Bulletin 86-5 ISSN 0096-6088

Properties, Classification, and Upland Oak Site Quality for Residual Soils Derived from Shales, Phyllites, Siltstones, and Sandstones in Southwestern Virginia



James R. Nichols, Dean and Director College of Agriculture and Life Sciences Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

The Virginia Agricultural and Mechanical College came into being in 1872 upon acceptance by the Commonwealth of the provisions of the Morrill Act of 1862 "to promote the liberal and practical education of the industrial classes in the several pursuits and professions of life." Research and investigations were first authorized at Virginia's land-grant college when the Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station was established by the Virginia General Assembly in 1886.

The Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station received its first allotment upon passage of the Hatch Act by the United States Congress in 1887. Other related Acts followed, and all were consolidated in 1955 under the Amended Hatch Act which states "It shall be the object and duty of the State agricultural experiment stations... to conduct original and other researches, investigations and experiments bearing directly on and contributing to the establishment and maintenance of a permanent and effective agricultural industry of the United States, including the researches basic to the problems of agriculture and its broadest aspects and such investigations as have for their purpose the development and improvement of the rural home and rural life and the maximum contributions by agriculture to the welfare of the consumer..."

In 1962, Congress passed the McIntire-Stennis Cooperative Forestry Research Act to encourage and assist the states in carrying on a program of forestry research, including reforestation, land management, watershed management, rangeland management, wildlife habitat improvement, outdoor recreation, harvesting and marketing of forest products, and "such other studies as may be necessary to obtain the fullest and most effective use of forest resources."

In 1966, the Virginia General Assembly "established within the Virginia Polytechnic Institute a division to be known as the Research Division . . . which shall encompass the now existing Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station . . . "

To simplify terminology, trade names of products or equipment may have been used in this publication, but no endorsement of products or firms mentioned is intended, nor is criticism implied of those not mentioned. Material appearing here may be reprinted provided no endorsement of a commercial product is stated or implied. Please credit the researchers involved and the Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station.

Virginia Tech does not discriminate against employees, students, or applicants on the basis of race, sex, handicap, age, veteran status, national origin, religion, or political affiliation. Anyone having questions concerning discrimination should contact the Equal Employment/Affirmative Action Office.

PROPERTIES, CLASSIFICATION, AND UPLAND OAK SITE QUALITY FOR RESIDUAL SOILS DERIVED FROM SHALES, PHYLLITES, SILTSTONES, AND SANDSTONES IN SOUTHWESTERN VIRGINIA

W. J. Edmonds Agronomy Department Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

D. D. Rector, N. O. Wilson Soil Conservation Service United States Department of Agriculture Richmond, Virginia 23240

and

T. L. Arnold Forest Service United States Department of Agriculture Roanoke, Virginia 24001

Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 86-5 July 1986

> VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES

123 E22 No. 86-5 C.Z

Cover Photograph: A profile of Berks very channery silt loam developed in materials weathered from the Athens formation (MA).

Abstract

Profiles of residual soils developed in materials weathered form shales, siltstones, phyllites, and fine-grained sandstones in Rockbridge, Botetourt, Wythe, Smyth, and Washington Counties were characterized in order (1) to select soil properties for differentiating soils developed from rocks of different time-stratagraphic units, (2) to produce a uniform correlation of these soils, (3) to evaluate the influence of soil properties on upland oak site index, (4) to evaluate the taxonomic classes of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts as predictors of upland oak site index, and (5) to develop a multiple regression equation for predicting upland oak site index for soils classified as members of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts.

Univariate and multivariate statistical analyses were used to evaluate differences among the parent materials, to select soil properties as differentiae, and/or to develop the regression equation for predicting site index for upland oak growing on these soils.

Soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group contain lower amount of exchangeable bases. Therefore, we recommend that soils developed from these rocks be correlated as members of the Sylco and the proposed Sylvatus series, members of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts, respectively.

Soils developed from rock of the Rome-Waynesboro formation contain more exchangeable K^+ and sand-size feldspar. We recommend that soils developed from these rocks be correlated as members of the Litz and the proposed Chiswell series, members of Ruptic Ultic and Lithic Dystrochrepts, respectively.

Soils developed from rocks of the Martinsburg and Athens and from the Brahier, Chemung, and Millboro formations that classified as members of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts were not separated by the properties studied. We recommend that these soils be correlated as members of the Berks and Weikert soils, a recommendation which agrees with previous correlations.

Distributions of site indices were significantly different at the 10 percent level of probability for soils developed from the parent materials, with soils developed from rocks of the Martinsburg and Athens and Rome-Waynesboro formations

Abstract

having higher median values than soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group and the Brallier, Chemung, and Millboro formations.

Site indices for upland oak were not significantly different at the 10 percent level of probability for soils classified as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts. Site indices were slightly higher for soils classified as Lithic Dystrochrepts. These results can be explained by the well distributed rainfall pattern which decreases the importance of the greater available-water capacity of the Typic Dystrochrepts and by the higher exchangeable Ca^{2+} and by the lower exchangeable Al^{3+} saturation of the cation-exchange capacity of the Lithic Dystrochrepts.

A regression model based on a single value, base saturation by sum of cations (SBS), gave the highest R^2 value for predicting site index for upland oak on these soils. Although no significant differences in site index were observed for classes of soils based on a limit of 20 inches to bedrock; i.e. Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts, depth to bedrock was an important character for predicting site index on these soils.

The development of a useable multiple regression equation for predicting site index of upland oak growing on these soils was influenced by collinearity of the soil and site properties, by the lack of even-aged, well-stocked timber stands at the sample sites, and by our inability to access nutrient levels and amount of available moisture during the growing season.

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Soil-parent material relationships	1
Vegetation	5
Study objectives	7
Materials and Methods	8
Study Area	8
Physiography	8
Blue Ridge Province	8
Valley and Ridge Province	8
Soil Parent Materials (Geologic Formations)	11
BO - Basal Cambrian Rocks (Chilhowee Group)	
RO - Rome-Waynesboro formation	12
MA - Athens and Martinsburg formations	. 12
BR - Millboro Brollier and Chemung formations	13
Climate	. 15
Sampling Mathada	. 21
Jaharatary Methoda	. 23
Chamies Anglesia	. 23
Chemical Analysis	. 23
Particle-Size Analysis	. 24
Mineralogical Analysis	. 25
Statistical Methods	. 26
Results and discussions	. 32
Data distributions	. 32
Parent materials	. 37
Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts.	. 75
Multivariate statistical analysis	. 79
Principal component analysis	. 79
Cluster analysis	. 91
Discriminant analysis	. 95
Parent materials	95
Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts	105
Site index estimation for unland oak	100
	109
Conclusions	. 113
Literature Cited	117

Table of Contents

Appendix A - Soil series proposed based on this study	123
Chiswell Series	123
Sylvatus Series	126
Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data	129
Profile RB1BQ: Sylco very channery silt loam	130
Profile RB2BQ: Sylco channery silt loam	133
Profile RB3BQ: Sylco channery silt loam	136
Profile BO1BQ: Sylvatus channery silt loam	139
Profile BO2BQ: Sylco very channery silt loam	142
Profile BO3BQ: Sylvatus very channery silt loam	145
Profile WY1BQ: Sylvatus channery silt loam	148
Profile WY2BQ: Sylvatus channery silt loam	151
Profile WY3BQ: Sylco loam	154
Profile SY1BQ: Sylvatus very channery silt loam	157
Profile SY2BQ: Sylvatus extremely flaggy silt loam	160
Profile SY3BQ: Gilpin silt loam	162
Profile WA1BQ: Lily loam	165
Profile WA2BQ: Sylvatus channery silt loam	168
Profile WA3BQ: Sylvatus very channery silt loam	171
Profile BO1RO: Chiswell channery silt loam	174
Profile BO2RO: Chiswell channery silt loam	177
Profile BO3RO: Chiswell channery silt loam	180
Profile BO4RO: Chiswell cobbly silt loam	183
Profile BO5RO: Chiswell channery silt loam	186
Profile WY1RO: Leck Kill silt loam	189
Profile WY2RO: Chiswell very channery silt loam	192
Profile WY3RO: Leck Kill channery silt loam	195
Profile WY4RO: Litz channery silt loam	198
Profile WY5RO: Chiswell very channery silt loam	201
Profile SY1RO: Chiswell extremely channery silt loam	204
Profile SY2RO: Litz very channery silt loam	207
Profile SY3RO: Groseclose silt loam	210
Profile SY4RO: Litz silt loam	213
Profile SY5RO: Chiswell silt loam	216
Profile RB1MA: Faywood silt loam	219
Profile RB2MA: Berks gravelly silt loam	222
Profile RB3MA: Faywood silt loam	225
Profile RB4MA: Weikert channery silt loam	228
Profile RB5MA: Dandridge silty clay loam	231
Profile BO1MA: Weikert very channery silt loam	233
Profile BO2MA: Berks very flaggy sandy loam	235
Profile BO3MA: Weikert channery silt loam	230
Profile BO4MA: Weikert channery silt loam	239
Profile BOSMA: Werker challery sht loan	242
Profile WA 1MA: Gilpin loam	245
Profile WA2MA: Waikert channery lasm	240
Drofile WA2MA. Weikert thannery joannamine	231
Profile WAAMA, Waitert voru abanger -: 11 1	254
Profile WASMA, Weikert very channery sut loam	25/
Prome wASIVIA: weikert silt loam	260

Table of Contents

Profile RB1BR: Weikert very channery silt loam	263
Profile RB2BR: Berks channery silt loam	266
Profile RB3BR: Berks very channery silt loam	269
Profile BO1BR: Berks silt loam	272
Profile BO2BR: Gilpin silt loam	275
Profile BO3BR: Berks channery silt loam	278
Profile WY1BR: Weikert extremely channery silt loam	281
Profile WY2BR: Gilpin silt loam	284
Profile WY3BR: Weikert channery silt loam	287
Profile SY1BR: Berks channery silt loam	290
Profile SY2BR: Gilpin extremely channery silt loam	293
Profile SY3BR: Berks very channery silt loam	296
Profile WA1BR: Weikert extremely channery silt loam	299
Profile WA2BR: Weikert extremely channery silt loam	302
Profile WA3BR: Weikert channery silt loam	305

فأسط

List of Tables

Table	1: Correlated soil series used to name map units of soils developed in materials weathered from shales, siltstones, phyllites,	
	and fine-grained sandstones in Virginia and West Virginia	4
Table	2: Estimated acreage of the outcrop of the soil parent materials or	
	geologic formations in the study area	14
Table	3A: Average air temperature for January and July and average dates for t	he
	the study area	22
Table	2D. Descinitation * for the study area	22
Table	3B: Precipitation ⁺ for the study area	22
lable	4A: Definitions of symbols and units of measurement used to represent	22
T 11	soil and site properties throughout this bulletin	33
lable	4B: Definitions of symbols and units of measurement used to represent	~ 4
-	soil and site properties throughout this bulletin	34
Table	5: P-values for Shapiro-Wilk tests of normality for selected properties of	
	soils developed from the parent materials or geologic formations	35
Table	6: P-values for Shapiro-Wilk tests of normality for selected properties	
	of soils classified as Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts	36
Table	7: Comparisons of distributions of slope	39
Table	8: Comparisons of distributions of depths to C horizons (DTC)	40
Table	9: Comparisons of distributions of depths to bedrock (DTR)	41
Table	10: Comparisons of distributions of estimated available water capacity	
	(AH2O)	42
Table	11: Comparisons of distributions of Ca	43
Table	12: Comparisons of distributions of Mg	44
Table	13: Comparisons of distributions of K	45
Table	14: Comparisons of distributions of the sum of Ca, Mg, and K (SBAS)	46
Table	15: Comparisons of distributions of the cation-exchange capacity	
	determined by sum of cations (SCEC)	47
Table	16: Comparisons of distributions of the cation-exchange capacity	
	determined by ammonium acetate, pH 7, method (NHCEC)	48
Table	17: Comparisons of distributions of the cation-exchange capacity	
	determined by the sum of SBAS and KCl extractable aluminum	
	(ECEC)	49

Page

Table	18:	Comparisons of distributions of differences between SCEC and	
Table	10.	ECEC (a gross estimate of the pH-dependent charge)	50
laoic	1).	(SBAS divided by SCEC)100 (SBS)	51
Table	20:	Comparisons of distributions of base saturation by	52
Table	21:	Comparisons of distributions of base saturation by	52
	~~	(SBAS divided by ECEC)100 (EBS)	53
Table	22:	Comparisons of distributions of pH	54
Table	23:	Comparisons of distributions of exchange acidity	55
Table	24:	Comparisons of distributions of KCl extractable aluminum	56
Table	25:	Comparisons of distributions of the aluminum saturation of the SCEC (SALSAT)	57
Table	26:	Comparisons of distributions of the aluminum saturation of the	57
		NHCEC (NHALSAT)	58
Table	27:	Comparisons of distributions of the aluminum saturation of the	
Tabla	20.	ECEC (EALSAT)	59
Table	28:	section	60
Table	29:	Comparisons of distributions of silt in the particle-size control	00
		section	61
Table	30:	Comparisons of distributions of clay in the particle-size control	62
Table	31.	Comparisons of distributions of the ratio of the ECEC to clay	02
aute	51.	(ECECLAY)	63
Table	32:	Comparisons of distributions of the ratio of the NHCEC to clay	
T-11-	22.	(NHCECLAY)	64
lable	33:	(SCECLAY)	65
Table	34:	Comparisons of distributions of quartz in the sand fraction of the	05
	0	mineralogical control section (SOTZ)	66
Table	35:	Comparisons of distributions of quartz in the silt fraction of the	
		mineralogical control section (SIQTZ)	67
Table	36:	Comparisons of distributions of mica in the sand fraction of the	
		mineralogical control section (SMICA)	68
Table	37:	Comparisons of distributions of mica in the silt fraction of the	
		mineralogical control section (SIMICA)	69
Table	38:	Comparisons of distributions of feldspar in the sand fraction of the	_
		mineralogical control section (SFLD)	70
Table	39:	Comparisons of distributions of feldspar in the silt fraction of the	
T 11	40	mineralogical control section (SIFLD)	71
Table	40:	Comparisons of distributions of site indices for upland oaks	12
lable	41:	Correlations of the variables used to calculate principal	02
Table	42.	Proportions of the variance contributed by the principal	02
laoie	72.	components	83
Table	43:	Final and total communality estimates for the first two principal	
		components developed using different sets of soil properties	83
Table	44:	Loading values for the variables onto the principal components	84
Table	45/	A: Principal component scores* for soil profiles	87

Table 45B: Principal component scores* for soil profiles	88
Table 46A: Minimum, median, and maximum values for the soil properties	
for the four clusters produced by the dendrogram in Figure 9	93
Table 46B: Minimum, median, and maximum values for the soil properties	
for the four clusters produced by the dendrogram in Figure 9	94
Table 47: Contributions of the variables selected to discriminate between	
soils developed from the rocks of the Chilhowee group (BQ)	
and soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro (RO)	
formation (RO)	98
Table 48: Contributions of the variables selected to discriminate between	
soils classified as members of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts	106
Table 49: Regression models estimated by the RSQUARE procedure in	
SAS for dependent variable site index for upland oak	
growing on Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts	110
Table 50: Parameter estimates for the regression model for predicting site	
index of upland oak on Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts	111
Table 51: Collinearity diagnostics for the variables in the regression model .	111
Table 52: Observed and predicted site indices for upland oak growing	
on soils classified as Lithic (L) and Typic (T) Dystrochrepts	
by the regression model with standard errors of prediction,	
95% confidence limits, and residuals	112

List of Figures

I	Page
Figure 1: Location of the study area.	10
Figure 2: Plot of upland oak site index for soils derived from parent	-
materials BQ, KU, MA, and BK.	13
materials BO, RO, MA, and BR.	74
Figure 4: Plot of upland oak site index for soils classified as members	
of Lithic (L) and Typic (T) Dystrochrepts.	77
Figure 5: Plot of site index vs. aspect for soils classified as members	
of Lithic (L) and Typic (T) Dystrochrepts.	78
Figure 6: Variable loadings on the first principal component (PRIN1)	85
Figure 7: Variable loadings on the second principal component (PRIN2)	86
Figure 8A: Plot of principal component scores for PRINT and PRINZ	00
Figure 9D: Plot of principal component scores for PD IN1 and PD IN2	89
for model II (BO = 1 BO = 2 MA = 3 and BR = 4)	00
Figure 9: Dendrogram of the similarities* of the soil profiles	92
Figure 10: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values)2
for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the	
Chilhowee group (1) and from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro	
formation (2).	99
Figure 11: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values	
for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the	
Chilhowee group (1) and from rocks of the Athens and	
Martinsburg formations (3).	100
Figure 12: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values	
for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the	
Chilhowee group (1) and from rocks of the Millboro,	101
Eigure 12: Plat of discriminant agence based on contra of data values	101
frigure 15: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values	
Rome-Wayneshoro formation (2) and from rocks of the Athens	
and Martinshurg formations (3)	102
	A () &

Figure	14: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values	
-	for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the	
	Rome-Waynesboro formation (2) and from rocks of the	
	Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (4).	103
Figure	15: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values	
	for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the	
	Athens and Martinsburg formations (3) and from rocks of the	
	Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (4).	104
Figure	16: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values	
	for DTR, AH2O, SCEC, and SFLD for soils classified as members	
	of Lithic (L) and Typic (T) Dystrochrepts.	107
Figure	17: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values	
	for AH2O, NHCEC and SFLD for soils classified as members of	
	Lithic (L) and Typic (T) Dystrochrepts.	108

List of Photographs

Photograph 1: A profile of Weikert very channery silt loam developed
in materials weathered from the Martinsburg
formation (MA).
Photograph 2: Sandstone in the Unicoi formation (BQ) 15
Photograph 3: Siltstone and sandstone in the Hampton formation (BQ) 16
Photograph 4: Shale, siltstone, sandstone, dolomite, and limestone in the
Rome formation (RO) 17
Photograph 5: Shale in the Martinsburg formation (MA) 18
Photograph 6: Large concretions in the Millboro formation (BR) 19
Photograph 7: Shale in the Brallier formation (BR) 20

Acknowledgments

We express our sincere appreciation to several people for their essential contributions to this bulletin.

Soil scientists and foresters whose contributions equal or surpass those of the authors are listed alphabetically: Tom Bailey, USFS; Bob Conner, SCS; Dean Gall, VPI&SU; Danny Hatch, VPI&SU; Terry Johnson, SCS; Rick Joslyn, VPI&SU; Chuck Nelson, SCS; Chuck Parker, SCS; Horace Smith, SCS; Ron Straw, VPI&SU; Paul Swecker, SCS; Roy Vick, SCS; Dave Wagner, SCS; and Gary Whitley, SCS.

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of Mary Holliman, our editor, who was very patient and gave many helpful suggestions. We thank Walter Pirie of the Statistics Department, VPI&SU, for reviewing the nonparametric statistical procedures.

Appreciation is extended to Karen Dawson, Steve Feldman, and Louise Price, VPI&SU, who developed the chemical, physical, and mineralogical data for this bulletin.

Introduction

Soil-parent material relationships

Soils developed in parent materials weathered from shales, siltstones, phyllites, and sandstones in the Blue Ridge and Valley and Ridge provinces in Virginia have been mapped and correlated as members of the Berks and Weikert series (Photograph 1), loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts, respectively (Table 1). Parent materials for these soils represent several time-rock units (Butts, 1940). Parent materials for soils on the western slopes of the Blue Ridge are represented by the Chilhowee group (BQ), rocks of Cambrian age. Parent materials for soils in portions of the Valley and Ridge (Great Valley) have weathered from the Rome-Waynesboro formation (RO), rocks of Cambrian age, and from the Martinsburg and Athens formations (MA), rocks of Ordovician age. Parent materials for soils on the ridges in portions of the Valley and Ridge have weathered from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (BR), rocks of Devonian age. Correlation of soils developed from these rocks as members of the same soil series assumes that these rocks represent similar lithostratigraphic units; i.e., soils developed from these rock units with similar morphology are assumed to have similar mineralogical, chemical, and physical properties.

Correlation of map units of soils developed in materials weathered from rocks of Ordovician (MA) and Devonian (BR) age as members of the Berks and Weikert series has been consistent (Table 1). On the other hand, correlation of soils developed from the Cambrian rocks (BQ and RO) has not been consistent and their correlation with soils developed from Ordovician (MA) and Devonian (BR) rocks has met with considerable controversy.

For example, soil mapping in Montgomery County was completed in the early 1960's, but publication of the soil survey report was delayed until 1985 primarily because of the controversy over the correlation of soils developed from both the Rome-Waynesboro (RO) and from the Millboro and Brallier (BR) formations as members of the Berks and Weikert series. Reasons for separating the soils developed from these parent materials given by the soil surveyors that mapped Montgomery County were differences in land use and yield potentials. Reasons for not separating the soils developed from these parent materials given by the correlators were lack of differentiae for producing mutually exclusive classes of morphological, chemical, physical, and/or mineralogical properties of the soils.

Introduction

Legend development and soil mapping in Montgomery County were based on the 1938 soil classification scheme. However, the correlation of the Soil Survey of Montgomery County coincided with the implementation of the Seventh Approximation (Soil Survey Staff, 1960) as the official soil classification scheme for the United States. Series differentiae in the Seventh Approximation and Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 1975) were based strictly on mutually-exclusive classes. Therefore, the concept of parent material as a series differentia, a concept used in the 1938 soil classification scheme and the soil surveyors that mapped Montgomery County, was no longer valid. The final correlation of the soils in Montgomery County (Creggar et al., 1985) by criteria given by Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 1975) also concluded that the soils developed from the two parent materials should be correlated as members of the Berks and Weikert series. However, the change in concepts of series differentiae by the national soil classification schemes in no way invalidates the observations of differences in land use and yield potentials for soils developed from the different parent materials observed by the soil surveyors that mapped Montgomery County.

This issue was rekindled in the 1980s during progressive reviews of the soil survey of Wythe County. The soil survey cooperators in Virginia, SCS, VPI&SU, and USFS, agreed that soils developed from the parent materials in this study should be separated based primarily on differences in yield potentials. The most significant differences in yield observed by the soil surveyors were related to timber, i.e. differences in site index and specie composition.

These situations provide examples of the conflict between local mapping experience and a national classification scheme as described by Webster and McBratney (1981, p. 133). They stated:

"It is usually easy to classify the soil for mapping a small region, say a farm or forest plantation covering a few tens or hundreds of hectares. In many parts of the world clear boundaries delineate different kinds of soil. They are readily recognized by the layman, farmer or forester, and there is rarely disagreement about what constitutes each class. The same may be true for somewhat bigger areas of perhaps several thousand hectares. However, when the area of interest increases by another order of magnitude, difficulties and disagreements among different observers become the rule rather than the exception; and those people who have tried to construct reasonably precise classifications of the soil of large national territories have found it almost impossible to reconcile local mapping experience with national conformity. It seems that bodies of soil circumscribed by distinct and often quite sharp boundaries in one part of a country do not have precise equivalent elsewhere (Webster, 1968; Butler, 1980)."



Photograph 1: A profile of Weikert very channery silt loam developed in materials weathered from the Martinsburg formation (MA).

	Parent materials*			
County	BQ	RO	MA	BR
		Series		
Jefferson (Hatfield a	nd Warner, 1973): Berks Weikert	Frankstown	Berks Weikert	NP#
Clarke (Edmonds an	nd Stiegler, 1982): Cardiff Cataska	Webbtown	Berks Weikert	NP
Warren (Holmes et.	al., 1984): Cataska	Lodi Endcav	Berks Weikert	NP
Rockingham (Hocki	man et al., 1982): Sylco	NP	Berks Weikert	Berks Weikert
Augusta (Hockman	et al., 1979): Cataska	NP	Berks Weikert	Berks Weikert
Montgomery (Cregg	ar et al., 1985): Berks Weikert	Berks	NP	Berks Weikert
Pulaski (Cauley et a	l., 1985): Berks Ramsey	Berks Klinesville	NP	Berks Weikert

Table 1: Correlated soil series used to name map units of soils developed in materials weathered from shales, siltstones, phyllites, and fine-grained sandstones in Virginia and West Virginia

*See "Soil Parent Material" section for definitions of BQ, RO, Ma, and BR. #Not present in the county.

Vegetation

Residual soils developed from shales, siltstones, phyllites, and sandstones in southwestern Virginia are vegetated primarily by upland oaks, such as scarlet oak (*Quercus coccinea* Muenchh.), black oak (*Q. velutina* Lam.), northern red oak (*Q. rubra* L.), and chestnut oak (*Q. prinus* L.), mixed in some areas with pines, such as eastern white pine (*Pinus strobus* L.), pitch pine (*P. rigida* Mill.), and Virginia pine (*P. virginiana* Mill.).

Accessing the productive potential of forest lands is basic to intensive management. Coile (1952) stated, "If all forest land was covered with well-stocked stands of sufficient age for the entire solum and upper substratum to have affected tree growth, there would be little practical need for studying the relation between soil properties and growth because the volume of wood per acre at a given age would be a direct measure of productivity." Failing this, site index or stand height at a specific age is generally used to express site quality or productivity. Jones (1969) concluded that the site index approach was the most direct method, and for most species, good site index curves probably were the best tools for evaluating forest productivity. However, Trimble and Weitzman (1956) indicated that accurate site indices can be determined only for well-stocked, even-aged stands that were old enough to reflect the full impact of site factors. They believe that most of our forest stands fail the above requirements because of under stocked stands, cut over areas, restocked pastures and old fields, and areas of young timber.

Numerous studies have been conducted that attempted to correlate various soil chemical and physical properties and site topographic features with site index (Carmean, 1961 and 1965; Hannah, 1968A, 1968B; Yawney and Trimble, 1968; Broadfoot, 1969; Graney and Ferguson, 1972; Stage, 1976; Auchmoody and Smith, 1979; Nunn and Vimmerstedt, 1980; Barnes et al., 1982; McNab, 1984). Most of these studies have concluded that available-water as it is influenced by soil and topographic features seems to have the greatest influence on forest productivity. Soil properties and topographic features shown by these authors to reflect site index were (1) A horizon thickness; (2) aspect; (3) slope position or percent of the distance from the ridgetop; (4) slope gradient; (5) depth to a restriction, such as bedrock or a fragipan; (6) subsoil texture; (7) subsoil rock fragment content; (8) sand in the A horizon; (9) pH of the A and B horizons; (10) exchangeable bases of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and K^+ ; (11) available P and Mn; (12) percent base saturation; and (13) organic matter content (Carmean, 1961 and 1965; Hannah, 1968A, 1968B; Yawney and Trimble, 1968; Broadfoot, 1969; Graney and Ferguson, 1972; Stage, 1976; Auchmoody and Smith, 1979; Nunn and Vimmerstedt, 1980; Barnes et al., 1982; McNab, 1984).

Regression equations based on the above soil properties and topographic features do not always agree. For example, Trimble and Weitzman (1956) showed that oak site index was closely related to aspect, position on the slope, and depth of the soil to bedrock. Trimble (1968) was able to show that soil depth could be eliminated from the prediction equation without affecting the multiple regression correlation coefficient or the accuracy of the estimated site index. Doolittle (1957)

Introduction

showed that aspect had a definite effect on site index when considered alone, but was not significant when considered in the presence of other variables because of correlations with them. On the other hand, aspect was considered to be important in predicting site index when considered in the presence of other variables by Carmean (1961 and 1965), Yawney and Trimble (1968), Auchmoody and Smith (1979), and Nunn and Vimmerstedt (1980). Yawney and Trimble (1968) observed no relationship between A horizon thickness and stand height while Doolittle (1957), Carmean (1961), Trimble and Weitzman (1956), Auchmoody and Smith (1979), and Nunn and Vimmerstedt (1980) showed a relationship between A horizon thickness and state index.

Problems in relating soil properties and topographic features to site index for southern hardwoods are discussed by Broadfoot (1969). He pointed out that the failure of multiple regression equations to accurately predict site index resulted primarily from the inability of researchers to measure the true causes of productivity; i.e., soil moisture and nutrient availability during the growing season, soil aeration, and physical conditions including root growing space. Mader (1963) also believes that site studies generally are hindered by our poor understanding of procedures for measuring tree growth and environmental variables with a high degree of accuracy. Better understanding of the factors controlling tree growth will depend in part on better techniques for sampling forest soils reliably. Haines and Cleveland (1981) reported that surface bulk density and percent moisture, organic matter content, and exchangeable K^+ to 20 cm and available P to 10 cm varied significantly over time at all sites studied; and soil pH, exchangeable Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and CEC to 20 cm and available P at 10 to 20 cm differed significantly over time at some, but not all, sites. They concluded that periodic variations must be considered when effects of forest management and research treatment of soil chemical and physical properties are considered.

Other studies have shown the inability of soil map units used by the National Cooperative Soil Survey to accurately predict site index or productivity for use in forest management. Van Lear and Hosner (1967) presented evidence to show little, if any, usable correlation between soil mapping units and the site index of vellow-poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera L.) in southwestern Virginia, based on a wide variety of site indices exhibited within each soil mapping unit. Carmean (1961) reported that site index was not closely related to soil taxonomic units used prior to 1960 for naming and interpreting map units of residual soils in Ohio. He showed that site index varied greatly within each soil type and that average site index was very similar for most of the soil types. A reclassification of these map units into new taxonomic units by criteria in Seventh Approximation (Soil Survey Staff, 1960) still did not improve their relations with site index (Carmean, 1967). Shetron (1972) indicated that precision in soil-tree growth ratings is affected by the lack of significant site differences among soil taxa used to name and interpret map units in soil surveys in Michigan. Auchmoody and Smith (1979) reported no significant differences among Muskingum, Gilpin, and Upshur soils in northwestern West Virginia. Smalley (1984) described thirty landtypes to be used in forest management in the Cumberland Mountains and grouped several soil series that ranged in classification from Lithic Dystrochrepts to Typic Hapludults in a single landtype. In addition, a single site index value was published for a given timber species within a given landtype indicating the dominance of topographic site features over soil series for predicting site productivity.

Nevertheless, site index values given by the soil interpretations records (SCS-SOI-5) dated 9-83 for soils of the Berks and Weikert series reflect estimates based on assumed influences of greater available-water capacity in the Berks soils related to greater depths and on assumed influences of aspect. The soil interpretations record for soils of the Berks series estimates an average site index of 70 for northern red oak growing on soils with slopes of 15 to 35 percent on north aspects and a site index of 60 for soils of the Weikert series estimates an average site index of 64 for northern red oak growing on soils with slopes of 15 to 35 percent on north aspects.

Study objectives

Objectives of this study were (1) to evaluate the influence of selected parent materials representing several time-rock units on the distributions of selected soil properties, (2) to produce a uniform correlation of these soils in the five counties in Southwestern Virginia with soil surveys in progress, (3) to evaluate the influence of properties of soils developed from these parent materials on upland oak site index, (4) to evaluate the influence of the grouping of soils by taxonomic criteria for Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts on distributions of selected soil properties, (5) to evaluate the taxonomic classes of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts as predictors of upland oak site index, and (6) to develop a multiple regression equation for predicting upland oak site index based on soil characteristics and topographic site features for soils in the study area.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

Physiography

Rockbridge, Botetourt, Wythe, Smyth, and Washington counties are located in the Blue Ridge and the Valley and Ridge provinces and extend in a southwestern direction from Lexington to Bristol (Figure 1).

Blue Ridge Province

Eastern portions of the above counties are in the Blue Ridge province, a mountain range extending from northern Georgia to southern Pennsylvania (Pirkle and Yoho, 1982).

Linear, hogback ridges in the Blue Ridge portion of the study area are capped with sandstones, quartzites, and conglomerates with shales, siltstones, phyllites, and sandstones of the Chilhowee group (BQ) (Butts, 1940) on sideslopes. Magmas have intruded the metamorphic rocks of the Blue Ridge to form intrusive igneous rock bodies.

North of Roanoke, the Blue Ridge province is relatively narrow, generally less than 12 to 14 miles wide with no peaks higher than about 4,000 feet. South of Roanoke, the Blue Ridge widens and becomes more rugged and mountainous. In this area the Blue Ridge widens to about 70 miles with peaks reaching elevations greater than 6,000 feet (Pirkle and Yoho, 1982).

Valley and Ridge Province

The western portions of the above counties are in the Valley and Ridge province, a region of valleys and ridges that trend in a northeast-southwest direction (Pirkle and Yoho, 1982). A continuous lowland known as the Great Valley occurs along the eastern portion of this province and is underlain by shale; i.e., the Rome-Waynesboro (RO) and Martinsburg and Athens formations (MA), and limestones in the study area (Butts, 1940; Edmonds and Rector, 1985). The floor of the Great Valley descends from about 2000 feet at the Shenandoah-James River divide to about 1200 feet within a distance of 25 miles (Fenneman, 1938). The valley floor remains near this elevation for many miles along the several branches of the James River. The elevation rises to about 1500 feet on the James-Roanoke River divide south of Fincastle. Where the Roanoke River leaves the province near the City of Roanoke, the valley floor is at an elevation of 1000 feet and slowly rises to 1100 feet at Salem. From Salem it rises to 1400 feet at the confluence of the North and South Forks of the Roanoke River. The North and South Forks of the Roanoke River flow out of 1000-foot gorges in an upland 2200 feet high with a ruggedly dissected escarpment known as the Pedlar Hills. The valley floor in the New River drainage basin rises from 2200 feet near Christiansburg to about 2500 feet along Cripple Creek and Reed Creek in Wythe County. Southwest of Wytheville, the valley floor descends into the Tennessee River drainage basin.

Ridges in the Valley and Ridge portion of the study area are capped with sandstones, quartzites, and conglomerates with sideslopes of shales, siltstone, mudstones, and sandstones of the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (BR) (Butts, 1940). As a result of erosion of tilted and folded strata, a trellis drainage pattern has developed in parts of the study area. In some areas erosion has produced an inversion of the topography with original synclinal valleys now standing as synclinal ridges, and original anticlinal ridges now occurring as anticlinal valleys (Pirkle and Yoho, 1982). The ridges in this province northwest of Roanoke Gap are generally above 3,000 feet and rise several hundred feet near the New-Tennessee River divide.



Materials and Methods

Soil Parent Materials (Geologic Formations)

The following descriptions of the soil parent materials or geologic formations are taken primarily from Butts (1940).

BQ - Basal Cambrian Rocks (Chilhowee Group)

The estimated outcrop of the Basal Cambrian rocks, based on the geologic map by Butts (1933), is given by county for the study area in Table 2.

Unicoi formation

The Unicoi formation represents the first sediments deposited in the Appalachian trough. The formation may be conveniently divided into a lower heterogeneous unit of conglomerate, sandstone, shale, and basalt and an upper, more homogeneous unit of dominantly sandstone (Photograph 2). The sandstone strata contains beds of arkose. Beds of red shale as much as 5 to 10 feet thick occur sparingly throughout the formation. The sandstone is medium thick-bedded and coarse-grained. The conglomeritic beds are commonly made up of small quartz pebbles and commonly occur in the lower part of the formation. In places the formation contains three distinct beds of igneous rock of basaltic composition, called amygadloid from the occurrence of small globular inclusions resembling almonds (Latin, amygalus). The amygadloid rock is easily distinguished by its dark greenish or purplish color, its pink and greenish globular inclusions, and its higher density.

Hampton-Harpers shale

The Hampton-Harpers shale is typically composed of thin-bedded, non-fissile, fine-grained, siliceous, rusty-weathering rock. It consists of alternating siltstone and fine-grained subgraywacke interbedded with quartzose sandstone (Photograph 3). The graywacke is greenish gray and thinbedded, it consists of subrounded and angular arenaceous grains in an argillaceous matrix.

Erwin quartzite

The Erwin quartzite is a remarkably homogeneous formation consisting of a quartzose sandstone or quartzite, depending on the degree of metamorphism. The formation is mainly a medium- to fine-grained, moderately thick- to massive-bedded, gray, whitish-weathering rock. The main mass of the material appears to have been thoroughly sorted, clean, white, beach sand. Locally the grains are completely cemented with silica to form a compact quartzite. It is more thinly bedded toward the top. The upper part of the formation has a brownish or rusty color due to iron oxide staining.

