10

CONCLUSIONS

Design Implications: The Role of the Constructivist Design Approach in Historical Site Interpretation

The goal of this thesis was to explore how the landscape can play a more active role in historic interpretation. The design of the Appalachian slavery interpretive center provided an opportunity to explore the use of the Constructivist Design Approach as a means of achieving this objective. Although nothing short of building the complex can speak definitively about the success of the venture, the project nevertheless suggests that the Constructivist Design Approach can be applied successfully in an historical site interpretation context and that the resulting increased interaction between the visitor and the landscape can enhance individuals' understanding of history.

Through their physical, intellectual, and emotional interaction with their surroundings, visitors are encouraged to construct their own understandings of a historical circumstance. In this way, history becomes more personally relevant and meaningful. Through their interaction with the landscape, visitors to the Appalachian slavery interpretive center are able to use themselves—physically and psychologically—as a reference point from which to understand the experiences of mountain slaves. They feel their own leg muscles straining as they flee up a hill or stumble over rough terrain. They notice their own sense of fear and apprehension as they leave the relative safety of a hedgerow to dash across an open pasture. These sensations, generated through their interaction with the

landscape, become the measuring stick against which they speculate about the experiences of real runaway slaves. To return to architect James Ingo Freed's metaphor of the physical site as a resonator for the individual, the Constructivist Design Approach also enables the individual to become a resonator for the landscape, as both its tangible and ephemeral qualities are registered and reacted to in each individual's body and psyche.

This thesis suggests that the constructivist approach ultimately makes history more accessible and relevant to the general public. It removes the historical narrative from its protective glass case, takes it out of the museum, and into the landscape where it can be handled and examined more closely. It acknowledges and indeed celebrates the dual nature of the historical narrative, which is at once grounded in fact but often derives its greatest insights from imaginative and informed speculation. The constructivist approach to interpretation presented in this thesis provides an opportunity for the public, not just historians, to engage in this educated speculation.

To return to the framework of ritual theory that helped shape the Constructivist Design Approach, the Appalachian slavery interpretive complex is designed not to freeze in time and preserve a historical moment but to offer an opportunity for the ritualized performance of historical understandings derived from the historiographic research. At the core of every ritual is the interaction of an individual, a physical place, and an activity—and the power of that interaction to transform human understanding. The Appalachian slavery interpretive complex likewise offers individuals the opportunity to interact with the landscape as runaway slaves as a means of transforming their own understanding of this historical moment.

Methodological Implications: The Role of the Landscape Architect in Historical Site Interpretation

In demonstrating that the landscape can play a vital role in communicating historical understandings, this thesis also suggests the important contributions that landscape architects can make to the field of historic site interpretation. This thesis employed a design process that relied heavily on an ongoing dialogue between historiographic research and physical design. From site selection to the development of overall design concepts and the spatial arrangement of landscape elements, the complex's design evolved from the continual exchange and interplay between the historiographic research and the Constructivist Design Approach. This process allowed the physical design to respond to and reflect the historical understandings gained from research to a degree not possible when landscape architects or architects are removed from the research process. Site design carried out with minimal information about interpretive content or without the benefit of a firsthand examination of the results of the historiographic research create

generic physical spaces with little capacity for inspiring interaction from visitors. Ultimately, it is this dialogue between the design of the physical environment and the interpretive context that enriches visitors' experiences at the site.

While this process posits that landscape architects should be closely involved with the historiographic research that defines the interpretive content of a site, it does not imply that a landscape architect can do the job of a historian. Rather, it argues for a more intimate collaboration between the two professions. Just as the landscape architect must be a part of the research process, the historian must participate in the site design process. Landscape architects have much to learn from historians understandings of the past. Likewise, historians can also benefit from the landscape architect's perspective of history, which focuses on the landscape's role in shaping culture and events as well as man's impact on the environment. Applied to other interpretive projects, this collaborative methodology will help to ensure that the interpretation at historical sites is not only solidly grounded in the historical record but also possesses the ability to communicate historical understandings to a wide audience in a manner that makes them personally relevant and meaningful.

11

REFERENCES

Epistemology

- Crotty, M. (1998). *The foundations of social research: Meaning and perspective in the research process.*Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Taylor, S.J., & Bogden, R. (1984). *Introduction to qualitative research methods*. New York: Wiley.

