

MODELING LIGHT DUTY VEHICLE EMISSIONS BASED ON INSTANTANEOUS SPEED AND ACCELERATION LEVELS

By Kyounggho Ahn

(ABSTRACT)

This dissertation develops a framework for modeling vehicle emissions microscopically. In addition, the framework is utilized to develop the VT-Micro model using a number of data sources. Key input variables to the VT-Micro model include instantaneous vehicle speed and acceleration levels. Estimating accurate mobile source emissions is becoming more and more critical as a result of increasing environmental problems in large metropolitan urban areas. Current emission inventory models, such as MOBILE and EMPAC, are designed for developing large scale inventories, but are unable to estimate emissions from specific corridors and intersections. Alternatively, microscopic emission models are capable of assessing the impact of transportation scenarios and performing project-level analyses.

The VT-Micro model was developed using data collected at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) that included fuel consumption and emission rate measurements (CO, HC, and NO_x) for five light-duty vehicles (LDVs) and three light-duty trucks (LDTs) as a function of the vehicle's instantaneous speed and acceleration levels. The hybrid regression models predict hot stabilized vehicle fuel consumption and emission rates for LDVs and LDTs. The model is found to be highly accurate compared to the ORNL data with coefficients of determination ranging from 0.92 to 0.99. The study compares fuel consumption and emission results from MOBILE5a, VT-Micro, and CMEM models. The dissertation presents that the proposed VT-Micro model appears to be good enough in terms of absolute light-duty hot stabilized normal vehicle tailpipe emissions. Specifically, the emission estimates were found to be within the 95 percent confidence limits of field data and within the same level of magnitude as the MOBILE5a model estimates. Furthermore, the proposed VT-Micro model was found to reflect differences in drive cycles in a fashion that was consistent with field observations. Specifically, the model accurately captures the increase in emissions for aggressive acceleration drive cycles in comparison with other drive cycles.

The dissertation also presents a framework for developing microscopic emission models. The framework develops emission models by aggregating data using vehicle and operational variables. Specifically, statistical techniques for aggregating vehicles into homogenous categories are utilized as part of the framework. In addition, the framework accounts for temporal lags between vehicle operational variables and vehicle emissions. Finally, the framework is utilized to develop the VT-Micro model version 2.0 utilizing second-by-second chassis dynamometer emission data for a total of 60 light duty vehicles and trucks.

Also, the dissertation introduces a procedure for estimating second-by-second high emitter emissions. This research initially investigates high emitter emission cut-points to verify clear definitions of high emitter vehicles (HEVs) and derives multiplicative factors for newly developed EPA driving cycles. Same model structure with the VT-Micro model is utilized to estimate instantaneous emissions for a total of 36 light duty vehicles and trucks.

Finally, the dissertation develops a microscopic framework for estimating instantaneous vehicle start emissions for LDVs and LDTs. The framework assumes a linear decay in instantaneous start emissions over a 200-second time horizon. The initial vehicle start emission rate is computed based on MOBILE6's soak time function assuming a 200-second decay time interval. The validity of the model was demonstrated using independent trips that involved cold start and hot start impacts with vehicle emissions estimated to within 10 percent of the field data.

The ultimate expansion of this model is its implementation within a microscopic traffic simulation environment in order to evaluate the environmental impacts of alternative ITS and non-ITS strategies. Also, the model can be applied to estimate vehicle emissions using instantaneous GPS speed measurements. Currently, the VT-Micro model has been implemented in the INTEGRATION software for the environmental assessment of operational-level transportation projects.

To my parents and my half

ChangKuk An
&
JeaHae Jung
&
Junghwa Lim

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to give my special thanks to my former advisor *Dr. Michel W. Van Aerde*, who passed away in August 17, 1999. For his generosity, friendship, and academic guidance, I have no words to express my gratitude. He will be always in my memory for my whole life.

I wish to express my most heartfelt thank to my adviser, Dr. Hesham A. Rakha. He was a friend, colleague, and advisor to me. He always gave me chance to discuss my academic issues as well as my personal issues. Without his helps, I could not have been completed my study.