Materials and Methods

RO - Rome-Waynesboro formation

The estimated outcrop of the Rome-Waynesboro formation, based on the geologic map by Butts (1933), is given by county for the study area in Table 2.

The Rome-Waynesboro formation is an extremely heterogeneous formation composed of red and green shale, sandstone, dolomite, and pure limestone, all of which vary greatly in proportion and distribution throughout the formation (Photograph 4). The red shale is the most impressive feature, but represents a minor component of the formation. The red color of the shale is inherited by the soils and is a reliable indicator of the Rome-Waynesboro formation since no significant beds of red rock underly or overly the formation for several thousand feet. The gray or greenish portion of the formation commonly has a silky luster, due to a high sericite content, and is nearly as good an indicator of the Rome-Waynesboro formation as the red shale. Beds of dolomite occur locally. Beds of pure, bluebanded limestone occur in the Rome-Waynesboro formation throughout the area, but these beds are neither numerous nor thick. Thin beds of argillaceous limestones are common but constitute only a small part of the formation. Beds of medium- to fine-grained, rusty to reddish brown sandstone commonly occur in the Rome-Waynesboro formation. Some of these beds are ripple marked.

MA - Athens and Martinsburg formations

The estimated outcrop of the Athens and Martinsburg formations, based on the geologic map by Butts (1933), is given by county for the study area in Table 2.

Athens formation

The Athens formation has three distinct facies in Virginia: gray to black shale, sandstone, and limestone. The limestone facies lies to the northeast (Rockbridge County), the sandstone facies to the southwest (Washington County), and the shale facies lies between them. The name Athens shale, though not applicable to this variable unit, is widely used for this formation because it is predominantly a shale and because through long use the name has become firmly established. Soils included in this study were developed primarily from the Athens shale.

In areas where the limestone or sandstone prevails, black shale usually comprises the lower part of the Athens formation. On weathering, outcrops of the black shale of the Athens shale fade to dark gray, pale gray, and finally to yellowish or reddish color. The unweathered shale is firm and moderately fissile; it generally cleaves into good-sized plates or slabs. The shale, composed mainly of clay, contains a small amount of lime, as shown by the weak effervescence with dilute hydrochloric acid. The limestone facies, where completely developed and prevailing through nearly the full thickness of the Athens formation, is composed of a dense black, very fine-grained, thin-bedded limestone that breaks with a conchoidal fracture. The sandstone facies is a combination of shale and sandstone without limestone. Shale is below and interbedded with the sandstone. The sandstone is arkosic. The larger quartz grains are well rounded. The feldspar grains are irregularly shaped.

A local feature of the shale facies is a coarse conglomerate in the black shale which occurs 1 mile north of Fincastle in Botetourt County. The bed appears to be about 50 feet thick. It is composed of coarse gravel containing cobbles of quartzite 4 to 6 inches in diameter.

Martinsburg shale

The Martinsburg formation is predominantly shale. The unweathered shale is bluish, but weathers to a yellowish or brownish color (Photograph 5). The main body of the formation is a thin-bedded, calcareous mudrock, but layers composed of very fine quartz sand and many thin layers of fossiliferous limestone are scattered through the mass from top to bottom. The top member of the Martinsburg, known as the *Orthorhynchula* bed from the large brachiopod, is a slightly calcareous, generally fine-grained, bluish-gray, thick or massively bedded sandstone. Weathering reduces the rock to a dark brown friable mass that can be easily recognized.

BR - Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations

The estimated outcrop of the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations, based on the geologic map by Butts (1933), is given by county for the study area in Table 2.

Millboro shale

The Millboro formation is almost wholly a black fissile shale in its unweathered condition, but it bleaches, on weathering, to a light-gray color. The typical black shale is so fissile that it splits into very thin flakes. Beds that contain large, symmetrical, lenticular concretions of calcium carbonate or calcium sulfate are present throughout the Millboro shale (Photograph 6). Abundant calcite-filled vugs, calcite-coated fracture surfaces, and disseminated sulfides occur throughout the formation (Bartholomew and Lowry, 1979). These sulfides oxidize on weathering to produce extremely high soil acidity and possibly acid-sulfate soils.

Brallier shale

The Brallier formation is a rather monotonous mass of subfissile, sandy and micaceous, green shale (Photograph 7) with uneven or dimpled surfaces and interbedded layers of very-fine grained, evenly thin-bedded, and blocky-jointed greenish sandstone. In the southwestern counties the mass becomes thinner bedded with less sandstone and beds of black shale in the lower half, making separation from the Millboro formation difficult.

Materials and Methods

Chemung formation

The Chemung formation is a thick body of sandstone and shale. The sandstone is thick bedded, medium grained, gray to greenish, and arkosic. Most of the shale is green, soft, poorly fissile, and clayey. Thin layers of conglomerate, commonly not more than 1 foot thick, contain quartz pebbles and are scattered throughout the mass.

	Geologic formations*			
County	BQ	RO	MA	BR
		Acres		
Rockbridge	28,000	8,000	38,000	19,000
Botetourt	16,000	14,000	26,000	73,000
Wythe	42,000	73,000	6,000	32,000
Smyth	58,000	23,000	11,000	22,000
Washington	21,000	3,000	30,000	14,000
Total	165,000	121,000	111,000	160,000

 Table 2: Estimated acreage of the outcrop of the soil parent materials or geologic formations in the study area

*Based on Butts (1933).



Photograph 2: Sandstone in the Unicoi formation (BQ).

Materials and Methods



Photograph 3: Siltstone and sandstone in the Hampton formation (BQ).

Materials and Methods



Photograph 4: Shale, siltstone, sandstone, dolomite, and limestone in the Rome formation (RO).



Photograph 5: Shale in the Martinsburg formation (MA).



Photograph 6: Large concretions in the Millboro formation (BR).



Photograph 7: Shale in the Brallier formation (BR).

Materials and Methods
Climate

The air temperature, frost-free days, and annual precipitation are fairly uniform throughout the study area according to data presented by the Agricultural Yearbook Committee (1941). The average January air temperature is $35.4^{\circ}F$ and ranges from $34.2^{\circ}F$ at Lexington in Rockbridge County to $37.4^{\circ}F$ at Emory in Washington County (Table 3A). The average July air temperature is $73.4^{\circ}F$ and ranges from $71.4^{\circ}F$ at Wytheville in Wythe County to $75.9^{\circ}F$ at Buchanan in Botetourt County.

The average annual temperature of the upper 1 m of the soil, estimated by adding $2^{\circ}F$ to the mean annual air temperature (Soil Survey Staff, 1975), is $56.3^{\circ}F$ and ranges from $55.0^{\circ}F$ at Wytheville in Wythe County to $57.9^{\circ}F$ at Buchanan in Botetourt County. The average number of frost-free days is 177.6 and ranges from 168 at Marion in Smyth County to 189 at Buchanan in Botetourt County.

The average annual precipitation is 41.6 inches and ranges from 37.8 inches at Wytheville in Wythe County to 45.4 inches at Emory in Washington County (Table 3B). Precipitation is well distributed throughout the growing season with from 60 to 64 percent falling between April 1 and October 31.

Table 3A: Average air temperature for January and July and average dates for the last killing frost in spring and the first killing frost in the fall for the study area

	Temperature		Killing	g frost
County	January	January July		Fall
	Degrees F		I	Date
RB (Lexington) BO (Buchanan) WY (Wytheville) SY (Marion) WA (Emory)	34.2 36.3 34.9 34.5 37.4	75.4 75.9 71.4 72.3 73.0	Apr 24 Apr 16 Apr 17 Apr 27 Apr 25	Oct 19 Oct 22 Oct 16 Oct 12 Oct 13

*Taken from Yearbook Committee (1941).

Table 3B: Precipitation* for the study area

Location	Jan	Feb	Mar	Арг	r May	Jun	
			ind	ches			
Lexington Buchanan Wytheville Marion Emory	3.2 3.6 2.8 3.0 4.1	2 2.73 4 2.68 2 2.56 9 3.36 0 3.71	3.52 3.43 3.25 3.85 4.82	3.01 3.13 3.00 3.62 3.92	1 3.22 5 3.53 0 2.46 2 3.56 3 4.25	4.09 4.36 4.16 5.10 3.80	
Location	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
			i	nches			
Lexington Buchanan Wytheville Marion Emory	2.77 4.57 3.90 4.37 4.33	4.09 4.41 3.89 4.52 5.02	2.76 3.20 2.93 2.95 2.89	3.01 3.73 2.86 2.59 2.85	2.26 2.54 2.05 2.46 2.43	3.08 3.35 2.79 3.92 3.29	38.76 42.59 37.77 43.39 45.42

*Taken from Agricultural Yearbook Committee (1941).

r

Sampling Methods

Three random sample sites of soils developed in materials weathered from the Basal Cambrian rock of the Chilhowee group (BQ) and three sites of soils developed in materials weathered from the Millboro, Brallier, and/or Chemung (BR) formations were selected in each of Rockbridge, Botetourt, Wythe, Smyth, and Washington Counties for study. Five sample random sites of soils developed in materials weathered from the Rome-Waynesboro formation (RO) were selected in Botetourt, Wythe, and Smyth Counties. Five random sites of soils developed in materials weathered from the Athens and/or Martinsburg formations (MA) were selected in Rockbridge, Botetourt, and Washington Counties. The reason for the unequal number of sample sites per parent material per county was the unequal acreages of the various geologic formations in the various counties (Table 2). Sample sizes in this study were limited by time and cost constraints.

One randomly selected soil profile within each of the above sites was selected for study. Site index or tree height at age 50 years was determined for the dominant species. In order to provide a constant species for comparison, the site index for species other than scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut oaks were converted by the method of Doolittle (1958). Percentage composition of the species that formed the forest canopy was recorded. Dominant understory species were also recorded. The canopy and ground or understory species are given for each sample site in Appendix B. Scientific plant names are according to Gray's Manual of Botany (Fernald, 1950).

Each soil profile was described according to currently accepted methods of the National Cooperative Soil Survey in excavated pits. Each soil characteristics at each site was described by the same soil scientist in order to give as much consistency to the qualitative soil characters as possible. Descriptions of each soil profile are given in Appendix B.

Particle-size, mineralogical, and chemical control sections as defined by Soil Survey Staff (1975) were thoroughly mixed and sampled.

Laboratory Methods

The samples were air dried and sieved to remove particles > 2 mm in diameter.

Chemical Analysis

Exchangeable bases of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and K^+ were determined by a modification of the procedure proposed by Jackson (1958). Ten grams of soil were equilibrated with 50 ml of $N NH_4 OAc$, pH 7.0, by mechanically shaking for 30 minutes. The solution was then filtered through Whatman No. 42 filter paper and the sam-

ple washed with three 10 ml portions of the $N NH_4OAc$ solution. The resulting filtrate was made to exactly 100 ml by adding the $N NH_4OAc$, pH 7.0, solution. Solutions for Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} determinations were prepared by mixing 9 ml of the above filtrate with 1 ml of La_2O_3 solution prepared by adding 500 ml of deionized water to 117.3 g of La_2O_3 , mixing with 417 ml of concentrated HCl, and making to exactly 1000 ml with deionized water. Solutions for K^+ determinations were prepared by mixing 9 ml of the above filtrate with 1 ml of a 10,000 ppm Na^+ solution. Amounts of exchangeable bases were determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy using an air-acetylene flame. Standards used for these analyses were prepared using proper matrix solutions. Amounts of exchangeable bases are reported for the taxonomic control section of each soil profile in each Table A following the profile descriptions in Appendix B.

Cation exchange capacity (NHCEC) was determined by the ammonium saturation, displacement, and distillation method given by Chapman (1965) and reported for the taxonomic control section of each soil profile in each Table A following the profile descriptions in Appendix B.

pH was determined for 1:1 mixtures of soil and water and reported for the taxonomic control section of each soil profile in each Table B following the profile descriptions in Appendix B.

Exchange acidity (H^+) was determined by a modification of the barium chloride-triethanolamine procedure proposed by Peech (1965). Ten grams of soil and 50 ml of 0.5 N BaCl₂-0.2 N triethanolamine, pH 8.2, were equilibrated by mechanically shaking for 1 hour. The solution was filtered through Whatman No. 42 filter paper and the sample washed with four 25 ml portions of 0.6 N BaCl₂ solution. The resulting solutions were titrated with 0.20 N HCl using brom cresol green-methyl red as an indicator. Amounts of exchange acidity are reported for the taxonomic control section of each soil profile in each Table B following the profile descriptions in Appendix B.

Exchangeable aluminum (A^{l_3+}) was determined by a modification of the procedure proposed by Yuan (1959). Ten grams of soil were equilibrated with 25 ml of N KCl solution by mechanically shaking for 30 minutes. The solution was filtered through Whatman No. 42 filter paper and the sample washed with three 25 ml portions of N KCl solution. The resulting solutions were titrated with 0.10 N NaOH using 0.10 percent phenalphthalein as an indicator. Amounts of exchangeable A^{l_3+} are reported for the taxonomic control section of each soil profile in each Table B following the profile descriptions in Appendix B.

Particle-Size Analysis

Particle-size distributions were determined by the hydrometer method of Day (1965) and reported for the taxonomic control section in each Table C following the profile descriptions in Appendix B.

Mineralogical Analysis

Subsamples for mineralogical analysis were treated with citrate-dithionite-bicarbonate to remove oxide coatings. The sand fraction was separated by wet sieving. The silt and clay fractions were separated by centrifugation and decantation using dilute $N Na_2CO_3$ adjusted to pH 9.5 as a dispersant. The sand fraction was ground for 5 minutes in a reciprocating ball mill. Semi-oriented smear mounts of the silt and ground-sand fractions were made on glass slides using distilled H_2O . X-ray diffraction patterns of the sand and silt fractions were obtained using a Diano XRD-8300-AD x-ray diffractometer equipped with a graphite crystal monochrometer, LSI-11 computer, and printer. Samples were scanned at 2° 20 minute⁻¹ using CuK α radiation.

Amounts of silt and sand minerals were estimated from integrated x-ray diffraction peak intensities by regression equations developed by W. G. Harris, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611 (1985, personal communications) for the x-ray diffractometer at Virginia Tech.

The ratio of the relative x-ray diffraction peak intensity of mineral a, I_a , to mineral b, I_b , is related to the ratio of their masses, M_a and M_b , by the following:

$$\frac{I_a}{I_b} = \frac{1}{C_x} \frac{M_a}{M_b} \tag{1}$$

where C_x is a constant determined empirically from the relationship of the intercept and slope of a line given by:

$$\frac{M_a}{M_b} = a + b \frac{I_a}{I_b} \tag{2}$$

formed by regressing known mixtures of of M_a and M_b on their relative x-ray diffraction peak intensities. Other minerals in the subsample were estimated from:

$$M_{a1} + M_{a2} + \dots + M_{an} + M_b = 100 \tag{3}$$

which can be approximated by:

$$\frac{M_{a1}}{M_b}M_b + \frac{M_{a2}}{M_b}M_b + \dots + \frac{M_{an}}{M_b}M_b + M_b \cong 100$$
(4)

This relationship assumes that the minerals detected represent the mineralogical composition of the soil. From this relationship, M_b can be estimated from:

$$M_b \cong \frac{100}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{M_{ai}}{M_b} + 1.0}$$
(5)

Then M_{ai} can be estimated from :

$$M_{ai} \cong \frac{M_{ai}}{M_b} M_b \tag{6}$$

Materials and Methods

25

Regression equations were developed that related ratios of integrated x-ray diffraction peak intensities to ratios of known masses of albite and quartz, microcline and quartz, muscovite and quartz, albite and muscovite, microcline and muscovite, microcline and kaolinite, quartz and kaolinite, and microcline and kaolinite for the diffractometer at Virginia Tech.

This procedure has advantages over the petrographic technique for determining the mineralogy of the 0.02 - 2.0 mm fraction of soils. It requires less time and cost, aids in the identification of minerals in the coarse silt and very fine sand fractions, and alleviates problems associated with the number of rock fragments usually encountered in the silt and sand fractions of soils developed from argillaceous rocks, such as shales, siltstones, and phyllites, by the petrographic technique. This procedure aids in the identification of highly weathered mineral phases, such as phyllosilicates; e.g., kaolinite, chlorite, etc., and pseudomorphs after precursor minerals; e.g., kaolinite after biotite, in the sand and silt fractions which are difficult to identify by petrographic techniques. The difference in weight associated with grain morphology of mica is compensated for by this procedure. Weatherable minerals tend to increase with a decrease in particle size. Therefore, this technique has the advantage of being able to economically analyze the finer portion of the 0.02 - 2.0 mm soil fraction which can lead to a better representation of the soil mineralogy than a petrographic grain count of the dominant sand fraction, especially if the distribution of sand sizes is skewed toward the coarser end.

Disadvantages of this procedure are related to the assumption that the minerals identified by x-ray diffraction equal 100 percent. In random or semi-oriented smear mounts, many crystalline mineral phases have peaks that are close together or overlap. Small concentrations of minerals may not be detected. Amorphous soil components are not detected. Therefore, this procedure tends to inflate values for the dominant minerals.

However, the exact quantification of mineral suites of soils is not always necessary because semi-quantitative values determined by this procedure are relative and considered to be sufficient for computing ranks for comparing mineralogical properties of soils by nonparametric statistical methods. However, if one is interested in the exact quantification of the mineral suite of soils for classification or other purposes, then this procedure has the same limitations as other analysis that use x-ray diffraction, for example, quantitative analysis of the mineral suites of clayey soils.

Amounts of the minerals detected in the sand and silt fractions are reported for the taxonomic control section of each soil profile in each Table E following the profile descriptions in Appendix B.

Statistical Methods

The parametric procedure, analysis of variance (anova), assumes independent samples, normality, and equal variance (Ott, 1984). When the assumptions of

normality and equal variance are not valid, but the sample sizes are large and not too disparate, the results obtained using the F-test in anova are approximately correct (Ott, 1984); i.e. the F-test is rescued by the central limit theorem (Miller, 1986). However, when sample sizes are small, as is the case in this study, the α -levels associated with the F-tests are not maintained for nonnormal data. The UNIVARIATE procedure (SAS Institute, 1985a) was used to calculate both quantiles and probabilities (p-values) associated with the Shapiro-Wilk W-statistic for testing the null hypothesis that the data values were random samples from normal distributions. The Shapiro-Wilk test is well suited to small sample sizes (Afifi and Clark, 1984) and has been shown to be the best currently available procedure for testing normality (Miller, 1986).

The distribution-free Kruskal-Wallis test is an alternative procedure, based on ranks of the data values, that requires less stringent assumptions and can be used for small sample sizes (Ott, 1984). The assumptions of the Kruskal-Wallis test are:

(1) observations, X_{ij} ; e.g. site index, pH, Ca^{2+} , SQTZ, etc., are explainable by the basic model:

$$X_{ij} = \mu + \tau_j + \varepsilon_{ij} \tag{7}$$

where μ is the (unknown) overall mean, τ_j is the (unknown) treatment j effect (the influence of a given parent material on the distribution of a given soil property), and the sum of the τ_i 's from j = 1 to k groups is 0;

(2) the ε 's (error variables associated with sampling and laboratory procedures as well as the inherent variability in the soils) are mutually independent; and

(3) each ε comes from the same continuous population (Hollander and Wolfe, 1973). When variances are unequal, typically power is reduced, but the α -levels are still reliable.

Probabilities (p-values) and estimations associated with all the nonparametric statistical procedures used in this study were calculated using the Virginia Tech Nonparametric Statistics Package (Pirie, 1982).

The Kruskal-Wallis H'-statistic was used to test the null hypothesis that the distributions of soil properties developed from the parent materials (BQ, RO, MA, and BR) were identical (Ott, 1984; Hollander and Wolfe, 1973) against the alternative that all the distributions were not the same.

Distribution-free multiple comparisons based on Kruskal-Wallis rank sums (Hollander and Wolfe, 1973) were used to determine which soil parent materials, BQ, RO, MA, and BR, produced significantly different distributions of a given soil property within the study area.

When there are only two samples to compare, more convenient versions of the anova F-test and the Kruskal-Wallis test are the two-sample t-test and the Wilcoxon rank sum test, respectively. These two sample versions have the ad-

vantages of applying the one-sided alternative hypotheses and are somewhat easier to apply. All previous comments on properties apply here as well.

The Wilcoxon rank sum test assumes:

(1) the observations, X_i ; e.g. site index, pH, Ca^{2+} , SQTZ, etc., for groups of soil profiles classified as members of Lithic Dystrochrepts are explainable by the basic model:

$$X_i = \varepsilon_i \tag{8}$$

where i = 1, ..., m and the observations, Y_i , for groups of soil profiles classified as members of Typic Dystrochrepts are explainable by:

$$Y_i = \varepsilon_{m+j} + \Delta \tag{9}$$

for i = 1, ..., n where the X's and Y's are observable, $\varepsilon_{m+1}, ..., \varepsilon_{m+n}$ are unobservable random error variables associated with sampling and laboratory procedures as well as variability in the soils, and Δ , the parameter of interest, is the unknown shift in location for distributions of particular soil properties for the above taxonomic classes;

(2) the $m + n \epsilon$'s are mutually independent; and

(3) each ε comes from the same continuous population (Hollander and Wolfe, 1973).

These assumptions imply that the X and Y populations differ only in Δ or location and that the dispersion or variances of the two populations are equal. When variances are unequal, typically power is reduced, but the α -levels are still reliable.

The Moses ranklike test was used to test the null hypothesis of equal dispersion or variance:

Ho:
$$\gamma^2 = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} = 1$$
 (10)

against:

$$Ha: \gamma^2 \neq 1 \tag{11}$$

for groups of soil profiles classified as members of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts. The Moses ranklike test assumes:

(1) variances of groups of soil profiles classified as members of Lithic Dystrochrepts are explainable by the model:

$$X_i = \sigma_1 \varepsilon_i + \mu_1 \tag{12}$$

for i = 1, ..., m and variances of groups of soil profiles classified as members of Typic Dystrochrepts are explainable by:

$$Y_j = \sigma_2 \varepsilon_{m+j} + \mu_2 \tag{13}$$

for j = 1, ..., n where $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_{m+j}$ are unobservable random variables associated with sampling and laboratory procedures and inherent variability in the soils, μ_1 and μ_2 are the unknown medians of the X and Y populations, respectively, and the parameter of interest is the unknown ratio of the scale parameters $\gamma = \sigma_2 \div \sigma_1$; (2) the m + n ε 's are mutually independent; and

(3) each ε 's come from the same continuous population with median zero.

Shorack 89% confidence intervals for γ^2 (Hollander and Wolfe, 1973) were calculated.

The distribution-free Wilcoxon rank sum W-statistic was used to test for differences between distributions of properties for groups of soil profiles classified as members of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts developed from all parent materials; i.e. the null hypothesis:

$$Ho: \Delta = 0 \tag{14}$$

against:

$$Ha: \Delta < 0 \text{ and } \Delta > 0 \tag{15}$$

(Hollander and Wolfe, 1973; Ott, 1984).

Hodges-Lehman estimations of Δ (Hollander and Wolfe, 1973) were calculated. Negative values for Δ indicate median shifts for given soil properties toward groups of soil profiles classified as members of Lithic Dystrochrepts; i.e. $\Delta < 0$, which means that median values for given properties are larger for groups of soil profiles classified as members of Lithic than for Typic Dystrochrepts. Positive values for Δ indicate median shifts for given soil properties toward Typic Dystrochrepts; i.e., $\Delta > 0$, which means that median values are larger for groups of soil profiles classified as members of Typic than for Lithic Dystrochrepts.

Moses 90% confidence intervals for Δ (Hollander and Wolfe, 1973) were calculated.

Multivariate statistical methods are heuristic and assume normality of the data. Lebart et al. (1984) suggest the use of nonparametric methods for multivariate analysis when the data are very heterogeneous and nonnormal. Statistical methods that use ranks of the data provide extremely robust results since they are very insensitive to outliers. Standardization to remove location and scale attributes of the data is unnecessary since all variables have the same range, mean, and standard deviation, except cases with a large number of tied values. The distribution of the distance is nonparametric and depends only on the assumption that the data are distributed continuously. The RANK procedure (SAS Institute, 1985b) was used to rank data values.

Principal component (PC) analysis was used to reduce the number of dimensions needed to ordinate the soil profiles in character space, and to remove multicollinearity in soil characters (Cattell, 1965; Gould, 1967; Davis, 1973; Sneath and Sokal, 1973; Mather, 1976; Webster, 1977; Afifi and Clark, 1984). The PRINCOMP procedure (SAS Institute, 1985b) was used to calculate principal components, correlation of variables on which the principal components were based, proportions of the variance contributed by the principal components, loading values of the variables onto the principal components, and principal component scores. Specific soil characters on which the principal components were based were: pH, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, NHBS, NHALSAT, silt, clay, SQTZ, and SFLD. Ranks of the data values were used to give a common mean of 30.5 and standard deviation of 17.5 in order to remove location and scale attributes (Lebart et al., 1984). The FACTOR procedure (SAS Institute, 1985b) was used to calculate the final communality estimates for estimating how well the principal components represent the soil properties used to develop them. The graphics capabilities of Supercalc3 Release 2 (1984) were used to produce histograms of the loading values for the variables onto the principal components. The PLOT procedure (SAS Institute, 1985a) was used to produce the scatter plot of the principal component scores for principal components 1 and 2.

A dendrogram or phenogram of the phenetic similarities of the soil profiles was produced by the hierarchical CLUSTER program given by Davis (1973) using principal component scores for the first six principal components based on ranks of the data values. The soil profiles were grouped by the weighted pair-group average clustering method (Davis, 1973) using the Euclidean distance (Arkley, 1976; Mather, 1976) as the similarity coefficient. Heterogeneity within the groups of soil profiles increases as the Euclidean distance between group means increases.

Discrimination is the task of assigning individuals to categories based on prior knowledge of group characteristics. Soils developed from specific parent materials or geologic formations were considered to belong to groups. Two groups of soil profiles characterized by several soil properties can be separated in multivariate space by a line (discriminant function) along which the two groups have the maximum separation and the least inflation. That is, the variability between the groups is maximized and the variability within the groups is minimized.

The following procedure is taken from Davis (1973). Multiple regression is used to calculated a set of λ coefficients for the discriminant function. Then,

$$R = \lambda_1 \psi_1 + \lambda_2 \psi_2 + \dots + \lambda_m \psi_m \tag{16}$$

is a linear function that reduces the data for several soil properties used to characterize a soil profile to a single number or discriminant score. Substitution of the midpoint between the two group means in equation 12 gives the discriminant index, R_o . The resulting equation is:

$$R_O = \lambda_1 \frac{\overline{A}_1 + \overline{B}_1}{2} + \lambda_2 \frac{\overline{A}_2 + \overline{B}_2}{2} + \dots + \lambda_m \frac{\overline{A}_m + \overline{B}_m}{2}$$
(17)

 R_o is the point halfway between the centers or multivariate means of groups A and B. Next, we substitute the means for the properties used to characterize soils in group A into equation 12 to obtain:

$$R_A = \lambda_1 \overline{A_1} + \lambda_2 \overline{A_2} + \dots + \lambda_m \overline{A_m}$$
(18)

which gives the multivariate mean of group A. Finally, we substitute the means for the properties used to characterize soils in group B into equation 10 to obtain:

$$R_B = \lambda_1 \overline{B}_1 + \lambda_2 \overline{B}_2 + \dots + \lambda_m \overline{B}_m \tag{19}$$

which gives the multivariate mean of soil groups B. Now, we can plot the discriminant score for each soil profile; the discriminant index, R_0 ; the multivariate mean for group A, R_A ; and the multivariate mean for group B, R_B . The multivariate distance between R_A and R_B is called *Mahalanobis' distance* (D^2) and is defined as the difference between R_A and R_B . The statistical significance of D^2 can be tested using Hotelling's T^2 test. The amount of the separation between the multivariate means of the two groups contributed by a specific soil property, i, can be estimated by

$$D_i = \frac{\overline{A_i} - \overline{B_i}}{D^2} 100 \tag{20}$$

which gives an estimation of the percentage contribution of variable i to the separation of the two groups. However, collinearity of the soil properties used to characterize the soils influences D^2 . Discriminant functions were calculated by the DISCRM program given by Davis (1973) for different combinations of soil properties. The PLOT procedure (SAS Institute, 1985a) was used to produce scatter plots of the discriminant scores for the soil profiles.

The RSQUARE procedure (SAS Institute, 1985b) was used to develop regression models with highest R^2 values for combinations of variables.

The REG procedure (SAS Institute, 1985b) was used to calculate regression parameter estimates and associated statistics for the dependent variable site index. The graphics capabilities of Supercalc3 Release 2 (1984) were used to plot quantile distributions of site indexes for upland oaks.

Results and discussion

Data distributions

Symbols used throughout this bulletin to represent soil and site properties are defined and their units of measurement are given in Tables 4A and 4B.

P-values associated with the Shapiro-Wilk W-statistic (Table 5) for the majority of the soil characteristics developed from these parent materials were less than 0.05. Based on these p-values, sufficient evidence exists to support the conclusion that numerous soil properties are not normally distributed. Therefore; α -levels associated with the F-test in the analysis of variance procedure would not be maintained for the majority of these soil properties because of the small sample sizes. Consequently, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test statistic was used to evaluate differences among soils developed from these parent materials.

P-values associated with the Shapiro-Wilk W-statistic (Table 6) for the majority of the soil characteristics for groups of soils classified as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts were less than 0.05, indicating the possibility of nonnormal distributions. Thus, α -levels associated with the two-sample t-test would not be maintained for the majority of these data because of the small sample sizes. Therefore, the nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test statistic was used to evaluate differences among soils classified as members of these taxa.

Results and discussion

Symbol	Definition and unit of measurement
SI	Site index (tree height at age 50 years)
Slope	Slope (% or feet rise or fall / 100 feet)
DTC	Depth to C horizon (inches)
DTR	Depth to bedrock (inches)
AH2O	Estimated available water capacity (inches / profile)
pН	pH (-log [<i>H</i> ⁺])
Ca^{2+}	NH_4OAc , pH 7, extractable Ca^{2+} (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil)
Mg^{2+}	NH_4OAc , pH 7, extractable Mg^{2+} (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil)
K^+	NH_4OAc , pH 7, extractable K^+ (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil)
SBAS	Sum of NH_4OAc , pH 7, extractable Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and K^+ (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil)
H^+	BaCl ₂ -TEA, pH 8.2, exchangeable acidity (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil)
Al^{3+}	KCl extractable AI^{3+} (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil)
SCEC	Cation exchange capacity determined by the sum of SBAS and H^+ (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil)
ECEC	Cation exchange capacity determined by the sum of SBAS and AB^+ (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil)
NHCEC	Cation exchange capacity determined by the NH_4OAc , pH 7.0, method (cmol (NH_4^+) kg ⁻¹ of soil)
SALSAT	AP^+ saturation of the SCEC (%)

Table 4A: Definitions of symbols and units of measurement used to represent soil and site properties throughout this bulletin

Results and discussion

Symbol	Definition and unit of measurement
NHALSAT	AB^+ saturation of the NHCEC (%)
EALSAT	AP^+ saturation of the ECEC (%)
Sand	0.05 - 2.0 mm fraction (g kg^{-1} of soil)
Silt	0.002 - 0.05 mm fraction (g kg^{-1} of soil)
Clay	< 0.002 mm fraction (g kg ⁻¹ of soil)
SCECLAY	Ratio of the SCEC to clay (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay)
ECECLAY	Ratio of the ECEC to clay (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay)
NHCECLAY	Ratio of the NHCEC to clay (cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay)
NHBS	(SBAS / NHCEC)100 (%)
SBS	(SBAS / SCEC)100 (%)
EBS	(SBAS / ECEC)100 (%)
SQTZ	Quartz (g kg^{-1} of the 0.05 to 2.0 mm fraction)
SIQTZ	Quartz (g kg^{-1} of the 0.002 to 0.05 mm fraction)
SMICA	Mica (g kg^{-1} of the 0.05 to 2.0 mm fraction)
SIMICA	Mica (g kg^{-1} of the 0.002 to 0.05 mm fraction)
SFLD	Feldspar (g kg^{-1} of the 0.05 to 2.0 mm fraction)
SIFLD	Feldspar (g kg^{-1} of the 0.002 to 0.05 mm fraction)

Table 4B: Definitions of symbols and units of measurement used to represent soil and site properties throughout this bulletin

	Geologic formations*					
Variable@	BQ	RO	MA	BR		
		α(W)#			
CI.	0.426	0.040	0.(70	0.744		
Slope	0.430	0.949	0.679	0.746		
DTC	0.323	0.700	0.979	0.369		
DTD	0.165	0.015	0.175	0.141		
	0.449	< 0.01	0.078	0.099		
nu	0.040	0.605	0.022	0.071		
Ca^{2+}	0.445	0.095	< 0.01	0.030		
Ma^{2+}	0.135	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.052		
V = V	0.066	0.650	0.146	< 0.052		
л Ц+	0.000	0.630	0.140	0.070		
17 AB+	0.494	< 0.040	0.301	0.970		
SDAS	0.124	< 0.01	0.230	< 0.01		
SCEC	0.090	0.345	0.303	0.745		
NUCEC	0.408	0.045	0.595	0.743		
ECUC	0.071	0.040	0.087	0.002		
CALCAT	0.089	0.012	0.041	0.328		
NUMBERT	0.240	0.434	0.041	0.775		
NALSAI EALSAT	0.400	0.410	0.098	< 0.035		
CALSAI	0.078	0.140	0.040	0.304		
Sanu C:14	0.303	0.310	0.049	0.394		
Clau	0.077	0.333	0.000	0.437		
	0.304	< 0.01	0.948	0.090		
SCECLAY	< 0.01	0.070	0.044	0.895		
EUEULA I	0.010	0.079	< 0.014	0.999		
NHUDG	0.01	0.012	< 0.01	< 0.01		
NDDS CDC	0.040	0.012	< 0.01	< 0.01		
	0.078	0.149	0.040	< 0.01		
EB3	0.078	0.148	0.040	0.01		
SUIZ	0.502	0.437	0.010	0.023		
SIQIZ	0.502	0.099	0.018	0.730		
SIMICA	0.01	0.030	0.01	0.01		
SIMICA	0.202	0.105	< 0.04	< 0.01		
SELD	0.018	0.108	0.01	< 0.01 0.500		

Table 5: P-values for Shapiro	-Wilk tests of normali	ity for selected properties of soils
developed from the	parent materials or ge	eologic formations

*See "Materials and Methods" for definitions of BQ, RO, MA, and BR. @See Tables 4A and 4B for definitions of symbols used for variables. #Shapiro-Wilk W-statistic.

Variable*	Lithic Dystrochrepts	Typic Dystrochrepts
	α(W	/)#
SI Slope DTC DTR AH2O pH Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ K ⁺ H ⁺ A ^{P+} SBAS SCEC NHCEC ECEC NHCEC ECEC NHBS SBS EBS SALSAT NHALSAT EALSAT SAN SINT Clay ECECLAY NHCECLAY ECECLAY SQTZ SIQTZ SIQTZ SIMICA SIMICA SFLD	$\begin{array}{c} 0.423\\ 0.537\\ 0.285\\ 0.018\\ 0.191\\ 0.153\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ 0.058\\ 0.605\\ < 0.01\\ 0.418\\ 0.330\\ 0.269\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ 0.410\\ 0.020\\ < 0.01\\ 0.342\\ 0.760\\ 0.511\\ 0.070\\ < 0.01\\ 0.342\\ 0.760\\ 0.511\\ 0.070\\ < 0.01\\ 0.070\\ < 0.01\\ 0.054\\ < 0.01\\ 0.068\\ < 0.01\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.686\\ 0.650\\ 0.480\\ < 0.01\\ 0.666\\ 0.308\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ 0.409\\ 0.376\\ 0.489\\ < 0.01\\ 0.317\\ 0.029\\ 0.062\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ 0.952\\ 0.278\\ < 0.01\\ 0.952\\ 0.278\\ < 0.01\\ 0.485\\ 0.290\\ 0.509\\ 0.179\\ 0.299\\ 0.179\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.01\\ < 0.$
SIFLD	0.034	0.085

Table 6: P-values for Shapiro-Wilk tests of normality for selected properties of soils classified as Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts

*See Tables 4A and 4B for definitions of symbols used for variables. #Shapiro-Wilk w-statistic.

