

Dedication

I would like to dedicate this paper to my brother Ryan. You always said “work hard now and play later”. With all this hard work, I guess it is my time to play. You will always be missed and I cherish the memories we had.

Acknowledgements

There are many people I would like to acknowledge that contributed to the success of this paper and the completion of my Masters degree. First, I would like to thank my parents for the love and support they have provided throughout my entire life. You have been there for every decision I have made and help my dreams become reality. I hope I make you proud. Second, I would like to thank my significant other, Megan. You have been there during good times and bad. Thank you for your inspiration and love. Third, I would like to thank my committee. Dr. Ervin, you have been my mentor. Your dedication to your students is quite evident and your teachings and assistance throughout my time at Virginia Tech is greatly appreciated. Dr. Goatley, you too have shown a great deal of dedication to your students and turfgrass science-thank you for your time. I would like to give a special thanks to Dr. Zhang; your assistance with helping me through the lab techniques and data analyses is greatly appreciated. Without you, I might still be plugging away at SAS. Dr. Askew, thank you for your assistance and insight on completing this paper. I would like to give a final thanks to the Virginia Tech Sports Complex and Valent Biosciences for their financial support.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	viii
List of Pictures	x
Introduction	1
Literature Review	1
Turfgrasses	1
Bermudagrasses	3
What is traffic?	7
Wear and Turfgrass Species	9
Plant Growth Regulators and Hormones	9
Cytokinins and Bermudagrass Traffic Tolerance	12
Rationale and Significance	16
Research Methods	16
Hypothesis	16
Objectives	16
Blacksburg Climate	16
Experimental Design	18
Traffic and PGR Treatments	18
Data Collection	20
Cultural Management	21
Laboratory Analyses	24
Statistical Analysis	26
Results/Discussion	26
Bulk Density	26
Turf Cover	26
Turf Color	35
Turf Quality	43
Sugars	48
Stolon Viability	56
Conclusion	61
Literature Cited	63

List of Tables

Table 1. A comparison of 2004 NTEP ratings for bermudagrass cultivars Patriot and Tifsport.	6
Table 2. A comparison of 2005 NTEP ratings for bermudagrass cultivars Patriot and Tifsport.	6
Table 3. Contents of chlorophyll and protein in leaves of wild-type tobacco (WT) and tobacco with autoregulated production of cytokinin (APC) (Moore, 1989).	14
Table 4. Total amount of traffic simulator passes performed on both cultivars to mimic traffic damage observed on Worsham Field during the 2005 and 2006 seasons.	19
Table 5. The fertility program administered to the bermudagrass plots for 2005 and 2006 season.	21
Table 6. Kilograms (kg) of perennial ryegrass applied per hectare (ha) during overseeding events for 2005 and 2006 season.	22
Table 7. A comparison between traffic and no-traffic soil bulk densities for 2005 and 2006.	26
Table 8. Percent turf cover Pr > F values for 2005.	29
Table 9. Percent turf cover Pr > F values for 2006.	30
Table 10. Percent turf cover in 2005 and 2006 trials expressed as cultivar and traffic interaction averaged over plant growth regulator treatments.	31
Table 11. Percent turf cover in 2005 and 2006 trials after plant growth regulator and traffic treatments averaged over cultivars.	33
Table 12. Turf color Pr > F values for 2005.	37
Table 13. Turf color Pr > F values for 2006.	38
Table 14. Fall turf color in 2005 and 2006 trials for overseeded Patriot and Tifsport bermudagrasses expressed as the interaction between cultivar and traffic treatments averaged over plant growth regulator treatments.	39
Table 15. Turf color in 2005 and 2006 trials expressed as the interaction between plant growth regulators and traffic treatments averaged over cultivars.	41
Table 16. Turf quality Pr > F values for 2005.	44

