Table 6.4: Comparison of quasi-analytically computed sensitivity derivatives, $\frac{d(\mu D)}{d}$, with finite-difference for the wing-planform optimization.

	First-C	Drder	Higher-Order					
	Spatial Ac	ccuracy	Spatial Accuracy					
Design	Central	Quasi-	Central	Quasi-				
Variable	Finite-Difference	Analytic	Finite-Difference	Analytic				
1	-0.3318338	-0.3318332	-2.59768	-2.59759				
2	-0.7733643	-0.7733647	-3.08299	-3.08223				
3	-0.3445127	-0.3445124	-2.00547	-2.00540				
4	0.4175189	0.4175191	0.358621	0.358618				
5	-0.0795680	-0.0795678	-2.68639	-2.68643				
6	-0.2453244	-0.2453241	-2.35349	-2.35354				

Table 6.5: Summary of the wing-planform optimization results.

Spatial Accuracy	(L/D) ^I	(L/D) ^F	Function Evaluations	Gradient Evaluations	CPU [Y-MP hr]	Memory ^A [words/cell/DV]
First-Order	3.0889	3.5619	113	12	4.8	14.6
Higher-Order	16.1810	18.4128	87	10	12.6	15.6

Ι Initial

Final Optimized F

Additional memory to CFD solver А

DV Design variable

Table 6.6: Summary of the Boeing 747-200 optimization results.

Initial	Final	Function	Gradient	Memory [†]	CPU Y/MP [‡]
Objective	Objective	Evaluations	Evaluations	[MW]	[hr]
14.136	14.522	24	3*	63.3/104.1	3.7/23.4

Stopped after third design cycle. *

Memory for CFD analysis/memory for sensitivity analysis.
CPU time for converged CFD analysis/total optimization run time.