Parent materials

P-values associated with the Kruskal-Wallis H'-statistic, results of the multiple comparisons based on Kruskal-Wallis rank sums, and quantiles for the soil and site properties associated with these parent materials are reported in Tables 7 through 40. Most of the soil and site properties exhibited considerable overlap in their quantile distributions, indicating that mutually exclusive classes were not differentiated by soils grouped according to their parent materials. However, certain generalizations about statistical differences in the soils developed from these parent materials can be inferred from the data and criteria for establishing soil series to name and interpret map units of soils developed from these parent materials can be developed.

Soil and site properties that did not differ significantly at the 10 percent level of probability among the parent materials were slope (Table 7), DTC (Table 8), DTR (Table 9), AH2O (Table 10), sand (Table 28), NHCECLAY (Table 32), SCECLAY (Table 33), SIQTZ (Table 35), and SMICA (Table 36).

 Ca^{2+} (Table 11), Mg^{2+} (Table 12), K^+ (Table 13), and SBAS (Table 14) were significantly lower at the 10 percent level of probability for soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group (BQ) than for most of the other parent materials. These results indicate that soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group (BQ) generally have the lower plant nutrient supplying capacities than soils developed from the other parent materials.

SCEC (Table 15), NHCEC (Table 16), and ECEC (Table 17) were significantly lower at the 10 percent level of probability for soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation than for soils developed from most of the other parent materials.

Differences between SCEC and ECEC provide a gross estimate of the pHdependent charge (Coleman et al., 1959) and were significantly lower for soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation. The proportion of SCEC represented by pH-dependent charge for all the soils was approximately one-half.

SBS (Table 19), NHBS (Table 20), and EBS (Table 21) were significantly lower at the 10 percent level of probability for soils developed from rock of the Chilhowee group (BQ) than for soils developed from most of the other parent materials. These results indicate that soils developed from rock of the Chilhowee group (BQ) have lower base saturations than have soils developed from rocks of the other parent materials. pH values (Table 22) for soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group (BQ) were significantly lower than for soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro (RO) and Athens and Martinsburg (MA) formations, but not significantly different from soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung (BR) formations.

 H^+ (Table 23) and A^{B^+} (Table 24) were significantly lower at the 10 percent lever of probability for soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro formation than for most of the other parent materials. SALSAT (Table 25), NHALSAT (Table 26), and EALSAT (Table 27) for soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro were not significantly different at the 10 percent level of probability from soils developed from the Athens and Martinsburg formations, but were significantly lower than soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group and from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations. These results indicate that soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group and from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations could have sufficient A^{B^+} to influence plant growth more than soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro and from the Athens and Martinsburg formations.

Silt content (Table 29) was significantly higher at the 10 percent level of probability and clay content (Table 30) was significantly lower, for soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation than for soils developed from most of the other parent materials.

ECECLAY (Table 31) for soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group were not significantly different from soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro formation, but were significantly lower than for soils developed from the other parent materials. This ratio was not significantly different for soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro; Athens and Martinsburg; and the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations.

SQTZ (Table 34) was significantly lower for soil developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation than for soils developed from the other parent materials.

SIMICA (Table 37) was significantly lower in soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation than for soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations, but not significantly different from soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group and from the Athens and Martinsburg formation. Soils developed from rocks of the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations generally had higher mica contents.

SFLD (Table 38) and SIFLD (Table 39) were significantly higher for soils weathered from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation than for soils developed from the other parent materials.

				Quantil	es			
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max	
				%				
Geologic f	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathbf{H}') = 0.'$	7266*:					
BO(a)	20	22	30	37	54	61	62	
RO(a)	15	18	31	40	51	71	81	
MA(a)	7	9	25	35	47	60	67	
BR(a)	4	8	22	46	54	68	72	
BR(a) 4 8 22 46 54 68 72 Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.33698$ $\Delta = 2.0$ 90% CI for Δ is -7.0 to 12.0. Ranklike test (Moses) $2\alpha(W) = 0.4634$ 89% CI for γ^2 is 0.3 to 5.3 LD 7 17 25 35 52 63 67 TD 4 8 30 42 52 75 81								

Table 7: Comparisons of distributions of slope

				Quantil	86			
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max	
				inches				
Geologic f	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathbf{H}') = 0.3$	8252*:					
BO(a)	10	10	12	15	20	27	29	
RO(a)	5	8	12	18	30	48	60	
MA(a)	9	10	11	15	21	24	26	
BR(a)	8	10	12	17	23	33	38	
Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.00003$ $\Delta = 8.0$ 90% CI for Δ is 6.0 to 10.0. Ranklike test (Moses)								
89%	6 CI for γ^{2} i	s 1.0 to 24.2						
LD	5	10	10	12	14	16	18	
TD	9	11	18	20	23	31	35	

Table 8: Comparisons of distributions of depths to C horizons (DTC)

		Quantiles							
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max		
	-			inches					
Geologic fo	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathrm{H}') = 0.$	7436*:						
BQ(a)	10	11	14	19	25	30	35		
RO(a)	7	9	13	18	35	54	60		
MA(a)	11	11	13	19	30	44	50		
BR(a)	8	10	16	20	33	52	56		
Lithic (LD Rank su $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklik 2 $\alpha(V)$ 89% LD TD) and Typic im test (Wi) = <0.00 12.0 CI for Δ i e test (Mos V) = 0.256 CI for γ^2 i 7 20	: (TD) Dyst leoxon): 001 s 9.0 to 15.0 es) 6 s 0.8 to 6.6 10 20	rochrepts@ 11 23	: 15 25	18 35	19 44	19 50		

Table 9: Comparisons of distributions of depths to bedrock (DTR)

		Quantiles						
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max	
				inches				
Geologic	formations -	$\alpha(\mathrm{H}') = 0.1$	8148*:					
BQ(a)	0.28	0.35	0.66	0.82	2.29	3.20	3.50	
RO(a)	0.52	0.54	0.88	1.36	2.34	6.55	7.90	
MA(a)	0.34	0.42	0.66	1.22	2.77	3.20	3.26	
BR(a)	0.36	0.37	0.69	1.30	2.57	4.05	4.39	
BR(a) 0.36 0.37 0.69 1.30 2.57 4.05 4.39 Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.00001$ $\Delta = 1.13$ 90% CI for Δ is 0.76 to 1.43 Ranklike test (Moses) $2\alpha(W) = 0.0136$ 89% CI for γ^2 is 2.3 to 15.4 LD 0.28 0.36 0.53 0.71 1.12 1.32 1.76 TD 0.66 0.68 1.36 1.84 2.41 3.06 3.50								

Table 10: Comparisons of distributions of estimated available water water capacity (AH2O)

				Quantil	es				
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max		
			cmo	l (+) kg ⁻¹	of soil				
Geologic f	ormations -	$\alpha(H') = \langle$	0.0001*:						
BQ(c) RO(bc) MA(a) BR(ab)	0.07 0.10 0.23 0.15	0.07 0.10 0.27 0.20	0.18 0.13 0.45 0.29	0.23 0.32 1.14 0.43	0.31 0.61 4.88 0.65	0.32 1.37 14.54 7.36	0.32 2.05 19.10 8.30		
Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@:									
$\begin{array}{r} \alpha(W) \\ \Delta = \\ 90\% \\ Ranklil \\ 2\alpha(1) \\ 80\% \end{array}$	() = 0.04744 = -0.10 (6 CI for Δ is the test (Moss (W) = $0.3.86$ (CI for x^2 is	-0.30 to 0 es) -1							
LD TD	0.07 0.07	0.12 0.09	0.29 0.23	0.47 0.29	0.70 0.40	2.20 1.44	6.73 1.89		

Table 11: Comparisons of distributions of Ca

				Ouantil	es		
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max
			cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	f soil		
a i i i			0000				
Geologic f	ormations -	$\alpha(H') = 0.0$	J003*:	0.07	0.10	0.44	0.44
BQ(b)	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.13	0.46	0.66
RO(a)	0.12	0.13	0.27	0.38	0.94	2.51	4.30
MA(a)	0.04	0.04	0.32	0.58	1.39	4.01	7.60
BR(a)	0.02	0.06	0.11	0.35	0.60	1.15	1.47
Lithic (LU Rank s $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklii 2 $\alpha($ 89% LD	b) and Typic sum test (Wil V' = 0.21086 e -0.06 $6 \text{ CI for } \Delta$ is ke test (Moss W' = 0.2571 $6 \text{ CI for } \gamma^2$ is 0.04	(TD) Dyst: (coxon): -0.22 to 0.1 es) -0.6 to 18.1 0.05	rochrepts@ 10 0.13	. 0.28	0.45	1.33	1.47
TD	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.10	0.92	1.20	1.61

Table 12: Comparisons of distributions of Mg

				0						
				Quantil	es					
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max			
-			cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	f soil					
Geologic f	cologic formations - $a(H') = 0.0045*$									
BQ(b)	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.15	0.21	0.31	0.32			
RO(a)	0.15	0.16	0.21	0.28	0.34	0.45	0.49			
MA(b)	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.17	0.23	0.33	0.33			
BR(ab)	0.09	0.11	0.15	0.21	0.25	0.51	0.80			
Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.12274$ $\Delta = -0.03$ 90% CI for Δ is -0.08 to 0.01										
2α() 89%	W) = 0.9468 6 CI for γ^2 is	8 8 0.2 to 3.7								
LD	0.08	0.12	0.16	0.21	0.31	0.36	0.80			
TD	0.09	0.10	0.13	0.18	0.25	0.33	0.34			

Table 13: Comparisons of distributions of K

		Quantiles									
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max				
			omol	$(\pm) ka^{-1}a$	froit						
			cinor	(+) kg · 0	1 5011						
Geologic f	logic formations - $\alpha(H') = \langle 0.0001^* \rangle$										
BO(b)	0.23	0.24	0.42	0.49	0.63	0.93	0.95				
RÔ(a)	0.54	0.56	0.79	0.95	1.66	4.44	5.40				
MA(a)	0.46	0.47	1.19	1.97	5.52	18.55	20.57				
BR(ab)	0.41	0.47	0.72	0.99	1.54	8.96	9.00				
Lithic (LD Rank s: $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklik 2 $\alpha(I)$	and Typic um test (Wil D = 0.0704 -0.24 o CI for Δ is the test (Mos W = 0.946	(TD) Dyst (coxon): -0.47 to 0.0 es)	rochrepts@	:							
89%	CI for γ^2 is	s 0.1 to 5.8									
LD	0.25	0.49	0.64	0.91	1.46	3.93	9.00				
TD	0.23	0.33	0.47	0.51	1.54	2.94	3.76				

Table 14: Comparisons of distributions of the sum of Ca, Mg, and K (SBAS)

		Quantiles									
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max				
			cmol (+) kg ⁻¹ of	soil						
Geologic	formations -	$\alpha(H') = \langle$	0.0025*:								
BQ(ab) RO(b) MA(a) BR(a)	5.20 4.45 8.76 5.77	7.07 4.85 9.13 7.13	9.98 5.96 11.52 11.29	12.43 9.18 16.10 14.16	15.74 10.98 23.91 16.69	21.87 16.54 27.22 18.92	21.97 16.85 28.25 19.47				
Lithic (LI Rank $\alpha(V)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Rankli 2 $\alpha($ 89% LD TD	D) and Typic sum test (Wil V = 0.01331) = -3.00 % CI for Δ is ike test (Moss W = 0.4633 % CI for γ^2 is 5.96 5.11	(TD) Dyst: coxon): -5.2 to -0.7 es) 66 0.4 to 1.6 7.75 5.47	rochrepts@: 7 10.27 8.04	13.93 11.42	16.74 13.52	19.72 14.47	28.25 15.44				

Table	15: Comparisons of distributions of the	he cation-exchange c	capacity determined
	by the sum of cations (SCEC)		

				Ouantile	25							
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max					
		cmol(+) kg - 1 of soil										
Geologic f	formations -	$\alpha(H') = \langle$	0.0039*:									
BQ(ab) RO(b) MA(a) BR(a)	5.90 5.90 8.40 5.60	6.32 6.20 8.52 7.34	9.50 6.80 11.10 9.70	10.30 8.00 14.40 12.00	14.30 11.90 17.60 15.80	19.20 13.30 20.72 17.12	19.20 13.30 23.00 17.30					
Lithic (LI Rank s α (μ $\Delta =$ 90% Rankli 2α (89%	D) and Typic sum test (Wil W) = 0.01613 = -2.1 % CI for Δ is ke test (Mose W) = 0.1252 % CI for γ^2 is	(TD) Dyst coxon): -4.3 to -0.6 es) 20 5 0.1 to 1.1	rochrepts@:	11.20	14.62	17.00	10.00					
LD TD	5.90 5.60	6.35 6.32	8.38 8.50	11.20 8.90	14.63 9.90	17.30 13.64	19.20 15.80					

 Table 16: Comparisons of distributions of the cation-exchange capacity determined by the ammonium acetate, pH 7, method (NHCEC)

э				Ouantil	es			
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max	
			cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ or	f soil			
Geologic	formations -	$\alpha(H') = \langle$	0.0009*:					
BQ(ab) RO(b) MA(a) BR(a)	2.62 2.64 4.09 2.96	3.21 2.91 4.47 4.35	4.00 3.28 5.75 5.49	5.68 3.89 6.72 6.64	6.63 5.56 11.12 9.41	9.21 7.78 18.63 10.67	11.56 7.83 20.62 10.68	
Lithic (L Rank α(Δ 90 Rank	LD) and Typic sum test (Wil W) = 0.38962 = -0.23 9% CI for Δ is like test (Mose	(TD) Dyst coxon): -1.33 to -0	rochrepts@ .86	:				
20 89 LD TD	a(W) = 0.8414 9% CI for γ ² is 2.62 2.96	18 0.2 to 2.9 3.05 3.27	3.65 4.00	5.54 5.44	7.09 5.84	8.97 8.85	10.21 10.66	

Table 17: Comparisons of distributions of the cation-exchange capacity determined by the sum of SBAS and KCl extractable aluminum (ECEC)

		Quantiles									
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max				
			cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ or	f soil						
Geologic fo	ormations - o	x(H') = <	0.0459*:								
BQ(ab) RO(b) MA(a) BR(a)	1.13 0.49 3.61 -0.07	1.96 1.05 3.77 1.27	5.88 2.23 5.92 5.08	7.18 5.00 7.78 6.01	9.87 6.95 11.59 8.29	13.41 10.74 15.47 9.30	14.39 11.57 19.31 9.35				
Lithic (LD Rank sı $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklik 2 $\alpha(V)$ 89% LD° TD) and Typic um test (Wile) = 0.00094 -2.64 CI for Δ is e test (Mose V) $= 0.3861CI for \gamma^2 is2.86-0.07$	(TD) Dystr coxon): -4.27 to -1. s; 2 0.1 to 1.3 4.76 0.83	cochrepts@ 36 6.33 4.30	8.00 5.80	9.61 6.76	12.92 8.24	9.31 9.83				

Table 18: Comparisons of distributions of differences between SCEC and ECEC (a gross estimate of the pH-dependent charge)

				Quantile	es		
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max
				%			
Geologic f	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathbf{H}') = 0.$	0001*:				
BQ(b)	2.30	2.33	2.67	3.48	5.86	9.42	11.43
RO(a)	5.52	6.26	7.57	13.26	23.26	45.91	58.82
MA(a)	2.42	3.44	5.24	19.32	32.64	78.99	81.21
BR(a)	3.30	3.99	5.05	8.72	13.64	50.51	53.51
Lithic (LE Rank s α(M Δ = 90% Ranklii 2α(89%	D) and Typic turn test (Wil V) = 0.3324 V) = 0.72 V CI for Δ is ke test (Moss W) = 0.0956 V CI for γ^2 is	(TD) Dyst lcoxon): -3.54 to 2. es) 5 5 1.1 to 33.6	rochrepts@ 94	:	12.24	24.02	49 52
LD	2.42	3.04	4.96	7.62	12.34	24.02	48.52
ID	2.30	2.05	3.48	5.10	17.10	31.23	32.04

Table	19: Comparisons of	distributions	of b	ase	saturation	by	(SBAS	divided	by
	SCEC)100 (SBS))				•			

Min 10% 25% Med 75% 90% Max % Geologic formations - $\alpha(H') = < 0.0001^*$: BQ(b) 2.48 2.49 2.94 3.93 6.36 8.75 8.8 RO(a) 5.64 6.23 10.60 13.57 20.11 37.15 45.3 MA(a) 3.43 4.73 7.39 15.40 43.72 90.65 92.4 BR(a) 3.22 4.44 6.99 7.98 11.72 56.01 62.0 Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.21851$ $\Delta = -1.19$ 90% CI for Δ is -4.18 to 2.16 Ranklike test (Moses) $2\alpha(W) = 0.8415$ 89% CI for γ^2 is 0.1 to 15.0 LD 2.48 3.53 5.90 8.40 14.99 31.95 52.0 TD 2.50 2.94 3.93 7.32 18.12 33.78 43.7			Quantiles										
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max					
Geologic formations - $\alpha(H') = < 0.0001^*$: BQ(b) 2.48 2.49 2.94 3.93 6.36 8.75 8.8 RO(a) 5.64 6.23 10.60 13.57 20.11 37.15 45.3 MA(a) 3.43 4.73 7.39 15.40 43.72 90.65 92.4 BR(a) 3.22 4.44 6.99 7.98 11.72 56.01 62.0 Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.21851$ $\Delta = -1.19$ 90% CI for Δ is -4.18 to 2.16 Ranklike test (Moses) $2\alpha(W) = 0.8415$ 89% CI for γ^2 is 0.1 to 15.0 LD 2.48 3.53 5.90 8.40 14.99 31.95 52.0 TD 2.50 2.94 3.93 7.32 18.12 33.78 43.7					%								
BQ(b) 2.48 2.49 2.94 3.93 6.36 8.75 8.8 RO(a) 5.64 6.23 10.60 13.57 20.11 37.15 45.3 MA(a) 3.43 4.73 7.39 15.40 43.72 90.65 92.4 BR(a) 3.22 4.44 6.99 7.98 11.72 56.01 62.0 Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.21851$ $\Delta = -1.19$ 90% CI for Δ is -4.18 to 2.16 Ranklike test (Moses) $2\alpha(W) = 0.8415$ 89% CI for γ^2 is 0.1 to 15.0 LD 2.48 3.53 5.90 8.40 14.99 31.95 52.0 TD 2.50 2.94 3.93 7.32 18.12 33.78 43.7	Geologic f	ormations -	α(H') = <	0.0001*:									
RO(a) 5.64 6.23 10.60 13.57 20.11 37.15 45.3 MA(a) 3.43 4.73 7.39 15.40 43.72 90.65 92.4 BR(a) 3.22 4.44 6.99 7.98 11.72 56.01 62.0 Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.21851$ $\Delta = -1.19$ 90% CI for Δ is -4.18 to 2.16 Ranklike test (Moses) $2\alpha(W) = 0.8415$ 89% CI for γ^2 is 0.1 to 15.0 LD 2.48 3.53 5.90 8.40 14.99 31.95 52.0 TD 2.50 2.94 3.93 7.32 18.12 33.78 43.7	BQ(b)	2.48	2.49	2.94	3.93	6.36	8.75	8.81					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	RO(a)	5.64	6.23	10.60	13.57	20.11	37.15	45.38					
ER(a) 3.22 4.44 6.99 7.98 11.72 56.01 62.0 Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.21851$ $\Delta = -1.19$ 90% CI for Δ is -4.18 to 2.16 Ranklike test (Moses) $2\alpha(W) = 0.8415$ 89% CI for γ^2 is 0.1 to 15.0 LD 2.48 3.53 5.90 8.40 14.99 31.95 52.0 TD 2.50 2.94 3.93 7.32 18.12 33.78 43.7	MA(a)	3.43	4.73	7.39	15.40	43.72	90.65	92.47					
Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.21851$ $\Delta = -1.19$ 90% CI for Δ is -4.18 to 2.16 Ranklike test (Moses) $2\alpha(W) = 0.8415$ 89% CI for γ^2 is 0.1 to 15.0 LD 2.48 3.53 5.90 8.40 14.99 31.95 52.0 TD 2.50 2.94 3.93 7.32 18.12 33.78 43.7													
90% CI for Δ is -4.18 to 2.16 Ranklike test (Moses) $2\alpha(W) = 0.8415$ 89% CI for γ^2 is 0.1 to 15.0 LD 2.48 3.53 5.90 8.40 14.99 31.95 52.0 TD 2.50 2.94 3.93 7.32 18.12 33.78 43.7	Lithic (LL Rank s α(W Λ =	(V) = 0.2185	lcoxon): 1	rochrepts@:									
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ranklike test (Moses)} \\ 2\alpha(\mathcal{W}) &= 0.8415 \\ 89\% \text{ CI for } \gamma^2 \text{ is } 0.1 \text{ to } 15.0 \\ \text{LD} & 2.48 & 3.53 & 5.90 & 8.40 & 14.99 & 31.95 & 52.0 \\ \text{TD} & 2.50 & 2.94 & 3.93 & 7.32 & 18.12 & 33.78 & 43.7 \end{array}$	90%	6 CI for Δ is	-4.18 to 2.	16									
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Rankli	ke test (Mos	es)										
	2α(W) = 0.8413	5										
LD 2.48 3.53 5.90 8.40 14.99 31.95 52.0 TD 2.50 2.94 3.93 7.32 18.12 33.78 43.7	89%	6 CI for γ^2 is	s 0.1 to 15.0	1									
TD 2.50 2.94 3.93 7.32 18.12 33.78 43.7	LD	2.48	3.53	5.90	8.40	14.99	31.95	52.02					
	TD	2.50	2.94	3.93	7.32	18.12	33.78	43.72					

Table 20: Comparisons of distributions of base saturation by (SBAS divided by NHCEC)100 (NHBS)

	-			Quantile	es		
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max
				%			
Geologic fo	rmations -	$\alpha(\mathrm{H}') = 0.0$	0001*:				
BQ(b)	4.05	4.27	6.94	9.01	12.50	21.46	23.88
RO(a)	11.24	12.82	19.84	28.35	45.08	64.94	69.68
MA(a)	6.38	8.55	13.31	31.42	78.99	99.56	99.76
BR(ab)	4.78	6.27	13.53	16.35	22.44	89.30	97.83
Lithic (LD) Rank su $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklik $2\alpha(W)$ 89% LD	and Typic m test (Wil) = 0.08842 -4.97 CI for Δ is e test (Mose $\langle \rangle$ = 0.5708 CI for γ^2 is 6.38	(TD) Dysta coxon): 2 -11.0 to 0.9 cs) 6 0.7 to 22.0 8.77	rochrepts@: 09 12.29	19.45	28.61	63.15	97.82
TD	4.05	4.49	7.24	13.53	28.05	66.66	78.99

Table 21: Comparisons of distributions of base saturation by (SBAS divided by ECEC)100 (EBS)

		Quantiles							
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max		
		-log [H ⁺]							
Caplaria fr	motions	$\alpha(\mathbf{H}') = 0$	0011*.						
BO(b)	4.25	4.28	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.82	4.85		
RO(a)	4.55	4.57	4.66	4.83	4.95	5.08	5.11		
MA(a)	4.32	4.33	4.54	4.88	5.18	6.18	7.03		
BR(ab)	4.34	4.34	4.44	4.67	4.78	5.10	5.42		
Lithic (LD) Rank su $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklik 2 $\alpha(W)$ 89% LD TD) and Typic im test (Wi) = 0.4092i -0.025 CI for Δ is e test (Mos $\langle \gamma \rangle$ = 0.317 CI for γ^2 is 4.25 4.34	(TD) Dyst lcoxon): 6 -0.15 to 0. es) 32 s 0.6 to 6.0 4.41 4.34	rochrepts@ 11 4.47 4.40	: 4.64 4.66	4.81 4.88	5.10 5.11	5.42 5.18		

Table 22: Comparisons of distributions of pH

		Ouantiles							
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max		
			cmo	$1(+) k a^{-1}$	of soil				
Geologic fo	rmations -	$\alpha(H') = 0.0$)238*:						
BQ(a) RO(b) MA(ab)	4.78 2.79 3.98	6.33 3.38 5.17	9.75 4.38 7.56	11.94 7.16 11.34	15.32 10.15 14.13	21.29 13.65 21.93	21.29 15.32 27.06		
BR(a)	4.78	6.33	7.76	11.74	14.13	17.08	18.51		
Lithic (LD) Rank su $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklik 2 $\alpha(W)$ 89%	and Typic m test (Wil) = 0.04260 -2.37 CI for Δ is e test (Mose γ) $= 0.2571$ CI for γ^2 is 5 17	(TD) Dysta coxon):) -4.97 to 0 es) 6 0.1 to 1.3 7 10	rochrepts@ 9.60	11.54	14.13	18.79	27.06		
TD	3.98	4.22	6.37	9.75	13.13	13.97	14.93		

Table 23: Comparisons of distributions of exchange acidity

		Quantiles								
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max			
		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil								
Geologic f	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathbf{H}') = 0.0$	0127*:							
BQ(a)	2.10	2.58	3.50	4.95	6.15	8.77	11.05			
RO(b)	1.45	1.63	2.30	2.35	3.45	5.27	6.95			
MA(ab)	0.05	0.05	1.00	4.10	5.60	7.15	7.75			
BR(a)	0.20	1.13	3.95	5.05	7.85	9.61	10.15			
Lithic (LD Rank s $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklil 2 $\alpha(1)$ 89% LD TD	b) and Typic um test (Wi $(\gamma) = 0.37020$ $(\gamma) = 0.200$ $(\gamma) = 0.548$ $(\gamma) = 0.548$ $(\gamma) = 0.548$ $(\gamma) = 0.200$ $(\gamma) = 0.200$ $((\gamma) = 0.200)$ $((\gamma) = $	(TD) Dyst lcoxon):) a -0.95 tp 1.: es) 5 s 0.4 to 7.6 1.63 1.42	2.35 2.55	: 3.78 4.25	5.35 5.45	6.98 8.41	9.25 10.15			

Table 24: Comparisons of distributions of KCl extractable aluminum
	Quantiles								
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max		
				%					
Geologic fo	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathrm{H}') = 0.0$)209*:						
BQ(a) RO(ab) MA(b) BR(a)	23.65 14.38 0.19 1.07	24.13 16.96 0.36 6.72	28.55 22.31 8.68 31.93	34.63 30.65 27.43 42.77	53.62 43.51 37.18 56.85	63.10 55.12 40.05 73.07	70.19 57.73 42.16 84.06		
Lithic (LD Rank s: $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklik $2\alpha(1)$	b) and Typic um test (Wil T = 0.01331 10.17 to CI for Δ is the test (Most W = 0.2053	(TD) Dyst: coxon): 2.38 to 20. cs)	rochrepts@ 02	:					
LD TD	1.07 8.68	13.95 15.12	23.11 30.65	31.67 39.82	36.78 54.61	43.52 73.07	47.51 84.06		

Table 25: Comparisons of distributions of the aluminum saturation of the SCEC (SALSAT)

		Quantiles								
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max			
				%						
<u> </u>	· · ·									
Geologic	tormations - (a(H') = 0.0	034*:							
BQ(a)	25.66	28.82	35.35	40.00	55.30	63.70	70.39			
RO(ab)	19.58	19.68	22.66	33.57	38.98	46.93	52.26			
MA(b)	0.22	0.41	11.22	29.17	45.28	50.46	50.60			
BR(a)	1.15	7.75	39 71	46 47	56.06	63 38	67.08			
Lithic (LI Rank g $\alpha(V)$ $\Delta = 90\%$ Rankli $2\alpha($ 89% LD	D) and Typic sum test (Wilk V) = 0.00582 = 11.34 % CI for Δ is ke test (Mose W) = 04634 % CI for γ^2 is 1.16	(TD) Dystr coxon): 5.32 to 17.0 s) 0.7 to 4.8 18 75	rochrepts@:)2 33.12	37.04	40.73	48.36	56.06			
	1.10	16.75	35.12	57.04	40.73	48.30	20.00			
ID	11.03	10.24	33.33	50.41	54.49	00.70	/0.39			

Table 26: Comparisons of distributions of the aluminum saturation of the NHCEC (NHALSAT)

		Quantiles								
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max			
				%						
Geologic f	ormations - o	a(H') = 0.0	0001*:							
BQ(a) RO(b) MA(b) BR(ab)	76.12 30.32 0.24 2.17	78.54 35.06 0.44 10.70	87.50 54.92 21.01 77.56	90.99 71.65 68.58 83.65	93.06 80.16 86.69 86.47	95.73 87.18 91.47 93.73	95.95 88.76 93.62 95.22			
Lithic (LE Rank s α (\mathcal{U} $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklii 2 α (89% LD	D) and Typic um test (Wil $\gamma = 0.08842$ = 4.97 6 CI for Δ is ke test (Mose W) = 0.8415 6 CI for γ^2 is 2.17	(TD) Dystr coxon): -0.99 to 11. (5) 0.1 to 7.5 36.85	rochrepts@: 45 71.39	80.54	87.71	91.23	93.62			
TD	21.01	33.34	71.95	86.47	92.76	95.51	95.95			

Table 27: Comparisons of distributions of the aluminum saturation of the ECEC (EALSAT)

				Quantil	es		
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max
			σ	kg-1 of soil	1		
				<i>Ng</i> 01 501			
Geologic f	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathrm{H}') = 0.3$	5088*:				
BQ(a)	145	156	204	257	350	430	513
RO(a)	34	77	137	218	266	388	497
MA(a)	111	121	157	182	368	434	465
BR(a)	90	92	120	210	328	369	398
Lithic (LI Rank s α(M Δ = 90% Ranklii 2α(89% LD TD	 and Typic and Typic and typic 0.2224 2.5 CI for Δ is ke test (Mos W) = 0.946 CI for γ² i 105 94 	(TD) Dyst. lcoxon): 6 5 -2.7 to 7.8 es) 8 5 0.5 to 3.7 136 125	rochrepts@ 178	232	312	378	497 208
ID	74	125	199	209	330	370	398

Table 28: Comparisons of distributions of sand in the particle-size control section

				Quantil	es		
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max
				g kg ⁻¹ of so	oil		
Geologic for	mations -	$\alpha(\mathbf{H}') = 0.0$)024*:				
BQ(b)	294	347	425	472	518	568	607
RO(a)	390	397	523	601	655	715	723
MA(b)	340	352	431	509	548	562	563
BR(ab)	425	435	469	550	580	682	732
Lithic (LD) Rank sun $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta = -$ 90% c Ranklike $2\alpha(W)$ 89% c LD TD	and Typic n test (Wil = 0.23775 2.5 CI for Δ is test (Mos) = 0.0532 CI for γ^2 is 390 409	(TD) Dysta lcoxon): 5 -7.0 to 2.8 es) 2 5 1.1 to 5.8 439 419	rochrepts@ 478 442	: 531 508	588 589	656 657	723 732

Table 29: Comparisons of distributions of silt in the particle-size control section

		Quantiles								
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max			
		g kg ⁻¹ of soil								
Geologic f	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathrm{H}') = 0.0$	0061*:							
BQ(a) RO(b) MA(a) BR(ab)	176 113 123 160	177 121 136 166	223 140 195 199	252 173 266 224	319 210 344 266	361 396 395 355	361 564 430 392			
Lithic (LD Rank si $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklik 2 $\alpha(I)$	and Typic um test (Wi) = 0.4796 -0.15 o CI for Δ is the test (Mos W) = 0.257	(TD) Dyst: lcoxon): 7 -3.2 t0 3.6 es) 1	rochrepts@	:						
LD TD	113 130	138 148	164 178	218 212	265 248	285 295	344 339			

Table 30: Comparisons of distributions of clay in the particle-size control section

	Quantiles								
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max		
			cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$	of clay				
Geologic f	ormations -	$\sigma(\mathbf{H}') = 0(\mathbf{H}')$	063*						
BO(b)	10.87	12.50	15.88	19.56	25.47	42.98	43.07		
RO(ab)	9.85	13.10	17.48	22.07	28.70	47.75	54.42		
MA(a)	19.02	20.18	23.27	29.54	46.34	55.49	66.80		
BR(a)	17.01	20.09	27.43	31.26	35.52	42.28	45.58		
Lithic (LD Rank s $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$	 and Typic um test (Wil) = 0.36064 -1.12 	(TD) Dysti coxon): I	rochrepts@:	:					
90% Ranklil 2g(1	Δ CI for Δ is the test (Mose $W_{1} = 0.9468$	-6.0 to 3.8 es)							
89%	CI for y^2 is	0.4 to 3.3							
LD TD	10.87 16.13	15.09 16.39	18.74 19.02	25.07 24.66	34.46 29.35	46.39 41.21	54.42 42.92		

Table	31:	Comparisons	of	distributions	of	the	ratio	of	the	ECEC	to	clay
	()	ECECLAY)										

l

	u.	Quantiles									
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max				
		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay									
Geologic	formations - o	$\alpha(\mathrm{H}') = 0.1$	240*:								
BQ(a)	24.48	30.29	34.20	39.61	53.19	82.95	109.09				
RO(a)	23.58	29.55	40.95	46.43	55.94	82.36	106.20				
MA(a)	38.36	38.71	44.56	50.70	71.81	94.70	101.38				
BR(a)	30.61	31.56	42.92	50.00	64.12	76.27	80.19				
Lithic (LI Ranks a(W A 90% Rankli 2a(89% LD TD	b) and Typic sum test (Wild V) = 0.02075 = -8.0 % CI for Δ is ke test (Mose W) = 0.2571 % CI for γ^2 is 24.48 32.18	(TD) Dystr coxon): -15.7 to -1. s) 0.1 to 1.6 34.11 34.47	eochrepts@: 9 44.01 38.36	50.35 41.26	66.48 52.31	101.86 58.48	109.09 59.40				

Table 32: Comparisons of distributions of the ratio of the NHCEC to clay (NHCECLAY)

		Quantiles									
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max				
		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay									
Geologic	formations - o	a(H') = 0.0)592*:								
BQ(b)	26.05	26.59	37.74	44.75	60.39	95.50	124.83				
RO(ab)	18.72	23.80	39.31	45.85	64.87	112.79	144.60				
MA(a)	40.74	45.13	52.15	59.69	81.78	104.78	113.17				
BR(a)	27.22	32.56	46.21	58.74	71.62	82.80	86.92				
Lithic (L Rank a(1) 30 Rankl 2a 89 LD TD	D) and Typic sum test (Wil W) = 0.01331 = -13.68 % CI for Δ is ike test (Mose (W) = 0.1615 % CI for γ^2 is 36.85 27.19	(TD) Dystr coxon): -26.32 to -2 :s) 0.1 to 1.1 42.09 27.21	eochrepts@ 3.79 46.74 40.74	61.71 49.33	85.20 59.69	114.34 72.72	144.60 75.96				

Table	33:	Comparisons	of	distributions	of	the	ratio	of	the	SCEC	to	clay
	(SCECLAY)										•

		Quantiles									
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max				
		g kg^{-1} of sand									
Geologic f	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathrm{H}') = 0.0$	0002*:								
BQ(a) RO(b) MA(a) BR(a)	550 500 380 590	676 542 536 716	860 600 770 810	900 640 900 910	980 720 970 970	1000 866 1000 988	1000 890 1000 1000				
Lithic (LD) and Typic (TD) Dystrochrepts@: Rank sum test (Wilcoxon): $\alpha(W) = 0.25757$ $\Delta = 20.0$ 90% CI for Δ is -60.0 to 100.0 Ranklike test (Moses) $2\alpha(W) = 0.2053$ $000 \leq 0.0053$											
LD TD	380 0	590 330	645 590	835 890	908 980	971 1000	1000 1000				

Table	34:	Comparisons	of	distributions	of	quartz	in	the	sand	fraction	of	the
	1	nineralogical c	ont	rol section (Se	QT	Z)						

				Quantil	es		
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max
			g	kg^{-1} of silt			
Geologic	formations -	$\alpha(\mathrm{H}') = 0.1$	3896*:				
BQ(a)	500	512	590	680	770	818	830
RO(a)	530	536	590	640	700	844	910
MA(a)	380	476	610	760	810	818	830
BR(a)	460	490	590	690	780	834	840
Lithic (LI Ranks α(ν Δ = 90% Rankli 2α(89% LD TD	D) and Typic sum test (Wil V = 0.16294 = -40.0 % CI for Δ is ke test (Moss W = 0.3861 % CI for γ^2 is 460 500	(TD) Dyst coxon): -100.0 to 3 es) 50.6 to 11.2 539 506	rochrepts@ 0.0 2 605 550	: 690 670	795 770	812 824	830 830

Table 35: Comparisons of distributions of quartz in the silt fraction of the mineralogical control section (SIQTZ)

				Quantil	es			
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max	
			g k	g ⁻¹ of sand	l			
Geologic	formations -	$\alpha(\mathbf{H}') = 0.$	4092*:					
BQ(a)	0	Ó	0	30	60	212	380	
RO(a)	0	0	0	80	100	200	230	
MA(a)	0	0	0	70	100	264	450	
BR(a)	0	12	30	60	90	202	280	
Lithic (L Rank Q 90 Rank 2a 89 LD TD	D) and Typic sum test (Wi W) = 0.3887 = 0 % CI for Δ is like test (Mos (W) = 0.423 % CI for γ^2 i 0 0	c (TD) Dyst lcoxon): 7 s -30.0 to 20 ses) 2 s 0.2 to 9.2 0 0	rochrepts@).0 15 20	: 60 50	90 80	114 320	450 380	

Table	36:	Comparisons	of	distributions	of	mica	in	the	sand	fraction	of	the
	n	nineralogical co	onti	col section (SN	AIC	CA)						

				Quantil	es		
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max
			ł	g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ of sil	lt		
Geologic	formations -	$\alpha(\mathbf{H}') = 0.$	0011*:				
BQ(ab) RO(b) MA(ab) BR(a)	0 0 30 0	0 0 30 24	30 10 40 80	70 30 70 110	100 60 120 190	190 90 174 258	190 90 180 300
Lithic (LI Rank α α ν 90% Rankli 2α 89%	D) and Typic sum test (Wi V) = 0.1688 = 10.0 % CI for Δ is ke test (Mos W) = 0.548 % CI for γ^2 is	(TD) Dyst lcoxon): 4 s -20.0 to 40 es) 5 s 0 to 3.8	rochrepts@	:			
LD TD	0 30	0 36	30 50	65 70	120 100	181 234	230 300

Table	37:	Comparisons	of	distributions	of	mica	in	the	silt	fraction	of	the
	n	nineralogical co	ntr	ol section (SIN	AIC	CA)						

				Quantil	0.0			
	Min	10%	25%	Quantin	75%	00%	Max	
	141111	1070	2370	Ivieu	1370	9070	IVIAX	-
				ka-l of con	d			
			E B	kg · OI sall	u			
Caslaria f	mations	$\alpha(\mathbf{U}') = \langle$	0.0001*					
BO(b)	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathbf{n}) = \langle \mathbf{n} \rangle$	0.0001*:	60	90	124	130	
RO(a)	0	66	200	260	300	360	360	
MA(b)	0	0	0	30	50	174	210	1
BR(b)	0	0	0	30	60	90	90	
Lithic (LD Rank su $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$) and Typic im test (Wi) = 0.0092 -50.0	: (TD) Dyst: lcoxon): 2	rochrepts@	:				
90% Ranklik	CI for Δ is the test (Mos	s -80.0 to 0 ses)						
20(V 89%	V = 0.094 CI for v^2 i	5 s 0 to 0.7						
LD	0	0	10	60	260	320	360	
TD	0	0	0	0	60	200	200	

Table	38:	Comparisons	of	distributions	of	feldspar	in	the	sand	fraction	of	the
	1	mineralogical c	on	trol section (S	FL	(D)						

				Quantil	es		
4	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max
			g	kg^{-1} of silt			
Geologic fo	mations -	$q(\mathbf{H}') = \zeta$	0.0001*-				
BO(b)	0	24	100	110	140	180	210
RO(a)	0	10	220	280	370	430	460
MA(b)	0	0	100	110	140	340	370
BR(b)	0	0	40	90	130	208	250
Lithic (LD) Rank su $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta =$ 90% Ranklik 2 $\alpha(W)$ 89%	and Typic im test (Wi) = 0.2742 -30.0 CI for Δ is test (Mos V) = 0.205 CI for γ^2 i	c (TD) Dyst lcoxon): 4 s -90.0 to 30 ses) 3 s 0.7 to 4.7	rochrepts@	:	225	265	460
LD	0	36	100	130	235	300	460
ID	0	0	50	130	210	370	510

Table	39:	Comparisons	of	distributions	of	feldspar	in	the	silt	fraction	of	the
	r	nineralogical co	onti	rol section (SI	FL	.D)						

Upland oak site index distributions (Table 40 and Figure 2) were significantly different at the 5 percent level of probability for soils developed from these parent materials. Soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro and Martinsburg and Athens formations have higher median values than soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group and the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formation.

