided Drawing
CoCr	=	Cobalt chrome
COF	=	Coefficient of friction
F/E	=	Flexion/extension
H.S.	=	Heel strike
I/E	=	Internal/external, or internal/external rotation
OA	=	Osteoarthritis
PCI	=	Peripheral Component Interconnect
PCL	=	Posterior cruciate ligament
PS	=	Posterior stabilizing
T.O.	=	Toe off
TKR	=	Total Knee Replacement or Total Knee Replacements. The term is used to represent both the singular and the plural of the implanted devices.
UHMWPE	=	Ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene
VI	=	Virtual Instrument (Labview™)

Variables

Section 3.2.1.1

A	=	area of the required cylinder
D	=	minimum cylinder diameter (i.e., bore size).
F	=	required maximum force
P	=	maximum input pressure

Section 3.2.3.1

L	=	travel life
V	=	maximum travel rate,

Section 3.2.4

a	=	semi-contact width
E*	=	contact modulus
E ₁	=	modulus of material 1
E ₂	=	modulus of material 2
v ₁	=	Poisson's ratio of material 1
v ₂	=	Poisson's ratio of material 2
σ _{avg}	=	mean contact stress
σ _{max}	=	maximum contact stress
R	=	effective curvature
P	=	applied load
w	=	width of the CoCr

Section 3.2.7.1

A	=	operating pulses required by the motor
θ _s	=	motor resolution
f ₁	=	starting pulse speed
t ₁	=	acceleration period
t ₀	=	positioning period
μ	=	coefficient of friction
W	=	maximum load on each rod
R	=	CoCr disc radius
J _o	=	rotor inertia
J ₁	=	total inertia
g	=	gravitational constant (386 in/s ²)
J _x	=	inertia of a cylinder

ρ	=	material density
L	=	cylinder length
D	=	cylinder diameter
J_1	=	total inertia motor inertias
J_0	=	motor inertia

Section 3.2.7.2

A	=	operating pulses required by the motor
D	=	cylinder diameter
D_B	=	ball screw diameter
θ_s	=	motor resolution
f_1	=	starting pulse speed
f_2	=	maximum operating pulse speed
F_0	=	pilot pressure load in the table
g	=	gravitational constant (386 in/s ²)
l	=	feed per unit
t_0	=	positioning period
t_1	=	acceleration period
P_B	=	ball screw pitch
μ	=	coefficient of friction on the sliding surface
μ_0	=	coefficient of friction at the pilot pressure nut
η	=	ball screw efficiency
W	=	maximum applied load
J_o	=	rotor inertia
J_1	=	total inertia
J_B	=	ball screw inertia
J_T	=	inertia of the table and work
L_B	=	ball screw length
ρ	=	material density
T_M	=	total required torque

Table of Contents	Page
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Abbreviations and Acronyms	iv
Variables	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	2
1.2 Thesis Organization	2
2 Literature Review	4
2.1 Human Knee Anatomy and Physiology	4
2.1.1 Anatomy of the Knee	4
2.1.2 Motions of the Knee	6
2.1.3 Knee Joint Forces	9
2.2 Osteoarthritis	12
2.3 Total Knee Replacements	13
2.3.1 TKR Component Shape and Size	13
2.3.2 Contact Stresses of TKR	15
2.3.3 TKR Kinematics and Friction	17
2.3.4 TKR Failure and Revision	18
2.4 UHMWPE Wear	19
2.5 Knee Simulators	20
2.6 Simplified Wear Testing Devices	21
2.6.1 Howmedica Biaxial Line Contact Wear Machine	22
2.6.2 Cyclic Sliding Wear Testing Machine	24
2.7 Research Goals	25
3 Materials and Methods - Apparatus Design	26
3.1 Design Criteria	26
3.1.1 Loading Criterion	26
3.1.2 Maximum Contact Stress Criterion	27
3.1.3 CoCr Disc Size	27
3.1.4 Motion Criteria	27
3.1.5 Testing Criteria	28