I also would like to express my sincere appreciation to my co-adviser, Dr. Antonio Trani who has encouraged me and has given me invaluable advice on the academic as well as personal matters throughout the whole period of study.

My thankfulness also goes to Dr. Dusan Teodorovic, Dr. Pushkin Kachroo, and Dr. François Dion, my committee members, who have provided educational guidance and incentive through valuable advice regarding my research.

I would like to thank my friends including Dr. Youn-soo Kang, Dr. Hojong Baik, Dr. Gyuhae Park, Dr. Heung-Gweon Sin, and Dr. Keunpyo Kim for their support and warmhearted friendship. To the people at VTTI, thank you for all the memories.

I greatly appreciate my parents, my bother (and his half), and mother-in-law, in Korea, for their endless sacrifice in bringing me up and offering me an opportunity to be here.

Finally this work is dedicated to my sincere love, my wife, Junghwa, who gave me love, encouragement, patience, and confidence.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xi
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 PROBLEM DEFINITION	1
1.2 RESEARCH OBJECTIVE	2
1.3 RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS	3
1.4 DISSERTATION LAYOUT	3
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 TRANSPORTATION POLLUTANTS	5
2.2 US FEDERAL LAW EMISSION REQUIREMENTS	6
2.2.1 1990 Clean Air Act (CAA)	6
2.2.2 Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA)	8
2.2.3 State Implementation Plan Submissions (SIPs)	8
2.2.4 Conformity Process	9
2.2.5 Transportation Control Measures (TCMs)	10
2.3 TRANSPORTATION ENERGY CONSUMPTION	11
2.4 FACTORS AFFECTING TRANSPORTATION POLLUTANTS	11
2.4.1 Travel-Related Factors	12
2.4.2 Driver-Related Factors	12
2.4.3 Highway-Related Factors	13
2.4.4 Vehicle-Related And Other Factors	13
2.5 FACTORS AFFECTING TRANSPORTATION ENERGY CONSUMPTION	13
2.5.1 Travel-Related Factors	14
2.5.2 Driver-Related Factors	14
2.5.3 Highway-Related Factors	14
2.5.4 Vehicle-Related And Other Factors	15
2.6 STATE-OF-THE-ART ENERGY AND EMISSION MODELS	15
2.6.1 Macroscopic Emission Models	16
2.6.2 Microscopic Energy and Emission Models	17
2.7 SUMMARY	20
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	21
3.1 INTRODUCTION	21
3.2 METHODOLOGIES	21
3.2.1 Identification Of The Optimum Model Approach and Structure For Hot Stabilized Condition	21
3.2.2 Development of a Framework for Modeling Vehicle Emissions	23
3.2.3 Development of New High Emitter Cut-points for Newly Developed EPA Drive Cycles and High	

Emitter Emission Models	23
3.2.4 Development of a Framework for Estimating Instantaneous Vehicle Start Emissions	24
3.3 SUMMARY	24
CHAPTER 4. ESTIMATING VEHICLE FUEL CONSUMPTION AND EMISSIONS BASED ON INSTANTANEOUS SPEED AND ACCELERATION LEVELS: VT-MICRO MODEL DEVELOPMENT	
	26
4.1 INTRODUCTION	26
4.1.1 Problem Definition	26
4.1.2 Research Objective	27
4.1.3 Chapter Layout	27
4.2 SIGNIFICANCE OF PROPOSED MODELS	27
4.3 VEHICLE ENERGY AND EMISSION DATA SOURCE DESCRIPTION	28
4.4 DEVELOPMENT OF MODELS	30
4.4.1 Background	30
4.4.2 Model Development	30
4.4.3 Model Domain of Application	33
4.5 MODEL VALIDATION	34
4.5.1 Description of EPA Data Sets	34
4.5.2 Description of EPA Drive Cycles	34
4.5.3 Aggregate Emission Model Validation	35
4.5.4 Instantaneous Emission Model Validation	35
4.5.5 Comparison with MOBILE5a	36
4.6 SUMMARY	37
CHAPTER 5. COMPARISON OF MOBILE5A, VT-MICRO, AND CMEM MODELS FOR HOT-STABILIZED LIGHT DUTY GASOLINE VEHICLES	
	46
5.1 INTRODUCTION	46
5.1.1 Objectives of Research	46
5.1.2 Significance of Research	46
5.1.3 Chapter Layout	46
5.2 IDENTIFICATION OF MOST SUITABLE MICROSCOPIC MODEL STRUCTURE	47
5.2.1 Best Model Structure Selection	47
5.2.2 Model Structure Comparison	48
5.3 COMPARISON OF VT-MICRO AND CMEM MODELS	51
5.4 MODEL VALIDATION AGAINST FIELD DATA AND MOBILE5A	53
5.5 SUMMARY	55
CHAPTER 6. VT-MICRO VERSION 2.0: MODELING HOT STABILIZED LIGHT DUTY VEHICLE AND TRUCK EMISSIONS	
	68
6.1 INTRODUCTION	68
6.1.1 Objectives of Research	68
6.1.2 Significance of Research	69
6.1.3 Chapter Layout	69
6.2 EMISSION DATA DESCRIPTION	69