Table 17. Turf quality Pr > F values for 2006.	45
Table 18. Visual quality ratings in 2005 and 2006 trials expressed as the interaction between traffic and cultivar averaged over plant growth regulators.	46
Table 19. Fall quality ratings in 2005 and 2006 trials for overseeded Patriot and TifSport bermudagrasses expressed as the interaction between cultivar and plant growth regulator treatments averaged over traffic treatments.	47
Table 20. Total non-structural carbohydrates Pr > F values for 2005.	50
Table 21. Total non-structural carbohydrate Pr > F values for 2006.	51
Table 22. Nonstructural carbohydrates content in stolons of Patriot and TifSport bermudagrasses expressed as the interaction between cultivar and plant growth regulator averaged over traffic treatments.	53
Table 23. Bermudagrass stolon sugar concentrations for 2005 and 2006 trials expressed as the interaction between traffic and plant growth regulators averaged over cultivars.	54
Table 24. Bermudagrass stolon sugar concentrations for 2005 and 2006 trials expressed as the interaction between traffic and cultivar averaged over plant growth regulator treatments.	55
Table 25. Electrolyte leakage Pr > F values for 2005.	57
Table 26. Electrolyte leakage Pr > F values for 2006.	58
Table 27. Bermudagrass stolon electrolyte leakages for 2005 and 2006 trials expressed as the interaction between traffic and cultivar averaged over plant growth regulator treatments.	59
Table 28. Bermudagrass stolon electrolyte leakage for 2005 and 2006 trials expressed as the interaction between traffic, cultivar, and plant growth regulator treatments.	60

List of Figures

Figure 1. Canopy height of Bermudagrass as influenced by trinexapac ethyl (Ervin and Zhang, 2003).	10
Figure 2. Turf quality of Bermudagrass as influenced by trinexapac ethyl (Ervin and Zhang, 2003).	10
Figure 3. Leaf zeatin riboside (ZR) content as influenced by trinexapac ethyl in bermudagrass (Ervin and Zhang, 2003).	11
Figure 4. Photochemical efficiency (PE) of Bermudagrass as influenced by trinexapac ethyl (Ervin and Zhang, 2003).	12
Figure 5. Monthly High, Low, and Average temperatures for Blacksburg, VA (NOAA, 2007).	17
Figure 6. Atmospheric precipitation for 2005 and 2006 for Blacksburg, VA (NOAA, 2007).	17
Figure 7. Percent turf cover (2005) for overseeded Patriot and TifSport plots after traffic treatments averaged over plant growth regulators.	32
Figure 8. Percent turf cover (2006) for overseeded Patriot and TifSport plots after traffic treatments averaged over plant growth regulators.	32
Figure 9. Percent turf cover (2005) after traffic and plant growth regulator treatments averaged over cultivars.	34
Figure 10. Percent turf cover (2006) after traffic and plant growth regulator treatments averaged over cultivars.	34
Figure 11. Fall turf color ratings (2005) for overseeded Patriot and TifSport bermudagrasses averaged over plant growth regulators. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. Mean separations are determined on a per traffic basis.	40
Figure 12. Fall turf color ratings (2006) for overseeded Patriot and TifSport bermudagrasses after traffic treatments averaged over plant growth regulators. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. Mean separations are determined on a per traffic basis.	40
Figure 13. Fall turf color (2005) after traffic and plant growth regulator treatments averaged over cultivars. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. Mean separations are determined on a per traffic basis.	42

Figure 14. Fall turf color (2006) after traffic and plant growth regulator treatments averaged over cultivars. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. Mean separations are determined on a per traffic basis. 42

Figure 15. Total nonstructural carbohydrate (TNC) and starch content in stolons of Patriot and Tifsport bermudagrasses after traffic treatments averaged over plant growth regulators in 2005 and 2006. 52

Figure 16. Comparison of stolon viability (2005 and 2006) for Tifsport and Patriot bermudagrasses. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. 59

List of Pictures

Picture 1. Differential-slip traffic simulator	18
Picture 2. 100 section grid platform used to determine percent turf cover	20
Picture 3. Images of weed populations becoming an issue in the highly worn areas	23
Picture 4. Patriot became slightly chlorotic in response to the quinclorac application	23