3.2	System Designs	29
3.2.1	Loading Mechanism	29
	3.2.1.1 Pneumatic Cylinder	30
	3.2.1.2 Par-15™ Series Programmable Valve	32
3.2.2	Initial Design Concept	34
3.2.3	Table Selection	35
	3.2.3.1 AP Sliding Table	35
	3.2.3.2 Tibial Rotation Table	38
3.2.4	CoCr Disc Size	38
3.2.5	UHMWPE Tray	40
3.2.6	Apparatus Frame Design	42
	3.2.6.1 Frame Height	43
	3.2.6.2 Frame Width and Depth	44
	3.2.6.3 Structural Components	44
	3.2.6.4 Finite Element Analysis of Frame	45
3.2.7	Apparatus Motors	48
	3.2.7.1 Flexion/Extension Motors	48
	3.2.7.2 Linear Table Motors	53
3.2.8	F/E Rod and Bearing Design	59
4	Test Apparatus Assembly	60
4.1	Frame Construction	60
4.2	Integration of Components	62
	4.2.1 Linear Guides	62
	4.2.2 UHMWPE Tray	63
	4.2.3 CoCr Discs and F/E Rods	64
	4.2.4 F/E Motor Mount	64
	4.2.5 Mounting the Motors	66
4.3	Hardware Integration	66
	4.3.1 Par-15™ Valve Operation	66
	4.3.2 Motor Controllers and Drivers	68
	4.3.2.1 PCI Motion Controller	69
	4.3.2.2 Linear Guide Motor Drivers	69
	4.3.2.3 Flexion/Extension Motor Drivers	70
4.4	Software Development	72
	4.4.1 Valve Software	73
	4.4.2 Motion Control Software	75
	4.4.3 Synchronizing the VIs	77
5	Device Validation and Performance	79
5.1	Motor and Linear Guide Validation (Unloaded)	79
	5.1.1 Accuracy Measurement	80
	5.1.2 Repeatability Measurement	81
5.2	Validating the Applied Force	81
	5.2.1 Validation of the Valve	82
	5.2.2 Estimation of the Cylinder Force	82

5.2.3	Strain Gage Calibration	83
5.2.4	Loading Dynamics	86
5.3	Initial Preliminary Tests	87
5.4	Preliminary Test of UHMWPE and CoCr	88
6	Recommendations and Conclusions	90
6.1	Recommendations	91
6.2	Conclusions	93
	References	94
	Appendix A - Apparatus CAD Drawings	98
	Appendix B - LabView™ Screenshots and VI Diagrams	112
	Appendix C - Pneumatic Valve Validation	116
	Appendix D - Strain Gage Calibration	117
	Appendix E - Operating Procedure	118
	Appendix F - Parts, Vendors, and Cost Analysis	122
	Appendix G - Estimation of Lifted Weight	124
	Appendix H - Finite Element Results and Boundary Conditions	125
	Vita	126

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 2-1. Frontal Section of a generalized diarthrotic (synovial) joint	5
Figure 2-2. Frontal view of the knee joint shown in extension and flexion	6
Figure 2-3. Secondary motions (AP sliding and tibial rotation) of the knee	7
Figure 2-4. Flexion angle (A), medial/lateral shift (B), AP sliding/drawer (C), and axial displacement (D) for five different subjects	8
Figure 2-5. Internal/external (tibial) rotation and abduction/ adduction of the knee as a function of flexion angle	9
Figure 2-6. Reported knee joint reaction forces in terms of body weight through one walking cycle	10
Figure 2-7. Knee joint reaction and components, in terms of body weight, through one walking cycle	11
Figure 2-8. Front and side x-ray views of an arthritic knee	12
Figure 2-9. A commercially-available TKR and a schematic showing how these components fit in the knee	13
Figure 2-10. Left and front view X-rays of an implanted TKR	14
Figure 2-11. The coefficient of friction at a velocity of 140 mm/s and a load of 1000 N for a CoCr disc rolling on UHMWPE	18
Figure 2-12. Three stations of the AMTI-Boston Six Station Knee Simulator	21
Figure 2-13. Simple schematic showing the loading and motions of the proposed configuration of a CoCr disc on an UHMWPE block	22
Figure 2-14. Two stations of the 12 station Howmedica biaxial line-contact wear machine	23
Figure 3-1. Labeled picture of a pneumatic cylinder	30
Figure 3-2. Photograph and specifications of the Parker Par-15™ Programmable Valve	33
Figure 3-3. Initial design concept drawn in AutoCAD	34
Figure 3-4. AP sliding curve for one walking cycle	35
Figure 3-5. Drawing of the Accuslide 2HB linear table from Thomson Industries	37
Figure 3-6. Load/life and travel rate graphs for the Accuslide 2HB	37
Figure 3-7. Autodesk Inventor™ representation of the SS UHMWPE tray with and without the UHMWPE specimens	42
Figure 3-8. Structural components and basic dimensions of the apparatus frame	45
Figure 3-9. FEA mesh of the imported frame with 1" elements and boundary conditions	46
Figure 3-10. IDEAS FEA output showing areas of stress in the apparatus frame	47
Figure 3-11. Exaggerated displacement of the frame during vertical loading of 2000 pounds	47
Figure 3-12. Flexion angle of the knee versus time during one walking cycle	49
Figure 3-13. Speed vs. torque curve for the selected F/E stepper motor	52
Figure 3-14. Dimensions (in inches) of the F/E motor, UPK596AW-T20	53
Figure 3-15. Speed vs. torque curve for the CSK596-NATA	57

