

LIST of FIGURES

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 1.1 | ELCO Grade 8 Standoff Screws | 3 |
| Figure 1.2 | Self-tapping Screws with Standoff Sleeves (Strocchia et al. 1990) | 4 |
| Figure 1.3 | Vulcraft Deck Profile Used by Strocchia et al. (1990) | 4 |
| Figure 1.4 | Standoff Screws Investigated by Hankins et al. (1994) | 6 |
| Figure 1.5 | Typical Push-out Specimen Used by Hankins (1994) | 10 |
| Figure 1.6 | Typical Screw Rotation (Hankins et al. 1994) | 11 |
| Figure 1.7 | Longitudinal Shear Path (Lloyd and Wright 1990) | 12 |
| Figure 1.8 | Wedged Shear Cone (Lloyd and Wright 1990) | 13 |
| Figure 1.9 | Standoff Screws Investigated by Alander et al. (1998) | 14 |
| Figure 1.10 | Vulcraft Deck Profiles Investigated by Alander et al. (1998) | 15 |
| Figure 1.11 | Representation of Safety Index, β , per Galambos and Ravindra (1973) | 25 |
| Figure 2.1 | Typical Top Chord Section (Alander et al. 1998) | 30 |
| Figure 2.2 | Typical Test Setup (Alander et al. 1998) | 33 |
| Figure 2.3 | Test Setup Detail (Alander et al. 1998) | 34 |
| Figure 2.4 | Apparatus Used to Limit Longitudinal Splitting (Alander et al. 1998) | 34 |
| Figure 2.5a | Double Joist Test (CSJ-12) Loading System Arrangements (Mujagic et al. 2000) | 36 |
| Figure 2.5b | Single Joist Test (CSJ-13) Loading System Arrangements (Mujagic et al. 2000) | 36 |
| Figure 2.6 | ELCO Single Lap Shear Test Apparatus (Mike Janusz - ELCO 1992) | 38 |
| Figure 2.7 | Tension Test Apparatus (Mike Janusz - ELCO 1992) | 38 |
| Figure 2.8 | Shear Test Setup from (ELCO 1998-99) | 39 |
| Figure 2.9 | Tensile Test Setup (ELCO 1998-99) | 39 |
| Figure 2.10 | Distance Between Rows of Screws Within a Rib, l_s | 41 |
| Figure 2.11 | Metal Thickness vs. Capacity Results Plot | 47 |
| Figure 3.1 | Generalized Load vs. Slip Plot | 54 |
| Figure 3.2 | [Measured Capacity / R_{n1}] vs. Bottom Rib Thickness Plot | 63 |
| Figure 3.3 | Plot of [(Measured Capacity / R_{n1}) / (H_s / h_r) ^{0.75}] vs. Bottom Rib Width | 63 |
| Figure 3.4 | Plot of [(Measured Capacity / R_{n1}) / (H_s / h_r) ^{0.75}] vs. Bottom Rib Width (adjusted) | 64 |
| Figure 3.5 | Shear Plane in Concrete Rib Failures | 67 |
| Figure 3.6 | Effect of Number of Screws Per Rib on Strength in Concrete Rib Failures | 74 |
| Figure 3.7 | Concrete Rib Failure | 75 |
| Figure 3.8 | Effect of Bottom Rib Width on the Strength in Concrete Rib Failures | 76 |
| Figure 3.9 | Interaction Curve for High-strength Bolt Under Combined Tension and Shear (Kulak et al 1987) | 80 |
| Figure 3.10 | Influence of Top Chord Thickness on Screw Strength in Screw Shear Failures | 86 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 3.11 Typical Failure Envelope Calculated Using Equations 3.2, 3.6, and 3.9 | 92 |
| Figure 3.12 Experimental vs. Theoretical Strength Ratio Histogram | 93 |
| Figure 3.13 Comparison of Statistical Characteristics of Standoff Screws and Shear Studs | 94 |
| Figure 3.14 Slip vs. Span at the Maximum Sustained Load in CSJ-12 | 96 |
| Figure 3.15 Slip vs. Span at the Maximum Sustained Load in CSJ-13 | 96 |
| Figure 4.1 General Percent Composite Action vs. $M_n/M_{n,100\%}$ Composite Plot | 106 |