Methodology

Merriam, S.B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Ritual

- Barber, R. (1991). *Pilgrimages*. Woodbridge, England: Boydell Press.
- Barrie, T. (1996). Spiritual path, sacred place: Myth, ritual, and meaning in architecture. Boston: Shambhala.
- Bell, C. (1997). *Ritual: Perspectives and dimensions*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Coleman, S., & Elsner, J. (1998). Performing pilgrimage: Walsingham and the ritual construction of irony. In F. Hughes-Freeland (Ed.), *Ritual, performance, media*. New York: Routledge.
- Crain, M.M. (1998). Reimagining identity, cultural production and locality under transnationalism: Performances of *San Juan* in the Ecuadorean Andes. In F. Hughes-

- Freeland & M.M. Crain (Eds.), *Recasting ritual:*Performance, media, identity. New York: Routledge.
- Radcliffe-Brown, A. (1952). *Structure and function in primitive society*. New York: Free Press.
- Rapport, N. (1998). Hard sell: Commercial performance and the narration of the self. In F. Hughes-Freeland (Ed.), *Ritual, performance, media.* New York: Routledge.
- Rudie, I. (1998). Making persons in a global ritual? Embodied experience and free-floating symbols in Olympic sport. In F. Hughes-Freeland & M.M. Crain (Eds.), *Recasting ritual: Performance, media, identity.* New York: Routledge.
- Schechner, R. (1993). *The future of ritual: Writings on culture and performance*. New York: Routledge.
- Turner, V. (1969). *The ritual process: Structure and anti*structure. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Turner, V. (1986). *The anthropology of performance*. New York: PAJ Publications.
- Turner, V., & Turner, E. (1982). Performing ethnography. *The Drama Review, 26*(2), 33-50.
- Van Gennep, A. (1960). *Rites of passage*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Historical Interpretation & Professional History

Anderson, J. (1984). *Time machines: The world of living history*. Nashville, TN: American Association for State and Local History.

- Bitgood, S.C., & Loomis, R.J. (1993). Introduction: Environmental design and evaluation in museums (Special Issue). *Environment and Behavior, 25*(6), 683-697.
- Bunzl, M. (1997). *Real history: Reflections on historical practice*. New York: Routledge.
- Clelland, D. (2000). Tourism and future heritage: Genes Dreams Design, or the engaging of the syndrome of S, S, and S. *Built Environment*, 26(2), 99-116.
- Falk, J.H., & Dierking, L.D. (1992). *The museum experience*. Washington, DC: Walesback Books.
- Greengold, J. (1987). What might have been and what has been—Fictional public art about the real past. In Jo Blatti (Ed.), *Past meets present*. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Franco, B. (1994). The communication conundrum: What is the message? Who is listening. *Journal of American History*, 81(1), 151-163.
- Leon, W. (1987). A broader vision: Exhibits that change the way visitors look at the past. In J. Blatti (Ed.), *Past meets present*. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Melton, A.W. (1972). Visitor behavior in museums: Some early research in environmental design. *Human Factors*, *14*(5), 393-403.
- Plimoth Plantation. (2000). *Plimoth-on-Web*. Retrieved November 20, 2001 from http://www.plimoth.org/Library/liveh1.htm
- Rosenzweig, R., & Thelen, D. (1998). *The presence of the past:*Popular uses of history in American life. New York:

 Columbia University Press.

- Silverman, L.H. (1997). Personalizing the past: A review of literature with implications for historical interpretation. *Journal in Interpretation Research*, *2*(1), 1-12.
- Stover, K.F. (1989). Is it *real* yet?: An update on living history museums. *Journal of American Culture*, *12*(2), 13-17.
- Vanderstel, D.G. (1989). Humanizing the past: The revitalization of the history museum. *Journal of American Culture*, 12(2), 19-25.
- White, H. (1973). *Metahistory: The historical imagination in nineteenth-century Europe*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Site Precedent Studies

- Campbell, R. (1983). An emotive place apart. *AIA Journal*, 72(5), 150-151.
- Corner, J. (1991). A discourse on theory II: Three tyrannies of contemporary theory and the alternative of hermeneutics. *Landscape Journal*, *10*(2), 115-133.
- Fisher, W. (1987). *Human communication as narration: Toward a philosophy of reason, value, and action.*Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina Press.
- Fleming, J. (Ed.). (1998). *Maya Lin: Topologies*. Winston-Salem: Southeastern Center for Contemporary Art.
- Frampton, K. (1983). Towards a critical regionalism: Six points for an architecture of resistance. In H. Foster (Ed.), *The anti-aesthetic: Essays on postmodern culture* (pp. 16-30). Seattle, WA: Bay Press.
- Freed, J.I. (1993). The United States Holocaust Memorial Museum. *Architecture and Urbanism*, 11(278), 88-127.