Figure 3-16. Dimensions (in inches) of the motor, CSK596-NATA, responsible for moving the two linear tables	58
Figure 3-17. A UPK motor and driver package from Oriental Motors	58
Figure 3-18. Picture and relevant dimensions of the VAK series pillow block bearing	59
Figure 4-1. CAD drawing of the device components constructed from cold-rolled steel: the frame, table support, and vertical guide rods	60
Figure 4-2. A typical CAD drawing given to the machine shop to construct the frame	61
Figure 4-3. Photograph of the completely assembled device	62
Figure 4-4. Labeled photograph showing the parts that make up the bottom, or "tibial", portion of the wear testing device	63
Figure 4-5. Top view of the device showing the stainless steel tray that houses the UHMWPE blocks	64
Figure 4-6. CAD representation of the F/E motor mount	65
Figure 4-7. Photograph showing the motor mount attached to the apparatus frame	65
Figure 4-8. Schematic of the backplane and modules	67
Figure 4-9. Schematic of the PAR-15 TM pneumatic valve's 6-pin connector	67
Figure 4-10. Photograph of the main components of the motion control hardware	68
Figure 4-11. Wiring diagram for the linear guide motor drivers	70
Figure 4-12. Wiring diagram for the F/E motor drivers	71
Figure 4-13. Schematic showing the integration of the device's key components	72
Figure 4-14. Truth table for the Par-15 TM Valve	74
Figure 4-15. Screen shot of the Labview TM VI responsible for controlling the pneumatic valve	75
Figure 4-16. Screen shot of the motion control software	76
Figure 4-17. Screen shot of the motion control software, showing the capability of programming cross-shear into the device	77
Figure 4-17. Screen shot of the final VI	78
Figure 5-1. Photograph showing the metal ruler used to validate the linear guide motions	80
Figure 5-2. Plot of the recorded strains at various cylinder pressures, illustrating the linearity of the strain gage	84
Figure 5-3. Plot of the resulting strain for each of the known weights	85
Figure 5-4. The strains at the predicted interface forces and the strains from the known applied loads plotted together	86
Figure 5-5. The worn UHMWPE block after 50,000 cycles of testing	88
Figure A-1. Bottom plate of frame	99
Figure A-2. Long side bars of frame	100
Figure A-3. Middle support bar A	101
Figure A-4. Middle support bar B	102
Figure A-5. Top bar A	103
Figure A-6. Top bar B	104
Figure A-7. Top middle bar	105
Figure A-8. Table support	106
Figure A-9. Tray spacer	107

Figure A-10. Stainless steel specimen tray, 1 of 2	108
Figure A-11. Stainless steel specimen tray, 2 of 2	109
Figure A-12. Motor mount	110
Figure A-13. Assembled frame	111
Figure B-1. User interface of the wear testing device	113
Figure B-2. VI diagram (upper part), 1 of 2	114
Figure B-3. VI diagram (lower part), 2 of 2	115

List of Tables

	Page
Table 2-1. Sagittal radii of some common TKR models	15
Table 2-2. Comparison of estimated contact areas and contact stresses using different methods	16
Table 2-3. Specifications for two simplified wear testing devices	25
Table 3-1. Design criteria for the cyclic sliding wear machine	29
Table 3-2. Required pneumatic cylinder specifications	32
Table 3-3. AP sliding linear table requirements	36
Table 3-4. Resulting contact stresses for varying CoCr disc radii and widths using Hertzian contact equations	40
Table 3-5. Dimensions used in the calculation of the minimum and maximum frame heights	43
Table 3-6. Independent variables needed for F/E motor selection	49
Table 3-7. Motor specifications for the UPK596AW-T20	52
Table 3-8. Independent variables necessary for linear table motor selection	54
Table 3-9. Motor specifications for the CSK596-NATA	57
Table 5-1. Estimated loads experienced by the device and each station for increasing cylinder pressure	63
Table C-1. Several measurements taken to ensure the valve was properly pressurizing the cap end of the cylinder	116
Table D-1. Data taken during the calibration of the pneumatic cylinder	117
Table D-2. Strain gage calibration data using known weight	117
Table F-1. List of vendors and prices for all parts and services related to the device	123
Table G-1. Known and estimated weights of parts that are lifted by the pneumatic cylinder	124