A scatter plot (Figure 3) shows no discernible influence of aspect on site index of upland oak growing on soils developed from these parent materials. Slopes for the study sites ranged from 4 to 81 percent. Stage (1976) pointed out the necessity of having slopes of all plots the same in order to access the influence of aspect on site index. Lower slope gradients supply little information on the influence of aspect on tree growth. Since data in this study were collected from a wide range of slopes, they are not appropriate for the evaluation of the influence of aspect n upland oak site index.

		Quantiles										
	Min	10%	25%	Med	75%	90%	Max					
			height in f	feet at age 5	0 years							
Geologic f	ormations -	$\alpha(\mathrm{H}') = 0.$	0433*:									
BQ(a)	35	37	49	52	59	62	64					
RO(a)	45	46	54	58	64	73	77					
MA(a)	43	47	55	60	65	72	72					
BR(a)	37	39	43	52	61	71	76					
Lithic (LC Rank s $\alpha(W)$ $\Delta = 90\%$ Ranklil $2\alpha(1)$ 89%	b) and Typic um test (Wi $\gamma = 0.1553$ = -4.0 6 CI for Δ is the test (Mos W) = 0.609 6 CI for v^2 is	c (TD) Dyst ilcoxon): 0 s -8.0 to 2.0 ses) 8 s 0.1 to 1.8	rochrepts@	:								
Lithic (LC Rank s α(W Δ = 90% Ranklil 2α(1 89% LD	b) and Typic um test (Wi $\gamma = 0.1553$ = -4.0 b CI for Δ is ke test (Mos W) = 0.609 b CI for γ^2 i 37	c (TD) Dyst ilcoxon): 0 s -8.0 to 2.0 ses) 8 s 0.1 to 1.8 40	rochrepts@ 50	56	60	66	68					

Table 40: Comparisons of distributions of site indices for upland oaks



Figure 2: Plot of upland oak site index for soils derived from parent materials BQ, RO, MA, and BR.

Results and discussion



Figure 3: Plot of site index vs. aspect for soils derived from parent materials BQ, RO, MA, and BR.

LEGEND: I=BQ, 2=RO, 3=MA, and 4=BR NOTE: 2 OBSERVATIONS HIDDEN

Results and discussion

Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts.

P-values associated with the Wilcoxon W-statistic, median shifts (Δ), 90 percent confidence intervals for Δ , p-values associated with the Moses ranklike test, 89 percent confidence intervals for γ^2 , and quantiles for the soil and site properties associated with soils classified as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts are given in Tables 7 through 40.

P-values associated with the Moses ranklike test indicate that all the soil and site properties studied, except for AH2O, have similar dispersions. Therefore, we assume that the distribution-free Wilcoxon rank sum test is an appropriate procedure for evaluating differences between groups of soils classified as members of loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts for all soil and site properties except for AH2O.

Soil and site properties that did not differ significantly at the 10 percent level of probability among groups of soils classified as members of loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts were slope (Table 7), Mg^{2+} (Table 12), K^+ (Table 13), ECEC (Table 17), SBS (Table 19), NHBS (Table 20), pH (Table 22), AI^{3+} (Table 24), sand (Table 28), silt (Table 29), clay (Table 30), ratio of ECEC to clay (Table 31), SQTZ (Table 34), SIQTZ (Table 35), SMICA (Table 36), SIMICA (Table 37), SIFLD (Table 39), and site index for upland oak (Table 40).

Soil properties with significantly larger values for soils classified as members of Typic than for Lithic Dystrochrepts were DTC (Table 8), DTR (Table 9), AH2O (Table 10), SALSAT (Table 25), NHALSAT (Table 26) and EALSAT (Table 27).

Soil properties with larger values for Lithic than for Typic Dystrochrepts were Ca^{2+} (Table 11), SBAS (Table 14), SCEC (Table 15), NHCEC (Table 16), difference between SCEC and ECEC (Table 18), EBS (Table 21), H^+ (Table 23), NHCECLAY (Table 32), SCECLAY (Table 33), and SFLD (Table 38).

These results indicate that soils classified as members of Typic Dystrochrepts are deeper by virtue of their definition, have larger available-water capacities, and have higher degrees of exchangeable AB^+ saturation of their cation-exchange capacities (SCEC, NHCEC, and ECEC) than do soils classified as members of Lithic Dystrochrepts. Conversely, soils classified as members of Lithic Dystrochrepts have more exchangeable Ca^{2+} ; more exchangeable bases, higher cation-exchange capacities (SCEC and NHCEC); more pH-dependent charge; more exchange acidity; higher ratios of the cation-exchange capacities by the NH_4OAc , pH 7, method, and by sum of cations to clay; they also have more feldspar in their sand fractions. Therefore, we conclude that soils classified as members of Typic Dystrochrepts are considerably more mature than soils classified as Lithic Dystrochrepts.

Figure 4 is a plot of the quantile distributions of site index for upland oak growing on soils classified as Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts. Note the almost complete overlap of the these distributions and that Lithic Dystrochrepts almost always have insignificant, but slightly higher site indices than do soils classified as Typic Dystrochrepts. A possible explanation for these results could be that the well distributed rainfall pattern for the study area (Table 3B) decreases the influence of differences in available-water capacity on tree growth. Trees growing on these soils are moisture stressed about the same time because of limited capillary rise of soil moisture due to the close proximity of bed rock to the soil surface. Therefore, the higher exchangeable Ca^{2+} and lower exchangeable AB^+ saturation of the cation-exchange capacity determined by the sum of cations for soils classified as members of Lithic Dystrochrepts could explain the slightly higher site index for upland oak growing on these soils. Also, the higher feldspar in the sand fraction of soils classified as members of Lithic Dystrochrepts could weather over the life of a forest to provide more plant nutrients. The higher exchange acidity may not adversely influence tree growth since it appears to be a residual effect of the laboratory procedure resulting primarily from a higher pH-dependent charge.

A scatter plot (Figure 5) shows no discernible influence of aspect on site index of upland oak for soils classified as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts. Slopes for the study sites ranged from 7 to 81 percent. Stage (1976) pointed out the necessity of having slopes of all plots the same in order to access the influence of aspect on site index. Lower slope gradients supply little information on the influence of aspect on tree growth. Since data in this study were collected from a wide range of slopes, they are not appropriate for the evaluation of the influence of aspect on upland oak site index.

Quantile distributions of these soil properties reveal considerable overlap. Therefore, mutually-exclusive classes were not produced by arraying these soils according to the taxonomic criteria for Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts. The only property that produced mutually-exclusive classes was depth to bedrock, imposed by the criteria of classification.

Figure 4: Plot of upland oak site index for soils classified as members of Lithic (L) and Typic (T) Dystrochrepts.





Figure 5: Plot of site index vs. aspect for soils classified as members of Lithic (L) and Typic (T) Dystrochrepts.

Results and discussion

Multivariate statistical analysis

Multivariate statistical methods are heuristic and assume normality of the data. As shown by the p-values associated with the Shapiro-Wilk W-statistic (Tables 5 and 6), there is sufficient evidence to suspect that numerous properties of the soils developed from these parent materials are nonnormal. Lebart et al. (1984) suggest the use of nonparametric methods for multivariate analysis when the data are very heterogeneous and nonnormal, such as the data for these soils. Therefore, ranks of the data were used for all multivariate analysis.

Principal component analysis

Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 1975) was tailored to the limitation of the human mind that prevents it from considering more than a few things simultaneously (Smith, 1963). Current computer programs provide techniques that can break down this barrier. Principal component analysis can be used to study relationships between numerous soil individuals on the basis of several properties considered simultaneously.

Principal component analysis simplifies the description of a set of interrelated or correlated soil properties (Afifi and Clark, 1984). Specific soil properties used to developed the principal components in this study were ranks of the values for pH, Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , K^+ , NHBS, NHALSAT, silt, clay, SQTZ, and SFLD. Interrelationships or correlations among these properties are reported in Table 41. For example, pH is highly correlated with Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , NHBS, and NHALSAT. Principal component analysis is a technique for transforming these correlated soil properties into new, uncorrelated variables called principal components or eigenvectors (Table 42). Each principal component is a linear combination of the ranked data values for the 10 soil properties listed above.

One measure of the amount of information conveyed by each principal component is its variance, estimated by the proportion of its eigenvalue to the total number of eigenvectors (Table 42). The proportion of each eigenvalue decreases from principal component 1 (PRIN1) through 10 (PRIN10). This means that principal component 1 is the most informative, and principal component 10 is the least informative. Therefore, principal components can be used to reduce the dimensionality of a data set; i.e., reduce the number of variables without losing much of the information or variance in the original data. For example, a two-dimensional plot of the principal component scores for the first two principal components in this study (Figure 8A) accounts for a cumulative proportion of 0.608316 (Table 42) or about 60 percent of the total variance in the original 10 soil properties. Final communality estimates by factor analysis (Table 43) indicate that exchangeable K^+ , silt, and clay are not well accounted for by these principal components. Therefore, these soil properties are not as important as the others for differentiating the soil profiles developed from these parent materials. Principal components developed after the elimination of these soil properties will be discussed later.

Results and discussion

Coefficients or loading values associated with each soil characteristic for each principal component are given in Table 44. The magnitude and sign of these coefficients indicate the contribution of a specific soil property to the variance expressed by a given principal component. Contributions of the soil properties to principal component 1 are shown graphically by Figure 6 and to principal component 2 by Figure 7. These loading values can be used to select differentiae for defining groups of soils, possibly soil series.

Principal component scores (Tables 45A and 45B) represent the sum of specific values for the properties of a given soil profile; e.g., RB1BQ, times the specific coefficients for the properties (Table 44). The principal component score for profile RB1BQ for principal component 1 is -2.4367 and for RB2BQ is -2.6889. Using these principal component scores, the soil profiles can be ordinated in multidimensional character space.

Ordination of the soil profiles in the first two dimensions of the character space are shown graphically in Figure 8A. Using this scatter plot, we can study relationships among soil profiles developed from the parent materials to see if any of the resulting groups correspond to soils developed from specific parent materials. The most obvious feature of this scatter plot is the concentration of soil profiles labelled as 2 (developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation) located in the upper left quadrant and the concentration of soil profiles labelled as 3 (developed from the Athens and Martinsburg formations) located in the upper right quadrant. Soil profiles primarily labelled as 1 (developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group) and as 4 (developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations) form an intermingled group in the lower part of the plot. Since both principal components represent linear combinations of all 10 soil properties, we must resort to the magnitude and sign of the coefficients or loading values for each variable for each principal component in order to determine which variables actually separate these groups of soil profiles.

Soil properties that contribute to the separation of the soil profiles in the first dimension (PRIN1) are primarily chemical (Table 44 and Figure 6). pH, Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , K^+ , and NHBS contribute to the separation of the soil profiles in the positive direction, and NHALSAT contributes in the negative direction. Therefore, soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro, Athens, and Martinsburg formations are separated for soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group and the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations on the basis of more exchangeable plant nutrients and less Al^{3+} saturation.

Soil properties that contribute to the separation of the soil profiles in the second dimension (PRIN2) are primarily texture and mineralogy (Table 44 and Figure 7) with moderate contributions by Ca^{2+} and K^+ . Ca^{2+} , clay, and SQTZ contribute to the separation in the positive direction of the second principal component and SIFLD, and SFLD contribute in the negative direction. Thus, soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro formation can be separated from soils de-

veloped from the Athens and Martinsburg formation on the basis of more silt and feldspar in the sand fraction and less Ca^{2+} , clay, and quartz in the sand fraction.

Soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group and from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations are so intermingled in the lower part of the plot that no discernible differences are revealed by these two dimensions. However, soils developed from these parent materials may be separated within other dimensions of the character space.

Deletion of the soil properties with low final communality estimates - i.e., K^+ , silt, and clay - increases the communality estimates as well as the amount of the total variance in the seven soil properties explained by the first two principal components (Table 43). These increases are primarily the result of the reduction in the number of dimensions of the character space. A plot of the first two principal components based on the seven soil properties is shown in Figure 8B. Positions of the soil profiles and the directions of the contributions by the soil properties has remained essentially the same as for the principal components based on the ten soil properties. However, the magnitude of the contributions of the soils properties has generally increased (Table 43).

If we review the results of individual Kruskal-Wallis tests, we can also show, for example, that soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation have more K^+ (Table 13), less Ca^{2+} (Table 11), more silt (Table 29), less clay (Table 30), more SFLD (Table 38), and less SQTZ (Table 34) than soils developed from the other parent materials. However, we also see that there is considerable overlap in the quantile distributions of these soil properties. Thus, none of the soil properties studied provide a differentia for separating mutually exclusive classes or groups of soils, and we are not even sure that groups actually exist when soil properties are analyzed one at a time. By resorting to principal component analysis, which evaluates all the soil properties considered simultaneously, we can show that natural classes or groups of soil profiles do exist a conclusion that was known intuitively by the soil surveyors that mapped Montgomery County in the 1960s and the soil surveyors in the counties encompassed by this study. These groups of soil profiles are differentiated by the interrelationships of all the characteristics considered.

	рН	Ca²+	Mg ²⁺	K^+	NHBS
	X		r		
pH Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ K ⁺ NHBS NHALSAT Silt Clay SQTZ SFLD	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0000\\ 0.5114\\ 0.7490\\ 0.2435\\ 0.8875\\ -0.6627\\ 0.1021\\ -0.1642\\ -0.2458\\ 0.2770\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5114 \\ 1.0000 \\ 0.4777 \\ 0.0408 \\ 0.7206 \\ -0.5331 \\ -0.1259 \\ -0.0362 \\ 0.0213 \\ -0.0862 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7490\\ 0.4777\\ 1.0000\\ 0.3814\\ 0.8153\\ -0.5377\\ 0.0010\\ -0.0722\\ -0.0641\\ 0.1491 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2435\\ 0.0408\\ 0.3814\\ 1.0000\\ 0.2372\\ -0.1970\\ 0.0927\\ -0.3005\\ -0.2287\\ 0.2514 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8875\\ 0.7206\\ 0.8153\\ 0.2372\\ 1.0000\\ -0.6754\\ 0.1221\\ -0.2451\\ -0.2730\\ 0.2499 \end{array}$
	NHALSAT	Silt	Clay	SQTZ	SFLD
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		r		
pH Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ K ⁺ NHBS NHALSAT Silt Clay SQTZ SFLD	-0.6627 -0.5331 -0.5377 -0.1970 -0.6754 1.0000 0.0004 0.1049 0.3282 -0.3994	0.1021 -0.1259 0.0010 0.0927 0.1221 0.0004 1.0000 -0.2586 -0.2012 0.2694	-0.1642 -0.0362 -0.0722 -0.3005 -0.2451 0.1049 -0.2586 1.0000 0.2833 -0.3916	-0.2458 0.0213 -0.2641 -0.2287 -0.2730 0.3282 -0.2012 0.2833 1.0000 -0.7136	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2770 \\ \textbf{-}0.0862 \\ 0.1491 \\ 0.2514 \\ 0.2499 \\ \textbf{-}0.3994 \\ 0.2694 \\ \textbf{-}0.3916 \\ \textbf{-}0.7136 \\ 1.0000 \end{array}$

Table 41: Correlations of the variables used to calculate principal components

Eigen- vector	Eigenvalue	Difference	Proportion	Cumulative
PRIN1 PRIN2 PRIN3 PRIN4 PRIN5 PRIN6 PRIN7 PRIN8 PRIN9 PRIN9 PRIN10	4.082400 2.000758 0.968147 0.908853 0.733985 0.465780 0.402532 0.226675 0.173942 0.036930	2.081642 1.032611 0.059294 0.174868 0.268205 0.063249 0.175857 0.052732 0.137012	$\begin{array}{c} 0.408240\\ 0.200076\\ 0.096815\\ 0.090885\\ 0.073399\\ 0.046578\\ 0.040253\\ 0.022667\\ 0.017394\\ 0.003693 \end{array}$	0.408240 0.608316 0.705130 0.796016 0.869414 0.915992 0.956245 0.978913 0.996307 1.000000

Table 42: Proportions of the variance contributed by the principal components

Table	43:	Final	and	total	communality	estimates	for	the	first	two	principal
	С	ompor	nents	develo	oped using diff	erent sets c	of soi	il pro	operti	es	

	Principa	d component
Property	Model 1	Model 2
	Final c	ommunality
pH Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ K ⁺ NHBS NHALSAT Silt Clay SQTZ SFLD	0.805817 0.684745 0.727194 0.253431 0.925532 0.641782 0.277903 0.395867 0.627049 0.743837	0.813618 0.699588 0.713679 Not used 0.937206 0.672326 Not used Not used 0.813122 0.862880
Total communality	6.083158	5.512418
Percent of total variance explained	60.84158	78.74883

	PRIN1	PRIN2	PRIN3	PRIN4	PRIN5
pH Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ K ⁺ NHBS NHALSA Silt Clay SQTZ SFLD	$\begin{array}{c} 0.43383\\ 0.30353\\ 0.40423\\ 0.20452\\ 0.46128\\ \Gamma & -0.39248\\ 0.07681\\ -0.16491\\ -0.24027\\ 0.23826 \end{array}$	0.13681 0.39275 0.17334 -0.20325 0.16860 -0.08038 -0.35617 0.37731 0.44227 -0.50591	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02031\\ 0.01498\\ 0.12520\\ 0.55178\\ 0.07087\\ 0.30066\\ 0.31189\\ -0.42748\\ 0.41949\\ -0.35749 \end{array}$	0.10515 0.16124 -0.16274 -0.60939 0.16294 0.00812 0.71308 -0.09073 0.13727 -0.05399	$\begin{array}{c} 0.13344 \\ -0.34605 \\ 0.31061 \\ 0.22614 \\ -0.02792 \\ 0.07035 \\ 0.42811 \\ 0.71948 \\ -0.06678 \\ -0.06065 \end{array}$
	PRIN6	PRIN7	PRIN8	PRIN9	PRIN10
pH <i>Ca²⁺</i> <i>Mg²⁺</i> <i>K</i> ⁺ NHBS NHALSA Silt Clay SQTZ SFLD	$\begin{array}{c} -0.23154\\ 0.31859\\ -0.42653\\ 0.37380\\ -0.17359\\ \Gamma \ -0.56980\\ 0.22168\\ 0.21307\\ 0.23773\\ 0.13173\end{array}$	-0.40785 0.58317 0.04568 0.11131 0.04644 0.34525 0.12510 0.12916 -0.53840 -0.18843	0.25482 0.26073 -0.23444 0.10466 0.15097 0.54559 -0.08300 0.22405 0.28343 0.58589	-0.58148 0.04723 0.59716 -0.15298 -0.01650 -0.04084 0.04316 -0.03618 0.34706 0.39251	0.37519 0.30956 0.26890 -0.05837 -0.81919 0.02937 0.06812 -0.09057 -0.01406 0.05191

Table 44: Loading values for the variables onto the principal components



Figure 6: Variable loadings on the first principal component (PRIN1).

Results and discussion



Figure 7: Variable loadings on the second principal component (PRIN2).

Results and discussion

Pro- file	PRIN1	PRIN2	PRIN3	PRIN4	PRIN5	PRIN6	
RB1BQ RB2BQ RB3BQ BO1BQ BO3BQ WY1BQ WY2BQ WY3BQ SY1BQ SY1BQ SY1BQ SY1BQ SY2BQ SY3BQ WA1BQ WA2BQ WA3BQ BO1RO BO2RO BO3RO BO4RO BO5RO WY1RO WY2RO WY3RO WY4RO WY4RO	-2.4367 -2.6889 -2.5846 -1.8949 -1.7375 -1.0114 -2.2078 0.9895 -3.6569 -1.1856 -1.1934 -0.4570 -2.5188 -3.4434 -2.2149 1.0154 2.4644 3.3680 0.8355 2.2664 3.5684 -0.1446 2.3985 0.8896 1.2591 -0.2705	-0.3849 -1.2440 0.7189 -0.3933 -0.1185 0.5984 -0.7003 -0.7346 0.5398 -0.5564 -1.0663 0.3274 1.2233 0.8475 -0.7014 -1.8026 -1.1416 -0.4193 -0.6315 -1.6064 -1.3222 -3.0751 -2.4097 -2.3839 -2.5466	-1.0806 -0.3223 0.6235 -1.5502 -0.1428 0.0592 -1.3001 -0.4738 0.0953 -0.2801 -0.3693 -0.9058 0.1614 -0.1137 -1.4280 -1.1581 -0.0964 0.1329 0.2872 0.3366 0.7963 0.6575 0.5027 0.8517 0.1920 -1.2130	-1.0385 0.0675 -1.2069 0.0983 1.2668 1.0151 0.3237 -1.1615 -0.1436 -1.8434 -1.0267 0.3420 -0.0568 -1.5403 -0.7797 0.1809 1.2115 -1.8176 1.6183 -0.0300 0.1623 -0.2625 0.2023 0.2345 0.6035 0.7143	0.4962 -1.3510 -0.2183 -0.2823 0.2084 -0.2315 0.2926 0.3927 -0.6800 0.2512 -1.3582 1.2327 -1.5674 0.3832 0.6005 -1.4120 -0.4773 -1.5280 -0.5011 -0.1298 0.7510 0.0370 0.2272 0.2876 0.3000 0.9460	$\begin{array}{c} -0.1286\\ -0.5766\\ -0.0541\\ 0.5543\\ 0.9880\\ 0.8089\\ 0.5733\\ 0.6058\\ -0.9758\\ 1.3449\\ 0.7053\\ -1.2276\\ -1.1761\\ 0.0270\\ 0.9936\\ 0.3862\\ 0.5636\\ -0.0635\\ -0.1136\\ 0.6038\\ 0.4811\\ 0.0050\\ -0.3821\\ -0.3906\\ 0.3507\end{array}$	
SY2RO SY3RO SY4RO SY5RO	3.0145 1.1545 -1.0884 1.8763	-0.9872 0.2384 -0.1914 -2.2219	-0.0484 -0.8998 0.4361 0.4133	-0.7257 -2.3240 -1.3305 0.4941	0.5097 1.8303 1.4790 0.0179	0.1331 -0.1951 -0.8655 0.2398	

Table 45A: Principal component scores* for the soil profiles

*Principal component scores for PRIN7 through PRIN10 are not shown since they account collectively for about 5% of the total variance.

Pro- file	PRIN1	PRIN2	PRIN3	PRIN4	PRIN5	PRIN6
RB1MA	3.6124	1.3085	-1.6494	-0.9231	-0.2926	0.4023
RB2MA	2.1703	2.1275	1.5774	-0.0481	-0.3562	0.4121
RB3MA	2.7924	1.0787	-2.3889	1.2950	0.4096	0.1845
RB4MA	-2.9205	-0.0834	-1.0915	0.5696	0.2358	0.6090
RB5MA	1.8649	4.1910	-1.1381	0.6832	0.1175	-0.1042
BO1MA	2.9576	0.7598	-1.4997	0.4665	-1.6559	-1.4430
BO2MA	-3.0222	1.1878	-0.0712	0.3490	-1.5135	-0.0352
BO3MA	-1.4475	1.5326	0.8286	0.7141	0.5128	0.5617
BO4MA	0.6316	1.6785	1.0933	0.2730	0.8796	0.0237
BO5MA	1.5677	2.0492	-1.6009	0.2789	0.2704	-0.0211
WA1MA	0.9268	1.6022	0.2200	-0.7590	-1.5348	0.6431
WA2MA	1.2357	0.2726	1.9031	-0.4096	-1.1918	0.4026
WA3MA	2.3751	1.3789	1.5728	0.1416	0.4926	0.7035
WA4MA	-0.2240	0.9413	-0.6445	0.5047	0.7443	-0.4640
WA5MA	-1.2332	0.0237	0.0817	0.4240	1.1434	-0.5672
RB1BR	0.0262	-0.6575	0.2606	0.9492	-0.9987	-0.0225
RB2BR	1.1457	-0.2635	0.2145	-0.8777	-0.8070	-1.8678
RB3BR	-1.8930	-0.2804	1.1517	2.3634	-0.5860	0.1437
BO1BR	-0.6502	-0.2958	-0.0795	-0.2803	-0.5187	-1.0659
BO2BR	-0.2430	1.5122	1.7876	0.5504	1.6343	-0.5235
BO3BR	2.0732	1.2946	-1.7221	1.5372	0.3480	0.3103
WY1BR	-1.1687	-0.4436	0.4356	-1.0894	-0.3766	0.0748
WY2BR	-2.8783	1.1237	-0.0869	0.3283	0.6193	-0.0035
WY3BR	-1.4643	0.0281	1.5040	1.1286	-0.1450	0.8587
SY1BR	-2.8160	-0.5840	0.4174	0.4196	0.7472	0.1320
SY2BR	0.1505	-1.2491	0.6110	-0.2098	1.3621	-1.1341
SY3BR	-0.4363	0.6019	1.1946	1.3060	0.3568	-1.1034
WA1BR	-0.3101	0.3584	0.8030	-1.1496	-0.6311	0.6733
WA2BR	2.8176	2.1774	1.2109	-0.7354	0.6484	0.7531
WA3BR	-0.0054	1.7426	0.9416	-1.0479	-0.4208	0.0258

Table 45B: Principal component scores* for the soil profiles

*Principal component scores for PRIN7 through PRIN10 are not shown since they account collectively for about 5% of the total variance.



Figure 8A: Plot of principal component scores for PRIN1 and PRIN2 for model I (BQ = 1, RO = 2, MA = 3, and BR = 4).

BASED ON RANKS OF DATA VALUES FOR pH, Co, Mg, K, NHBS, NHALSAT, SILT, CLAY, SQTZ, AND SFLD NOTE: 3 SOIL PROFILES HIDDEN



Figure 8B: Plot of principal component scores for PRIN1 and PRIN2 for model II (BQ = 1, RO = 2, MA = 3, and BR = 4).

BASED ON RANKS OF DATA VALUES FOR pH, Ca, Mg, NHBS, NHALSAT, SQTZ, AND SFLD

Cluster analysis

Cluster analysis is a method that systematically searches for order and similarity in multidimensional character space. Cluster analysis in this study was used to arrange the soil profiles developed from these parent materials into relatively uniform groups to see if any of these groups represented soils developed from a given parent material. By studying group characteristics, we can deduce the soil characteristics that separate soils developed from different parent materials and use them to differentiate soil series.

A dendrogram of the similarities of the soil profiles based on principal component scores for the first six principal components, or about 92 percent of the total variance in the original ten soil properties (Table 42), is given in Figure 9. At a similarity index of about 1.6500, four groups or clusters of soil profiles are produced. The first group, starting at the top, consists of the first 21 soil profiles, the second consists of the next 20, the third consists of the next 13, and the fourth group consists of the last 6. These groups are very similar to the groups produced by plotting principal component scores for the first two principal components (Figures 8A and 8B).

Cluster one is composed of eleven soil profiles that developed from the Chilhowee group; six from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung, and four from the Athens and Martinsburg; cluster two is composed of four soil profiles developed the Chilhowee group, eight from the Rome-Waynesboro; six from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung, and two from the Athens and Martinsburg formations; cluster three is composed of seven soil profiles developed from the Rome-Waynesboro, four from the Athens and Martinsburg formations, and two from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung; cluster four is composed of five soil profiles developed from the Athens and Martinsburg and one from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung.

These results indicate that soils developed from the Chilhowee group are represented by clusters one and two, that soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro are represented primarily by clusters two and three, and that soils developed from the Athens and Martinsburg and from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung are divided roughly among clusters one, two, three, and four. The existence of three distinct facies in the Athens - i.e. shale, sandstone, and limestone (Butts, 1940) - probably accounts for the variability in cluster membership of the soils developed from these rocks. The Millboro formation contains beds of black fissile shale and beds that contain large, symmetrical, lenticular concretions of calcium carbonate or calcium sulfate that could account for the variability in cluster membership.

Minimum, median, and maximum values for soil properties used to develop the principal component scores used as data for cluster analysis (Figure 9) are reported in Tables 46A and 46B.



Figure 9: Dendrogram of the similarities* of the soil profiles.

*Based on the first six principal components (92% of the total variance) developed using ranks of the data values for pH, Ca, Mg, K, NHBS, NHALSAT, silt , clay, SQTZ, and SFDL.
Cluster	Min	Med	Max
1 2 3 4	4.30 4.25 4.62 4.88	pH (-log [<i>H</i> ⁺]): 4.48 4.67 4.89 5.31	4.83 4.96 5.42 7.03
1 2 3 4	0.07 0.10 0.25 3.57	Ca^{2+} (cmol (+) kg^{-1} soil) 0.30 0.26 0.87 8.85): 0.87 0.69 6.73 19.10
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\end{array}$	0.02 0.12 0.21 0.51	$Mg^{2+} \pmod{(+)} kg^{-1} \text{ soil} 0.06 0.34 0.92 0.99 $	0.87 0.94 4.30 7.60
$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}$	0.09 0.12 0.21 0.08	$\begin{array}{c} K^{+} \ (\text{cmol} \ (+) \ kg^{-1} \ \text{soil}) \\ 0.15 \\ 0.22 \\ 0.33 \\ 0.09 \end{array}$: 0.24 0.33 0.80 0.20
1 2 3 4	2.48 3.54 11.12 28.75	NHBS (%): 5.16 8.02 20.12 65.58	15.04 18.12 52.02 92.47
1 2 3 4	33.17 25.66 1.16 0.21	NHALSAT (%): 50.42 40.26 22.66 6.04	70.39 56.06 38.43 29.17

Table	46A:	Minimu	ım, m	edian	i, and	l maximur	n	values	for	soil	properties	of	the
	ch	usters pro	oduce	d by	the de	endrogram	in	Figur	e 9				

Cluster	Min	Med	Max
	204	Silt $(g kg^{-1} \text{ of soil})$:	722
	294	526	732
2	402	512	723
3	340	538	709
4	360	448	550
		Clay $(q kq^{-1} \text{ of soil})$	
1	174	265	392
2	130	218	564
3	113	184	259
4	145	345	430
		SOTZ (g kg^{-1} of sand):	
1	550	950	1000
2	500	805	900
3	570	720	1000
4	380	730	1000
		SFLD (g kg^{-1} of sand):	
1	0	0	100
2	0	85	360
3	0	200	300
4	0	70	210

Table	46B:	Minimum,	median,	and	maximum	values	for	soil	properties	of	the
	clu	isters produ	ced by th	ne de	ndrogram i	n Figur	e 9				

Discriminant analysis

Discriminant analysis has two uses that can be important in soil classification: (1) to predict group membership on the basis of several soil properties considered simultaneously, and (2) to determine which soil properties are important for defining group differences. Therefore, discriminant analysis provides an objective technique for developing series criteria below the family level (*Soil Taxonomy*, Soil Survey Staff, 1975). This procedure can also be used to test the significance or usefulness of differentiae in relation to soil properties selected as differentiae above the family level.

Discriminant analysis is a technique that assumes multivariate normality and equal variance (Afifi and Clark, 1984). Ranks of the values for the soil properties produce a common mean and variance (Lebart et al., 1984) and were used in this study.

