

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	ii
DEDICATION.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xi
CHAPTER	
1. OBJECTIVES AND LITERATURE REVIEW.....	1
2. MINERAL AND CHEMICAL MANAGEMENT OF TAKE-ALL IN A VIRGINIA SOIL	22
3. EVALUATION OF CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL SEED DRESSINGS FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF TAKE-ALL OF WHEAT	44
4. CHARICTARIZATION OF VIRGINIA ISOLATES OF <i>GAEUMANNOMYCES GRAMINIS</i> VAR <i>TRITICI</i>	73
APPENDIX A.....	133
APPENDIX B.....	136
APPENDIX C.....	139
APPENDIX D.....	145

VITA	160
-------------------	------------

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Chapter 1	
1. Influence of soil conditions on take-all.	16
Chapter 2	
1. Selected chemical and physical properties of a Kempsville loam (fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Typic Hapludult) soil utilized in greenhouse bioassay.	38
2. Nitrogen source and rate influence on the severity of take-all in a greenhouse experiment.	39
3. Nitrogen source and the influence of the presence or absence of <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> var. <i>tritici</i> on severity of take-all in a greenhouse experiment.	40
4. Nitrogen source and the influence of manganese on the severity of take-all in a greenhouse experiment.	41
5. The influence of nitrogen source, manganese, and chemical seed dressings for the suppression of take-all in three field experiments.	42
6. The influence of chemical seed dressings on the suppression of take-all in three field experiments.	43
Chapter 3	
1. Selected chemical and physical properties of a Kempsville loam (fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Typic Hapludult) soil utilized in greenhouse bioassay.	63
2. MON 65500 tested for suppression of take-all in the greenhouse.	64
3. Influence of Difenconazole and MON 65500 on the suppression of take-all in the greenhouse.	65

4.	Influence of MON 65500 and difenoconazole on take-all severity in an annually artificially infested field over two seasons.....	66
5.	Influence of USDA-maintained bacterial isolates on the suppression of take-all in the greenhouse and in the field (1996-1997).....	67
6.	Influence of Gustafson products on the suppression of take-all in the field; Season 1.....	68
7.	Influence of Gustafson products on the suppression of take-all in the field; Season 2.....	70

Chapter 4

1.	Selected chemical and physical properties of a Kempsville loam (fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Typic Hapludult) soil utilized in greenhouse bioassay.	110
2.	<i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> variety isolates used in this study.....	111
3.	Appearance of the underside of 2-week-old <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> var. isolates in culture.	112
4.	Relative plant damage in <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> var-inoculated greenhouse-grown plants.....	113
5.	Compilation of <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> var. isolates relative virulence level, growth rate, and total growth.	114
6.	Interaction between wildtype <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> var. <i>tritici</i> isolates paired on PDA.....	115
7.	Percent growth of single spored ascospores resulting from crosses between wildtype or benomyl resistant strains of Ggt on soybean pods plated onto PDA or benomyl-amended PDA.....	116
8.	Percent growth of fragments of wildtype (WT) isolates and crossed chemical resistant strains of <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> var <i>tritici</i>	

on benomyl and propiconazole amended agar	117
---	-----

APPENDIX B

1. Bacillus isolate BII evaluated for the suppression of take-all caused by *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici* which had been mixed into the soil as one month old millet seed inoculum (10 g/ Kg soil) with plant health measured by dry shoot weight, root weight, and a root necrosis rating after being grown in a greenhouse for one month.136
2. Bacillus isolate 202-10B evaluated for the suppression of take-all caused by *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici* which had been mixed into the soil as one month old millet seed inoculum (10 g/ Kg soil) with plant health measured by dry shoot weight, root weight, the shoot to root ratio, and a root necrosis rating after being grown in a greenhouse for one month.137
3. fluorescent pseudomonad isolate 407-7 evaluated for the suppression of take-all caused by *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici* which had been mixed into the soil as one month old millet seed inoculum (10 g/ Kg soil) with plant health measured by dry shoot weight, root weight, the shoot to root ratio, and a root necrosis rating after being grown in a greenhouse for one month.138

