

MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC PERFORMANCE OF LONG SHEAR WALLS WITH OPENINGS

by

Andrew C. Johnson

Dr. James D. Dolan, Chairman

Civil Engineering

(ABSTRACT)

The effect of door and window openings on long timber framed shear walls was the subject of this thesis. Four different wall configurations containing various openings and one control wall with no openings were tested to examine ultimate load capacity and stiffness. All walls were forty feet in length and contained tie-down anchorage at the extreme ends of the wall only. Two replications of the five wall configurations were built. Each of the five wall configurations was tested using a: 1) monotonic displacement pattern and 2) sequential phased displacement pattern. A better understanding of the effect of monotonic and cyclic loading (and the relationship between the two loading types) on ultimate load capacity and stiffness for a given wall configuration were examined. To efficiently design shear walls, the effect of openings on shear wall performance must be known. This thesis adds to previous work on shear walls with openings to provide valuable information for future use.

Results from this investigation are intended to provide useful information regarding performance of long shear walls with openings. Data concerning capacity, drift, elastic stiffness, and ductility are presented. Two methods of capacity prediction of shear walls with openings are examined. Sugiyama (1994) provided an empirical equation for prediction of load resistance that has been applied to capacity and is the basis for the perforated shear wall method. This thesis further validates his work to full scale long shear walls. A new method for capacity prediction was developed by the author and is also presented.

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my mom, who I love with all my heart. Thank you, Mom.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dan Dolan and Joe Loferski for their guidance in tackling an overwhelming project. In addition, I would like to thank all of the sponsors that contributed to this project. Without their support, this project would not have been possible. In particular, I would like to express my gratitude to Phil Line of the American Forest & Paper Association.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2 OBJECTIVES	1
1.3 DESIGN CODES.....	2
1.4 SUGIYAMA	2
1.5 LIMITATIONS OF STUDY	2
1.6 DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION	3
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE SURVEY.....	4
2.1 INTRODUCTION	4
2.2 BACKGROUND.....	4
2.3 MODELING AND DESIGN APPROACHES	5
2.4 CYCLIC CONNECTION TESTS.....	8
2.5 SHEAR WALL TESTS	8
2.5.1 Racking Performance.....	9
2.5.2 Dynamic Performance.....	9
2.5.3 Narrow Shear Walls	11
2.5.4 Openings	11
2.5.5 Adhesives.....	11
2.6 WALL ASSEMBLY AND BUILDING TESTS	12
2.7 SUMMARY.....	13
CHAPTER 3. TEST SPECIMENS AND PROCEDURES.....	14
3.1 INTRODUCTION	14
3.2 SPECIMENS.....	14
3.3 MATERIALS.....	16
3.4 WALL ORIENTATION	18
3.5 DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM.....	20
3.6 MONOTONIC SHEAR WALL TEST PROCEDURE	20
3.7 SEQUENTIAL PHASED DISPLACEMENT (SPD) SHEAR WALL TEST PROCEDURE	20
3.8 SUMMARY	22
CHAPTER 4. MONOTONIC SHEAR WALL TESTS.....	23
4.1 INTRODUCTION	23
4.2 PROPERTY DEFINITIONS	23
4.2.1 Load-Displacement Curves.....	23
4.2.2 Equivalent Elastic-Plastic Curve	23
4.3 MONOTONIC TEST RESULTS.....	25
4.3.1 Strength and deflection	26
4.3.2 Elastic Stiffness.....	28

