

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my committee chairman, Dr. Ira Jacobs, for his constant support and guidance, which was invaluable in completing this thesis. I am also grateful to him for his patience and help in completing this thesis in its final form.

This thesis is part of a research project funded by Newport News Shipbuilding (NNS). I would like to thank NNS for supporting the project. I would also like to thank Dr. S. F. Midkiff and Dr. N. J. Davis for constantly guiding me and evaluating the progress of work in every weekly meeting.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Cause of Network Failure	1
1.2	Service Survivability Planning	2
1.3	Terms and Definitions	4
1.4	Overview	6
Chapter 2.	Network Definitions	8
2.1	Literature Survey	8
2.2	Network Definitions	8
2.3	Distribution of Minimum Distance Node-Pairs	11
2.4	Average Route-Length	15
2.5	Summary	15
Chapter 3.	Link and Node Utilization	17
3.1	Link Utilization	18
3.2	Node Utilization	21
3.3	Summary	24
Chapter 4.	Failure Situations	25
4.1	Overview	25
4.2	Routing	27
4.3	Classification of Routing	29
4.4	Static Disjoint Routing Strategy	31
4.5	Comparison Between Static Disjoint Routing and Dynamic Shortest Distance Routing	33
4.6	Partitioning of the Network	39
4.7	Network Partitioning Due to Multiple Node Failure	40
4.8	Summary	41
Chapter 5.	Utilization Factors Under Failure	42
5.1	Overview	42
5.2	Single-Link Failure	43
5.2.1	Link Utilization Factor (LUFs) of Both Topologies	43
5.2.2	Node Utilization Factor (NUFs) of Both Topologies	47
5.3	Double-link Failure	51
5.3.1	LUF Under Double-link Failure	51
5.3.2	NUF Under Double-link Failure	53

5.4	Summary	54
Chapter 6.	Comparison Between Topologies	55
6.1	Comparison Between Topology 1 and 2 in Fault-free Case	55
6.2	Comparison Between Topology 1 and 2 in faulty cases	56
6.3	Comparison Between the Topologies With Other Standard Topologies	61
6.4	The Star-Ring Topology	64
	6.4.1 Failure Situation	67
6.4	Comparison Among Topology 1, Topology 2 and the Star-Ring Topology	68
6.6	Summary	72
Chapter 7.	Conclusions	74
	References.	77
	Appendix A. Routing Table (Topology 1)	78
	Appendix B. Routing Table (Topology 2)	86
	Appendix C. Link Definitions	94
	Appendix D. Node Definitions	96
	Appendix E. LUFs Under all Possible Single-Link Failure Scenarios for Topology 1	97
	Appendix F. LUFs Under all Possible Single-Link Failure Scenarios for Topology 2	109
	Appendix G. NUFs Under all Possible Single-Link Failure Scenarios for Topology 1	121
	Appendix H. NUFs Under all Possible Single-Link Failure Scenarios for Topology 2	133
	Appendix I. Link and Node Definitions of Star-Ring Topology	144

List of Figures

Chapter 1.

Figure 1.1: Service survivability planning. 3

Chapter 2.

Figure 2.1: Network Topology 1. 9

Figure 2.2: Every consecutive node pair has two simplex links connected between them. 9

Figure 2.3: Network Topology 2. 10

Figure 2.4: Distribution of node pairs as a function of shortest distance between them. 14

Chapter 3.

Figure 3.1: Topology 1 redrawn for explaining utilization factors 17

Figure 3.2: LUF for topology 1. LUFs are given on the corresponding links. 19

Figure 3.3: LUF for topology 2. LUFs are given on the corresponding links. 20

Figure 3.4: NUF calculation from LUFs of the connected links. 22

Figure 3.5: Node utilization factors of both topologies. 23

Chapter 4.

Figure 4.1: Link failure (C1-C2) illustrated. As C1-C2 fails traffic originally carried by link C1-C2, should be carried by alternative paths, e.g. C1-D1-D2-C2 or C1-B1-B2-B3-B4-C2. 26

Figure 4.2:	Routing is done at Network Layer.	28
Figure 4.3:	Both the topologies have exactly 3 disjoint routes between all possible source-destination pairs.	32
Figure 4.4:	(a) Simplest scenario of network partitioning. Node C2 goes into forced outage when all the connected links to that node fails.	39
	(b) Simultaneous failure of all C-nodes isolates D-nodes from rest of the network.	39

Chapter 5.