APPENDIX C

1. General observations on the production of perithecia *in vitro* where an 8mm plug of Ggt mycelium was placed into a test tube containing a wheat seedling germinating in 0.25 strength Sigma PDA.139
2. Interaction between wildtype (WT) and chemical resistant strains of *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var *tritici* paired on PDA.....140
3. Ggt isolates CB1, CD1, and M1 [wildtype (WT), nystatin resistant (Ny^r), and benomyl resistant (Ben^r)] grown on PDA containing 0.5 µg/ ml or 0.7 µg/ml cycloheximide for approximately 5-7 d.....143
4. *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. isolates CD1 and M1 [nystatin resistant

(Ny^r), and banomyl resistant (Ben^r)] grown on PDA containing 1.2 µg/ ml
and 0.7 µg/ml cycloheximide for approximately 13 d.....144

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Page

Chapter 4

1. Subjective grouping of *Gaeumannomyces graminis* varieties based on their ability to cause damage to soft red winter wheat plants after one month in the greenhouse bioassay based on the data presented in Table 4.....118
2. Interaction between putatively compatible (A) and incompatible (B) strains of *Ggt* paired on Potato Dextrose Agar119
3. Hyphopodia “peppered”bare polystyrene Petri dish bottom from mycelial growth of isolate CK1A. Note the dark central 4mm mycelial plug located on the upper surface of the dilute V8 agar.....120
4. Aggregations of short hyphae produced by isolate CE2, typical of the formation of simple hyphopodia.(100X).....121
5. Aggregations of short hyphae produced by isolate CK1A, typical of the formation of simple hyphopodia. (400X).Hyphopodia are approximately 10 μm in width.122
6. Lobed hyphopodia produced by *Ggg* isolate 2033. (400X).Hyphopodia are approximately 32 X 26 μm123
7. Lobed hyphopodium produced by *Ggg* isolate FL-175.(400X). Hyphopodium is approximately 28 X 24 μm124

8.	Production of perithecia on sterile soybean pods and filter paper. Soybean pods are approximately 3.0-4.0 cm in length.....	125
9.	Perithecia formed on sterile soybean pods by <i>Ggt</i> isolate CB1. Bar = 350µm.	126
10.	Perithecia formed on a non-sterile soybean pod by <i>Ggt</i> isolate CD1. Bar 250µm.....	127
11.	Young developing ascus with refractive apical pore typical of <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i>	128
12.	Ascus with ascospores and refractive apical pore typical of <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> . Typically eight, 60-110µm slightly curved ascospores are formed.	129
13.	Typical long (60-110 X 3-5 µm) slightly curved ascospores, and small curved conidia (approximately 5 X 2 µm) of <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> which are capable of forming on phialides, budding from ascospores, or are found in the matrix exuded from the ostiole of perithecia... ..	130
14.	Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) banding patterns generated with Amersham Pharmacia Biotech primers 3 (5'-GTAGACCCGT-3') and 6 (5'-CCCGTCAGCA-3') from two chemically marked <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> var <i>tritici</i> parents and their five progeny. The 100 base pair molecular marker is in lane M where the DNA in the bright middle band is 800 base pairs. From left to right, lanes 1-7 are M1p, CB1b, progeny 1, progeny 2, progeny 3,	

	progeny 4, and progeny 5 with primer 3. Lanes 8-14 are M1p, CB1b, progeny 1, progeny 2, progeny 3, progeny 4, and progeny 5 with primer 6.....	131
15.	Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) banding patterns generated with Repetative Extragenic Palindrome (REP) primers (REP 1; 5'-IIIICGICGICATCIGGC-3', REP 2; 5'-ICGICTTATCIGGCCTAC-3') in combination from two chemically marked <i>Gaeumannomyces graminis</i> var <i>tritici</i> parents and their five progeny. The 50 base pair molecular marker is in lane M. From left to right, lane 1 is no template (REP 1 and REP 2 primers only), lanes 2-8 are progeny 5, progeny 4, progeny 3, progeny 2, progeny 1, CB1b, and M1p.....	132

Appendix D

1.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggt</i> isolate M1.....	143
2.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggt</i> isolate CB1	144
3.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggt</i> isolate CD1	145
4.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggt</i> isolate CE1.....	146
5.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggt</i> isolate CE2.....	147
6.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggt</i> isolate Che1	148

7.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggt</i> isolate CK1A.....	149
8.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggt</i> isolate CK1B	150
9.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggt</i> isolate CO1	151
10.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggt</i> isolate CS1.....	152
11.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Gga</i> isolate 15419.....	153
12.	Linear and average daily growth of <i>Ggg</i> isolate 12761	154