4.3.3 Ductility	30
4.3.4 Wall Behavior	31
4.3.4.1 End Stud Movement	31
4.3.4.2 Slip of Tie-Down Anchors.....	31
4.3.4.3 Tension Bolts	34
4.3.4.4 Modes of Failure.....	35
4.4 PREDICTION OF CAPACITY	35
4.4.1 Current Design Capacity	35
4.4.2 Sugiyama's Empirical Prediction Equations.....	36
4.4.3 Natural Log Prediction Method	42
4.5 CONCLUSIONS	45
4.6 SUMMARY	46
CHAPTER 5. SEQUENTIAL PHASED DISPLACEMENT SHEAR WALL TESTS	47
5.1 INTRODUCTION	47
5.2 FIRST MAJOR EVENT.....	47
5.3 PROPERTY DEFINITIONS	47
5.3.2 Equivalent Energy, Elastic-Plastic Curve Definitions.....	49
5.3.3 Energy Definitions	50
5.4 SPD TEST RESULTS	51
5.4.1 Strength and Deflection	51
5.4.2 Elastic Stiffness.....	56
5.4.3 Ductility	58
5.4.4 Cyclic Stiffness and Energy	59
5.4.4.1 Cyclic Stiffness	60
5.4.4.2 Hysteretic energy	60
5.4.4.3 Potential energy	63
5.4.4.4 Equivalent viscous damping ratio	64
5.4.5 Wall Behavior	67
5.4.5.1 End Studs	67
5.4.5.2 Tie-down Anchors	68
5.4.5.3 Failure Modes	69
5.4.5.4 Tension Tie-down Anchor Bolts.....	70
5.5 PREDICTION OF CAPACITY	71
5.5.1 Current Code Design.....	71
5.5.2 Application of Sugiyama's Method	73
5.5.3 Natural Log Method.....	78
5.6 CONCLUSIONS	81
5.7 SUMMARY	81
CHAPTER 6. MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC COMPARISONS.....	85
6.1 INTRODUCTION	85

6.2 PARAMETERS	85
6.2.1 Strength.....	85
6.2.2 Yield Load	86
6.2.3 Load at Failure	88
6.2.4 Drift Comparison	89
6.2.5 Elastic Stiffness.....	93
6.2.6 Ductility	95
6.3 CONCLUSIONS	96
6.4 SUMMARY	97
CHAPTER 7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	98
7.1 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	98
7.2 FUTURE RESEARCH	99
REFERENCES.....	101
APPENDIX A	109
APPENDIX B.....	113
APPENDIX C	124
APPENDIX D	128
APPENDIX E.....	131
APPENDIX F.....	134
APPENDIX G	137
VITA.....	140

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 3. 1- WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	15
FIGURE 3. 2- SHEAR WALL SHEATHING AREA RATIO VARIABLES	16
FIGURE 3. 3- WALL ATTACHMENT TO TEST FIXTURE	19
FIGURE 3. 4- SENSOR LOCATIONS ON PLAN VIEW OF WALL SPECIMEN	19
FIGURE 3. 5- SEQUENTIAL PHASED DISPLACEMENT (SPD) LOADING SEQUENCE	21
FIGURE 4. 1 - TYPICAL LOAD-DRIFT CURVE FOR MONOTONIC SHEAR WALL TEST	24
FIGURE 4. 2- TYPICAL EQUIVALENT ELASTIC-PLASTIC CURVE	24
FIGURE 4. 3- MONOTONIC LOAD-DRIFT CURVES FOR THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	25
FIGURE 4. 4- MONOTONIC CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	27
FIGURE 4. 5- MONOTONIC ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	29
FIGURE 4. 6- CORRELATION OF MONOTONIC SHEAR LOAD RATIO AND STIFFNESS RATIO FOR THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	30
FIGURE 4. 7- SLIP BETWEEN TENSION SIDE TIE-DOWN AND END STUDS FOR WALLS A, B AND D PLOTTED AGAINST LATERAL LOAD RESISTANCE	32
FIGURE 4. 8- SLIP BETWEEN TENSION SIDE TIE-DOWN ANCHOR AND END STUDS FOR WALLS A, B AND D PLOTTED AGAINST DRIFT	33
FIGURE 4. 9- TENSION SIDE TIE-DOWN ANCHOR BOLT LOAD RESISTANCE OF WALL C PLOTTED AGAINST DRIFT	34
FIGURE 4. 10- ACTUAL MONOTONIC SHEAR LOAD RATIOS AT CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED AND SUGIYAMA'S SHEAR LOAD RATIO PREDICTION EQUATION PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	40
FIGURE 4. 11- NATURAL LOG OF MONOTONIC CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED AND THE NATURAL LOG METHOD PREDICTION EQUATION PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	44
FIGURE 4. 12- NATURAL LOG OF MONOTONIC ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED , AND THE NATURAL LOG METHOD PREDICTION EQUATION PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	45
FIGURE 5. 1- ILLUSTRATION OF DISPLACEMENT IN ONE PHASE OF SPD LOADING	48
FIGURE 5. 2- TYPICAL INITIAL AND STABILIZED LOAD -ENVELOPE CURVES	49
FIGURE 5. 3- TYPICAL EQUIVALENT ELASTIC-PLASTIC CURVE	50
FIGURE 5. 4- TYPICAL HYSTERESIS LOOP FOR TIMBER SHEAR WALL DURING SPD LOADING	51
FIGURE 5. 5- INITIAL CYCLE LOAD ENVELOPE CURVES FOR THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	52
FIGURE 5. 6- STABILIZED CYCLE LOAD ENVELOPE CURVES FOR THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	53