Figure 5.1:	Graphical representation of LUF of topology 1 when busiest link B1-B2 fails.	46
Figure 5.2:	Graphical representation of LUF of topology 2 when busiest link B1-B2 fails.	47
Figure 5.3:	Graphical representation of NUF of topology 1 when busiest link B1-B2 fails.	50
Figure 5.4:	Graphical representation of NUF of topology 2 when busiest link B1-B2 fails.	50
Figure 5.5:	Utilization factors of different links when both B1-B2 and B3-B4 links fail simultaneously for topology 1.	52
Figure 5.6:	Utilization factors of different links when both B1-B2 and B3-B4 links fail simultaneously for topology 2.	52
Figure 5.7:	NUF of topology 1 when both B1-B2 and B3-B4 links fail simultaneously.	53
Figure 5.8:	NUF of topology 2 when both B1-B2 and B3-B4 links fail simultaneously.	54

Chapter 6

Figure 6.1(a): Full ring topology.	61
Figure 6.1(b): Star topology.	61
Figure 6.1(c): Square grid topology.	61
Figure 6.2: The Star-Ring topology.	65
Figure 6.3: Topologies 1 and 2 have some symmetry, but not all of their nodes are symmetrical to each other.	65
Figure 6.4: LUF of star-ring topology under link B1-B2 failed.	67
Figure 6.5: NUF of star-ring topology under link B1-B2 failed.	68

Chapter 7

Figure 7.1: (a) A regular scaled version of topology 1.	76
(b) A non-regular scaled version of topology 1.	76
Figure 7.2 A regular-symmetric scaled version of topology 1	76

List of Tables

Chapter 2.

Table 2.1:	All Possible Node Pairs are Tabulated Against the Shortest Distance (Route-length) Between Them for Topology 1.	12
Table 2.2:	All Possible Node Pairs are Tabulated Against the Shortest Distance (Route-length) Between Them for Topology 2.	13
Table 2.3:	Distribution of Number Node Pairs as a Function of Shortest Distance Between Them.	14

Chapter 3.

Table 3.1:	Link Utilization Factors for Topology 1.	18
Table 3.2:	Link Utilization Factors for Topology 2.	19
Table 3.3:	Node Utilization Factors (NUFs) of Topology 1.	22
Table 3.4:	Node Utilization Factors (NUFs) of Topology 2.	23

Chapter 4.

Table 4.1:	Average Route-length of Topology 1 Under Single-node Failure.	35
Table 4.2:	Average Route-length of Topology 2 Under Single-node Failure.	36
Table 4.3:	Average Route-length of Topology 1 Under Single-link Failure.	37
Table 4.4:	Average Route-length of Topology 2 Under Single-link Failure.	38

Chapter 5.

Table 5.1:	Change in LUF When Link B1-B2 is Lost for Topology 1.	44
Table 5.2:	Change in LUF When Link B1-B2 is Lost for Topology 2.	45
Table 5.3:	Change in NUFs of Topology 1 When Link B1-B2 Fails.	48
Table 5.4:	Change in NUFs of Topology 2 When Link B1-B2 Fails.	49

Chapter 6.

Table 6.1:	Summarized Properties of Both Topologies in Fault-free Condition.	55
Table 6.2:	Maximum Route-length Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single and Double) Conditions.	57
Table 6.3:	Average Route-length Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single and Double) Conditions.	57
Table 6.4:	Maximum Link Utilization Factors (LUFs) Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single and Double-link Failure) Conditions.	58
Table 6.5:	S.D. of Link Utilization Factor Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single and Double-link Failure) Conditions.	59
Table 6.6:	Maximum Node Utilization Factor Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single and Double-link Failure) Conditions.	59

Table 6.7:	S.D. of Node Utilization Factor Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single and Double-link Failure) Conditions.	60
Table 6.8:	Comparison of Topologies 1 & 2 With Other Standard Topologies.	62
Table 6.9:	Comparison Among Topology 1, Topology 2 and the Star-Ring Topology.	69
Table 6.10:	Maximum Route-length Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single and Double) Conditions.	69
Table 6.11:	Average Route-length Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single) Conditions.	70
Table 6.12:	Maximum LUF of Topologies 1 and 2 and the Star-Ring Topology Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single Failure) Conditions.	70
Table 6.13:	S.D. of Link Utilization factor Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single and Double-link Failure) Conditions.	71
Table 6.14:	Maximum Node Utilization Factor Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single and Double-link Failure) Conditions.	71
Table 6.15:	S.D. of Node Utilization Factor Under Fault-free and Faulty (Single and Double-link Failure) Conditions.	72

Chapter 7.

Table 7: Overall Comparison Between the Topologies.

74