- Freed, J.I. (1994). The Holocaust Memorial Museum. *Partisan Review, 61*(3), 448-456.
- Heidegger, M. (1971). Building dwelling thinking. In A Hofstadter (Transl.), *Poetry, language, thought*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Hopkins, D.G.S. (1999). Vietnam Veterans home page. Available at: http://vets.appliedphysics.swri.edu/
- Howett, C.M. (1997). Where the one-eyed man is king: The tyranny of visual and formalist values in evaluating landscapes. In P. Groth & T.W. Bressi (Eds.), *Understanding ordinary landscapes*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Isenstadt, S. (1997, Fall). The interpretative imperative:
 Architecture and the perfectibility of memory. *Harvard Design Magazine*, 59-62.
- Krinde, R. (September 2000). Perspective. *Landscape* architecture, 76, 94-96.
- Linenthal, E.T. (1994). The boundaries of memory: The United States Holocaust Memorial Museum. *American Quarterly*, 46(3), 406-433.
- National Park Service. (2002). Vietnam Veterans Memorial: Finding a name on the wall. Retrieved November 18, 2001 from http://www.nps.gov/vive/memorial/names.htm
- O'Connell, K.A. (2000, September). The gates of memory. Landscape Architecture, 90(9), 68-77, 92, 93.
- Ochsner, J.K. (1997). A space of loss: The Vietnam Veterans Memorial. *Journal of Architectural Education*, 50(3), 156-171.
- Oklahoma City National Memorial Trust. (2001). Home page for Oklahoma City National Memorial and Memorial

- Center Museum. Available at: http://www.oklahomacitynationalmemorial.org/
- Russell, J. S. (1988, April). Bearing witness in bricks and steel. *Architectural Record*, 176(4), 65-66.
- Stein, J. (1996). Maya Lin's Vietnam Memorial. *Bauwelt Berlin Annual*, 65.
- Todd, L. (1986). Hidden intentions. Crit, 16, 25-27.
- Twardy, C. (2000, August/September). The mourning after. *Metropolis*, 20, 30, 48.
- United States Holocaust Memorial Museum. (2002). Home page. Available at: http://www.ushmm.org
- Vanhooser, C.M. (2001, April). We come here to remember. *Southern Living, 36,* 120-125.
- Weinberg, J., & Elieli, R. (1995). *The Holocaust Museum in Washington*. New York: Rizzoli.
- Young, J.E. (1999, Fall). Memory and counter-memory: The end of the monument in Germany. *Harvard Design Magazine*, 4-13.

Slavery in Appalachia

- Blockson, C.L. (1987). *The Underground Railroad: First*person narratives of escapes to freedom in the North. New York: Prentice Hall.
- Bruce, K. (1931). *Virginia iron manufacture in the slave era*. New York: Century.
- Dew, C.B. (1994). *Bond of iron: Master and slave at Buffalo Forge.* New York: W.W. Norton & Co.
- Dunaway, W.A. (2002). Mountain slaves and livestock production. In *Slavery and emancipation in the*

- Mountain South: Sources, evidence, and methods. Cambridge University Press. Online archives available at: http://scholar.lib.vt.edu/faculty_archives/mountain slavery/livestoc.htm
- Dunaway, W.A. (1999). Diaspora, death, and sexual exploitation: Slave families at risk in the mountain south. *Appalachian Journal*, 26(2), 128-149.
- Featherstonhaugh, G.W. (1844). *Excursion through the slave states*. New York: Harper & Brothers.
- Franklin, J.H., & Schweninger, L. (1999). *Runaway slaves: Rebels on the plantation*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Fox, D.R. (1920). *Harper's atlas of American history*. New York: Harper & Brothers.
- Hadden, S.E. (2001). *Slave patrols: Law and violence in Virginia and the Carolinas*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Inscoe, J.C. (1997). Slavery, freedom, frontier: The historical perspective. *Appalachian Journal*, *24*(2), 204-215.
- Inscoe, J.C. (1989). Mountain masters: Slavery and the sectional crisis in western North Carolina. Knoxville, TN: University of Tennessee Press.
- Jack, G.S. (1912). *History of Roanoke County*. Roanoke, VA: Author.
- Kegley, M.B. (1989). *Wythe County, Virginia: A bicentennial history.* Wytheville, VA: Wythe Country Board of Supervisors.
- Lewis, S.M.B. (2001). Ladies and gentlemen on display: Planter society at the Virginia Springs, 1790-1860. Charlottesville, VA: University Press of Virginia.