Discriminant analysis can be used to classify an individual soil profile into one of two or more alternative groups, such as the parent materials in this study, on the basis of several soil properties considered simultaneously, provided the groups are distinct and each individual belongs to one of them (Afifi and Clark, 1984).

The resulting discriminant function can be used to indicate the direction and degree to which each soil property contributes to the separation of the groups. The sign of the coefficient for a given soil property indicates the direction of its contribution. The relative degree of the contribution of a given soil property is indicated by the difference between its means for the two groups divided by the distance between the multivariate means for the two groups; i.e. the Mahalanobis D^2 (Davis, 1973). Percentage contributions are obtained by multiplying by 100. Therefore, soil properties can be arranged in descending order of their contributions and the most important ones selected as differentiae. By identifying the properties that have the greatest influence on defining differences between groups, we can better predict differences in group responses to use and management.

Parent materials

If soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group are considered to be one group and soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro formation are considered to be another, a discriminant function can be developed that describes their separation, based on several soil properties considered simultaneously. The resulting discriminant function based on the same soil properties used to develop the principal components is:

$$R = -0.6838pH + 0.1089Ca - 0.1144Mg - 0.4544K + 0.1773NHBS - 0.3158NHALSAT - 0.2637Silt + 0.2080Clay + 0.2578SQTZ - 0.4374SFLD (21)$$

The percentage contribution of each property used to develop the discriminant function is given in Table 47. By eliminating properties with negative values and contributions of less than 10 percent, discriminant functions II was developed. Discriminant function II (Figure 10) shows that pH, K^+ , silt, SQTZ, and SFLD contribute to the differentiation of these soils. pH, K^+ , silt, and SFLD contribute in the negative direction while SQTZ contributes in the positive direction. Contributions in descending order of magnitude are pH. SFLD, K^+ , SOTZ, and silt (Table 47 and Figure 10). The discriminant index (RO), the point halfway between the multivariate means of group 1 (R1) and group 2 (R2), clearly separates the majority of the soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group (1) from soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro formation (2). Using the discriminant scores (Figure 10), we can predict that soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro formation have higher pH values, more feldspar in the sand fraction, more exchangeable K^+ , and more silt since they have larger negative discriminant scores. Conversely, soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group have more quartz in the sand fraction since they have smaller negative discriminant scores.

Discriminant functions were developed in the same manner to describe differences and differentiae between groups of soils developed from the Chilhowee group (1) as compared to soils developed from the Athens and Martinsburg formations (3) (Figure 11); the Chilhowee group (1) as compared to soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (4) (Figure 12); the Rome-Waynesboro formation (2) as compared to soils developed from the Athens and Martinsburg formation (3) (Figure 13); the Rome-Waynesboro formation (2) as compared to soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (4) (Figure 14); and the Athens and Martinsburg formations (3) as compared to soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (4) (Figure 14); and the Athens and Martinsburg formations (3) as compared to soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (4) (Figure 15).

Discriminant functions developed to describe differences and differentiae between soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group and soils developed from the other parent materials indicate that soils developed form rocks of the Chilhowee group can be separated (1) from soils developed from the Rome Waynesboro formation using pH, SFLD, SQTZ, K^+ , and silt (Figure 10); (2) from soils developed from the Athens and Martinsburg formations using Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (Figure 11); and (3) from soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations using Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and silt (Figure 12). Based on these results, we recommend that the Sylco and the proposed Sylvatus series (Appendix A) be used to name and interpret map units of soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group that classify as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Typic and Lithic Dystrochrepts, respectively.

Discriminant functions developed to describe differences and differentiae between soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation and soils developed from the other parent materials indicate that soils developed from the Rome-Waynesboro formation can be separated (1) from soils developed from the rocks of the Chilhowee group using pH, SQTZ, K^+ , and SQTZ (Figure 10); (2) from soils developed from the Athens and Martinsburg formations using SFLD, Ca^{2+} , and K^+ (Figure 13); and (3) from soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations using SFLD and K^+ (Figure 14). Based on these results, we recommend that the Litz and the proposed Chiswell series (Appendix A) be used to name and interpret map units of soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation that classify as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Ruptic Ultic and Lithic Dystrochrepts, respectively.

Discriminant functions developed to describe differences and differentiae between soils developed from rocks of the Athens and Martinsburg formations and soils developed from the other parent materials indicate that soils developed from the Athens and Martinsburg formations can be separated (1) from soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowce group using Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (Figure 11) and (2) from soils developed the Rome-Waynesboro formation using SFLD, Ca^{2+} and K^+ (Figure 13). However, soils developed from the Athens and Martinsburg formations are not separated from soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (Figure 15). Based on these results, we recommend that soils developed from the shale and fine-grained sandstone facies of the Athens and Martinsburg formations along with soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations be correlated as members of the Berks and Weikert series.

Table	47: 0	Contributions	of th	e variables	selected	to	discriminate	between	soils
	de	eveloped from	rocks	of the Chi	lhowee g	roup	p (BQ) and	soils devel	oped
	fc	orm rocks of t	he Ro	me-Waynes	boro for	mat	ion (RO)		

	Discriminant	function
Variable	I	II
	% add	led
рH	35.7*	31.2
Ca^{2+}	1.8	
Mg^{2+}	6.4	
K+	20.4*	15.8
NHBS	-10.9	
NHALSAT	-10.7	
Silt	11.7*	11.5
Clay	9.3	
SQTZ	14.1*	13.3
SFLD	25.7*	28.2

*Variable selected to develop the next discriminant function.

Figure 10: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group (1) and from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation (2).



Figure 11: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group (1) and from rocks of the Athens and Martinsburg formations (3).



Figure 12: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group (1) and from rocks of the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (4).



Figure 13: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation (2) and form rocks of the Athens and Martinsburg formations (3).



CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE DISCRIMINANT FUNCTION: Ca 24.0%, K 20.7%, AND SFLD 55.3%



Figure 14: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation (2) and from rocks of the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (4).

Results and discussion

K 13.8%, SFLD 86.2%

Figure 15: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values for selected properties of soils developed from rocks of the Athens and Martinsburg formations (3) and from rocks of the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations (4).



K 15.2% AND NHALSAT 84.8%

Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts

If soil profiles classified as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic family of Lithic Dystrochrepts are considered to form one group and soil profiles classified as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic family of Typic Dystrochrepts are considered to form another, a discriminant function can be developed that describes their separation. Such a discriminant function based on soil properties that are significantly different at the 5 percent level of probability according to the Wilcoxon rank sum test is:

$$R = -0.0840DTC - 0.5758DTR - 0.1128AH2O + 0.0212Ca + 0.3247SCEC + 0.2168NHCEC - 0.0269PHD78 - 0.3588H + 0.0008SALSAT + 0.1758NHALSAT - 0.2990NHCECLAY + 0.1042SCECLAY + 0.2079SFLD (22)$$

The percentage contribution by each of the soil properties is given in Table 48. By eliminating soil properties with negative contributions and contributions of less than 10 percent, discriminant functions II was developed. Discriminant function III (Table 48 and Figure 16) is the result of eliminating soil properties with less than 5 percent contributions. Soils classified as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts can be separated by this discriminant function within the study area. However, DTR contributes about 78.8 percent of the separation while AH2O, SCEC, and SFLD each contribute less than 10 percent. If DTR is eliminated from the discriminant function and the same procedure for selecting differentiae are followed, the discriminant function given in Figure 17 is the result. Soils classified as members of these taxa merge about the discriminant index (RO) with no clear separation of the two groups. Since DTR was the primary criterion used by Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 1975) to define these two taxa and contributes the majority of their separation, these results make the same point as Webster (1977, p. 75), who states, "Definitional classifications dissect the scales of the properties on which the definition is based. But they rarely produce disjoint division of the scales of other properties: there is almost always overlap in the latter, and when used to predict these other properties, they present much the same problems as intuitive classifications."

		Discriminant function	l
Variable	I	II	111
		% added	
DTC	-9.7		
DTR	89.3*	78.2*	78.8
AH2O	13.7*	5.4*	5.5
Ca	1.0		
SCEC	20.9*	5.1*	7.8
NHCEC	13.5*	3.1	
PHD78	-1.0		
Н	-17.9		
SALSAT	-1.0		
NHALSAT	-12.9		
NHCECLAY	-17.7		
SCECLAY	6.7		
SFLD	13.8*	8.2*	7.9

Table	48:	Contributions	of the	variables	selected	to	discriminate	between	soils
	C	classified as men	mbers o	of Lithic a	nd Typic	Dy	strochrepts		

*Variable selected to develop the next discriminant function.

Figure 16: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values for DTR, AH2O, SCEC, and SFLD for soils classified as members of Lithic (L) and Typic (T) Dystrochrepts.



Figure 17: Plot of discriminant scores based on ranks of data values for AH2O, NHCEC, and SFLD for soils classified as members of Lithic (L) and Typic (T) Dystrochrepts.



DISCRIMINANT FUNCTION: R=-0.2029 (AH2O)+0.0531 (NHCEC)+0.1418 (SFLD) F= 16.0120 with 3 and 39 df, &alp.(F)<0.0001

MAHALANOBIS D2 = 5.17

CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE DISCRIMINANT FUNCTION: AH2O 65.9%, NHCEC 8.8%, and SFLD 25.3%

Site index estimation for upland oak

Regression models developed by the RSQUARE procedure (SAS Institute, 1985b) for estimating site index of upland oak for immature timber stands and unforested areas of these soils are reported in Table 49. The highest R^2 for a regression model based on a single soil property was for SBS (base saturation by the sum of cations or (SBAS/SCEC)100).

A regression model based on the six soil properties that gave the highest R^2 value was developed with the parameter estimates and associated statistics given in Table 50. All the parameter estimates for this model were significantly greater than zero at the 5 percent level of probability. Collinearity diagnosis of the variables (Table 51) indicates a collinearity problem by the high condition index for eigenvector 7 and a high contribution by AB^+ , SBS, and ECEC. Therefore, the direction of the contributions by these variables as indicated by their sign is difficult to interpret.

Observed and predicted site indices, standard errors of the predictions, 95 percent confidence intervals, and residuals for the model are reported in Table 52 for soils classified as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts.

Reasons for the low R^2 values obtained in this study are (1) collinearity of the soil and site properties used, (2) lack of even-aged, well-stocked timber stands at the sample sites from which the site indices were obtained, and (3) the inability to access nutrient levels and available moisture during the growing season.

Number of		
variables	D.C.	
in model	R-Square	Variables in the model
1	0 17984746	SBS
	0.27151269	DTP SPS
3	0.34907821	DTR Sand SBS
4	0.38920544	DTR Sand SBS K
5	0.44528666	DTR ALECECIAY SBS SIMICA
6*	0.49173537	DTR ALECECLAY SBS SIMICA ECEC
7	0.52025669	DTR AI NHAI SAT ECECIAY SBS
,	0.02020007	SIMICA ECEC
8	0 53524601	DTR AI NHAI SAT ECECI AY SBS
0	0.55524001	SIMICA SIFL D FCFC
9	0 55028153	DTR AI NHAI SAT SBS Clay SIMICA
l í	0.55020155	SIFL D ECEC SMICA
10	0 55772375	SLOPE DTR AH20 ALECECLAY SBS
10	0.00112010	SIMICA FCFC SOTZ SMICA
11	0 56305594	SLOPE DTR DH AI NHAI SAT SBS
1 11	0.50505574	Clay SIMICA SIFI D FCFC SMICA
12	0 56893682	SLOPE DTR AH20 pH AI NHAI SAT
12	0.50075002	SBS Clay SIMICA SIFL D ECEC SMICA
13	0 57216416	SLOPE DTR AH20 AI NHAI SAT
15	0.57210410	FCFCLAY SRS SFLD Clay SIMICA
		SIFL D FCFC SMICA
14	0 57342170	SLOPE DTR AH20 pH AI NHAI SAT
14	0.57542170	ECECLAY SBS Clay SIMICA
		SIFLD ECEC SOTZ SMICA
15	0 57381431	SLOPE DTR AH20 pH AI NHALSAT
15	0.07001101	ECECLAY SBS Clay SIMICA
		SIFLD ECEC H SOTZ SMICA
16	0 57404634	SLOPE DTR AH20 pH AI NHALSAT
10	0.07101001	ECECLAY NHBS SBS SFLD Clay
		SIMICA SIFLD ECEC H SMICA
17	0.57412735	SLOPE DTR AH20 pH AI NHALSAT
		ECECLAY NHBS SBS SFLD Clay
		SIMICA SIFLD ECEC H SOTZ SMICA
18	0.57415500	SLOPE DTR AH20 pH AI NHALSAT
		Sand ECECLAY NHBS SBS SFLD
		Clay SIMICA SIFLD ECEC H
		SOTZ SMICA

Table	49:	Regression	n models	estimated	by t	he RS	QUA	RE p	rocedu	re in	SAS	for
		dependent	variables	site index	for u	upland	oaks	growi	ing on	soils (classif	fied
		as Lithic a	nd Typic	Dystroch	repts			-	-			

* Regression model developed.

Variable	df	Parameter estimate	Standard error	T for H0: $parameter = 0$	PROB > T
Intercept DTR AP ⁺ ECECLAY SBS SIMICA ECEC	1 1 1 1 1 1	57.52299 -0.64869 5.81381 -0.37818 1.19565 -0.36898 -2.97296	4.340806 0.178026 2.144018 0.157036 0.316605 0.200413 1.738491	13.252 -3.644 2.712 -2.408 3.776 -1.841 -1.710	0.0001 0.0009 0.0107 0.0220 0.0007 0.0749 0.0969

Table 50: Parameter estimates for the regression model for predicting site index of upland oak on Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts

Table 51: Collinearity diagnostics for the variables in the regression model

				Portion	
Eigenvector	Eigenvalue	Cond. index	Intercep	DTR	Al ³⁺
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	5.8780 0.6212 0.2485 0.1572 0.0559 0.0341 0.0050	1.000 3.076 4.863 6.113 10.250 13.123 34.122	0.0016 0.0003 0.0037 0.0110 0.7125 0.2030 0.0678	0.0023 0.0002 0.092 0.3529 0.0969 0.3195 0.2190	0.0003 0.0030 0.0032 0.0004 0.0103 0.0228 0.9600
			Portion		
Eigenvector	ECECLAY	SBS	SI	MICA	ECEC
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0015\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0101\\ 0.0922\\ 0.0313\\ 0.8636\\ 0.0012 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0009\\ 0.0639\\ 0.0066\\ 0.0043\\ 0.0391\\ 0.0412\\ 0.8442 \end{array}$		0.0064 0.0411 0.9207 0.0001 0.0002 0.0310 0.0006	0.0003 0.0001 0.0011 0.0050 0.0273 0.0443 0.9219

	the second s					
	Site index		STD ERR	Confidence Limits		
Profile	Obs.	Pred.	Pred.	L95%	U95%	Resid.
1	39	47 1	2 928	32 388	61 870	-8.1
2	51	53.8	2.238	39.586	68.048	-2.8
3	60	47.3	2.525	32.877	61.734	12.7
4	50	56.1	2.120	41.894	70.205	-6.1
5	54	55.9	1.912	41.902	69.967	-1.9
6	57	53.4	3.084	38.562	68.307	3.6
7	48	55.8	1.986	41.728	69.878	-7.8
8	63	62.1	2.282	47.887	76.406	0.9
9	56	53.4	4.231	37.370	69.371	2.6
10	61	55.2	1.684	41.243	69.064	5.8
11	62	51.9	1.574	38.021	65.735	10.1
12	68	60.8	2.326	46.487	75.066	7.2
13	45	55.2	2.080	41.113	69.375	-10.2
14	58	52.5	2.031	38.411	66.614	5.5
15	56	52.0	2.716	37.414	66.558	4.0
16	59	57.6	3.129	42.646	72.470	1.4
17	60	57.5	1.891	43.444	71.485	2.5
18	43	54.5	3.204	39.536	69.492	-11.5
19	67	61.7	2.498	47.253	76.071	5.3
20	53	55.1	1.964	41.028	69.152	-2.1
21	41	48.6	1.789	34.615	62.544	-7.6
22	37	50.1	3.682	34.664	65.516	-13.1
23	51	53.2	1.573	39.344	67.057	-2.2
24	54	53.1	2.087	38.983	67.253	0.9
25	60	60.6	6.054	42.364	78.904	-0.6
26	66	61.4	2.818	46.721	/6.026	4.6
2/	52	51.5	2.150	37.349	65.704	0.5
28	32	40.9	3.104	20.000	55.891	11.1
29	49	40.8	1.844	32.777	62 110	2.2
30	43	49.0	2.021	34.920	56 007	-4.0
32	58	53 7	2 3 5 8	39 402	68 024	-0.0
32	55	61.6	4 355	45 516	77 701	-67
34	61	60.8	2 796	46 187	75 455	0.7
35	63	63.2	2.834	48 506	77 836	-0.2
36	48	48.8	2.237	34.532	62.992	-0.8
37	43	40.8	3.057	25.938	55.636	2.2
38	45	44.7	4.166	28,806	60.664	0.3
39	63	58.6	2.613	44.143	73.131	4.4

Table 52: Observed and predicted site indices for upland oak growing on soils classified as Lithic (L) and Typic (T) Dystrochrepts by the regression model with standard errors of prediction, 95% confidence limits, and residuals

Conclusions

The results of this study indicate that numerous properties used to characterize and classify soils are not normally distributed. Therefore, parametric statistical procedures are not appropriate for evaluating class differences because of the low number of samples resulting from time and cost constraints. Alternative statistical procedures based on ranks of the data values require less stringent assumptions, provide extremely robust results since they are very insensitive to outliers, and are well suited to small sample sizes. Ranks of variables used in multivariate procedures provide a common mean and variance, and scale and location attributes of the variables are automatically removed. The distribution of the distance in multivariate analysis is nonparametric and depends only on the assumption that the data are distributed continuously.

Site indices for upland oak were not significantly different for soils classified as members of the loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic families of Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts. Site indices were slightly higher for soils classified as Lithic Dystrochrepts. These results can be explained by the well distributed rainfall pattern which decreases the importance of the greater available-water capacity of the Typic Dystrochrepts. In addition, the Lithic Dystrochrepts have higher exchangeable Ca^{2+} and lower exchangeable Al^{3+} saturation of the cation-exchange capacity than do Typic Dystrochrepts.

Based on quantile distributions of the data, depth to bedrock was the only property that did not exhibit considerable overlap among classes based on parent materials or on taxonomic criteria. Differences in depth to bedrock was the criterion for distinguishing between soils classified as Lithic and Typic Dystrochrepts by the current classification scheme. Therefore, results of this study indicate that dissection of the scale of depth to bedrock did not produce disjoint division of the scale of other properties important to use and management. However, depth to bedrock is an important consideration for engineering uses of these soils.

Differentiation of classes of soils based on a single property is time consuming and not very informative since soils respond as integrated systems. By considering classes of soils based on the division of the scale of a single property, we cannot be sure that natural groups of soils that respond differently to use and management exist.

Conclusions

Multivariate statistical procedures, such as principal component, cluster, and discriminant analysis, can be used to access the similarities or differences among numerous soil individuals based on several properties considered simultaneously. Therefore, the requirement that the classification scheme be based on only a few properties because the human mind can consider only a few things at a time may no longer be a valid requirement in light of the capabilities of the computer. Multivariate procedures - e.g. principal component and discriminant analysis - can be used to determine (1) whether natural groups of soils exist based on several properties considered simultaneously, (2) which properties actually contribute to the separation of the groups, and (3) the extent of the contribution of each property. Therefore, these statistical procedures provide an objective, heuristic method for establishing criteria for defining soil series below the family level in the current classification scheme.

Based on the results of the Kruskal-Wallis, principal component, cluster, and discriminant analyses of the groups of soils developed from these parent materials, sufficient evidence exists to support the separation of soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group and from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation from each other and from the other parent materials. However, separation of the soils developed from the Athens and Martinsburg formations from soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations is not supported by these data.

Based the results of this study, we recommend (1) that soils developed from rocks of the Chilhowee group be named and correlated by the Sylco and the proposed Sylvatus series (Appendix A), (2) that soils developed from rocks of the Rome-Waynesboro formation be named and correlated by the Litz and the proposed Chiswell series (Appendix A), and (3) that soils developed from the shale and fine-grained sandstone facies of the Athens and Martinsburg formations and and soils developed from the Millboro, Brallier, and Chemung formations be named and correlated by the Berks and Weikert series.

The results of this study support the recommendations made by H. C. Porter, W. H. Creggar, H. C. Hudson, and H. L. Mathews, who mapped the soils of Montgomery County, and by the soil surveyors mapping soils in the study area for separating soils developed from these parent materials and provide a means for substantiating the intuitive estimates of soil surveyors.

A regression model based on base saturation by sum of cations gave the highest R^2 value for predicting site index for upland oak growing on these soils. Although no significant difference in site index was observed for classes of soils based on a limit of 20 inches to bedrock, depth to bedrock was an important character for predicting site index on these soils since depth to bedrock and base saturation by sum of cations gave the second highest R^2 value.

The development of a useable multiple regression equation for predicting site index of upland oak growing on these soils was influenced by collinearity of the soil and site properties on which the prediction equation was based, by the lack of even-aged, well-stocked timber stands at the sample sites, and by an inability to access nutrient levels and the amount of available moisture during the growing season.

Conclusions

Literature Cited

- Afifi, A. A., and V. Clark. 1984. Computer-aided multivariate analysis. Lifetime Learning Publications. Belmont, Calif.
- Agricultural Yearbook Committee. 1941. Climate and man, yearbook of agriculture. U. S. Dept. of Agric.. U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Arkley, R. J. 1976. Statistical methods in soil classification research. Adv. Agron. 28:37-70.
- Auchmoody, L. R., and H. C. Smith. 1979. Oak soil-site relationships in northwestern West Virginia. NE Forest Exp. Stn., U. S. Dept. of Agric., Forest Serv., Res. Paper NE-434.
- Barnes, B. V., K. S. Pregitzer, T. A. Spies, and V. H. Spooner. 1982. Ecological forest site classification. J. For. 80:493-498.
- Bartholomew, M. J., and W. D. Lowry. 1979. Geology of the Blacksburg quadrangle, Virginia: Va. Div. of Miner. Res., Publ. 14 (GM81B), text and 1:24,000 scale map, Blacksburg, Va.
- Broadfoot, W. M. 1969. Problems in relating soil to site index for southern hardwoods. For. Sci. 15:344-354.
- Butler, B. E. 1980. Soil classification for soil survey. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Butts, C. 1933. Geologic map of the Appalachian Valley in Virginia. Va. Geol. Survey., Va. Div. of Miner. Res., Charlottesville, Va.
- Butts, C. 1940. Geology of the Appalachian Valley in Virginia. Part 1. Geologic text and illustrations. Va. Geol. Surv. Bull. 52, Va. Div. of Miner. Res., Charlottesville, Va.

Literature Cited

- Carmean, W. H. 1961. Soil survey refinements needed for accurate classification of black oak site quality in southeastern Ohio. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 25:394-397.
- Carmean, W. H. 1965. Black oak site quality in relation to soil and topography in southeastern Ohio. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 29:308-312.
- Carmean, W. H. 1967. Soil survey refinements for predicting black oak site quality in southeastern Ohio. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 31:805-810.
- Cattell, R. B. 1965. Factor analysis: an introduction to essentials II. The roll of factor analysis in research. Biometrics 21:405-435.
- Cauley, P. M., R. K. Conner, R. L. Vick, B. A. Poulan, R. C. Cronce, T. L. Arnold, C. K. Staples, and D. A Gall. 1985. Soil survey of Pulaski County, Virginia. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Soil Conserv. Serv., U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Chapman, H. D. 1965. Cation-exchange capacity. In C. A. Black et al. eds. Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties. Agronomy 9:891-901. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisc.
- Coile, T. S. 1952. Soil and the growth of forests. Adv. Agron. 4:329-398.
- Coleman, N. T., S. B. Weed, and R. J. McCracken. 1959. Cation-exchange capacity and exchangeable cations in Piedmont soils of North Carolina. Soil Sci. Soc Am. Proc. 23:146-149.
- Creggar, W. H., H. C. Hudson, and H. C. Porter. 1985. Soil Survey of Montgomery County, Virginia. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Soil Conserv. Serv., U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Davis, J. C. 1973. Statistics and data analysis in geology. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Day, P. R. 1965. Particle fractionation and particle-size analysis. In C. A. Black et al. eds. Methods of soil analysis. Part 1. Physical and mineralogical analysis, including statistics of measurement and sampling. Agronomy 9:545-567. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisc.
- Doolittle, W. T. 1957. Site index of scarlet and black oak in relation to southern Appalachian soil and topography. For. Sci. 3:114-124.
- Doolittle, W. T. 1958. Site index comparisons of several forest species in the southern Appalachians. Soil Sci. Soc. of Am. Proc. 22:455-458.

- Edmonds, W. J. 1983. Grouping of soil profiles in three mapping units by conventional and numerical classifications. Ph.D. Thesis. Va. Poly. Inst. and State Univ. Blacksburg. Diss. Abstr. 83-16872.
- Edmonds, W. J., and D. D. Rector. 1985. Properties and classification of residual soils derived from Cambrian and Ordovician limestones and dolomites in southwestern Virginia. Va. Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 85-10, Blacksburg.
- Edmonds, W. J., and J. Stiegler. 1982. Soil survey of Clarke County, Virginia. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Soil Conserv. Serv., U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Fenneman, N. M. 1938. Physiography of eastern United States. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Fernald, M. L. 1950. Gray's manual of botany Eighth (centennial) edition illustrated. American Book Company, New York.
- Graney, D. L., and E. R. Ferguson. 1972. Shortleaf pine site-index relationships in the Ozark Highlands. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 36:495-500.
- Gould, P. R. 1967. On the geographical interpretation of eigenvalues. Trans. Inst. Br. Geogr. 42:53-86.
- Haines, S. G., and G. Cleveland. 1981. Seasonal variation in properties of five forest soils in southwest Georgia. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 45:139-143.
- Hannah, P. R. 1968A. Estimating site index for white and black oak in Indiana from soil and topographic factors. J. For. 66:412-417.
- Hannah, P. R. 1968B. Topographic relations for white and black oak in southern Indiana. North Central Forest Exp. Stn.. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Forest Serv., Res. Paper NC-25.
- Hatfield, W. F., and J. W. Warner. 1973. Soil survey of Jefferson County, West Virginia. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Soil Conserv. Serv., U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Hockman, J. R., J. C. McKenny, T. R. Burruss, D, Jones, and R. F. Modesitt. 1979. Soil survey of Augusta County, Virginia. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Soil Conserv. Serv., U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Hockman, J. R., C. F. Neal, D. L. Racey, and D. F. Wagner. 1982. Soil survey of Rockingham County, Virginia. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Soil Conserv. Serv., U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

- Hollander, M., and D. A. Wolfe. 1973. Nonparametric statistical analysis. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Holmes, R., D. Wagner, and D. Racey. 1984. Soil survey of Warren County, Virginia. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Soil Conserv. Serv., U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Jackson, M. L. 1958. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice-Hall, Inc. New Jersey.
- Jones, J. R. 1969. Review and comparison of site evaluation methods. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Exp. Stn.. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Forest Serv., Res. Paper RM-51.
- Lebart, L., A. Morineau, and K. W. Warwick. 1984. Multivariate descriptive statistical analysis - Correspondence analysis and related techniques for large matrices. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Mader, D. L. 1963. Soil variability a serious problem in soil-site studies in the northeast. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 27:707-709.
- Mather, P. M. 1976. Computational methods in multivariate analysis in physical geography. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Miller, R. G., Jr. 1986. Beyond anova, basics of applied statistics. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- McNab, W. H. 1984. Geomorphic factors associated with quality of yellow-poplar sites in the southern Appalachians. In Third Biennial Southern Silvicultural Res. Conf. Atlanta, Georgia, 7-8 Nov. 1984. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Forest Serv., Southern Forest Exp. Stn. General Technical Rep. SO-54.
- Nunn, L. C., and J. P. Vimmerstedt. 1980. Predicting height growth for yellowpoplar from soils and topography in southern Ohio. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 44:384-387.
- Ott, L. 1984. An introduction to statistical methods and data analysis, second edition. Duxbury Press, Boston.
- Peech, M. 1965. Exchange acidity. In C. A. Black et al. eds. Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical and mineralogical properties. Agronomy 9:905-913. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisc.
- Pirkle, E. C., and W. H. Yoho. 1982. Natural landscapes of the United States, third edition. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Co., Dubuque, Iowa.

- Pirie, W. R. 1982. User's guide for the Virginia Tech nonparametric statistics package. Statistics Dept. Va. Tech, Blacksburg.
- SAS Institute, Inc. 1985a. SAS user's guide: basics, version 5 edition. Cary, NC: SAS Institute, Inc.
- SAS Institute, Inc. 1985b. SAS user's guide: statistics, version 5 edition. Cary, NC: SAS Institute, Inc.
- Shetron, S. G. 1972. Forest site productivity among soil taxonomic units in lower Michigan. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 36:358-363.
- Smalley, G. W. 1984. Classification and evaluation of forest sites in the Cumberland Mountains. Southern Forest Exp. Stn. U. S. Dept. Agric., Forest Serv., General Technical Rep. SO-50.
- Smith, G. D. 1963. Objectives and basic assumptions of the new classification system. Soil Sci. 96:6-16.
- Sneath, P. H. A., and R. R. Sokal. 1973. Numerical taxonomy. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco.
- Soil Survey Staff. 1960. Soil classification: a comprehensive system, seventh approximation. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Soil Conserv. Serv., U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Soil Survey Staff. 1975. Soil taxonomy: a basic system for soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. Agric. Handb. 436. U. S. Dept. of Agric., Soil Conserv. Serv., U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Stage, A. R. 1976. An expression for the effect of aspect, slope, and habitat type on tree growth. For. Sci. 22:457-460.
- SuperCalc3 Release 2. 1984. User's guide and reference manual, first edition. SORCIM/IUS Micro Software, San Jose, Calif.
- Trimble, G. R. 1968. Growth of Appalachian hardwoods as affected by site and residual stand density. NE Forest Exp. Stn. U. S. Dept. Agric., Forest Serv., Res. Paper NE-98.
- Trimble, G. R., and S. Weitzman. 1956. Site index studies of upland oaks in the northern Appalachians. For. Sci. 2:162-173.
- Van Lear, D. H., and J. F. Hosner. 1967. Correlation of site index and soil mapping units: poor for yellow-poplar in southwest Virginia. J. For. 65:22-24.

Literature Cited

- Webster, R. 1968. Fundamental objections to the 7th approximation. J. Soil Sci. 19:354-356.
- Webster, R. 1977. Quantitative and numerical methods in soil classification and survey. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Webster, R., and A. B. McBratney. 1981. Soil segment overlay in character space and its implications for soil classification. J. Soil Sci 32:133-147.
- Yawney, H. W., and G. R. Trimble, Jr., 1968. Oak soil-site relationships in the Ridge and Valley region of West Virginia and Maryland. NE Forest Exp. Stn., U. S. Depart. of Agric., Forest Serv., Res. Paper NE-96.
- Yuan, T. L. 1959. Determination of exchangeable hydrogen in soils by a titration method. Soil Sci. 88:164-167.

Appendix A - Soil series proposed based on this study

Chiswell Series

Proposed Series DDR, DAG, WJE 8/86

CHISWELL SERIES

The Chiswell series consists of shallow, well drained, moderately permeable soils on uplands. They formed in materials weathered from shales, siltstones, and finegrained sandstones. Slopes range from 2 to 80 percent. Mean annual air temperature is 55 degrees F. Mean annual precipitation is 42 inches.

Taxonomic Class: Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Typical Pedon: Chiswell very channery silt loam - on a 29 percent convex southfacing slope in a hardwood forest. (Colors are for moist soil.)

A -- 0 to 3 inches; dark reddish brown (5YR 3/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 45 percent rock fragments; extremely acid; clear wavy boundary. (2 to 6 inches thick).

Bw -- 3 to 13 inches; reddish brown (5YR 4/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; common faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 50 percent rock fragments; extremely acid; clear smooth boundary. (3 to 15 inches thick).

R -- 13 inches; mottled yellowish red (5YR 5/6) and reddish brown (5YR 4/4) shale.

Type Location: Wythe County, Virginia; about 0.5 mile southeast 137 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-619 and VA-629 and 1.5 miles east 88 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-627 and VA-628.

Appendix A - Soil series proposed based on this study

Range in Characteristics: Solum thickness ranges from 5 to 19 inches. Depth to bedrock ranges from 10 to 20 inches. Rock fragments of shale, siltstone, or finegrained sandstone range from 5 to 70 percent in the A horizon, from 20 to 80 percent in the Bw horizon, and from 45 to 90 percent in the C horizon. Reaction ranges from very strongly acid through moderately acid, unless limed.

The A horizon has hue of 5YR through 10YR, value of 3 through 5, and chroma of 2 through 5. It is silt loam or loam in the fine-earth fraction.

The Bw horizon has hue of 5YR through 10YR, value of 4 through 6, and chroma of 3 through 6. It is silt loam, loam, silty clay loam, or clay loam in the fine-earth fraction.

The C horizon, where present, has hue of 5YR through 5Y, value of 4 through 6, and chroma of 3 through 8. It is silt loam, loam, silty clay loam, or clay loam in the fine-earth fraction.

Competing Series: Arnot, Dimal, Klinesville, Nassau, Sylvatus. Unicoi, Weikert, and Zango series are in the same family. Arnot and Nassau soils are developed in a mantel of glacial till. Arnot soils are underlain with sandstone bedrock and Nassau soils are underlain with slate bedrock. Woodland site indexes are less than 55 for Arnot and Nassau soils. Dimal soils have clay loam in the surface layer and have from 140 to 180 inches of annual rainfall. Klinesville soils have 5YR through 10R hue and includes rock fragments of slate. Sylvatus soils have more acid reactions, less exchangeable potassium, less silt, more clay, and more quartz in the sand fraction. Sylvatus soils have site indices less than 65 for northern red oak. Zango soils are in MLRA 1, 2, or 3 and no information is available for differentiation. Unicoi soils are underlain with arkose and arkosic sandstone bedrock and include rock fragments of arkose and arkosic sandstone. Weikert soils have 10 to 40 percent kaolinite in the clay fraction and woodland site indexes less than 65 for northern red oak.

Geographic Setting: Chiswell soils formed in materials weathered from shales, siltstones, and fine-grained sandstones of the Rome-Waynesboro formation. They are on gently sloping ridgetops and very steep convex sideslopes in the Valley and Ridge province. Slopes range form 2 to 80 percent. The climate is temperate and humid. The mean air temperature ranges form 53 degrees to 56 degrees F. The mean annual precipitation ranges form 38 to 45 inches.

Geographically Associated Soils: These include the Austinville, Frederick, Groseclose, Litz, Marbie, Rayne, and Shelocta series. The Austinville, Frederick, Groseclose, and Rayne soils are deeper to bedrock, have continuous argillic horizons, and occur on similar landscape positions. Litz soils are deeper to bedrock, have discontinuous argillic horizons, and occur on similar landscape positions. Marbie and Shelocta soils are deeper to bedrock, have continuous argillic horizons, and occur along drainageways and in upland depressions.

Drainage and Permeability: Well drained. Permeability is moderate. Runoff is medium to very rapid.

Use and Vegetation: Native vegetation is mixed hardwoods and pines. Northern aspects of steeper slopes commonly are wooded. Southern aspects and lower slope gradients are usually cleared and used for pasture and hay crops.

Distribution and Extent: Virginia and possibly West Virginia, Maryland, and Tennessee. The series is of large extent.

Series Proposed: Wythe County, Virginia, 1986. Additional proposed names are Riner and Laswell. Chiswell, Riner, and Laswell are village names in Wythe and Montgomery Counties.

Remarks: Diagnostic horizons and features recognized in this pedon are:

Ochric epipedon - the zone from 0 to 3 inches (A horizon) Cambic horizon - the zone from 3 to 13 inches (Bw horizon) Lithic contact - shallow depth to shale bedrock (13 inches).

