## **Two Essays on Shelf-registered Corporate Equity Offerings**

#### Don M. Autore

Dissertation submitted to the faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy In Finance

Approved by:

Dilip K. Shome, Co-Chair Raman Kumar, Co-Chair Gregory B. Kadlec Arthur J. Keown Don M. Chance

March 31<sup>st</sup>, 2006 Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: shelf registration, seasoned equity offering, underwriter certification, due diligence, offer price discounting, SEO underpricing

Copyright 2006, Don M. Autore

### Two Essays on Shelf-registered Corporate Equity Offerings

#### Don M. Autore

#### **ABSTRACT**

This dissertation consists of two essays. The first provides evidence that the recent revival of shelf equity offers is related to changes in how firms use shelf registration. During 1990-2003 firms that make shelf filings have no immediate intent and low probability of issuance, lower pre-filing returns relative to non-shelf issuers, and often have been certified in prior SEOs. The evidence indicates that the way firms now use shelf offerings resolves the under-certification problem responsible for the shelf demise in the 1980s (Denis, 1991) and results in smaller market penalties and lower underwriter fees relative to non-shelf offerings. This allows firms with greater uncertainty to take advantage of the shelf option to defer or abandon offers. Additionally, firms often use universal shelf filings and choose between debt and equity offerings based on the prevailing relative market conditions.

The second essay examines offer price discounting of traditional and shelf-registered seasoned equity offerings (SEOs). The results indicate that relative to traditional SEOs, shelf discounting during 1982 - June 2004 is similar in magnitude, is influenced by the same factors, and has increased similarly over time. Prior studies attribute the time-series increase of seasoned offer discounting to pre-offer short sale constraints (Rule 10b-21; adopted in 1988). This study provides insights about the effect of Rule 10b-21 by exploiting the fact that shelf-registered offerings were exempt from this regulation until September 2004. The analysis uses the shelf exemption as a control in testing the Rule's effect, and the elimination of the exemption as an "out-of-sample" test. The results suggest that Rule 10b-21 is not associated with the increase in seasoned offer discounts. The gradual increase in discounting over the past two decades is largely due to a shift in the composition of issuers toward firms that have greater stock volatility and pre-offer price uncertainty.

### **Acknowledgements**

My sincere thanks and appreciation go out to the co-chairs of my dissertation committee, Dilip K. Shome and Raman Kumar. I cannot thank them enough for everything they have taught me. It was their guidance and support over the past few years that brought this work to completion. I would also like to thank my three other committee members. Gregory B. Kadlec taught me so much about what it takes to succeed as a researcher. Over the past five years he spent an enormous amount of time helping me and never expected anything in return. Don M. Chance admitted me to the finance doctoral program at Virginia Tech and for that opportunity I will always be appreciative. Arthur J. Keown went out of his way to help me during the job market and I am grateful for everything he has done. In addition, I am grateful to Huseyin Gulen for his support. I have often asked him for advice and he always took a sincere interest in helping me.

David F. Scott from the University of Central Florida is the one who initially suggested that I apply to the finance doctoral program at Virginia Tech. His help and support changed my life for the better.

I also want to thank Tunde Kovacs who is truly a great friend. During our first research project, which we coauthored, we learned so much together. In my own work her support has been invaluable. I will always be thankful for her friendship and for all the help she has given me through the years.

My deepest appreciation goes out to my family. My parents, John and Carol, have given me so much and have expected nothing in return. They have always lived by the motto "family first" and for that I will forever be grateful. My brother Ken is a great friend and has given me so much over the past 30 years. I have followed in his footsteps in so many parts of life and have always looked up to him as a role model. It was he who initially attracted my attention to finance and thus made all of this possible. Over the past several years I have come to realize that few are lucky enough to have this kind of family support system. For me, it has made everything possible.

## **Table of Contents**

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables.	vi
List of Figures.	viii
Chapter 1: The revival of shelf-registered corporate equity offerings	1
1. Introduction.	1
2. Sample description	5
2.1 Data	
3. Explanations for the revival of shelf equity offerings	7
3.1 Easing of eligibility requirements. 3.2 Changing Characteristics of Shelf Issuers. 3.3 How firms use shelf registration.	8
3.3.1 The shelf deferral option 3.3.2 Reduced need for certification 3.3.3 Choice of registration method	11
3.4 Cost comparison.	14
3.4.1 Market penalties	
3.5 How firms use universal shelf offers.	23
4. Conclusions.	24
References for chapter 1	27

# Chapter 2: Offer price discounting of shelf-registered and traditional seasoned 4.3 The effect of Rule 10b-21......54 5.2 Time-series estimations. 59 7. Conclusions 66 References for chapter 2......67

## **List of Tables**

## Tables for Chapter 1:

Table 1	
The number and total proceeds of traditional SEOs and shelf offers	29
Table 2	
Descriptive statistics	30
Table 3	
Number of days between filing and offer dates	31
Table 4	
Shelf filings that lead to equity offerings	32
Table 5	
Reducing the need for certification	33
Table 6	
Factors that influence the choice between shelf and non-shelf offers	34
Market penalty at filing	35
Table 8	
Determinants of the market reaction at the filing of equity offers	37
Table 9	
Market penalty at the offering	38
Table 10	
Direct Costs of shelf and non-shelf offers	39
Table 11	
Determinants of the direct costs of equity offers	40
Table 12	
Stock returns and interest rates prior to universal equity and debt offers	41
Tables for Chapter 2:	
Tables for Chapter 2.	
Table 1	
Descriptive Statistics	69
Table 2	
Descriptive evidence on offer price discounting	70
Table 3	7.1
Discounting relative to closing prices and closing quotes	/1
Table 4	72
Factors that influence seasoned offer discounts	12
Table 5 The effect of Pule 10b 21 on discounting	72
The effect of Rule 10b-21 on discounting	/3
Table 6 Discounting around the 2004 elimination of the shelf exemption to Rule 10b	21 75
Table 7	<i>y</i> -41/ <i>S</i>
Pearson correlations	76

Table 8	
Factors and discounting over time	79
Table 9	
Time-series determinants of discounting.	82
Table 10	
Cross-sectional differences in discounting between procedures	84
Table 11	
Discounting of integer and non-integer offers within groups of positive	
pre-offer returns	85
Table 12	
Explaining cross-sectional differences between procedures	86

## **List of Figures**

#