FIGURE 5. 7- INITIAL AND STABILIZED CYCLE CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	54
FIGURE 5. 8- INITIAL AND STABILIZED DRIFT CORRESPONDING TO YIELD , CAPACITY, AND FAILURE OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	55
FIGURE 5. 9- INITIAL AND STABILIZED ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	57
FIGURE 5. 10- COMPARISON OF SHEAR STRENGTH RATIO AND SHEAR STIFFNESS RATIO OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATION EXAMINED UNDER CYCLIC DISPLACEMENTS	58
FIGURE 5. 11- INITIAL AND STABILIZED DUCTILITY RATIOS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	59
FIGURE 5. 12- INITIAL CYCLIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST INTERSTORY DRIFT	61
FIGURE 5. 13- STABILIZED CYCLIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST INTERSTORY DRIFT	61
FIGURE 5. 14- INITIAL HYSTERETIC ENERGY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST INTERSTORY DRIFT	62
FIGURE 5. 15 - STABILIZED HYSTERETIC ENERGY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST INTERSTORY DRIFT	62
FIGURE 5. 16 - INITIAL POTENTIAL ENERGY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST INTERSTORY DRIFT	64
FIGURE 5. 17- STABILIZED POTENTIAL ENERGY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST INTERSTORY DRIFT	65
FIGURE 5. 18- INITIAL CYCLE EQUIVALENT VISCOUS DAMPING RATIOS (EVDR) OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED AT Δ_{YIELD} , Δ_{MAX} , AND $\Delta_{FAILURE}$	66
FIGURE 5. 19- STABILIZED CYCLE EQUIVALENT VISCOUS DAMPING RATIOS (EVDR) OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED AT Δ_{YIELD} , Δ_{MAX} , AND $\Delta_{FAILURE}$..	66
FIGURE 5. 20- TYPICAL END STUD MOVEMENT RECORDED DURING SPD LOADING	68
FIGURE 5. 21- TENSION LOAD RESISTED BY LEFT LOAD BOLT OF WALL C (R = 0.55) PLOTTED AS A FUNCTION OF TIME	69
FIGURE 5. 22- TENSION LOAD RESISTED BY RIGHT LOAD BOLT OF WALL C (R = 0.55) PLOTTED AS A FUNCTION OF TIME	70
FIGURE 5. 23- INITIAL AND STABILIZED SHEAR LOAD RATIOS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED, AND SUGIYAMA'S SHEAR LOAD RATIO PREDICTION EQUATION PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	78
FIGURE 5. 24- NATURAL LOG OF INITIAL CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED, AND NATURAL LOG METHOD PREDICTION EQUATION PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	82
FIGURE 5. 25 - NATURAL LOG OF STABILIZED CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED, AND NATURAL LOG METHOD PREDICTION EQUATION PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	82

FIGURE 5. 26 - NATURAL LOG OF INITIAL ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF WALLS A - D, AND NATURAL LOG METHOD PREDICTION EQUATION PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	83
FIGURE 5. 27 - NATURAL LOG OF STABILIZED ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF WALLS A - D, AND NATURAL LOG METHOD PREDICTION EQUATION PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	84
FIGURE 6. 1- MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO.....	86
FIGURE 6. 2- RATIO OF CYCLIC TO MONOTONIC CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	88
FIGURE 6. 3- RATIO OF CYCLIC TO MONOTONIC LOAD RESISTANCE AT YIELD OF FIVE WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO ..	89
FIGURE 6. 4- MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC DRIFT AT CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	91
FIGURE 6. 5- MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC DRIFT AT YIELD OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	92
FIGURE 6. 6- MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC DRIFT AT FAILURE OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	93
FIGURE 6. 7- MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	94
FIGURE 6. 8- RATIO OF CYCLIC TO MONOTONIC DUCTILITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED PLOTTED AGAINST SHEATHING AREA RATIO	96