Soil now within the range of the Chiswell series were correlated in Berks, Klinesville, Webbtown, and Weikert in several published soil surveys.

Additional Data: Ranges for morphology, chemistry, particle-size distribution, and sand and silt minerals are based on 21 pedons. Ranges for clay minerals are based on 12 pedons. Dominant minerals in the sand fraction are quartz, 11 to 89 percent, and feldspar, 8 to 36 percent. Dominant minerals in the silt fraction are quartz, 53 to 83 percent, and feldspar, 17 to 46 percent. Dominant minerals in the clay fraction are mica, 11 to 74 percent; quartz, 5 to 45 percent; vermiculite, 5 to 28 percent; and kaolinite, 2 to 7 percent. Some of these data are reported by Edmonds (1983).

National Cooperative Soil Survey U.S.A.

Appendix A - Soil series proposed based on this study

Sylvatus Series

Proposed Series DDR, DAG, WJE 8/86

SYLVATUS SERIES

The Sylvatus series consists of shallow, well drained, moderately permeable soils on uplands. They formed in materials weathered from metasediments of phyllites, slates, shales, siltstones, and fine-grained sandstones. Slopes range from 2 to 80 percent. Mean annual air temperature is 55 degrees F. Mean annual precipitation is 42 inches.

Taxonomic Class: Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Typical Pedon: Sylvatus channery silt loam - on a 37 percent convex, south-facing slope in a mixed pine and hardwood forest. (Colors are for moist soil.)

A -- 0 to 3 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary. (1 to 3 inches)

Bw1 -- 3 to 7 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; 25 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.

Bw2 -- 7 to 15 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; 70 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary. (Combined thickness of the Bw - 7 to 14 inches)

C -- 15 to 18 inches, mottled reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) and very dark gray (10YR 3/1) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary. (3 to 8 inches)

R -- 18 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) and very dark gray (10YR 3/1) phyllite.

Type Location: Wythe County, Virginia; about 3.4 miles southeast 149 degrees of the junction of Highways US-21 and VA-619 and 3.2 miles south 190 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-619 and VA-707.

Range in Characteristics: Solum thickness ranges from 10 to 18 inches. Depth to bedrock ranges from 10 to 20 inches. Rock fragments of metasediments, primarily phyllite, slate, shale, siltstone, or fine-grained sandstone, range from 15 to 75 per-

cent in the A horizon, from 25 to 80 percent in the Bw horizon, and from 45 to 90 percent in the C horizon. Reaction ranges from extremely acid or very strongly acid.

The A horizon has hue of 10YR, value of 2 through 5, and chroma of 1 through 4. It is silt loam or loam in the fine-earth fraction.

The Bw horizon has hue of 7.5YR through 10YR, value of 5 through 6, and chroma of 4 through 8. It is silt loam, loam, silty clay loam, or clay loam in the fine-earth fraction.

The C horizon has hue of 5YR through 10YR, value of 3 through 5, and chroma of 6 through 8. It is silt loam, loam, silty clay loam, or clay loam in the fine-earth fraction.

Competing Series: Arnot, Chiswell, Dimal, Klinesville, Nassau, Unicoi, Weikert, and Zango series are in the same family. Arnot and Nassau soils are developed in a mantel of glacial till. Arnot soils are underlain with sandstone bedrock and Nassau soils are underlain with slate bedrock. Woodland site indexes are less than 55 for Arnot and Nassau soils. Chiswell soils have less acid reactions, more exchangeable potassium, more silt, less clay, and less quartz in the sand fraction. Chiswell soils have site indexes greater than 65 for northern red oak. Dimal soils have clay loam in the surface layer and have from 140 to 180 inches of annual rainfall. Klinesville soils have 5YR through 10R hue. Zango soils are in MLRA 1, 2, or 3. They have no information available for differentiation. Unicoi soils are underlain with arkose and arkosic sandstone bedrock and include rock fragments of arkose and arkosic sandstone. Weikert soils have more exchangeable calcium and magnesium and silt. Weikert soils lack silty clay loam textures in the Bw horizon.

Geographic Setting: Sylvatus soils formed in materials weathered from metasediments of phyllites, slates, shales, siltstones, and fine-grained sandstones of the Chilhowee group. They are on gently sloping ridgetops and very steep convex sideslopes in the Blue Ridge province. Slopes range form 2 to 80 percent. The climate is temperate and humid. The mean air temperature ranges form 53 degrees to 56 degrees F. The mean annual precipitation ranges form 38 to 45 inches.

Geographically Associated Soils: These include the Cataska, Dekalb, Jefferson, Lily, Matneflat, Sylco, and Tumbling series. Cataska, Dekalb, Lily, and Sylco soils are deeper to bedrock and occur on similar landscape positions. Jefferson, Matneflat, and Tumbling soils are deeper to bedrock and occur on colluvial fans and footslopes.

Drainage and Permeability: Well drained. Permeability is moderate. Runoff is medium to very rapid.

Use and Vegetation: Native vegetation is mixed hardwoods and pines.

Distribution and Extent: Virginia, North Carolina, and Tennessee. The series is of large extent.

Appendix A - Soil series proposed based on this study

Series Proposed: Wythe County, Virginia, 1986. Other proposed names are Pennywinckle and Stutler. Sylvatus, Pennywinckle, and Stutler are village names in Carroll and Wythe Counties.

Remarks: Diagnostic horizons and features recognized in this pedon are:

Ochric epipedon - the zone from 0 to 3 inches (A horizon) Cambic horizon - the zone from 3 to 15 inches (Bw horizon) Lithic contact - shallow depth to phyllite bedrock (18 inches).

Soil now within the range of the Sylvatus series were correlated in Berks, Cataska, Ramsey, and Weikert in several published soil surveys.

Additional Data: Ranges for morphology, chemistry, particle-size distribution, and sand and silt minerals are based on 8 pedons. Dominant minerals in the sand fraction are quartz, 76 to 98 percent, and feldspar, 1 to 12 percent. Dominant minerals in the silt fraction are quartz, 52 to 83 percent, and feldspar, 1 to 21 percent. These data are contained in:

National Cooperative Soil Survey U.S.A.

Appendix A - Soil series proposed based on this study
Profile RB1BQ: Sylco very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 2.0 miles southeast 112 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-603 and VA-608 and 2.0 miles east 98 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-757 and VA-716 in Rockbridge County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 30%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 20%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 20%, chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 20%, and pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 10%
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), white oak (Quercus alba L.), persimmon (Diospyros virginiana L.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.), and mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.)
- Site index: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 60 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 52

Parent material: Unicoi formation - phyllite

Relief: 1200 feet

Elevation: 1610 feet

Slope: 34 percent

Aspect: south 168 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bw1 -- 2 to 8 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) very channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.

- Bw2 -- 8 to 19 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 45 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- C -- 19 to 25 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) soil material between reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) phyllite; extremely flaggy silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 25 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) phyllite.

Table A. Chemical properties - taxonomic control sectio	Tal	ble	A:	Chemical	prope	erties	-	taxonomic	control	section
---	-----	-----	----	----------	-------	--------	---	-----------	---------	---------

		NI	H₄OAc, pH '	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	f soil		%
RB1BQ	0.23	0.05	0.20	0.48	12.2	3.93
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} -	$+ Mg^{2+} + I$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	$AS \div NHC$	EC).	

Profile	рН	Н	Al³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
RB1BQ	4.34	13.33	6.15	13.81	6.63	3.47	7.24
*SCEC = 100(SBAS +	SBAS + H^+ , + $ECEC$).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ <i>SCEC</i>),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd						
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay		
g kg^{-1} of soil										
RB1BQ	78	35	22	34	35	204	457	339		

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{P+} to NHCEC	A ^{β+} to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	l (+) <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ of	clay
RB1BQ	50.4	92.7	44.5	36.0	19.6	40.7

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - RB1BQ

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ of sj	pecified fracti	on	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	680 550 625	70 380 200	50 TR 30	0 70 30	0 0 0	200 0 115

Profile RB2BQ: Sylco channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 2.6 miles east 98 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-757 and VA-631 and 2.9 miles southeast 152 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-757 and VA-716 in Rockbridge County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 40%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 30%, chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 20%, and eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 10%
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), and flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.)

Site index: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 52

Parent material: Unicoi formation - phyllite with arkose

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 2480 feet

Slope: 30 percent

Aspect: east 82 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 15 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bwl -- 2 to 7 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common very fine, fine, and medium roots; 15 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 7 to 18 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common medium and coarse roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 18 to 25 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) soil material between brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) and white (10YR 8/1) phyllite; extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.

R -- 25 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) phyllite.

Table A: Unemical properties - taxonomic control sec	able A: (: Chemical	properties	- taxonomic	control	section
--	-----------	------------	------------	-------------	---------	---------

		NI	H₄OAc, pH 7	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	f soil		%
RB2BQ	0.23	0.03	0.13	0.39	10.3	3.79
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$-Mg^{2+} + M$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	AS + NHC	'EC).	

Profile	рН	н	AP+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		%					
RB2BQ	4.40	13.13	7.25	13.52	7.64	2.88	5.10
*SCEC = SE $100(SBAS \div$	BAS + H^+ ECEC).	, ECEC = 5	SBAS + A	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	· SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd						
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay		
g kg ⁻¹ of soil										
RB2BQ	36	32	29	117	136	350	472	178		

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{及+} to NHCEC	<i>Al^{p+}</i> to ECEC	A ^{p+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
RB2BQ	70.3	94.8	53.6	57.9	42.9	76.0

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*				
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction									
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	500 890 710	190 20 100	160 90 120	0 TR TR	0 0 0	150 0 70				

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - RB2BQ

Profile RB3BQ: Sylco channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.5 miles northeast 41 degrees of the junction of Highways US-60 and Blue Ridge Parkway and 2.6 miles east 100 degrees of the junction of Highways US-60 and US-501 in Rockbridge County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 40%, chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 40%, and pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.)
- Ground: mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.), and red maple (Acer rubrum L.)

Site index: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 49

Parent material: Unicoi formation - phyllite with arkose

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 2160 feet

Slope: 30 percent

Aspect: northwest 342 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common very fine, fine, and medium roots; 15 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1 -- 2 to 10 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) very channery silt loam; weak medium and fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; 50 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 10 to 20 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few medium roots; 50 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- C -- 20 to 25 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) soil material between light gray (10YR 7/1) phyllite; extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 25 inches, light gray (10YR 7/1) phyllite.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

136

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NF	H₄OAc, pH ?	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	(+) <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ o	f soil		%
RB3BQ	0.21	0.06	0.22	0.49	9.5	5.16
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$+ Mg^{2+} + Mg^{2+}$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	AS + NHC	'EC).	

Profile	рН	Н	A₿+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			mol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
RB3BQ	4.52	11.94	4.95	12.43	5.44	3.94	9.01
*SCEC = SI $100(SBAS \div$	BAS + H^+ ECEC).	, ECEC = S	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS -	+ SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
RB3BQ	51	50	46	82	110	339	409	252

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{β+} to NHCEC	<i>Al</i> ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
RB3BQ	52.1	90.9	39.8	37.7	21.6	49.3

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ of s ₁	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	570 980 800	100 20 50	130 TR 60	0 ŤR TR	0 0 0	200 0 90

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - RB3BQ

Profile BO1BQ: Sylvatus channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.4 miles southeast 154 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-606 and VA-640 and 2.5 miles east 90 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-711 and VA-647 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.) 50% and black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 50%

Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), fern (Asplenium), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), and black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.)

Site index: loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.) 48 and black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 39

Parent material: Harpers formation - phyllite

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 1590 feet

Slope: 23 percent

Aspect: west southwest 240 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many fine and medium roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 10 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 65 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 10 to 18 inches, yellowish red (5YR 5/6) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- R -- 18 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) phyllite.

		NI	H₄OAc, pH 7	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	f soil		%
BO1BQ	0.32	0.04	0.13	0.49	9.5	5.16
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$+ Mg^{2+} + Mg^{2+}$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	$BAS \div NHC$	CEC).	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+) k	kg^{-1} of soil			%
BO1BQ	4.42	11.74	3.80	12.23	4.29	4.01	11.42
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div I)$	$AS + H^+$, ECEC = 5	SBAS + A	$4l^{3+}$, SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	- SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
BO1BQ	66	47	37	46	30	226	496	278

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	ለ ^{ይ+} to NHCEC	AP+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
BO1BQ	40.0	88.5	31.0	34.2	15.4	44.0

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*
			g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ of s	pecified fracti	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	810 800 805	140 100 115	TR 60 30	0 0 0	TR 0 TR	50 40 50

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO1BQ

Profile BO2BQ: Sylco very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.5 miles south 172 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-606 and VA-640 and 2.6 miles east 91 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-711 and VA-644 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 50%, pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 20%, chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 20%, and shortleaf pine (Pinus echinata Mill.) 10%
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), fern (Asplenium), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), and Galax (Galax aphylla L.)

Site index: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 45

Parent material: Harpers formation - phyllite

Relief: 1000 feet

Elevation: 1400 feet

Slope: 32 percent

Aspect: northwest 316 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 12 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 70 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 12 to 20 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) and red (2.5YR 4/6) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- R -- 20 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) phyllite.

Table A. Chemical biodernes - taxonomic control secu	Table	A:	Chemical	properties -	taxonomic control	l section
--	-------	----	----------	--------------	-------------------	-----------

		NI	H₄OAc, pH ′	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	K^+	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
BO2BQ	0.31	0.05	0.14	0.50	9.9	5.05
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$-Mg^{2+} + I$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Profile	рН	Н	AP+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil		-	%
BO2BQ	4.50	13.33	3.50	13.83	4.00	3.62	12.50
*SCEC = 100(SBAS)	SBAS + H^+ , ÷ <i>ECEC</i>).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind							
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay			
	g kg^{-1} of soil										
BO2BQ	59	32	19	20	15	145	607	248			

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	А ^{β+} to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
		%		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
BO2BQ	35.3	87.5	25.3	39.9	16.1	55.8	

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
	· ••••••		$g kg^{-1}$ of sp	pecified fracti	on	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	770 890 800	60 60 60	120 0 90	0 0 0	TR 0 TR	50 50 50

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO2BQ

Profile BO3BQ: Sylvatus very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.3 miles southeast 148 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-606 and VA-640 and 2.7 miles east 88 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-711 and VA-647 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 75% and Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 25%

Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), and Galax (Galax aphylla L.)

Site index: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 51

Parent material: Harpers formation - red siltstone

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 1525 feet

Slope: 20 percent

Aspect: north northwest 352 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many fine, medium, and coarse roots; 45 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 10 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) very channery silt loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine, medium, and coarse roots; 55 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 10 to 13 inches, yellowish red (5YR 5/8) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on rock fragments; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- R -- 13 inches, yellowish red (5YR 5/8) siltstone.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NF	H₄OAc, pH 7	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
BO3BQ	0.32	0.05	0.15	0.52	5.9	8.81
*SBAS = Ca^{2+}	$+ Mg^{2+} + M$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
	cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							
BO3BQ	4.67	8.36	2.10	8.88	2.62	5.86	19.85	
$*SCEC = SB_{100}(SBAS = 100)$	$AS + H^+$, ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS	=

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
BO3BQ	28	22	25	78	64	217	542	241

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	AP+ to ECEC	A ^{p+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
BO3BQ	35.5	80.1	23.6	24.5	10.9	36.8

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

146

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g kg^{-1} of sp	pecified fracti	on	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	780 990 860	70 0 40	100 10 70	0 0 0	TR 0 TR	50 0 30

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO3BQ

Profile WY1BQ: Sylvatus channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 3.4 miles southeast 149 degrees of the junction of Highways US-21 and VA-619 and 3.2 miles south 190 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-619 and VA-707 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 50%, northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 20%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 20%, and chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 10%
- Ground: Galax (Galax aphylla L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), maple (Acer L.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.), blue beech (Carpinus caroliniana Walt.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), and rho-dodendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.)
- Site index: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 70 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 60
- Parent material: Unicoi formation gray phyllite

Relief: 680 feet

Elevation: 3020 feet

Slope: 37 percent

Aspect: south 184 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1 -- 3 to 7 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; 25 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2 -- 7 to 15 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; 70 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 15 to 18 inches, mottled reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) and very dark gray (10YR 3/1) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 18 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) and very dark gray (10YR 3/1) phyllite.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

148

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NI	H₄OAc, pH 7	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	К+	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	_%					
WY1BQ	0.07	0.06	0.12	0.25	10.1	2.48
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} -	$+ Mg^{2+} + Mg^{2+}$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	$AS \div NHC$	'EC).	

	Profile	рН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
Γ				%				
L	WY1BQ	4.50	9.75	3.35	10.00	3.60	2.50	6.94
*	SCEC = SI $00(SBAS \div$	$BAS + H^+$, ECEC = 3	SBAS + 2	$4l^{3+}$, SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	- <i>SCEC</i>),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd						
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay		
	g kg^{-1} of soil									
WY1BQ	55	41	36	48	29	209	526	265		

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
WY1BQ	33.1	93.0	33.5	38.1	13.6	37.7

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
S. V.	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	590 900 710	140 40 100	150 60 120	0 0 0	20 0 10	100 0 60			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY1BQ

Profile WY2BQ: Sylvatus channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.3 miles west 278 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-602 and VA-653 and 2.9 miles south 184 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-619 and VA-602 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: white oak (Quercus alba L.) 40%, northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 20%, pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 20%, and eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 20%
- Ground: teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), and hickory (Carya Nutt.)

Site index: white oak (Quercus alba L.) 50

Parent material: Unicoi formation - gray phyllite

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 2500 feet

Slope: 62 percent

Aspect: east 100 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 25 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 10 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 65 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.

R -- 10 inches, light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) phyllite.

		NI	H₄OAc, pH 7	7.0			
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	K^+	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
		cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	f soil		%	-
WY2BQ	0.28	0.32	0.31	0.91	11.3	8.05	
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} -	$+ Mg^{2+} + K$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).		

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*		
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil %									
WY2BQ	4.85	10.95	2.90	11.86	3.81	7.67	23.88		
*SCEC = SB. $100(SBAS \div E$	$AS + H^+$, ECEC = 5	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	- SCEC),	and EBS =		

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd							
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay			
	g kg ⁻¹ of soil										
WY2BQ	82	50	44	40	40	265	495	240			

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
WY2BQ	25.6	76.1	24.4	47.1	15.9	49.4

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*				
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction									
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	730 760 740	100 70 90	100 120 110	0 0 0	20 0 10	50 50 50				

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY2BQ

Profile WY3BQ: Sylco loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 2.5 miles northwest 295 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-645 and VA-640 and 1.1 miles south 182 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-640 and VA-720 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 50%, black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 30%, and red maple (Acer rubrum L.) 20%
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.), fern (Asplenium), azalea (yellow honeysuckle) (Rhododendron calendulaceum Michx.), and red maple (Acer rubrum L.),

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 35

Parent material: Erwin formation - yellow sandstone

Relief: 600 feet

Elevation: 3120 feet

Slope: 52 percent

Aspect: north 10 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 1 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 10 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1 -- 1 to 13 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 15 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 13 to 29 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) extremely channery silty clay loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 60 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 29 to 35 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) and light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 35 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) fine-grained sandstone.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

154

Table A:	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section

		NH	I₄OAc, pH 1	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	(+) <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ o	f soil		%
WY3BQ	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.23	9.2	2.50
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$Mg^{2+} + M$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Profile	pH	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*		
WY3BQ	4.52	9.75	5.45	9.98	5.68	2.30	4.05		
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(<i>SBAS</i> ÷	- SCEC),	and EBS =		

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd ·				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
WY3BQ	22	78	145	73	34	352	425	223

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{β+} to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	Al ³⁺ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
WY3BQ	59.2	95.9	54.6	41.3	25.5	44.8

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

٦

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feid*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*
			g kg ⁻¹ of sp	pecified fracti	on	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	770 1000 900	30 0 10	130 0 60	0 0 0	20 0 10	50 0 20

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY3BQ

Profile SY1BQ: Sylvatus very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.7 miles west 271 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-650 and VA-16 and 0.5 miles northwest 319 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-741 and VA-16 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 75% and black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 25%
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), striped maple (Acer pensylvanicum L.), teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), witchhazel (Hamamelis virginiana L.), azalea (yellow honeysuckle) (Rhododendron calendulaceum Michx.), serviceberry (Amelanchier Medic.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), and Galax (Galax aphylla L.),

Site index: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 54

Parent material: Hampton formation - gray shale

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 3020 feet

Slope: 33 percent

Aspect: south southwest 208 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 12 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine, medium, and coarse roots; common faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 75 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.

R -- 12 inches, mottled strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) and very dark gray (N 3/) shale.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		N	H₄OAc, pH î	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	f soil		%
SY1BQ	0.18	0.13	0.32	0.63	17.3	3.64

Contraction of the local division of the loc	Profile	pН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
				cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
	SY1BQ	4.46	18.11	5.35	18.74	5.98	3.36	10.54
Î	*SCEC = 100(SBAS)	SBAS + H^+ , ÷ ECEC).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS -	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd					
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay	
	g kg ⁻¹ of soil								
SY1BQ	55	54	48	74	59	290	446	264	

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965).

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{β+} to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	AP+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
SY1BQ	30.9	89.4	28.5	65.5	22.7	71.0

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g kg^{-1} of sp	pecified fracti	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	830 900 870	70 30 50	100 70 80	0 0 0	TR 0 TR	TR TR TR

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY1BQ

Profile SY2BQ: Sylvatus extremely flaggy silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.7 miles northwest 306 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-16 and VA-741 and 1.9 miles northwest 292 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-650 and VA-16 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 50%, scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 20%, eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 20%, and red maple (Acer rubrum L.) 10%
- Ground: red maple (Acer rubrum L.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis L.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.), Galax (Galax aphylla L.), black snakeroot (Zigadensus densus Desr.), teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), and flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.)

Site index: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 57

Parent material: Hampton formation - green siltstone with some fine-grained sandstone

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 2840 feet

Slope: 54 percent

Aspect: south southwest 234 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, black (10YR 2/1) extremely flaggy silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 75 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 14 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) extremely flaggy silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 80 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- R -- 14 inches, light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) siltstone.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NI	H₄OAc, pH	7.0				
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*		
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil								
SY2BQ	0.32	0.15	0.21	0.68	19.2	3.54		
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$+ Mg^{2+} + I$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	EC).			

Tal	ble	B :	Chemical	proj	perties	•	taxonomic	control	section

Profile	pН	Н	AP+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%	
SY2BQ	4.25	21.29	6.90	21.97	7.58	3.10	8.97	
*SCEC =	SBAS + H^+ ,	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS	=

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd					
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay	
	g kg^{-1} of soil								
SY2BQ	28	33	49	146	118	374	450	176	

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{P+} to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{p+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
SY2BQ	35.9	91.0	31.4	109.1	43.1	124.8

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY2BQ

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g kg ⁻¹ of sp	pecified fracti	on	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	690 950 795	TR 50 0	210 TR 165	TR TR TR	50 0 20	50 0 20

*Feld = feldspar, Kaol = kaolinite, Chlor = chlorite and/or vermiculite, II = irregularly interstratified chlorite, vermiculite, and/or kaolinite.

Profile SY3BQ: Gilpin silt loam

Classification: fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults

Location: About 2.7 miles south 190 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-676 and VA-614 and 2.1 miles southwest 208 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-675 and VA-677 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 40%, northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 30%, and scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 30%
- Ground: chokeberry (Pyrus melanocarpa Michx.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.), eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis L.), and witchhazel (Hamamelis virginiana L.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 59

Parent material: Hampton formation - siltstone and fine-grained sandstone

Relief: 600 feet

Elevation: 3080 feet

Slope: 38 percent

Aspect: north 0 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 1 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 5 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- E -- 1 to 7 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) silty clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; 10 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bt -- 7 to 25 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) channery silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable, sticky, plastic; common distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 25 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 25 inches, mottled yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) and strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) siltstone.

162

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

<i>NH</i> ₄ <i>OAc</i> , pH 7.0							
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	K^+	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
	cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil						
SY3BQ	0.17	0.66	0.12	0.95	10.9	8.72	

Profile	pН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			%				
SY3BQ	4.80	7.36	4.85	8.31	5.80	11.43	16.38
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div I)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS + A	$4l^{3+}$, SBS =	= 100(SBAS ÷	SCEC), a	and $EBS =$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
	g kg ⁻¹ of soil							
SY3BQ	16	24	33	53	37	163	518	319
ATT 1 .	1 1/0	10(5)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{β+} to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	AB+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
SY3BQ	44.4	83.6	58.3	34.2	18.2	26.1

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*		
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction							
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	680 900 750	30 20 30	140 80 120	50 0 30	TR 0 TR	100 TR 70		

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY3BQ
Profile WA1BQ: Lily loam

Classification: fine-loamy, siliceous, mesic Typic Hapludults

Location: About 1.6 miles southwest 257 degrees of the junction of Highways US-58 and VA-603 and 2.4 miles northwest 279 degrees of the junction of Highways US-58 and VA-601 in Washington County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 70%, scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 10%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 10%, and maple (Acer L.) 10%
- Ground: witchhazel (Hamamelis virginiana L.), Galax (Galax aphylla L.), chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis L.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), maple (Acer L.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), and lily (Lilium L.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 59

Parent material: Unicoi formation - conglomerate

Relief: 600 feet

Elevation: 3080 feet

Slope: 41 percent

Aspect: northeast 48 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 5 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1 -- 3 to 14 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 10 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bt2 -- 14 to 22 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) channery sandy clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 20 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 22 to 26 inches, mottled yellowish red (5YR 5/8) and reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) extremely channery sandy loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common distinct clay flows in relic rock joints; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- R -- 26 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) conglomerate.

Table A:	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section
		I I				

		NI	I₄OAc, pH '	7.0		
Profile	Ca^{2+}	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	-	cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	of soil		%
WA1BQ	0.25	0.08	0.09	0.42	6.6	6.36

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
WA1BQ	4.60	4.78	3.65	5.20	4.07	8.08	10.32
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS -	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ o	of soil	1		
WA1BQ	122	75	164	116	36	513	294	193

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
WA1BQ	55.3	89.6	70.1	34.2	21.1	26.9

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g kg ⁻¹ of sp	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	650 1000 900	0 0 0	40 0 10	210 0 60	0 0 0	100 0 30

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA1BQ

Profile WA2BQ: Sylvatus channery silt loam

Classification: clayey-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.6 miles southwest 219 degrees of the junction of Highways US-58 and VA-603 and 2.0 miles southwest 254 degrees of the junction of Highways US-58 and VA-601 in Washington County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 60%, scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 20%, maple (Acer L.) 10%, and northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 10%
- Ground: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), witchhazel (Hamamelis virginiana L.), rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.), goldenrod (Solidago L.), fern (Asplenium), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), and huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 61

Parent material: Unicoi formation - siltstone

Relief: 600 feet

Elevation: 3100 feet

Slope: 60 percent

Aspect: west southwest 240 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 25 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bw1 -- 2 to 6 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 35 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 6 to 13 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 75 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- C -- 13 to 19 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- R -- 19 inches, mottled strong brown (7.5YR 5/6), very dark gray (N 3/) shale.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

168

		NI	H₄OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1} o$	f soil		%
WA2BQ	0.22	0.08	0.21	0.51	19.2	2.66
*SBAS = Ca^{2+}	$+ Mg^{2+} +$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
	÷		$mol(+)k_{z}$	g ⁻¹ of soil			%
WA2BQ	4.30	21.29	11.05	21.80	11.56	2.34	4.41
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC = 5	SBAS + A	l^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
WA2BO	53	38	64	65	37	257	382	361

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmo	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
WA2BQ	57.5	95.5	50.6	53.2	32.0	60.4

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*
			g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ of s	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2mm	520 950 750	100 50 70	110 TR 50	0 TR TR	220 0 110	50 0 20

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA2BQ

Profile WA3BQ: Sylvatus very channery silt loam

Classification: clayey-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.9 miles southwest 244 degrees of the junction of Highways US-58 and VA-603 and 2.0 miles southwest 245 degrees of the junction of Highways US-58 and VA-601 in Washington County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 50%, black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 30%, and maple (Acer L.) 20%
- Ground: teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.), grape (Vitis L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), violet (Viola L.), wild ginger (Asarum virginicum L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), and northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 64

Parent material: Hampton formation - red and yellow shale

Relief: 600 feet

Elevation: 3100 feet

Slope: 55 percent

Aspect: west southwest 244 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 1 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 45 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 1 to 15 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) extremely channery silty clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few very fine and fine roots; 70 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- C -- 15 to 19 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 19 inches, mottled red (2.5YR 5/6) and reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) shale.

Table A: Unemical properties - taxonomic control sec	trol section
--	--------------

		NI	H ₄ OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1} o$	f soil		%
WA3BQ	0.18	0.05	0.19	0.42	14.3	2.94

Profile	pН	Н	A₽+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
WA3BQ	4.40	15.32	5.45	15.74	5.87	2.67	7.16
*SCEC = SH $100(SBAS \div$	$BAS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS +	AP^{+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

Silt	Clay
474	361
-	474

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A₿+ to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	Al ³⁺ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
	%			cmol	cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
WA3BQ	38.1	92.8	34.6	39.6	16.3	43.6	

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g kg^{-1} of sp	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	700 860 760	70 40 60	110 100 110	0 0 0	70 0 40	50 TR 30

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA3BQ

Profile BO1RO: Chiswell channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.9 miles east 79 degrees of the junction of Highways US-11 and VA-606 and 1.1 miles north 4 degrees of the junction of Highways US-11 and VA-639 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: hickory (Carya Nutt.) 100%

- Ground: black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.), eastern redbud (Cercis canadensis L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), ash (Fraxinus L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), rattlesnake plantain (Goodyera pubescens Willd.), bedstraw (Galium triflorum), green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica Marsh.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), and sassafras albidum Nutt.),
- Site index: hickory (Carya Nutt.) 48 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 48

Parent material: Rome formation - purple shale

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 1250 feet

Slope: 35 percent

Aspect: south 120 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many fine and medium roots; 20 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 10 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine and medium roots; 80 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- R -- 10 inches, dark reddish brown (2.5YR 3/4) shale.

Ta	able	e .	A:	Chemical	pro	perties	-	taxonomic	control	section
					F	P				

		NI	H₄OAc, pH î	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
BO1RO	0.53	0.12	0.18	0.83	7.4	11.22
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$+ Mg^{2+} + .$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	BAS ÷ NHC	<i>EC</i>).	

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
BOIRO	4.58	10.15	2.45	10.98	3.28	7.56	25.30
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC = S	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	- <i>SCEC</i>),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
	g kg^{-1} of soil							
BO1RO	98	70	47	49	51	315	523	162
	1 1/15	10(5)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	A ^{β+} to ECEC	A ^{p+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
BO1RO	33.1	74.6	22.3	45.7	20.2	67.8

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

٦

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	600 590 610	030 100 60	340 310 330	00 TR TR	30 0 20	TR 0 0			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO1RO

Profile BO2RO: Chiswell channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.7 miles southeast 152 degrees of the junction of Highways US-11 and VA-606 and 0.8 miles northwest 344 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-606 and VA-641 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 100%

- Ground: Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica Thunb.), Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.), eastern red cedar (Juniperus virginiana L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), blackberry (Rhubus L.), rose (Rosa L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), sumac (Rhus L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), may apple (Epigaea repens L.), ragweed (Ambrosia L.), and cinquefoil (Potentilla L.),
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 65 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 63

Parent material: Rome formation - green shale

Relief: 250 feet

Elevation: 1190 feet

Slope: 31 percent

Aspect: north northwest 332 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; 15 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 12 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine and medium roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 65 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.

R -- 12 inches, interbedded olive (5Y 4/3) and yellow (2.5Y 7/8) shale.

Table A:	Chemical	properties	; -	taxonomic	control	section

Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
BO2RO	0.70	0.28	0.21	1.19	6.4	18.59
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$-Mg^{2+} + .$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Profile	pН	Н	Al³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil %								
BO2RO	5.11	6.57	1.45	7.76	2.64	15.34	45.08	
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC = 5	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS +	+ <i>SCEC</i>),	and EBS =	

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	und				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil		-	
BO2RO	40	35	28	36	51	190	637	173
+TT 1	1 1/D	10(5)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	A ^{β+} to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
BO2RO	22.6	54.9	18.6	37.0	15.3	44.9

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	650 720 670	20 20 20	320 260 300	0 0 0	10 0 10	TR 0 TR			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO2RO

Profile BO3RO: Chiswell channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.2 miles east 98 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-640 and VA-645 and 1.6 miles northeast 65 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-640 and VA-606 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus primus L.) 50%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 40%, and white oak (Quercus alba L.) 10%
- Ground: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica Thunb.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), ragweed (Ambrosia L.), eastern redbud (Cercis canadensis L.), sumac (Rhus L.), and red maple (Acer rubrum L.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 56

Parent material: Rome formation - green shale

Relief: 250 feet

Elevation: 1150 feet

Slope: 51 percent

Aspect: west southwest 244 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, very dark gray (10YR 3/1) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many fine and medium roots; 20 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 5 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common medium roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 45 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 5 to 7 inches, mottled olive (5Y 5/3) and light gray (N 6/) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few very fine roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.

R -- 7 inches, olive (5Y 4/3) shale.

<i>NH</i> ₄ <i>OAc</i> , pH 7.0							
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							
BO3RO	2.05	1.32	0.43	3.80	12.0	31.67	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil %								
BO3RO	4.85	12.54	2.35	16.34	6.15	23.26	61.79	
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC = $\frac{1}{2}$	SBAS +	$4l^{3+}$, SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =	

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
41 - 1				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
BO3RO	159	123	95	92	28	497	390	113

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	AP+ to ECEC	AP+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
	0/0			cmol	cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
BO3RO	19.5	38.2	14.3	106.2	54.4	144.6	

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

٦

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*		
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction							
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	650 640 640	60 110 90	240 250 250	TR 0 TR	TR 0 TR	50 0 20		

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO3RO

Profile BO4RO: Chiswell cobbly silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.3 miles southwest 200 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-640 and VA-606 and 2.9 miles south 168 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-606 and US-11 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 30%, chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 20%, eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 20%, yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.) 20%, and sourwood (Oxydendrum arboreum L.) 10%
- Ground: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), grape (Vitis L.), ash (Fraxinus L.), chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), sourwood (Oxydendrum arboreum L.), teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), and sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 63 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 61
- Parent material: Rome formation red shale

Relief: 150 feet

Elevation: 1150 feet

Slope: 20 percent

Aspect: north 4 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) cobbly silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 14 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) cobbly silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few very fine and fine roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 30 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- 2C -- 14 to 18 inches, brown (7.5YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few very fine roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- 2R -- 18 inches, reddish brown (5YR 5/4) shale.

Table A: Chem	ical properties	- taxonomic	control section
---------------	-----------------	-------------	-----------------

		NI	H₄OAc, pH ′	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
BO4RO	0.48	0.28	0.15	0.91	5.9	15.42
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$+ Mg^{2+} + .$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Profile	pН	Н	A₽+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
$\underline{\qquad} \operatorname{cmol}(+) kg^{-1} \text{ of soil} \underline{\qquad}$							%
BO4RO	4.75	7.16	2.30	8.07	3.21	11.28	28.35
$*SCEC = SI = 100(SBAS \div$	$BAS + H^+$, ECEC).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS +	- SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
BO4RO	29	32	33	55	43	192	632	176

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{β+} to NHCEC	A₿+ to ECEC	Al ³⁺ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
BO4RO	38.9	71.6	28.5	33.5	18.2	45.9

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*		
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction							
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	800 890 830	30 0 20	170 110 150	0 0 0	TR 0 TR	TR 0 TR		

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO4RO

Profile BO5RO: Chiswell channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.9 miles east 96 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-738 and VA-658 and 1.4 miles northeast 60 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-604 and Blue Ridge Parkway in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 100%

- Ground: hickory (Carya Nutt.), black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.), poison oak (Rhus radicans L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), ash (Fraxinus L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), cinquefoil (Potentilla L.), rattlesnake plantain (Goodyera pubescens Willd.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), hawthorn (Crataegus L.), blackhaw (Viburnum prunifolium L.), and flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 64 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 62

Parent material: Rome formation - green limestone and shale

Relief: 225 feet

Elevation: 1325 feet

Slope: 35 percent

Aspect: west 278 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many fine and medium roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 10 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 55 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 10 to 18 inches, mottled brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) and light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) massive; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on rock fragments; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- R -- 18 inches, mottled brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) and light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) shale.

