

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express her sincere appreciation to her advisor, Dr. Marjorie J.T. Norton, for her enthusiastic guidance and advice throughout this research, and Dr. Michael L. McGilliard, for his guidance and suggestions in statistical data analysis throughout this study. Appreciation and thanks for their understanding, patience and suggestions during this research are extended to the other members on the author's research committee: Dr. Andrea M. Dietrich, Dr. Doris H. Kincade, Dr. James M. Tanko, and Dr. Kathy R. Shelton.

The author would like to thank Janet Wimmer and Peggy Quesenberry, Lab Specialists of the Department of Near Environments, for their assistance in fabric evaluations during the experimentation.

The author would also like to thank Catherine Dennison, Department of English, for her understanding and suggestions in editing my dissertation.

The author would like to thank Mr. Leonard T. Farias, Manager of Textile Chemistry Research at Cotton Incorporated Research Center, for his supplying of the sample of cotton 3/1 twill woven fabric throughout this research, and Yorkshire Pat-Chem for the sample of softener PAT-SOFT-PHD used in this study.

The author would like to express her upmost gratitude to the Thai Government who supported her financially throughout her study in the graduate school.

Finally, the author would like to express her deepest gratitude to her parents and relatives for their encouragement, patience and support throughout her study.

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments	iii
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	viii
Chapter	
I. Introduction	1
II. Literature Review	6
Cellulosic Fibers	6
Durable Press Finishes	7
Formaldehyde Durable Press Finishes	7
Nonformaldehyde Durable Press Finishes	10
Polycarboxylic Acids	10
Application Techniques for Durable Press Finishing	18
Pad-Dry-Cure Technique	18
Wet-Fixation Technique	19
Evaluation of the Properties of Durable Press	
Cotton Fabrics	21
Summary of Literature Review	21
III. Setting of the Problem	23
Theoretical Framework	25
Conceptual Definitions	28
A Listing of Acronyms	29
Purpose and Objectives	29
Research Hypotheses and Rationale	30
Assumptions	32
Limitations	33
IV. Research Procedure	34
Preliminary Analysis of Candidate Reactant Combinations	
and of Finishing Conditions	34
Experimental Procedure in Preliminary Analysis	34
Initiator Selection for the PCA Combinations	39
Effect of Nitrogen Atmosphere on Potassium	
Persulfate Initiator	39
Problems in BTCA Combinations	41
Adding Acrylic Acid as a New Polymer Builder	46
Summary of the Experiment Results in the	
Preliminary Analysis	51
Experimental Procedure of the Main Study	52
Material and Chemical Agents Used in Durable	
Press Finishing	52
Method for Fabric Finishing	53
Evaluation of the Performance of the Finished	
Cotton Fabrics	53

	Measurement of Mechanical and Durable Press Fabric Properties	53
	Experimental Design	57
	Data Analysis	62
	Means and Standard Deviations	62
	Regression Analysis	62
	Comparison of Measured Properties of the Fabric Finished with the Selected BTCA/IA/AA Combinations with those of the Fabric Finished with BTCA only or with DMDHEU	67
V.	Results and Discussion	69
VI.	Summary and Conclusions	123
VII.	Implications and Suggestions for Future Research	144
	References	147
	Appendices	152
	Vita	175

List of Tables

Table	Page
1. Textile properties resulting from certain polycarboxylic crosslinkers and DMDHEU on 100% cotton print cloth: durable press (DP) rating, wrinkle recovery angle (WRA), tear strength (TS) retention, and breaking strength (BS) retention	17
2. Wrinkle recovery angle and breaking strength retention of untreated fabric and of the fabric finished with DMDHEU, BTCA, or the nine different solutions of PCA combinations with potassium persulfate initiator	38
3. Wrinkle recovery angle and breaking strength retention fabrics finished with six different PCA combinations using hydrogen peroxide initiator	40
4. Wrinkle recovery angle and breaking strength retention fabric finished with BTCA combinations under nitrogen atmosphere and those with the same combinations without initiator under ambient conditions	42
5. Wrinkle recovery angle and breaking strength retention of the fabrics finished with two different BTCA/IA/AA combinations: study of BTCA addition to CA/IA; solution reusability; and IA concentration	45
6. Wrinkle recovery angle and breaking strength retention of the fabrics finished with various finish formulations containing acrylic acid	47
7. Wrinkle recovery angle and breaking strength retention of the six finished fabrics pieces finished with the same solution of 3%BTCA/6.4%IA/1.77%AA combination	50
8. Durable press finish formulations of the 32 treatments	54
9. Means and standard deviation (in parentheses) of the breaking strength (kg) in the warp direction of the specimens finished with BTCA/IA/AA at different mole ratio and curing times	70
10. Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) of the breaking strength (kg) in the weft direction of the specimens finished with BTCA/IA/AA at different mole ratio and curing times	72
11. Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) of the tear strength (g) in the warp direction of the specimens finished with BTCA/IA/AA at different mole ratio and curing times	73
12. Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) of the tear strength (g) in the weft direction of the specimens finished with BTCA/IA/AA at different mole ratio and curing times	75
13. Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) of the wrinkle recovery angles (°) in the warp and weft directions of the specimens finished with BTCA/IA/AA at different mole ratio and curing times	76
14. Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) of the	

