

## MEMORANDUM

**DATE:** December 6, 2012  
**TO:** Katherine S Cennamo, Amber Diane Evans  
**FROM:** Virginia Tech Institutional Review Board (FWA00000572, expires May 31, 2014)  
**PROTOCOL TITLE:** Relationship of Self-Efficacy to the Stages of Concern in the Adoption of an Innovation in Higher Education  
**IRB NUMBER:** 12-992

Effective December 5, 2012, the Virginia Tech Institutional Review Board (IRB) Chair, David M Moore, approved the New Application request for the above-mentioned research protocol.

This approval provides permission to begin the human subject activities outlined in the IRB-approved protocol and supporting documents.

Plans to deviate from the approved protocol and/or supporting documents must be submitted to the IRB as an amendment request and approved by the IRB prior to the implementation of any changes, regardless of how minor, except where necessary to eliminate apparent immediate hazards to the subjects. Report within 5 business days to the IRB any injuries or other unanticipated or adverse events involving risks or harms to human research subjects or others.

All investigators (listed above) are required to comply with the researcher requirements outlined at:

<http://www.irb.vt.edu/pages/responsibilities.htm>

(Please review responsibilities before the commencement of your research.)

### PROTOCOL INFORMATION:

Approved As: **Expedited, under 45 CFR 46.110 category(ies) 7**  
Protocol Approval Date: **December 5, 2012**  
Protocol Expiration Date: **December 4, 2013**  
Continuing Review Due Date\*: **November 20, 2013**

\*Date a Continuing Review application is due to the IRB office if human subject activities covered under this protocol, including data analysis, are to continue beyond the Protocol Expiration Date.

### FEDERALLY FUNDED RESEARCH REQUIREMENTS:

Per federal regulations, 45 CFR 46.103(f), the IRB is required to compare all federally funded grant proposals/work statements to the IRB protocol(s) which cover the human research activities included in the proposal / work statement before funds are released. Note that this requirement does not apply to Exempt and Interim IRB protocols, or grants for which VT is not the primary awardee.

The table on the following page indicates whether grant proposals are related to this IRB protocol, and which of the listed proposals, if any, have been compared to this IRB protocol, if required.

Date*	OSP Number	Sponsor	Grant Comparison Conducted?

\* Date this proposal number was compared, assessed as not requiring comparison, or comparison information was revised.

If this IRB protocol is to cover any other grant proposals, please contact the IRB office (irbadmin@vt.edu) immediately.



Amber D. Marcu <amber.evans@gmail.com>

---

## Permission/Notification of my use of your dissertation survey instrument.

---

C. Edward Watson <edwatson@uga.edu>  
To: "Amber D.Evans-Marcu" <adevans@vt.edu>

Tue, Feb 12, 2013 at 8:09 PM

Hi Amber,

Congratulations on your continued progress toward the completion of your dissertation. You are certainly more than welcome to use my survey in your research; however, please note the genesis of some portions of it reside with researchers other than myself. My dissertation describes those lineages in detail.

I look forward to reading the results of your study. Keep in touch.

Eddie

[Quoted text hidden]

**C. Edward Watson, Ph.D.**

**Director**

*Center for Teaching and Learning*

University of Georgia

Instructional Plaza North

Athens, GA 30606

Phone: [706-542-0539](tel:706-542-0539)

FAX: [706-542-6587](tel:706-542-6587)

Web: <http://www.ctl.uga.edu/>

**Co-Executive Editor**

*International Journal of ePortfolio (IJeP)*

<http://www.theijep.com/>

**Executive Editor**

*International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education (IJTLHE)*

<http://www.isetl.org/ijtlhe/>

**Draft 09/01/2009**

(Questions? Concerns? Contact Gail McMillan, Director of the Digital Library and Archives at Virginia Tech's University Libraries: [gailmac@vt.edu](mailto:gailmac@vt.edu))

(Please ensure that Javascript is enabled on your browser before using this tool.)

## **Virginia Tech ETD Fair Use Analysis Results**

*This is not a replacement for professional legal advice but an effort to assist you in making a sound decision.*

Name: Amber Diane Marcu

Description of item under review for fair use: Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of Innovations (5th ed.), p. 113 & 281. New York, NY: Free Press.

Report generated on: 04-15-2013 at : 11:58:57

### **Based on the information you provided:**

#### **Factor 1**

Your consideration of the purpose and character of your use of the copyright work weighs: *in favor of fair use*

#### **Factor 2**

Your consideration of the nature of the copyrighted work you used weighs: *in favor of fair use*

#### **Factor 3**

Your consideration of the amount and substantiality of your use of the copyrighted work weighs: *in favor of fair use*

#### **Factor 4**

Your consideration of the effect or potential effect on the market after your use of the copyrighted work weighs: *against fair use*

**Based on the information you provided, your use of the copyrighted work weighs: *in favor of fair use***

**Draft 09/01/2009**

(Questions? Concerns? Contact Gail McMillan, Director of the Digital Library and Archives at Virginia Tech's University Libraries: [gailmac@vt.edu](mailto:gailmac@vt.edu))

(Please ensure that Javascript is enabled on your browser before using this tool.)

## **Virginia Tech ETD Fair Use Analysis Results**

*This is not a replacement for professional legal advice but an effort to assist you in making a sound decision.*

Name: Amber Diane Marcu

Description of item under review for fair use: Moore, G. A. (1999). Crossing the Chasm: Marketing and selling disruptive products to mainstream customers. New York, NY: HarperCollins.

Report generated on: 04-15-2013 at : 11:21:08

### **Based on the information you provided:**

#### **Factor 1**

Your consideration of the purpose and character of your use of the copyright work weighs: *in favor of fair use*

#### **Factor 2**

Your consideration of the nature of the copyrighted work you used weighs: *in favor of fair use*

#### **Factor 3**

Your consideration of the amount and substantiality of your use of the copyrighted work weighs: *in favor of fair use*

#### **Factor 4**

Your consideration of the effect or potential effect on the market after your use of the copyrighted work weighs: *against fair use*

**Based on the information you provided, your use of the copyrighted work weighs: *in favor of fair use***

**Draft 09/01/2009**

(Questions? Concerns? Contact Gail McMillan, Director of the Digital Library and Archives at Virginia Tech's University Libraries: [gailmac@vt.edu](mailto:gailmac@vt.edu))

(Please ensure that Javascript is enabled on your browser before using this tool.)

## **Virginia Tech ETD Fair Use Analysis Results**

*This is not a replacement for professional legal advice but an effort to assist you in making a sound decision.*

Name: Amber Diane Marcu

Description of item under review for fair use: George, A. A., Hall, G. E., & Stiegelbauer, S. M. (2006). Measuring implementation in schools: The stages of concern questionnaire (2nd ed. Vol. 1, p.8). Austin, TX: SEDL.

Report generated on: 04-15-2013 at : 11:18:43

### **Based on the information you provided:**

#### **Factor 1**

Your consideration of the purpose and character of your use of the copyright work weighs: *in favor of fair use*

#### **Factor 2**

Your consideration of the nature of the copyrighted work you used weighs: *in favor of fair use*

#### **Factor 3**

Your consideration of the amount and substantiality of your use of the copyrighted work weighs: *in favor of fair use*

#### **Factor 4**

Your consideration of the effect or potential effect on the market after your use of the copyrighted work weighs: *against fair use*

**Based on the information you provided, your use of the copyrighted work weighs: *in favor of fair use***