Table A:	Chemical	properties	- taxonomic	control	section

	3	NI	H₄OAc, pH ′	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
BO5RO	0.92	0.26	0.35	1.53	10.0	15.30
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$-Mg^{2+} +$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Profile	рН	Н	AP^+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
$cmol(+) kg^{-1}$ of soil							%
BO5RO	4.59	15.32	3.75	16.85	5.28	9.08	28.98
*SCEC = SE 100(SBAS ÷	BAS + H^+ ECEC).	, ECEC = S	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	- SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
BO5RO	74	58	44	40	23	239	577	184

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	A ^{B+} to ECEC	Aß+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
	%			cmol	cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
BO5RO	37.5	71.0	22.2	54.3	28.7	91.6	

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*		
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction							
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	550 600 565	90 100 90	270 300 285	0 0 0	TR 0 TR	10 0 60		

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO5RO

1

Profile WY1RO: Leck Kill silt loam

Classification: coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Ultic Hapludalfs

Location: About 0.8 miles southwest 255 degrees of the junction of Highways US-21 and VA-651 and 0.8 miles northwest 288 degrees of the junction of Highways US-21 and VA-670 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: white oak (Quercus alba L.) 40%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 30%, black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.) 10%, chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 10%, and pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 10%
- Ground: black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.), black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.), and may apple (Epigaea repens L.)

Site index: white oak (Quercus alba L.) 67, site not used in data analysis

Parent material: Rome formation - purple siltstone

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 2640 feet

Slope: 40 percent

Aspect: southeast 124 degrees

Drainage: well

- Ap -- 0 to 3 inches, dark brown (7.5YR 3/2) loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 10 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1 -- 3 to 14 inches, reddish brown (5YR 4/3) channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; common very fine, fine, and medium roots; 15 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bt2 -- 14 to 40 inches, yellowish red (5YR 5/6) channery silt loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few prominent clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 25 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 40 to 50 inches, reddish brown (5YR 5/4) and brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many prominent clay flows in relic rock joints; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.

R -- 50 inches, red (2.5YR 5/6) and yellow (10YR 7/6) siltstone.

Table A:	Chemical	properties	- taxonomic	control see	ction
	~	Properties	COLLEG REG REELE		

		NH	<i>H₄OAc</i> , pH ′	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
WY1RO	0.61	4.30	0.49	5.40	11.9	45.38
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$+ Mg^{2+} + Mg^{2+}$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS + NHC	'EC).	

Profile	рН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
WYIRO	4.90	3.78	2.35	9.18	7.75	58.82	69.68
*SCEC = S 100(SBAS ÷	BAS + H^+ ECEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS -	+ <i>SCEC</i>),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	Ċ	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ (of soil			
WYIRO	27	23	16	23	23	112	709	179
+TT. J	- AL - J (D	10(5)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
		%		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
WYIRO	19.7	30.3	25.5	66.5	43.3	51.3	

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	600 700 620	TR TR TR	400 300 380	TR TR TR	TR 0 TR	TR 0 TR			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY1RO

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

191

Profile WY2RO: Chiswell very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.8 miles southeast 167 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-690 and VA-602 and 1.7 miles west 276 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-602 and VA-646 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 50% and black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 50%

Ground: red maple (Acer rubrum L.) and cinquefoil (Potentilla L.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 38, site not used in data analysis

Parent material: Rome formation - red siltstone

Relief: 300 feet

Elevation: 2510 feet

Slope: 64 percent

Aspect: northwest 324 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, dark reddish brown (5YR 3/2) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine and fine roots; 45 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bwl -- 3 to 6 inches, reddish brown (5YR 4/4) channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; 30 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 6 to 16 inches, reddish brown (5YR 5/4) very channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; many fine and medium roots; 55 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- R -- 16 inches, dark red (10R 3/6) siltstone.

Table A.	Chaminal	mananting	town own in	an manual	an atime
Table A:	Chemical	properties -	taxonomic	control	section

<i>NH</i> ₄ <i>OAc</i> , pH 7.0							
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							
WY2RO	0.10	0.13	0.31	0.54	8.0	6.75	

Profile	рН	Н	Al ^{₿+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
							%
WY2RO	4.65	7.16	3.35	7.70	3.89	7.01	13.88
*SCEC = SB	$AS + H^+$, ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
WY2RO	88	57	38	82	01	266	591	143

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	A ^{B+} to ECEC	A ^{p+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
8	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
WY2RO	41.8	86.1	43.5	55.9	27.2	53.8

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	640 660 645	40 80 60	220 260 235	TR TR TR	TR 0 TR	100 TR 60			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY2RO

Profile WY3RO: Leck Kill channery silt loam

Classification: coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Ultic Hapludalfs

Location: About 0.8 miles northwest 316 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-630 and VA-631 and 1.9 miles west 264 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-630 and US-52 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 50%, maple (Acer L.) 20%, black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 20%, and white oak (Quercus alba L.) 10%
- Ground: hickory (Carya Nutt.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), and Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.)
- Site index: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 61 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 52
- Parent material: Rome formation red siltstone

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 2190 feet

Slope: 50 percent

Aspect: north 14 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, dark reddish gray (5YR 4/2) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1 -- 3 to 14 inches, reddish brown (5YR 5/4) channery silty clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 30 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bt2 -- 14 to 30 inches, reddish brown (5YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; common medium roots; 65 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 30 to 50 inches, mottled strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) and reddish brown (5YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few clay flows in relic rock joints; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.

R -- 50 inches, reddish brown (5YR 5/4) siltstone.

		NI	H₄OAc, pH	7.0			
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							
WY3RO	0.25	1.13	0.28	1.66	7.1	23.38	

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+) /	kg^{-1} of soil			%
WY3RO	4.70	2.79	2.30	4.45	3.96	37.30	41.92
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(<i>SBAS</i> ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

Sand								
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
	g kg^{-1} of soil							
WY3RO	58	54	36	34	42	224	650	126
+II.Jan me at an a	athad (D)	10(5)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965).

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
WY3RO	32.3	58.0	51.6	56.3	31.4	35.3

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	670 600 650	50 100 70	230 300 250	0 TR TR	TR 0 TR	50 0 30			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY3RO

Profile WY4RO: Litz channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.6 miles northeast 75 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-619 and VA-626 and 1.0 mile west 272 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-626 and VA-611 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 55%, scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 15%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 15%, and hickory (Carya Nutt.) 15%
- Ground: flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), blackberry (Rhubus L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), rattlesnake plantain (Goodyera pubescens Willd.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), ash (Fraxinus L.), cinquefoil (Potentilla L.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), and pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.)

Site index: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 58

Parent material: Rome formation - red fine-grained sandstone

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 2190 feet

Slope: 32 percent

Aspect: south 174 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, dark reddish brown (5YR 3/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1 -- 3 to 11 inches, rcddish brown (5YR 4/4) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 45 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 11 to 23 inches, reddish brown (5YR 5/3) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 70 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- R -- 23 inches, yellowish red (5YR 5/6) and dark reddish brown (5YR 4/3) fine-grained sandstone.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NI	H₄OAc, pH '	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	K^+	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
WY4RO	0.10	0.38	0.25	0.73	6.8	10.74
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$+ Mg^{2+} + I$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS + NHC	<i>EC</i>).	

Profile	pН	Н	Al³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		(cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
WY4RO	4.90	4.38	2.95	5.11	3.68	14.29	19.84
*SCEC = SB. 100(SBAS ÷ E	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC = S	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(<i>SBAS</i> ÷	- <i>SCEC</i>), a	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ (of soil			
WY4RO	62	47	35	52	73	269	601	130

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Г

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{p+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
WY4RO	43.3	80.1	57.7	52.3	28.3	39.3

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

٦

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*		
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction							
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	700 750 720	40 50 40	210 200 210	TR 0 TR	TR 0 TR	50 0 30		

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY4RO
Profile WY5RO: Chiswell very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.5 miles northwest 317 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-619 and VA-629 and 1.5 miles east 88 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-627 and VA-628 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 30%, black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 20%, northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 20%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 20%, eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 10%
- Ground: flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), grape (Vitis L.), teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), hazelnut (Corylus americana Walt.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), and sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.)

Site index: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 68

Parent material: Rome formation - red shale

Relief: 180 feet

Elevation: 2190 feet

Slope: 29 percent

Aspect: south 194 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, dark reddish brown (5YR 3/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 45 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 13 inches, reddish brown (5YR 4/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; common faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 50 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- R -- 13 inches, mottled yellowish red (5YR 5/6) and reddish brown (5YR 4/4) shale.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control sectio	Table A:	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section
---	----------	----------	------------	---	-----------	---------	---------

<i>NH</i> ₄ <i>OAc</i> , pH 7.0								
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	K^+	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*		
$cmol(+) kg^{-1}$ of soil								
WY5RO	0.12	0.45	0.22	0.79	6.5	12.15		
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} -	$+ Mg^{2+} + I$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	TEC).			

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	A₽+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		%					
WY5RO	4.69	4.38	2.95	5.17	3.74	15.28	21.12
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(<i>SBAS</i> ÷	- SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
WY5RO	42	32	20	20	23	137	723	140

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	AP+ to ECEC	AP+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
WY5RO	35.4	78.9	57.1	46.4	26.7	36.9

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*
	-		g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ of s	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	590 640 600	TR 90 TR	410 270 400	TR TR TR	TR 0 TR	TR TR TR

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY5RO

Profile SY1RO: Chiswell extremely channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.1 miles northeast 48 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-729 and VA-615 and 0.3 miles northeast 63 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-683 and VA-615 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 40%, chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 30%, and black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.) 30%
- Ground: witchhazel (Hamamelis virginiana L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), ash (Fraxinus L.), rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.), aster (Aster L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), and black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.)

Site index: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 45

Parent material: Rome formation - red siltstone

Relief: 150 feet

Elevation: 2650 feet

Slope: 63 percent

Aspect: west southwest 246 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, dark reddish brown (5YR 3/2) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 70 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 16 inches, yellowish red (5YR 5/6) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few medium roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 50 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- R -- 16 inches, yellowish red (5YR 5/6) shale.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NI	H₄OAc, pH '	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1} o$	f soil		%
SY1RO	0.13	0.27	0.17	0.57	10.1	5.64

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							%	
SYIRO	4.55	9.75	3.45	10.32	4.02	5.52	14.18	
*SCEC = 100(SBAS)	SBAS + H^+ , + <i>ECEC</i>).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =	

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd						
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay		
	g kg^{-1} of soil									
SYIRO	20	20	19	23	23	105	665	230		

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{p+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
		%		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
SY1RO	34.1	85.8	33.4	43.9	17.5	44.9	

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g kg^{-1} of s	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	640 640 640	TR TR TR	360 360 360	TR TR TR	0 0 0	TR 0 TR

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY1RO

Profile SY2RO: Litz very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.2 miles northwest 288 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-683 and VA-615 and 0.4 miles west 270 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-615 and VA-727 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 30%, black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 30%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 20%, black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.) 10%, and yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.) 10%
- Ground: Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), ash (Fraxinus L.), witchhazel (Hamamelis virginiana L.), hazelnut (Corylus americana Walt.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), fern (Asplenium), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), Solomons-seal (Polygonatum pubescens Willd.), and flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.)

Site index: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 55

Parent material: Rome formation - green shale

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 2640 feet

Slope: 81 percent

Aspect: southeast 114 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 45 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1 -- 2 to 20 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 20 to 35 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few medium and coarse roots; 70 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.

R -- 35 inches, yellow (10YR 7/6) shale.

Table	A:	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section
				_			

<i>NH</i> ₄ <i>OAc</i> , pH 7.0							
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1} o$	f soil		%	
SY2RO	0.47	0.92	0.34	1.73	8.6	20.12	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+) /	kg^{-1} of soil			%
SY2RO	5.06	3.98	1.75	5.71	3.48	30.30	49.71
*SCEC = S $100(SBAS \div$	BAS + H^+ ECEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS +	$4l^{3+}$, SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	und				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
~				g kg ⁻¹	of soil			
SY2RO	52	64	47	48	41	252	538	210
+11.1		10(5)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
SY2RO	20.3	50.2	30.6	41.0	16.6	27.2

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

-

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g kg^{-1} of s	pecified fract	ion	
Silt	550	80	370	TR	0	TR
Sand	570	230	200	TR	0	0
0.02-2 mm	560	140	300	TR	0	TR

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY2RO

Profile SY3RO: Groseclose silt loam

Classification: clayey, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults

Location: About 0.4 miles southeast 165 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-683 and US-11 and 1.5 miles west 270 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-615 and VA-616 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.) 70% and black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.) 30%
- Ground: hawthorn (Crataegus L.), yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.), poison oak (Rhus radicans L.), jewelweed (Impatiens pallida Nutt.), Indian turnip (Arisaema triphyllum L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.), blackberry (Rhubus L.), and flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.)
- Site index: yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.) 85 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 77
- Parent material: Rome formation green shale and limestone

Relief: 350 feet

Elevation: 2510 feet

Slope: 41 percent

Aspect: northeast 22 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 10 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) silt loam; moderate fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 2 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bt1 -- 10 to 30 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; firm, sticky, plastic; many distinct clay films on faces of peds; few fine and medium roots; 5 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bt2 -- 30 to 60 inches, mottled strong brown (7.5YR 5/6), reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) and yellowish red (5YR 5/6) clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; firm, sticky, plastic; many distinct clay films on faces of peds; few fine roots; 10 percent rock fragments.

Table A: Chemical proper	ies - taxonomic	control	section
--------------------------	-----------------	---------	---------

	NI	H ₄ OAc, pH	7.0		
Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	of soil		%
0.14	0.94	0.33	1.41	13.3	10.60
	0.14	NI Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ cmol 0.14			

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	рН	Н	A₿+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
SY3RO	4.96	9.15	4.15	10.56	5.56	13.35	25.36
*SCEC = SH $100(SBAS \div$	$BAS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC $=$	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	- SCEÇ),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	and				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
SY3RO	1	3	4	12	14	34	402	564

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	A ^{β+} to ECEC	Aß+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmo	$l(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
SY3RO	31.2	74.6	39.2	23.6	9.9	18.7

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
	·	1	g kg ⁻¹ of sp	pecified fracti	on	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	650 850 675	20 TR 20	280 150 265	50 0 40	0 0 0	TR 0 TR

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY3RO

Profile SY4RO: Litz silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults

Location: About 0.4 miles northeast 78 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-615 and VA-708 and 1.6 miles east 99 degrees of the junction of Highways US-11 and VA-615 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 60% and northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 40%

Ground: teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolja L.), chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), and Galax (Galax aphylla L.)

Site index: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 64

Parent material: Rome formation - green shale

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 2640 feet

Slope: 15 percent

Aspect: southwest 216 degrees

Drainage: well

- Ap -- 0 to 4 inches, very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many fine and medium roots; 10 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1 -- 4 to 12 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) channery silty clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; common medium and coarse roots; 30 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bt2 -- 12 to 22 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) extremely channery silty clay loam; strong medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 65 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 22 to 25 inches, mottled brownish yellow (10YR 6/6), reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8), and yellow (2.5Y 7/6) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common distinct clay flows in relic rock joints; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- R -- 25 inches, interbedded brownish yellow (10YR 6/6), reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8), and yellow (2.5Y 7/6) shale.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

213

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NI	I₄OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
			%			
SY4RO	0.15	0.44	0.29	0.88	13.3	6.62

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	рН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
SY4RO	4.66	12.14	6.95	13.02	7.83	6.76	11.24
*SCEC = 100(SBAS)	SBAS + H^+ , ÷ <i>ECEC</i>).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
SY4RO	57	44	41	50	26	218	498	284

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	AP+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
SY4RO	52.2	88.7	53.3	46.8	27.6	45.8

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
	-		g kg^{-1} of sp	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	910 720 830	90 180 130	TR 0 TR	TR 0 TR	TR 0 TR	TR 100 40

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY4RO

Profile SY5RO: Chiswell silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.1 miles northeast 21 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-615 and VA-708 and 1.3 miles east 99 degrees of the junction of Highways US-11 and VA-615 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 20%, black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 20%, northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 20%, chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 20%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 10%, American beech (Fagus grandifolia Ehrh.) 10%,
- Ground: bedstraw (Galium triflorum), yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.), and serviceberry (Amelanchier Medic.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 60 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 58

Parent material: Rome formation - red shale

Relief: 180 feet

Elevation: 2560 feet

Slope: 46 percent

Aspect: southwest 240 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, dark brown (7.5YR 3/2) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 10 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 12 inches, reddish brown (5YR 5/3) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and on rock fragments; 40 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 12 to 18 inches, mottled reddish brown (5YR 4/3) and weak red (2.5YR 4/2) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- R -- 18 inches, interbedded dark reddish brown (5YR 3/3) and weak red (2.5YR 4/2) shale.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

216

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section	Table A:	Chemical	properties	- taxonomic	control	section
--	----------	----------	------------	-------------	---------	---------

		NH	H₄OAc, pH 1	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	f soil		%
SY5RO	0.32	0.35	0.28	0.95	7.0	13.57
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$-Mg^{2+} + .$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		-	cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
SY5RO	4.83	9.30	2.35	10.25	3.30	9.27	28.79
*SCEC = S $100(SBAS \div$	BAS + H^+ , ECEC).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS +	- <i>SCEC</i>),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
			~	g kg ⁻¹	of soil			
SY5RO	45	40	36	38	28	187	655	158

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	<i>Al^{β+}</i> to ECEC	A ^{B+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
SY5RO	33.5	71.2	22.9	44.3	20.9	64.9

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*
			g kg ⁻¹ of s	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	530 640 560	10 90 40	460 270 400	0 TR TR	TR 0 TR	TR TR TR

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY5RO

Profile RB1MA: Faywood silt loam

Classification: fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludalfs

Location: About 0.2 miles southeast 158 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-610 and VA-683 and 2.2 miles northeast 61 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-610 and VA-690 in Rockbridge County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 30%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 20%, eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis L.) 30%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 10%, and Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 10%
- Ground: wild ginger (Asarum virginicum L.), eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), white oak (Quercus alba L.), rattlesnake plantain (Goodyera pubescens Willd.), and red maple (Acer rubrum L.)
- Site index: eastern whit pine (Pinus strobus L.) 71, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 44, and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 62
- Parent material: Martinsburg formation thinly bedded limestone
- Relief: 200 feet
- Elevation: 1180 feet
- Slope: 55 percent
- Aspect: northwest 304 degrees
- Drainage: well
- A -- 0 to 2 inches, brown (7.5YR 4/2) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1 -- 2 to 8 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) silty clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint clay films on faces of peds; common fine and medium roots; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2 -- 8 to 17 inches, brown (7.5YR 5/4) channery clay; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, sticky, plastic; many distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 15 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 17 to 40 inches, brown (7.5YR 4/4) extremely channery clay; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few medium roots; many distinct clay flows in relic rock joints; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 40 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) shale.

rulle in chemica properties whome control section	Table A:	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section
---	----------	----------	------------	---	-----------	---------	---------

		NI	H₄OAc, pH '	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	f soil		%
RB1MA	9.40	7.60	0.20	17.20	18.6	92.47

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
RB1MA	7.03	3.98	0.10	21.18	17.30	81.21	99.42
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
RB1MA	111	105	72	58	35	381	360	259

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{B+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
×	-	%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
RB1MA	0.5	0.6	0.5	71.8	66.8	81.7

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*
			g kg^{-1} of sp	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	380 640 540	90 70 80	320 150 210	0 0 0	210 140 170	TR TR TR

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - RB1MA

Profile RB2MA: Berks gravelly silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.6 miles east 100 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-610 and VA-634 and 1.0 mile northeast 72 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-610 and VA-690 in Rockbridge County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 80% and eastern red cedar (Juniperus virginiana L.) 20%
- Ground: Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica Thunb.), yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.), poison oak (Rhus radicans L.), and red maple (Acer rubrum L.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 63 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 61

Parent material: Martinsburg formation - gray shale

Relief: 160,feet

Elevation: 1300 feet

Slope: 10 percent

Aspect: south 180 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) gravelly silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 25 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 9 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) extremely gravelly silt loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots, 65 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 9 to 25 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) extremely gravelly silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on rock fragments; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 25 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) shale.

Т	able	A:	Chemical	properties -	-	taxonomic	control	section
-				P				

		<i>NH</i> ₄ <i>OAc</i> , pH 7.0						
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	K^+	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*		
		cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	of soil		%		
RB2MA	1.89	1.61	0.26	3.76	8.6	43.72		

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	рН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%	
RB2MA	4.88	7.76	1.00	11.52	4.76	32.64	78.99	
*SCEC = SBA	$AS + H^+$	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS	=

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

and the state of t					
М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
	g kg ⁻¹	of soil			
53	64	54	299	508	193
	53	M F g kg ⁻¹ 53 64	M F VF g kg ⁻¹ of soil 53 64 54	M F VF Total g kg ⁻¹ of soil 53 64 54 299	M F VF Total Silt g kg^{-1} of soil 53 64 54 299 508

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
RB2MA	11.6	21.0	8.6	44.6	24.7	59.7

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*
			g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ of sp	pecified fraction	on	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	540 1000 760	50 0 30	370 0 190	0 0 0	40 0 20	TR 0 TR

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - RB2MA

Profile RB3MA: Faywood silt loam

Classification: clayey, mixed, mesic Ultic Hapludalfs

Location: About 0.9 miles west 272 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-631 and VA-638 and 1.7 miles southwest 244 degrees of the junction of Highways US-60 and VA-638 in Rock-bridge County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: eastern red cedar (Juniperus virginiana L.) 30%, black walnut (Juglans nigra L.) 30%, black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.) 30%, and black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 10%
- Ground: poison oak (Rhus radicans L.), Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica Thunb.), Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), eastern redbud (Cercis canadensis L.), blackberry (Rhubus L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), elm (Ulmus L.), black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.), fern (Asplenium), hickory (Carya Nutt.), and black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.)

Site index: upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 60

Parent material: Martinsburg formation - thinly-bedded, fine-grained limestone

Relief: 300 feet

Elevation: 1310 feet

Slope: 47 percent

Aspect: south 180 degrees

Drainage: well

- Ap1 -- 0 to 2 inches, dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; clear smooth boundary.
- Ap2 -- 2 to 8 inches, dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6) silty clay loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine and medium roots; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1 -- 8 to 17 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable, sticky, plastic; few faint clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 10 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bt2 -- 17 to 26 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 4/6) channery clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable, sticky, plastic; common distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 26 to 30 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) extremely channery clay; massive; friable, sticky, plastic; common thick clay flows in relic rock joints; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- R -- 30 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) limestone.

Table A:	Chemical	prop	perties	-	taxonomic	control	section

	NI	H₄OAc, pH	7.0		
Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	cmol	$(+) kg^{-1} o$	f soil		%
11.50	0.58	0.09	12.17	17.6	69.15
	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	NI Ca ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ cmol 11.50 0.58			NH ₄ OAc, pH 7.0 Ca^{2+} Mg^{2+} K^+ SBAS* NHCEC cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil 11.50 0.58 0.09 12.17 17.6

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		%					
RB3MA	5.52	11.74	0.15	23.91	12.32	50.90	98.78
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^{+}$ ECEC).	, ECEC = S	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	- <i>SCEC</i>),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd						
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay		
g kg ⁻¹ of soil										
RB3MA	26	24	20	21	20	111	529	360		

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	А ^{β+} to NHCEC	A ^{β+} to ECEC	A ^{P+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
RB3MA	0.8	1.2	0.6	48.9	34.2	66.4

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*				
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction									
Silt Silt 0.02-2 mm	700 650 680	80 140 100	120 210 140	0 TR TR	50 0 40	50 0 40				

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section

Profile RB4MA: Weikert channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.9 miles southwest 233 degrees of the junction of Highways US-60 and VA-646 and 0.9 miles south southwest 210 degrees of the junction of Highways US-60 and VA-629 in Rockbridge County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 60%, eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 30%, and northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 10%
- Ground: red maple (Acer rubrum L.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), rattlesnake plantain (Goodyera pubescens Willd.), and American beech (Fagus grandifolia Ehrh.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 56

Parent material: Martinsburg formation - gray shale

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 1350 feet

Slope: 40 percent

Aspect: northwest 300 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 20 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 14 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) very channery silty clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 45 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- C -- 14 to 18 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine and medium roots; common faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 18 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) shale.

Table A:	Chemical	properties	- taxonomic	control	section

Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							
RB4MA	0.30	0.04	0.12	0.46	13.4	3.43	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

	Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
$cmol(+) kg^{-1}$ of soil								%	
	RB4MA	A 4.32	18.51	6.75	18.97	7.21	2.42	6.38	
*S 10	CEC = 0(SBAS)	SBAS + H^+ , + <i>ECEC</i>).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	+ <i>SCEC</i>),	and EBS =	

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

×			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹	of soil			
				0.0				
RB4MA	43	33	22	20	10	128	528	344
*Hydrometer n	nethod (D	ay, 1965)						

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	AP+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
		%		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
RB4MA	50.3	93.6	35.5	39.0	21.0	55.1	

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

٦

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*					
		g kg ⁻¹ of specified fraction									
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	580 900 680	180 50 140	140 50 110	0 TR TR	100 0 70	TR TR TR					

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - RB4MA

Profile RB5MA: Dandridge silty clay loam

Classification: clayey-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Hapludalfs

Location: About 4.2 miles east 100 degrees of the junction of Highways US-60 and VA-633 and 0.6 miles northeast 20 degrees of Sycamore Valley Church in Rockbridge County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 60%, black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.) 30%, and eastern red cedar (Juniperus virginiana L.) 10% Ground: eastern red cedar (Juniperus virginiana L.), Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), pawpaw (Asimina triloba L.), black haw (Viburnum prunifolium), Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica Thunb.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), and hackberry (Celtis occidentalis L.)

Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 48 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 45

Parent material: Martinsburg formation - thinly-bedded, fine-grained limestone

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 1525 feet

Slope: 25 percent

Aspect: southwest 244 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silty clay loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, sticky, plastic; common fine and medium roots; 5 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt -- 3 to 16 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) very channery clay; moderate coarse subangular blocky structure; firm, sticky, plastic; many distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 16 to 18 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) extremely channery clay; massive; firm, sticky, plastic; few fine roots; many clay flows in relic rock joints; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- R -- 18 inches, very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) limestone.

		NI	H₄OAc, pH '	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
RB5MA	19.10	1.39	0.08	20.57	23.0	89.43

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Profile	pН	Н	Aℓ³+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		%					
RB5MA	5.62	5.97	0.05	26.54	20.62	77.51	99.76
*SCEC = SE $100(SBAS \div)$	BAS + H^+ ECEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS + A	$4l^{3+}$, SBS =	100(<i>SBAS</i> ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				$g kg^{-1}$	of soil			
RB5MA	37	40	28	28	24	157	413	430

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	Aß+ to ECEC	Aß+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
RB5MA	0.2	0.2	0.2	53.5	48.0	61.7

Table E: Silt ar	d sand	mineralogy -	taxonomic contro	l section	-	RB5MA
------------------	--------	--------------	------------------	-----------	---	-------

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g kg ⁻¹ of sp	ecified fraction	on	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	610 1000 760	130 0 80	190 0 120	0 0 0	70 0 40	TR 0 TR

*Feld = feldspar, Kaol = kaolinite, Chlor = chlorite and/or vermiculite, II = irregularly interstratified chlorite, vermiculite, and/or kaolinite.

Profile BO1MA: Weikert very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.1 miles northwest 340 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-693 and VA-43 and 2.2 miles northeast 53 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-688 and VA-43 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 40%, black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 40%, and pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 20%
- Ground: grape (Vitis L.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), witchhazel (Hamamelis virginiana L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), goldenrod (Solidago L.), serviceberry (Amelanchier Medic.), eastern redbud (Cercis canadensis L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), and striped maple (Acer pensylvanicum L.)

Site index: not computed because of the age of the trees

Parent material: Martinsburg formation - gray fossiliferous shale

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 1960 feet

Slope: 67 percent

Aspect: southwest 234 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many fine and medium roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 11 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 80 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.

R -- 11 inches, gray (10YR 5/1) shale.

Table A	: Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section
			-			

		NI	H₄OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	f soil		_%
BO1MA	3.57	1.42	0.08	5.07	14.7	34.49
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$-Mg^{2+} + M$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	рН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
$cmol(+) kg^{-1}$ of soil							%	
BO1MA	5.10	11.34	1.65	16.41	6.72	30.90	75.45	
*SCEC = SBA	$S + H^+$,	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS	=

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	and				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ (of soil			
BO1MA	172	111	60	39	32	414	441	145
*I Inducedant at	athad (D	1065)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
BO1MA	11.2	24.5	10.0	101.4	46.3	113.2

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
		2	g kg ⁻¹ of s	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	800 380 550	120 450 320	TR 50 30	0 0 0	30 20 20	50 100 80

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO1MA

Profile BO2MA: Berks very flaggy sandy loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 2.7 miles northeast 54 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-622 and VA-43 and 1.3 miles east 81 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-612 and VA-622 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 40%, Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 20%, scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 20%, and pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 20%
- Ground: American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), Galax (Galax aphylla L.), and greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 32, site not used in data analysis

Parent material: Martinsburg formation - gray shale

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 2430 feet

Slope: 42 percent

Aspect: northwest 302 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) very flaggy sandy loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bw1 -- 2 to 15 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) flaggy loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 15 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- 2Bw2 -- 15 to 23 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) very channery silty clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 55 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- 2C -- 23 to 50 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) extremely flaggy silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.

2R -- 50 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8) shale.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

236
Table A. Chemical properties - taxononine control sectio	Table A:	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section
--	----------	----------	------------	---	-----------	---------	---------

		NI	H4OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1} o$	f soil		%
BO2MA	0.34	0.04	0.09	0.47	8.4	5.60

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^{+}$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		%					
BO2MA	4.34	10.95	4.25	11.42	4.72	4.12	9.96
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div E)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC $=$	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g <i>kg</i> −1 o	of soil			
BO2MA	21	27	72	160	70	350	431	219

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	Aß+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
BO2MA	50.5	90.0	37.2	38.4	21.6	52.1	

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	670 1000 860	70 0 30	110 0 50	0 0 0	100 0 40	50 0 20			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO2MA

Profile BO3MA: Weikert channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.4 miles west 280 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-606 and VA-600 and 1.4 miles south 180 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-606 and VA-666 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 40%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 20%, northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 20%, and hickory (Carya Nutt.) 20%
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), white oak (Quercus alba L.), teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), ash (Fraxinus L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), blackberry (Rhubus L.), bedstraw (Galium triflorum Michx.), eastern redbud (Cercis canadensis L.), eastern red cedar (Juniperus virginiana L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), and rattlesnake plantain (Goodyera pubescens Willd.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 61 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 59

Parent material: Athens formation - black shale

Relief: 150 feet

Elevation: 1225 feet

Slope: 7 percent

Aspect: north 2 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium and coarse roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 11 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 75 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 11 to 17 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; many faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 17 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) shale.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NI	H₄OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
$(+) kg^{-1}$ of soil						
BO3MA	0.69	0.32	0.18	1.19	16.1	7.39
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$-Mg^{2+} + I$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
BO3MA	4.48	27.06	7.75	28.25	8.94	4.21	13.31
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div E$	$AS + H^+$ CEC).	, ECEC = $\frac{1}{2}$	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
BO3MA	45	48	28	19	8	148	554	298

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	AP+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
		%		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
BO3MA	48.1	86.6	27.4	54.0	30.0	94.8	

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

Г

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*				
		g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	810 1000 860	30 0 20	100 0 70	0 0 0	10 0 10	50 0 40				

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO3MA

Profile BO4MA: Weikert channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.6 miles north 358 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-606 and VA-600 and 1.3 miles southwest 245 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-600 and VA-655 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 55%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 25%, and eastern red cedar (Juniperus virginiana L.) 20%
- Ground: Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica Thunb.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), blackhaw (Viburnum prunifolium L.), Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), poison oak (Rhus radicans L.), rose (Rosa L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), eastern redbud (Cercis canadensis L.), and eastern red cedar (Juniperus virginiana L.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 62 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 60

Parent material: Athens formation - dark gray shale

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 1120 feet

Slope: 18 percent

Aspect: northeast 34 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky; many very fine, fine, medium and coarse roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 12 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 80 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 12 to 18 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky; few very fine roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- R -- 18 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) shale.

Table A:	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section

		NI	H₄OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1} o$	f soil		%
BO4MA	0.87	0.87	0.23	1.97	13.1	15.04

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	рН	Н	AP+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		C	emol (+)	kg ⁻¹ of soil		-	%
BO4MA	4.75	14.13	5.30	16.10	7.27	12.24	27.10
*SCEC = SE 100(SBAS ÷	BAS + H^+ ECEC).	, ECEC = S	SBAS + A	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS -	- SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹	of soil		·····	
BO4MA	34	44	36	41	22	177	548	275
+11 1	1 1/1	10(5)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	A ^{β+} to ECEC	AP+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmo	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
BO4MA	40.4	72.9	32.9	47.6	26.4	58.5

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*
			g kg^{-1} of sp	pecified fracti	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	780 900 840	60 50 60	110 50 70	0 TR TR	TR 0 TR	50 TR 30

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO4MA

Profile BO5MA: Berks silt loam

Classification: clayey-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.8 miles southwest 213 degrees of the junction of Highways US-220 and VA-779 and 0.8 miles northwest 285 degrees of the junction of Highways US-220 and VA-653 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.) 50%, ash (Fraxinus L.) 30%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 10%, and black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.) 10%
- Ground: Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica Thunb.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), sumac (Rhus L.), Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), poison oak (Rhus radicans L.), spice bush (Lindera benzoin L.), stinging nettle (Cnidoscolus stimulosus Michx.), and blackberry (Rhubus L.)
- Site index: yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.) 74 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 71
- Parent material: Martinsburg formation gray fossiliferous shale

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 1325 feet

Slope: 43 percent

Aspect: east southeast 115 degrees

Drainage: well

- Ap -- 0 to 7 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 12 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 7 to 21 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; 38 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- C -- 21 to 25 inches, mottled brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) and light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings rock fragments; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- R -- 25 inches, mottled brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) and light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) shale.

Table A:	Chemical	propertie	:s -	taxonomic	control	section
I dolo I L.	Chenneur	propertie		turion on the	CONTRACT	OCCUPUTOR.

		NI	H₄OAc, pH '	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	f soil		%
BO5MA	4.88	0.51	0.13	5.52	19.2	28.75
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} -	$+ Mg^{2+} + I$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS + NHC	EC).	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		c	mol (+) /	kg^{-1} of soil			%
BO5MA	4.95	18.51	5.60	24.03	11.12	22.97	49.64
*SCEC = SB. $100(SBAS \div E$	AS + H (CEC).	+, ECEC = 5	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS +	+ <i>SCEÇ</i>),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ (of soil			
BO5MA	41	44	37	34	18	174	454	372

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{P+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
BO5MA	29.2	50.3	23.3	51.6	30.0	64.6

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
	-		g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ of s ₁	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	710 850 765	170 100 145	TR 50 20	0 0 0	70 0 40	50 0 30

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO5MA

Profile WA1MA: Gilpin loam

Classification: fine-loamy, siliceous, mesic Typic Hapludults

Location: About 2.7 miles east 96 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-611 and VA-647 and 2.1 miles south 177 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-647 and US-19 in Washington County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 40%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 40%, and red maple (Acer rubrum L.) 20%
- Ground: Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), and Solomons-seal (Polygonatum pubescens Willd.)