6.2.1 Data Collection Procedures	69
6.2.2 Test Vehicle Characterization	70
6.2.3 Drive Cycle Characterization	70
6.3 MODEL DEVELOPMENT	71
6.3.1 Vehicle Classification	72
6.3.2 Temporal Normalization of Data	75
6.3.3 Model Construction	76
6.4 MODEL VALIDATION	79
6.4.1 Aggregate Model Validation	79
6.4.2 Instantaneous Emission Model Validation	80
6.5 SUMMARY	81
CHAPTER 7. HIGH EMITTER EMISSIONS MODELING FOR VT-MICRO MODEL BASED ON SPEED AND ACCELERATION LEVELS	96
7.1 INTRODUCTION	96
7.1.1 Objectives of Research	96
7.1.2 Significance of Research	97
7.1.3 Chapter Layout	97
7.2 RESEARCH EFFORTS FOR HIGH EMITTERS	98
7.2.1 High Emitter Definitions	98
7.2.2 EPA's MOBILE6 Model	99
7.2.3 Comprehensive Modal Emission Model	100
7.3 EMISSION DATA DESCRIPTION	101
7.4 HIGH EMITTER CRITERIA AND EMISSION CUT-POINTS FOR NEW CYCLES	101
7.4.1 High Emitter Characteristics	102
7.4.2 Emission Cut-points for EPA New Cycles	104
7.5 MODEL DEVELOPMENT	106
7.5.1 Vehicle Classification	106
7.5.2 Temporal Normalization of Data	107
7.5.3 Model Construction	108
7.6 MODEL VALIDATION	110
7.6.1 Aggregate Model Validation	111
7.6.2 Instantaneous Emission Model Validation	111
7.7 SUMMARY	112

CHAPTER 8. MICROSCOPIC MODELING OF VEHICLE START EMISSIONS	132
8.1 INTRODUCTION	132
8.1.1 Objectives of Research	133
8.1.2 Significance of Research	133
8.1.3 Chapter Layout	133
8.2 STATE -OF-PRACTICE MODELING OF VEHICLE START EMISSIONS	134
8.2.1 Overview of the FTP and HR505 Drive Cycles	134
8.2.2 Modeling Vehicle Start Emissions in MOBILE6	134
8.3 PROPOSED MODELING FRAMEWORK	136
8.4 DEVELOPMENT OF VEHICLE START EMISSION MODEL	137
8.4.1 Data Description	137
8.4.2 Vehicle Start Effects on Vehicle Emissions	138
8.4.3 Development of a Vehicle Start Emission Model	139
8.5 MODEL VALIDATION	140
8.5.1 Macroscopic Engine Start Emission Validation	140
8.5.2 Microscopic Cold Start Emission Model Validation	141
8.6 SUMMARY	143
CHAPTER 9. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	158
9.1 CONCLUSIONS	158
9.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	161
REFERENCES	163
APPENDIX	169
APPENDIX A	169
APPENDIX B	172
APPENDIX C	175
VITA	177

