

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION WORK IN AGRICULTURE AND HOME ECONOMICS

U.S. Department of Agriculture
and State Agricultural Colleges
CooperatingExtension Service,
Office of Cooperative Extension Work
Washington, D.C.

EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENT

TO

ANNUAL REPORT OF COUNTY EXTENSION WORKERS

YEAR ENDING NOVEMBER 30, 1933

State Virginia County (or counties) Russell

Report of L. B. Connelly, Jr. Agricultural agent Instructional Collaborator

(Name)

_____ Home demonstration agent.

(Name)

_____ Boys' and girls' club agent or assistant.

(Name)

_____ Emergency agent.

(Name)

DIRECTIONS

This report form is for use of regularly employed county extension agents and temporary emergency agents, for reporting all work relating to production-reduction campaigns, relief activities, and other emergency work engaged in during the year. This report supplements but does not displace the regular annual statistical report of cooperatively employed agents, on form 285.

This report should be prepared as a single report for the county by all county extension agents and emergency agents working as a committee. Each individual agent should discuss in the regular narrative annual report his or her part in advancing the emergency work included in this supplement.

Where an emergency agent is the only extension worker employed in the county he should fill out this form and accompany it with a full narrative account of his work. In case the emergency agent works in several counties the report should cover the entire area worked in, and be so labeled.

Where the services of the emergency agent are discontinued prior to November 30, 1933, this schedule should be filled out before the agent leaves the county.

Two copies of this report should be sent to the State extension office, one of which will be forwarded to the Federal Extension Service.

PRODUCTION-REDUCTION CAMPAIGNS

ASSISTANCE RENDERED THE AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT ADMINISTRATION

Nature of assistance or activity	Cotton		Wheat		Tobacco	Soy
	60	61	62	63		
Agricultural <i>extension</i> <i>agents</i>			90			
1. Days devoted to campaign by agent or agents						1
Home demonstration agent						
Boys' and girls' club agent						
Emergency agent						
2. Days State extension workers assisted in campaign in county			2			2
3. Smith-Hughes teachers assisting in campaign			2			3
4. Days such Smith-Hughes teachers assisted in campaign			10			4
5. Number voluntary county or community local leaders assisting in campaign			5			5
6. Total days spent on campaign by such local leaders			—			6
7. Farm visits made to explain plans for reducing production <i>cal</i>			20			7
8. Office calls to discuss reducing production			50			8
9. Individual letters written in interest of campaign			150			9
10. Circular letters written for use in campaign <i>cal</i>			250			10
11. Total copies of such circular letters mailed <i>cal</i>			250			11
12. News articles relating to reducing production furnished local papers <i>cal</i>			10			12
13. Meetings held to advance campaign <i>4 on 12. 11. 2. 1. 9</i>			21			13
14. Total attendance at such meetings <i>cal</i>			420			14
15. Farms for which production-reduction contracts were completed			38			15
16. Total reduced production contracted for on such farms (acres, animals, etc.)			2,000			16
17. Number of farmers following advice of agent in the planting of replacement crops or other use of land removed from production of basic crops			38			17

*Insert name of any other crop or livestock enterprise on which a definite production-adjustment campaign has been conducted in your county.

3

EMERGENCY ACTIVITIES

18. Number of farmers assisted in obtaining seed loans or other emergency Federal credit	_____	18
19. Number of farmers assisted in making mortgage or other debt adjustments	2	19
20. Number of families assisted in producing a larger part of food on farm	500	20
21. Number of families, on farm, assisted with home gardens or home poultry	50	21
22. Number of urban families moving to farms who have been assisted in getting established	1	22
23. Number of laborers assisted in obtaining employment on farm	0	23
24. Number of farm families assisted in developing supplemental sources of income	300	24
25. Number of families aided in obtaining assistance from Red Cross or other relief agency	10	25
26. Number of families assisted in home butchering, meat cutting, and curing	0	26
27. Number of families assisted in the canning of fruits, vegetables, and meats	200	27
28. Number of quarts canned	<i>delivered under contract by other parties</i>	28
29. Value of canned products	?	29
30. Number of families assisted in butter and cheese making	—	30
31. Number of families assisted in home soap making	—	31
32. Number of families assisted in making home-made equipment or enclosures	—	32
33. Number of farmers assisted in making home repairs of buildings or machinery	—	33
34. Number of farmers assisted in reducing cash expenditures through exchange of labor or machinery	—	34
35. Number of farm families assisted in bartering farm or home products for other commodities or services	—	35

SUMMARY OF EXTENSION INFLUENCE FOR 1933

Present conditions make it highly desirable for extension workers to consider the proportion of farms and farm homes in the county which have been definitely influenced to make some substantial change in farm or home operations as a result of the extension program for men, women, boys, and girls. It is recognized that this is very difficult information for agents to report accurately, so a conservative estimate based upon such records, surveys, and other information as are available will be satisfactory.

Include results of the regular 1933 extension program as well as emergency activities.

- | | | |
|--|-------------|----|
| 36. Number of farms in county | <u>2700</u> | 36 |
| 37. Number of farms on which substantial changes in practices have definitely resulted from the agricultural extension program | <u>27</u> | 37 |
| 38. Number of farm-homes in which substantial changes in practices have definitely resulted from the home demonstration program | <u>300</u> | 38 |
| 39. Number of other homes in which substantial changes in practices have definitely resulted from the home demonstration program | | 39 |
| 40. Number of farm homes with 4-H Club members enrolled | <u>0</u> | 40 |
| 41. Number of other homes with 4-H Club members enrolled | <u>0</u> | 41 |
| 42. Total number of different farm families substantially influenced by some phase of the extension program | <u>400</u> | 42 |

(Include questions 37, 38, 40, and 41, less duplications)

This does not include tobacco campaign

The canning & garden work was in cooperation with the R.F.C. and the Red Cross.