- Mann, R. (1992). Mountains, land and kin networks: Burkes Garden, Virginia, in the 1840s and 1850s. *Journal of Southern History, 58*(3), 411-434.
- Murphy, J.B. (1992). Slaveholding in Appalachia: A challenge to the egalitarian tradition. *Southern Studies*, *3*(1), 15-33.
- Russ, K.C., McDaniel, J.M., & Wood, K.T. (2000).

 Archaeology of nineteenth-century iron manufacturing in Southwestern Virginia: Longdale Iron Mining Complex. In *After the backcountry: Rural life in the Great Valley of Virginia 1800-1900* (Eds. Koons, K.E., & Hofstra, W. R.). Knoxville: University of Tennessee.
- Sponaugle, W.C. (1937). Court of Oyer and Terminer: Survey report. *Virginia Historical Inventory Report, VHIR/04/0148*. Virginia W.P.A. Historical Inventory Project.
- Stealey, J.E., III. (1974). Slavery and the western Virginia salt industry. *Journal of Negro History*, *59*(2), 105-131.
- Still, W. (1972). *Underground Railroad records*. Philadelphia: Author.
- Troutman, P.D. (2001). A 'sorrowful cavalcade': Enslaved migration through Appalachian Virginia. *Smithfield Review*, *5*, 23-45.
- Veney, B. (1889). Narrative of Bethany Veney, a slave woman. Available from University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill at: http://docsouth.unc.edu/veney/veney.html
- Vlach, J.M. (1993). *Back of the big house: The architecture of plantation slavery.* Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Whisonant, R.C. (1998). Mineral fights Civil War battles for southwestern Virginia's Lead and Salt. *Smithfield Review*, 2, 76-90.

- Winks, R.W., Gara, L., Pease, J.H., Pease, W.H., & Edelstein, T.G. (1969). *Four fugitive slave narratives*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Woodson, C.G. (1985). Freedom and slavery in Appalachia. In Turner, W.H., & Cabbell, E.J. (Eds.), *Blacks in Appalachia*. Lexington, KY: University Press of Kentucky.

Greenfield Plantation and the Preston Family

- Dorman, J.F. (1982). The Prestons of Smithfield and Greenfield in Virginia: Descendants of John and Elizabeth (Patton) Preston through five generations. Louisville, KY: The Filson Club.
- Johnson, P.G. (1976). William Preston and the Allegheny Patriots. Blacksburg, VA: Walpa.
- Preservation Technologies. (1998). *National Register of Historic Places Nomination: Bowyer/Holladay House* (VDHR Standing Structure 11-28, Archeological Site 44BO497). Available through the Virginia Department of Historic Resources, Roanoke, VA.
- Preservation Technologies. (1996). Botetourt Center at Greenfield, Botetourt Country, Virginia: Preliminary preservation plan. Salem, VA: Author.
- Prillaman, H.R. (1985). *Places near the mountains*. Baltimore: Genealogical Publishing.

Troutman, P.D. (2002, Feb. 2). Slavery's frontier: Smithfield Plantation as hub and centrifuge. Presented at *Coming home: Investigating the African American experience at Historic Smithfield*. Virginia Tech, Blacksburg. Sponsored by the Association for the Preservation of Virginia Antiquities, Montgomery Branch, and the Virginia Foundation for the Humanities. (Also available through Historic Smithfield, Blacksburg, Va.)

Appalachian Geomorphology

- Kuennecke, B., Woodward, S.L., & Pontius, S.K. (1989). *An atlas of Virginia: 17th, 18th, and early 19th centuries.* Radford, VA: Virginia Geographic Alliance.
- Raitz, K.B., & Ulack, R. (1984). *Appalachia: A regional geography Land, people, and development.* Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Way, D.S. (1978). *Terrain analysis*. Boston: Harvard Graduate School of Design.

Other

- Appleyard, D., Lynch, K., & Myer, J.R. (1964). *The view from the road*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Schiller, D. (1994). *The little Zen companion*. New York: Workman Publishing.