Site index: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 72

Parent material: Athens formation - gray shales with interbedded sandstone

Relief: 250 feet

Elevation: 2300 feet

Slope: 26 percent

Aspect: southeast 144 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 4 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 5 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- E -- 4 to 10 inches, dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; 10 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bt -- 10 to 22 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) channery loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 15 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 22 to 30 inches, mottled yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) and strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) extremely channery loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few clay flows in relic rock joints; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.

R -- 30 inches, mottled yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) and strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) shale.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		.0	<i>₄OAc</i> , pH 7	NH		
NHBS*	NHCEC	SBAS*	К+	Mg^{2+}	Ca ²⁺	Profile
%		soil	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	cmol		
12.15	14.9	1.81	0.22	0.21	1.38	WA1MA
	14.9 EC).	$\frac{1.81}{AS \div NHC}$	0.22 = 100(SB)	$\frac{0.21}{K^+ \text{ and NHBS}}$	$\frac{1.38}{Mg^{2+}}$ +	$\frac{WA1MA}{SBAS = Ca^{2+} + }$

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							%
WA1MA	4.89	7.56	3.95	9.37	5.76	19.32 ·	31.42
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div E$	$AS + H^+$ CEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC), a	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ o	f soil			
WA1MA	6	15	63	271	110	465	340	195

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	Al ^{β+} to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay		
		%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
WA1MA	26.5	68.5	42.1	76.4	29.5	48.1		

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
	-		g kg^{-1} of sp	pecified fract	on	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	760 970 910	40 TR 10	100 30 50	0 0 0	TR 0 TR	100 0 30

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA1MA

Profile WA2MA: Weikert channery loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.8 miles southeast 110 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-611 and VA-647 and 2.3 miles northeast 63 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-647 and VA-808 in Washington County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 90% and hickory (Carya Nutt.) 10%

Ground: red maple (Acer rubrum L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), rattlesnake plantain (Goodyera pubescens Willd.), goldenrod (Solidago L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), aster (Aster L.), black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.), and serviceberry (Amelanchier Medic.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 43

Parent material: Athens formation - shale interbedded with fine-grained sandstone

Relief: 250 feet

Elevation: 2280 feet

Slope: 35 percent

Aspect: west northwest 288 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) channery loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 30 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 11 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; common faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 45 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- R -- 11 inches, olive brown (2.5Y 4/6) shale and sandstone.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NH	I₄OAc, pH '	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	<u> </u>	cmol		%		
WA2MA	0.87	0.45	0.33	1.65	11.1	14.86
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$Mg^{2+} +$	K^+ and NHBS	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	<i>EC</i>).	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
$cmol(+) kg^{-1}$ of soil							%
WA2MA	4.72	10.55	4.10	12.20	5.75	13.52	28.70
$*SCEC = SB_{100}(SBAS \div E)$	$AS + H^+$ CEC).	, ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =

loo(obho · LeLe).

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd					
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay	
g kg^{-1} of soil									
WA2MA	34	30	78	152	74	368	509	123	

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	A ^{β+} to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
WA2MA	36.9	71.3	33.6	90.2	46.7	99.2	

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

252

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*					
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction										
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	780 960 880	30 0 10	100 40 70	TR 0 TR	40 0 20	50 0 20					

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA2MA

Profile WA3MA: Berks channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.9 miles southeast 127 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-75 and I-81 and 0.8 miles east 94 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-75 and VA-670 in Washington County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 60%, northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 25%, and hickory (Carya Nutt.) 15%
- Ground: flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), rattlesnake plantain (Goodyera pubescens Willd.), fern (Asplenium), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), serviceberry (Amelanchier Medic.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), and American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 63

Parent material: Athens formation - gray shale

Relief: 250 feet

Elevation: 2275 feet

Slope: 47 percent

Aspect: north northwest 342 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 15 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1 -- 3 to 11 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) very channery silt loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 40 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 11 to 21 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 65 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 21 to 23 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- R -- 23 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/6), light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4), and olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) shale.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

254

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control sectio	Table A:	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section
---	----------	----------	------------	---	-----------	---------	---------

		NI	H₄OAc, pH ?	7.0					
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*			
		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							
WA3MA	1.14	0.92	0.33	2.39	8.8	27.16			
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$+ Mg^{2+} + I$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	BAS ÷ NHC	ÈC).				

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

	Profile	рН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil								%	
	WA3MA	5.18	6.37	1.70	8.76	4.09	27.28	58.44	
1	*SCEC = SBA 100(SBAS ÷ E)	$AS + H^+$, ECEC = S	BAS +	AP^+ , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS	=

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind							
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay			
	g kg^{-1} of soil										
WA3MA	80	44	31	38	30	223	562	215			
+TT. 1	1 / D	10(5)									

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay		
		%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
WA3MA	19.3	41.5	19.4	40.1	19.0	40.7		

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

٦

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g kg ⁻¹ of sp	ecified fraction	on	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	810 920 850	70 70 70	0 0 0	0 0 0	70 10 50	50 TR 30

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA3MA

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

Г

Profile WA4MA: Weikert very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.3 miles southeast 128 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-677 and US-58 and 0.3 miles southeast 156 degrees of the junction of Highways US-58 and VA-706 in Washington County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 30%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 30%, Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 25%, and hickory (Carya Nutt.) 15%
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.), and American beech (Fagus grandifolia Ehrh.)

Site index: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 67

Parent material: Athens formation - gray shale

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 2120 feet

Slope: 32 percent

Aspect: south southwest 196 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 45 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 11 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine and medium roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 75 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.

R -- 11 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) and light olive brown (2.5Y 5/6) shale.

Table A:	Chemical	properties	; -	taxonomic	control	section
		P				

<i>NH</i> ₄ <i>OAc</i> , pH 7.0									
Profile	Ca^{2+}	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*			
		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							
WA4MA	0.45	0.68	0.13	1.26	14.4	8.75			

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	A₿+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		%					
WA4MA	4.54	13.13	5.35	14.39	6.61	8.76	19.06
*SCEC = SBA	$AS + H^+$	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd						
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay		
	g kg^{-1} of soil									
WA4MA	58	50	32	24	18	182	534	284		
*Hydrometer n	nethod (D	ay, 1965)			×					

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{P+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay		
		%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
WA4MA	37.1	80.9	37.1	50.7	23.3	50.7		

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	810 770 800	50 80 60	120 TR 80	0 150 50	20 TR 10	TR TR TR			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA4MA

Profile WA5MA: Weikert silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.3 miles east 88 degrees of the junction of Highways US-58 and VA-708 and 1.6 miles southwest 230 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-91 and VA-708 in Washington County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 30%, chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 30%, eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 20%, scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 10%, and hickory (Carya Nutt.) 10%
- Ground: flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.), ash (Fraxinus L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), blackberry (Rhubus L.), chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), and eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis L.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 55 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 53

Parent material: Athens formation - gray shale

Relief: 220 feet

Elevation: 2300 feet

Slope: 30 percent

Aspect: southwest 224 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 10 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 15 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common very fine and medium roots; 70 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 15 to 19 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- R -- 19 inches, olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) shale.

T	able	A:	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section
-			CALCULAR OVER	PAOPOADAOO		SCOLED VY VY VY VY VY	e o as es o s	0000000

<i>NH</i> ₄ <i>OAc</i> , pH 7.0								
Profile	Ca^{2+}	Mg^{2+}	K^+	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*		
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil								
WA5MA	0.23	0.38	0.17	0.78	12.7	6.14		
*SBAS = Ca^{2+}	$+ Mg^{2+} + I$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	$BAS \div NHC$	'EC).	0.11		

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	рН	Н	Al³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
$cmol(+) kg^{-1} of soil$							%	
WA5MA	4.60	14.10	5.75	14.88	6.53	5.24	11.94	
*SCEC = SBA	$AS + H^+$	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =	

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd					
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay	
	g kg^{-1} of soil								
WA5MA	50	45	37	26	13	171	563	266	
+ Undergeneter	athod (D	1065)							

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	Al ³⁺ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
WA5MA	45.2	88.0	38.6	47.7	24.5	55.9

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt	830	30	120	0	20	TR			
Sand	830	80	TR	10	TR	TR			
0.02-2 mm	830	50	80	30	10	TR			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA5MA

Profile RB1BR: Weikert very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.5 miles northwest 296 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-780 and VA-39 and 0.8 miles northwest 304 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-39 and Bratons Run in Rockbridge County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 80% and white oak (Quercus alba L.) 20%
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), bear oak (Quercus ilicifolia Wang.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), mountain laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), hawthorn (Crataegus L.), and black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 42 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 41

Parent material: Brallier formation - green shale with interbedded green sandstone

Relief: 125 feet

Elevation: 1485 feet

Slope: 12 percent

Aspect: southwest 234 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many fine and medium roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bwl -- 2 to 7 inches, very pale brown (10YR 7/4) very channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 40 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 7 to 14 inches, very pale brown (10YR 7/4) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few medium roots; 50 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- C -- 14 to 16 inches, light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- R -- 16 inches, light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) shale.

Table A: Chem	ical properties	- taxonomic	control section
---------------	-----------------	-------------	-----------------

<i>NH₄OAc</i> , pH 7.0							
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil					
RB1BR	0.57	0.13	0.17	0.87	10.9	7.98	

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	рН	Н	AP+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
$cmol(+) kg^{-1}$ of soil							%
RBIBR	4.70	12.74	4.45	13.61	5.32	6.39	16.35
*SCEC = 100(SBAS)	SBAS + H^+ , ÷ <i>ECEC</i>).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS +	- <i>SCEC</i>),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
RB1BR	123	76	39	24	10	272	558	170

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	Al ³⁺ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
		%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
RB1BR	40.8	83.6	32.6	64.1	31.3	80.1	

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

264

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*				
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction									
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	460 850 625	120 90 110	180 60 125	0 0 0	190 0 110	50 TR 30				

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - RB1BR

Profile RB2BR: Berks channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.7 miles north 4 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-42 and VA-39 and 2.7 miles north northwest 284 degrees the junction of Highways VA-615 and VA-601 in Rock-bridge County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 50%, Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 30%, and chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 20%
- Ground: poison oak (Rhus radicans L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.), and eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.)

Site index: eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 46, site not used in data analysis

Parent material: Brallier formation - green shale

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 1440 feet

Slope: 50 percent

Aspect: west southwest 233 degrees

Drainage: well

- Ap1 -- 0 to 2 inches, very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common very fine, fine, and medium roots; 30 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Ap2 -- 2 to 6 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; many faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 15 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 6 to 20 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) very channery silt loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 55 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- C -- 20 to 25 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) soil material between gray (10YR 5/1) shale; extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; many faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.

R -- 25 inches, gray (10YR 5/1) shale.

Table A:	Chemical	properties .	· taxonomic	control	section
	CHEVILLOUL	Properties	easto monte	COMPLEX OF	0000000

NH ₄ OAc, pII 7.0							
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil					
RB2BR	0.40	0.93	0.21	1.54	8.5	18.12	

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
	cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil						%
RB2BR	4.70	9.75	3.95	11.29	5.49	13.64	28.05
*SCEC = SB	$AS + H^+$	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS -	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				$\sigma k \sigma^{-1}$	of soil			
				5 ~ 5	51 501			
RB2BR	182	116	53	32	15	398	442	160
+TT		10(5)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
RB2BR	46.4	71.9	34.9	53.1	34.3	70.6

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	560 590 575	300 280 285	50 30 40	0 0 0	40 TR 20	50 100 80			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - RB2BR

Profile RB3BR: Berks very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.6 miles southeast 131 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-600 and VA-614 and 0.7 miles northwest 296 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-42 and VA-614 in Rockbridge County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 25%, eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 25%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 25%, and northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 25%
- Ground: flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), mountain laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), and chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.)

Site index: northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 48

Parent material: Brallier formation - green siltstone

Relief: 100 feet

Elevation: 1777 feet

Slope: 4 percent

Aspect: south 162 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 1 inches, black (10YR 2/1) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, and fine roots; 40 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 1 to 12 inches, very pale brown (10YR 7/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 65 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- C -- 12 to 20 inches, very pale brown (10YR 7/4) soil material between strong brown (7.5Y 5/6) siltstone fragments; extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 20 inches, strong brown (7.5Y 5/6) siltstone.

Table A.	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section
raole n.	Chemical	properties		taxononne	contion	Section

		NI	H ₄ OAc, pH '	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil						
RB3BR	0.27	0.02	0.12	0.41	5.6	7.32

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
RB3BR	4.66	7.63	2.55	8.04	2.96	5.10	13.85
*SCEC = 100(SBAS)	SBAS + H^+ , ÷ <i>ECEC</i>).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS -	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹	of soil			
RB3BR	26	14	09	17	28	94	732	174
+TT. 1		10(5)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	A ^{β+} to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
RB3BR	45.5	86.1	31.7	32.2	17.0	46.2

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*			
	g kg ⁻¹ of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	590 980 655	40 20 40	250 0 205	0 0 0	70 0 60	50 0 40			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - RB3BR

Profile BO1BR: Berks silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.9 miles northeast 28 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-743 and VA-615 and 1.8 miles northwest 332 degrees the junction of Highways VA-615 and VA-685 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 50%, black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 20% eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 20%, and white oak (Quercus alba L.) 10%
- Ground: mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), serviceberry (Amelanchier Medic.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), and eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 45 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 43
- Parent material: Brallier formation green fissile shale

Relief: 150 feet

Elevation: 1080 feet

Slope: 53 percent

Aspect: northwest 314 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 5 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1 -- 2 to 13 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 25 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 13 to 23 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) very channery silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 50 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 23 to 28 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; few medium roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- R -- 28 inches, reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) shale.
| Table | A: | Chemical | properties | - | taxonomic | control | section |
|-------|----|----------|------------|---|-----------|---------|---------|
| | | | | _ | | | |

		N	H₄OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1} o$	of soil		%
BO1BR	0.29	0.33	0.17	0.79	9.9	7.98
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} -	$+ Mg^{2+} + I$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

	D C1			1.0.1	COLOR	FOROt	CDC+	EDG	
	Profile	рН	Н	Als+	SCEC*	ECEC*	282*	EB2+	
ł				cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%	
	BO1BR	4.67	11.74	5.05	12.53	5.84	6.30	13.53	
1	*SCEC = SB	$AS + H^+,$	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS	=

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				$g kg^{-1}$	of soil			
BO1BR	156	95	45	25	11	332	469	199
ATT 1 .	1 1/10	10(5)						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AB+ to NHCEC	<i>Al</i> ^{β+} to ECEC	Aß+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
BO1BR	51.0	86.4	40.3	49.7	29.3	63.0

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*
			g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ of s	pecified fract	ion	
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	510 860 700	190 80 130	130 60 90	0 TR TR	120 0 60	50 TR 20

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO1BR

Profile BO2BR: Gilpin silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults

Location: About 3.1 miles southwest 252 degrees of the junction of Highways US-220 and VA-722 and 2.8 miles southwest 228 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-702 and VA-622 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 65% and black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 35%

- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), mountain-laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), maple (Acer L.), serviceberry (Amelanchier Medic.), fern (Asplenium), and flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.).
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 59 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 57
- Parent material: Brallier formation greenish gray fissile shale with large carbonate nodules

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 1240 feet

Slope: 30 percent

Aspect: northeast 58 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, pale brown (10YR 6/3) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many fine and medium roots; 2 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- E -- 2 to 15 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 10 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bt -- 15 to 30 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) very channery silty clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; firm, sticky, plastic; few faint clay skins on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 45 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 30 to 33 inches, yellowish red (5YR 5/8) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- R -- 33 inches, yellowish red (5YR 5/8) shale.

The fit of	Table A:	Chemical	properties	-	taxonomic	control	section
---	----------	----------	------------	---	-----------	---------	---------

		NI	I₄OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	-	cmol	(+) kg ⁻¹ o	f soil		%
BO2BR	0.45	0.87	0.24	1.56	13.3	11.73
*SBAS = Ca^{2+}	$+ Mg^{2+} + H$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	EC).	

F	Profile	pН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
				cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%	
E	BO2BR	4.83	16.12	7.85	17.68	9.41	8.82	16.58	
*SC	EC = 2	SBAS + H^+ ,	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS	=

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section Г

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
BO2BR	63	17	09	18	12	119	580	301
*Hudrometer	method (D	av 1065)						

Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
BO2BR	59.0	83.4	44.4	44.2	31.3	58.7

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	840 1000 880	TR 0 TR	110 0 80	0 0 0	TR 0 TR	50 0 40			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO2BR

Profile BO3BR: Berks channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Eutrochrepts

Location: About 1.4 miles southeast 138 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-616 and VA-621 and 1.6 miles northwest 334 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-621 and VA-615 in Botetourt County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 50%, pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 30%, and chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 20%.
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), witchhazel (Hamamelis virginiana L.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), white oak (Quercus alba L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), and teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.)
- Site index: pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 38 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 42

Parent material: Millboro formation - black fissile shale

Relief: 500 feet

Elevation: 1690 feet

Slope: 54 percent

Aspect: southwest 244 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 1 inches, very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common very fine, fine, and medium roots; 20 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- E -- 1 to 6 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) very channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; 50 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bw -- 6 to 17 inches, brown yellow (10YR 6/6) extremely channery silty clay loam; strong coarse subangular blocky structure; firm, sticky, plastic; few distinct silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 65 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 17 to 20 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) soil material between grayish brown (10YR 5/2) shale fragments; extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few faint silt coatings on fragments; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- R -- 20 inches, grayish brown (10YR 5/2) shale.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

Table A:	Chemical	properties	- taxonomic	control	section

		NI	H₄OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
BO3BR	8.30	0.54	0.09	8.93	14.4	62.01
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} -	$+ Mg^{2+} +$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Profile	pН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil %							
BO3BR	4.88	7.76	1.75	16.69	10.68	53.51	83.61
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC = S	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ (of soil			
BO3BR	30	35	23	20	12	120	550	330

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	Al ^{β+} to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
BO3BR	12.1	16.4	10.4	43.6	32.4	50.6	

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	750 810 765	190 100 165	TR 90 20	0 0 0	10 0 10	50 TR 40			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - BO3BR

Profile WY1BR: Weikert extremely channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.3 miles northeast 70 degrees of the junction of Highways US-21 and VA-717 and 0.5 miles southeast 116 degrees of the junction of Highways US-21 and VA-686 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 95% and white oak (Quercus alba L.) 5%

- Ground: greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.), mountain laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.), and hickory (Carya Nutt.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 45 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 42
- Parent material: Brallier formation black fissile shale

Relief: 1800 feet

Elevation: 2490 feet

Slope: 46 percent

Aspect: west 262 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; 65 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 11 inches, light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 65 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 11 to 17 inches, very dark gray (N 3/) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on rock fragments; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- R -- 17 inches, very dark gray (N 3/) shale.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section	Table A:	Chemical	properties -	taxonomic	control	section
--	----------	----------	--------------	-----------	---------	---------

		NI	I₄OAc, pH	7.0			
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							
WY1BR	0.51	0.17	0.28	0.96	16.5	5.82	

Profile	pН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
$cmol(+) kg^{-1} of soil$							%	
WY1BR	4.42	18.51	9.25	19.47	10.21	4.93	9.40	
*SCEC = SBA	$AS + H^+$,	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	+ SCEC),	and EBS	=

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
WY1BR	116	78	55	41	14	304	472	224

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
WYIBR	56.0	90.5	47.5	73.7	45.6	86.9

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	650 800 730	230 150 190	50 50 50	TR 0 TR	20 TR 10	50 0 20			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY1BR

Profile WY2BR: Gilpin silt loam

Classification: clayey, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults

Location: About 2.6 miles northeast 78 degrees of the junction of Highways US-21 and VA-717 and 2.7 miles east 84 degrees of the junction of Highways US-21 and VA-606 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 60%, Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 25%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 10%, and eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.) 5%
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), mountain laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), teaberry (Gaultheria procumbers L.), and hickory (Carya Nutt.)
- Site index: pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 45 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 53
- Parent material: Brallier formation green fissile shale

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 2580 feet

Slope: 10 percent

Aspect: south 182 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 10 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1 -- 3 to 11 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) silty clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; common fine and medium roots; 10 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bt2 -- 11 to 22 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 5 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- C -- 22 to 56 inches, mottled red (2.5YR 5/6) and brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common clays flows in relic rock joints; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- R -- 56 inches, mottled red (2.5YR 5/6) and yellow (10YR 7/6) shale.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

Table A:	Chemical	properties	s -	taxonomic	control	section

		NI	I₄OAc, pH	7.0				
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*		
$cmol (+) kg^{-1}$ of soil								
WY2BR	0.38	0.11	0.14	0.63	12.0	5.25		

Profile	pН	Н	AP+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
		%					
WY2BR	4.34	13.53	8.05	14.16	8.68	4.45	7.26
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div B)$	$AS + H^+$, ECEC = S	BAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS -	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
				0 0				
WY2BR	16	18	21	23	12	90	518	392
WY2BR	16	18	21	g kg ⁻¹ (of soil 12	90	51	8

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
		%		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
WY2BR	67.1	92.7	56.8	30.6	22.1	36.1	

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*				
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction									
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	690 950 750	100 50 90	80 0 60	0 0 0	30 0 20	100 0 80				

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY2BR

Profile WY3BR: Weikert channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 2.1 miles west 274 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-717 and US-21 and 1.9 miles west 263 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-686 and US-21 in Wythe County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 60%, Table-Mountain pine (Pinus pungens Lamb.) 20%, and chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 20%
- Ground: huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), greenbrier (Smilax rotundifolia L.), mountain laurel (Kalmia latifolia L.), rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.), fern (Asplenium), and sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.),
- Site index: pitch pine (Pinus rigida Mill.) 43 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 51
- Parent material: Brallier formation green shale

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 2800 feet

Slope: 52 percent

Aspect: west 256 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common very fine, fine, and medium roots; 20 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 12 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) very channery silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 45 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- R -- 12 inches, mottled light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4) and grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) shale.

T	able	A:	Chemical	pro	perties	-	taxonomic	control	section
_									

		NH	H₄OAc, pH	7.0		
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
	%					
WY3BR	0.43	0.08	0.21	0.72	10.3	6.99
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$Mg^{2+} +$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS + NHC	'EC).	

Profile	pН	Н	Al^{3+}	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			cmol (+)	kg^{-1} of soil			%
WY3BR	4.47	13.53	4.55	14.25	5.27	5.05	13.66
*SCEC = $\frac{100}{SBAS}$	SBAS + H^+ , + $ECEC$).	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	+ SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹	of soil			
WY3BR	54	32	24	18	18	146	648	206

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	Al ³⁺ to NHCEC	AB+ to ECEC	A ^{B+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
		%		cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
WY3BR	44.1	86.3	31.9	50.0	25.6	69.2	

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*				
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction									
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	620 970 720	100 30 80	120 0 90	0 0 0	60 0 40	100 0 70				

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WY3BR

Profile SY1BR: Berks channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.2 miles northwest 348 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-694 and VA-622 and 1.9 miles north northeast 356 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-617 and VA-622 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 50%, scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 30%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 10%, and black oak (Quercus veluina Lam.) 10%
- Ground: sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), American chestnut (Castanea dentata Marsh.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), rattlesnake plantain (Goodyera pubescens Willd.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), violet (Viola L.), eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis L.), and serviceberry (Amelanchier Medic.)

Site index: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 45

Parent material: Brallier formation - black fissile shale

Relief: 200 feet

Elevation: 2480 feet

Slope: 42 percent

Aspect: east 80 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 15 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bwl -- 2 to 9 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and on rock fragments; 20 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2 -- 9 to 19 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine and medium roots; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and on rock fragments; 75 percent rock fragments; gradual smooth boundary.
- C -- 19 to 40 inches, mottled dark brown (10YR 3/3) and dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; common faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.

R -- 40 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) shale.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NI	H ₄ OAc, pH	7.0			
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
	cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil						
SY1BR	0.23	0.10	0.18	0.51	15.8	3.23	
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$-Mg^{2+} + .$	K^+ and NHB	S = 100(SB)	BAS ÷ NHC	<i>EC</i>).		

Profile	pН	н	Al³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
			%				
SY1BR	4.34	14.93	10.15	15.44	10.66	3.30	4.78
*SCEC = SH $100(SBAS \div$	$BAS + H^+$, ECEC = $\frac{1}{2}$	SBAS + A	l^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC),	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd					
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay	
	g kg ⁻¹ of soil								
SY1BR	55	44	32	25	12	168	566	266	

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	AP+ to NHCEC	Al ^{β+} to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
SY1BR	64.2	95.2	65.7	59.4	40.1	58.0	

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	830 910 860	80 60 70	40 30 40	TR 0 TR	0 0 0	50 0 30			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY1BR

Profile SY2BR: Gilpin extremely channery silt loam

Classification: fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults

Location: About 1.0 miles east 88 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-694 and VA-622 and 2.0 miles northeast 31 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-622 and VA-617 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: white oak (Quercus alba L.) 30%, scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 30%, northern red oak (Quercus rubra L.) 30%, and red maple (Acer rubrum L.) 10%
- Ground: Galax (Galax aphylla L.), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), grape (Vitis L.), striped maple (Acer pensylvanicum L.), and serviceberry (Amelanchier Medic.)

Site index: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 76

Parent material: Chemung formation - green siltstone

Relief: 250 feet

Elevation: 2480 feet

Slope: 65 percent

Aspect: north northwest 342 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 1 inches, dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine and fine roots; 75 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.
- E -- 1 to 7 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common very fine, fine, and medium roots; 10 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bt1 -- 7 to 27 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) channery silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few very fine and fine roots; 20 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- Bt2 -- 27 to 38 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) very channery silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common distinct clay films on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 45 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 38 to 50 inches, mottled yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) and olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common clay flows in relic rock joints; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt smooth boundary.

R -- 50 inches, olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) siltstone.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

		NI	H ₄ OAc, pH '	7.0			
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
	cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil						
SY2BR	0.15	0.60	0.25	1.00	9.7	10.31	

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
							%
SY2BR	4.78	7.36	5.20	8.36	6.20	11.96	16.13
*SCEC = SB $100(SBAS \div I)$	$AS + H^+$ ECEC).	, ECEC = S	SBAS + A	$4l^{3+}$, SBS =	100(SBAS ÷	SCEC), a	and EBS =

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
SY2BR	91	54	29	20	16	210	564	226
	1 1/1	10/5						

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
SY2BR	53.6	83.8	62.2	42.9	27.4	37.0

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg ⁻¹ of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	710 800 740	110 60 90	130 90 120	0 0 0	TR 0 TR	50 50 50			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY2BR

Profile SY3BR: Berks very channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.4 miles south 178 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-16 and VA-348 and 3.8 miles south 179 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-16 and VA-610 in Smyth County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 45%, black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 45%, and red maple (Acer rubrum L.) 10%
- Ground: Galax (Galax aphylla L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.), sourwood (Oxydendrum arboreum L.), sassafras (Sassafras albidum Nutt.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), Solomons-seal (Polygonatum pubescens Willd.), huckleberry (Vaccinium arboreum Marsh.), grape (Vitis L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), and goldenrod (Solidago L.)

Site index: black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.) 63

Parent material: Brallier formation - green siltstone

Relief: 250 feet

- Elevation: 2480 feet
- Slope: 72 percent
- Aspect: east 80 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, brown (10YR 5/3) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 55 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1 -- 3 to 13 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine and medium roots; 30 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- Bw2 -- 13 to 24 inches, brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and rock fragments; few fine roots; 50 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 24 to 26 inches, strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- R -- 26 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) and reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6) shale.

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

Table A:	Chemical	properties -	taxonomic	control	section
	CALGALLE COLL	PAC POLOLOGO	VULLEV AND ANALO	COLLER OF	0000000

		NI	H₄OAc, pH ′	7.0		
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*
,		%				
SY3BR	0.37	0.47	0.15	0.99	8.9	11.12
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} -	$+ Mg^{2+} + Mg^{2+}$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).	

Profile	рН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil %								
SY3BR	4.72	4.78	4.85	5.77	5.84	17.16	16.95	
*SCEC = SB	$AS + H^+$, ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS ·	÷ SCEC),	and EBS	=

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd							
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay			
	g kg ⁻¹ of soil										
SY3BR	80	49	30	23	17	199	589	212			
+11.1	1 1/D	10(5)									

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	AP+ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay	
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay			
SY3BR	54.4	83.0	84.0	42.0	27.5	27.2	

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2mm	820 910 850	80 40 70	TR 0 TR	TR 0 TR	TR 0 TR	100 50 80			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - SY3BR

.

Profile WAIBR: Weikert extremely channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.5 miles northwest 332 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-613 and VA-747 and 0.6 miles northwest 282 degrees of the front gate of Montgomery Cemetery in Washington County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 40%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 20%, white oak (Quercus alba L.) 20%, and chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 20%
- Ground: Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), poison oak (Rhus radicans L.), black oak (Quercus velutina Lam.), and blackberry (Rhubus L.)
- Site index: Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 56 and upland oaks (scarlet, black, northern red, and chestnut) 54
- Parent material: Millboro formation dark gray shale

Relief: 250 feet

Elevation: 1930 feet

Slope: 22 percent

Aspect: south 182 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 2 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 65 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 2 to 11 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and on rock fragments; 75 percent rock fragments; gradual wavy boundary.
- C -- 11 to 16 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; few faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- R -- 16 inches, mottled olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) and strong brown (7.5YR 4/6) shale.

Table A:	Chemical	properties -	 taxonomic 	control	section
	C				

<i>NH</i> ₄ <i>OAc</i> , pH 7.0								
Profile	Ca^{2+}	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*		
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil								
WA1BR	0.69	0.35	0.31	1.35	17.0	7.94		

*SBAS = $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).

Table B: Chemical properties - taxonomic control section

Profile	pН	н	AP+	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*		
cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil							%		
WA1BR	4.44	14.13	6.75	15.48	8.10	8.72	16.67		
*SCEC = SBA	$AS + H^+$,	ECEC =	SBAS +	AP^+ , SBS =	100(SBAS	+ SCEC),	and EBS	=	

100(SBAS ÷ ECEC).

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	und								
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay				
	g kg^{-1} of soil											
WA1BR	118	87	61	46	16	328	460	212				

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	AP+ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
	%			cmol (+) kg^{-1} of clay		
WAIBR	39.7	83.3	43.6	80.2	38.2	73.0

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2mm	640 900 780	140 70 100	90 30 60	30 TR 10	0 0 0	100 TR 50			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA1BR

Profile WA2BR: Weikert extremely channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 1.6 miles southwest 260 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-80 and VA-689 and 2.0 miles northwest 284 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-80 and VA-611 in Washington County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 50%, chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 20%, yellow poplar (Liridendron tulipifera L.) 20%, and hickory (Carya Nutt.) 10%
- Ground: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.), Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.), ash (Fraxinus L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis L.), buckeye (Aesculus octandra Marsh.), and red maple (Acer rubrum L.)

Site index: chestnut oak (Quercus prinus L.) 60

Parent material: Millboro formation - dark gray shale

Relief: 120 feet

Elevation: 1800 feet

Slope: 41 percent

Aspect: northeast 44 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, medium, and coarse roots; 75 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 12 inches, brown (10YR 4/3) extremely channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; common fine and medium roots; common faint silt coatings on faces of peds and on rock fragments; 85 percent rock fragments; clear wavy boundary.
- C -- 12 to 19 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) extremely channery silt loam; massive; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine roots; common faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 90 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.
- R -- 19 inches, very dark gray (N 3/) shale.

Table A:	Chemical	properties	- taxonomic	control	section
		properties	COLLEG NA CO NA AN O		

		NI	H_4OAc , pH	7.0			
Profile	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> ⁺	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
		%					
WA2BR	6.73	1.47	0.80	9.00	17.3	52.02	
$SBAS = Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + K^+$ and NHBS = 100(SBAS ÷ NHCEC).							

	Profile	рН	Н	Al ³⁺	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*	
				cmol (+)		%			
	WA2BR	5.42	9.55	0.20	18.55	9.20	48.52	97.83	
1	*SCEC = SE	$BAS + H^+$	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	+ SCEC),	and EBS	=

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	ind				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g kg ⁻¹ (of soil			
WA2BR	67	57	48	47	19	238	503	259

*Hydrometer method (Day, 1965)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{B+} to NHCEC	Al ³⁺ to ECEC	A ^{β+} to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
WA2BR	1.1	2.1	1.0	66.8	35.5	71.6

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	II*
			g kg ⁻¹ of sp	ecified fracti	on	
Silt	710	80	160	0	0	50
Sand 0.02-2 mm	910 800	90 80	0 90	0	0 0	TR 30

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA2BR

Profile WA3BR: Weikert channery silt loam

Classification: loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts

Location: About 0.2 miles northeast 58 degrees of the junction of Highways US-19 and VA-689 and 1.2 miles northwest 330 degrees of the junction of Highways VA-611 and US-19 in Washington County.

Vegetation:

- Canopy: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 60%, hickory (Carya Nutt.) 20%, black locust (Robinia Pesudo-Acacia L.) 10%, and Virginia pine (Pinus virginiana Mill.) 10%
- Ground: blackhaw (Viburnum prunifolium L.), Virginia creeper (Pathenocissus quinquefolia L.), red maple (Acer rubrum L.), flowering dogwood (Cornus florida L.), black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica Marsh.), hawthorn (Crataegus L.), hickory (Carya Nutt.), and white oak (Quercus alba L.)

Site index: scarlet oak (Quercus coccinea Muenchh.) 66

Parent material: Brallier formation - green shale

Relief: 800 feet

Elevation: 1820 feet

Slope: 57 percent

Aspect: south 170 degrees

Drainage: well

- A -- 0 to 3 inches, dark brown (10YR 3/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; many very fine, fine, and medium roots; 25 percent rock fragments; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw -- 3 to 8 inches, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable, slightly sticky, slightly plastic; few fine and medium roots; common faint silt coatings on rock fragments; 55 percent rock fragments; abrupt wavy boundary.

R -- 8 inches, dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) shale.

Table A: Chemical properties - taxonomic control se	ection
---	--------

		NI	H₄OAc, pH ′	7.0			
Profile	<i>Ca</i> ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	<i>K</i> +	SBAS*	NHCEC	NHBS*	
$cmol (+) kg^{-1} of soil$							
WA3BR	0.65	0.59	0.25	1.49	13.4	11.12	
*SBAS = Ca^{2+} +	$-Mg^{2+} + M$	K ⁺ and NHB	S = 100(SE)	BAS ÷ NHC	'EC).		

Profile	pН	Н	$A \mathcal{P}^+$	SCEC*	ECEC*	SBS*	EBS*
cmol (+)			kg^{-1} of soil			%	
WA3BR	4.62	10.55	5.15	12.04	6.64	12.38	22.44
*SCEC = SBA	$AS + H^+$,	ECEC =	SBAS +	Al^{3+} , SBS =	100(SBAS	÷ SCEC),	and EBS =

 $100(SBAS \div ECEC).$

Table C: Particle-size distribution* - taxonomic control section

			Sa	nd				
Profile	VC	С	М	F	VF	Total	Silt	Clay
				g <i>kg</i> ⁻¹ (of soil			
WA3BR	102	79	56	80	33	350	425	225
*Hydrometer n	nethod (Da	ay, 1965)						

(),)

Table D: Selected ratios - taxonomic control section

Profile	A ^{β+} to NHCEC	A₿+ to ECEC	Al ³⁺ to SCEC	NHCEC to Clay	ECEC to Clay	SCEC to Clay
		%		cmol	$(+) kg^{-1}$ of	clay
WA3BR	38.4	77.5	42.7	59.6	29.5	53.5

Appendix B - Profile descriptions and data

Fraction	Quartz	Mica	Feld*	Kaol*	Chlor*	11*			
	g kg^{-1} of specified fraction								
Silt Sand 0.02-2 mm	780 970 890	130 30 70	40 0 20	0 0 0	0 0 0	50 TR 20			

Table E: Silt and sand mineralogy - taxonomic control section - WA3BR