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 3. 1: OPENING SIZES FOR THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED ..	14
TABLE 3. 2: WALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION DATA FOR SHEAR WALL SPECIMENS	17
TABLE 3. 3: FASTENER SCHEDULE USED FOR SHEAR WALL SPECIMENS	18
TABLE 4. 1: MONOTONIC DATA OF FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	26
TABLE 4. 2: MONOTONIC END STUD DISPLACEMENT AND TIE -DOWN SLIP AT CAPACITY FOR THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	32
TABLE 4. 3: COMPARISON OF MONOTONIC CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH ULTIMATE DESIGN CAPACITY	38
TABLE 4. 4: COMPARISON OF ACTUAL LOAD RESISTANCES AT CAPACITY AND DRIFTS OF 0.32 IN., 0.96 IN., AND 1.6 IN. FOR THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH LOAD RESISTANCE 'S DETERMINED FROM SUGIYAMA 'S SHEAR LOAD RATIO EQUATIONS	39
TABLE 4. 5: COMPARISON OF MONOTONIC ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH ELASTIC STIFFNESS DETERMINED FROM SUGIYAMA 'S SHEAR LOAD RATIO EQUATIONS	41
TABLE 4. 6: COMPARISON OF MONOTONIC CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH CAPACITY DETERMINED FROM NATURAL LOG METHOD.....	43
TABLE 4. 7: COMPARISON OF MONOTONIC ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH ELASTIC STIFFNESS DETERMINED FROM THE NATURAL LOG METHOD	47
TABLE 5. 1: INITIAL CYCLE DATA OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	53
TABLE 5. 2: STABILIZED CYCLE DATA OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	54
TABLE 5. 3: INITIAL AND STABILIZED PEAK HYSTERETIC AND POTENTIAL ENERGY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	63
TABLE 5. 4: INITIAL AND STABILIZED EQUIVALENT VISCOUS DAMPING RATIO (EVDR) AT OR NEAR Δ_{YIELD} , Δ_{MAX} , AND $\Delta_{FAILURE}$ FOR THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	65
TABLE 5. 5: INITIAL CYCLE END STUD DISPLACEMENT BETWEEN POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE PEAK DRIFTS AT Δ_{MAX} AND $\Delta_{FAILURE}$ FOR THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	67
TABLE 5. 6: INITIAL CYCLE SLIP OF TIE-DOWN ANCHORS RELATIVE TO END STUDS FOR	69
TABLE 5. 7: COMPARISON OF INITIAL AND STABILIZED CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH ULTIMATE DESIGN CAPACITY	72
TABLE 5. 8: COMPARISON OF INITIAL CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH SUGIYAMA 'S PREDICTED CAPACITIES	75
TABLE 5. 9: COMPARISON OF STABILIZED CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH SUGIYAMA 'S PREDICTED CAPACITIES	76

TABLE 5. 10: COMPARISON OF INITIAL CYCLE ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH ELASTIC STIFFNESS DETERMINED FROM SUGIYAMA.....	78
TABLE 5. 11: COMPARISON OF STABILIZED CYCLE ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH ELASTIC STIFFNESS DETERMINED FROM SUGIYAMA.....	79
TABLE 5. 12: COMPARISON OF INITIAL AND STABILIZED CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH NATURAL LOG METHOD PREDICTED CAPACITIES	83
TABLE 5. 13: COMPARISON OF INITIAL AND STABILIZED CAPACITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED WITH NATURAL LOG METHOD PREDICTED CAPACITIES	84
TABLE 6. 1: COMPARISON OF MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC PARAMETERS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	87
TABLE 6. 2- COMPARISON OF MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC DRIFTS AT YIELD , CAPACITY, AND FAILURE OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	90
TABLE 6. 3: COMPARISON OF MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC ELASTIC STIFFNESS OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	94
TABLE 6. 4: COMPARISON OF MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC DUCTILITY OF THE FIVE SHEAR WALL CONFIGURATIONS EXAMINED	95