	whiteness index of the specimens finished with BTCA/IA/AA at different mole ratio and curing times	78
15.	Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) of the first laundering of the durable press rating of the specimens finished with BTCA/IA/AA at different mole ratio and curing times	80
16.	Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) of the fifth launderings of the durable press rating of the specimens finished with BTCA/IA/AA at different mole ratio and curing times	81
17.	Regression results with the full model for the breaking strength	84
18.	Regression results with the full model for the tear strength	90
19.	Regression results with the full model for the wrinkle recovery angle	96
20.	Regression results with the full model for the whiteness index	102
21.	Regression results with the full model for the durable press rating	106
22.	Regression results with the full model not containing the observer factor for the durable press rating	108
23.	Durable press finish formulations of the 32 treatments	119
24.	Mean values of wrinkle recovery angle, breaking strength retention, tear strength retention, whiteness index, and durable press rating after one and five launderings for fabric specimens finished under 32 conditions involving BTCA/IA/AA combinations	120

List of Figures

Figure	Page
1. Cutting pattern for specimens to measure breaking strength and wrinkle recovery angle in the preliminary analysis	37
2. Cutting pattern, in the 1st, of the six fabric pieces finished under a common set of conditions, for specimens to measure breaking strength, tear strength, and wrinkle recovery angle	58
3. Cutting pattern, in the 2nd, of the six fabric pieces finished under a common set of conditions, for specimens to measure breaking strength, tear strength, and wrinkle recovery angle	59
4. Cutting pattern, in the 3rd, of the six fabric pieces finished under a common set of conditions, for specimens to measure breaking strength, tear strength, and wrinkle recovery angle	60
5. Cutting pattern, in the 4th, 5th, and 6th, of the six fabric pieces finished under a common set of conditions, for specimens to measure breaking strength, tear strength, and wrinkle recovery angle	61
6. Plot of the value of R^2 and Mallow C_p against the number of variables from the maximum R^2 procedure with the breaking strength data	85
7. Bar graphs comparing actual mean breaking strength for the warp direction obtained from measurements in four test trials with the mean breaking strength predicted by the three different regression equations	87
8. Bar graphs comparing actual mean breaking strength for the weft direction obtained from measurements in four test trials with the mean breaking strength predicted by the three different regression equations	89
9. Plot of the value of R^2 and Mallow C_p against the number of variables from the maximum R^2 procedure with the tear strength data	91
10. Bar graphs comparing actual mean tear strength for the warp direction obtained from measurements in four test trials with the mean tear strength predicted by the three different regression equations	93
11. Bar graphs comparing actual mean tear strength for the weft direction obtained from measurements in four test trials with the mean tear strength predicted by the three different regression equations	94
12. Plot of the value of R^2 and Mallow C_p against the number of variables from the maximum R^2 procedure with the wrinkle recovery angle data	98
13. Bar graphs comparing actual mean of the sum of the average of the wrinkle recovery angles in both directions obtained from	

	measurements in four test trials with those predicted by the three different regression equations	100
14.	Plot of the value of R^2 and Mallow C_p against the number of variables from the maximum R^2 procedure with the whiteness index data	103
15.	Bar graphs comparing actual mean whiteness index obtained from measurements in four test trials with the mean whiteness index predicted by the three different regression equations	105
16.	Plot of the value of R^2 and Mallow C_p against the number of variables from the maximum R^2 procedure with the durable press rating data	110
17.	Bar graphs comparing actual mean durable press rating after first washing of finished specimens obtained from measurements in four test trials with the mean durable press rating after first washing predicted by the three different regression equations	112
18.	Bar graphs comparing actual mean durable press rating after fifth washing of finished specimens obtained from measurements in four test trials with the mean durable press rating after fifth washing predicted by the three different regression equations	113