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 4-1. ORNL TEST VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS	39
TABLE 4-2. REGRESSION MODEL COMPARISON	39
TABLE 4-3. SAMPLE COEFFICIENTS OF HYBRID REGRESSION MODEL (HC EMISSIONS FOR COMPOSITE VEHICLE)	40
TABLE 4-4. EPA'S NEW FACILITY-SPECIFIC DRIVE CYCLE CHARACTERISTICS	40
TABLE 5-1. PROPOSED INSTANTANEOUS ENERGY AND EMISSION MODEL STRUCTURES	57
TABLE 5-2. EPA'S NEW FACILITY-SPECIFIC DRIVE CYCLE CHARACTERISTICS	58
TABLE 6-1. CART BASED VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION	82
TABLE 6-2. VARIATION OF VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION	82
TABLE 6-3. CMEM VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION	83
TABLE 6-4. SAMPLE COEFFICIENTS OF LDT1 MODEL (HC EMISSIONS)	83
TABLE 7-1. EPA'S SAMPLE VEHICLE-LIGHT DUTY VEHICLES TESTED AT EASTLIBERTY	114
TABLE 7-2. EPA'S SAMPLE VEHICLE-LIGHT DUTY TRUCKS TESTED AT EASTLIBERTY	115
TABLE 7-3. EPA'S SAMPLE VEHICLE-LIGHT DUTY VEHICLES TESTED AT ANN ARBOR	115
TABLE 7-4. EPA'S SAMPLE VEHICLE-LIGHT DUTY TRUCKS TESTED AT ANN ARBOR	116
TABLE 7-5. TOTAL HC EMISSIONS AND AVERAGE HC EMISSIONS FOR SAMPLE VEHICLES	116
TABLE 7-6. IM240 TEST AND LA4 CYCLE SPEEDS COMPARISON	117
TABLE 7-7. IM240 TEST AND LA4 CYCLE DRIVING BEHAVIOUR COMPARISON	117
TABLE 7-8. EMITTER GROUP DISTRIBUTION USING FPT DRIVING CYCLE CRITERIA FOR HC	118
TABLE 7-9. HC EMISSION STATISTICS FOR EPA NEW DRIVING CYCLES	118
TABLE 7-10. HC SCALE FACTORS DERIVED FROM FTP CYCLE	119
TABLE 7-11. COMPARISON BETWEEN MULTIPLE CUT-POINTS AND SINGLE CUT-POINT METHODS (HC)	120
TABLE 7-12. CO SCALE FACTORS DERIVED FROM FTP	121
TABLE 7-13. COMPARISON BETWEEN MULTIPLE CUT-POINTS AND SINGLE CUT-POINT METHODS (CO)	122
TABLE 7-14. NOX SCALE FACTORS DERIVED FROM FTP	123
TABLE 7-15. COMPARISON BETWEEN MULTIPLE CUT-POINTS AND SINGLE CUT-POINT METHODS (NOX)	124
TABLE 7-16. VARIATION OF HIGH EMITTER CLASSIFICATION	124
TABLE 8-1. EPA SAMPLE TEST VEHICLES CHARACTERISTICS	145
TABLE 8-2. EPA SAMPLE TEST VEHICLE ENGINE START EMISSIONS FOR LA92 DRIVE CYCLE	145
TABLE 8-3. X-AXIS INTERCEPT OF EPA SAMPLE TEST VEHICLES	145
TABLE 8-4. CALIBRATED MODEL COEFFICIENTS FOR COLD START LINEAR MODEL	146
TABLE 8-5. ADDITIONAL FUEL CONSUMPTION AND EMISSIONS ATTRIBUTED TO COLD START OPERATION FOR FTP CYCLE BAG1	146
TABLE 8-6. MODEL ERRORS FOR COLD START EMISSIONS	147
TABLE 8-7. MODEL ERRORS FOR HOT START EMISSIONS	147

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 4-1. ORNL NOX EMISSIONS RATES (MERCURY VILLAGER)	41
FIGURE 4-2. MAXIMUM ACCELERATION AS A FUNCTION OF VEHICLE SPEED (COMPOSITE VEHICLE)	41
FIGURE 4-3. REGRESSION MODEL PREDICTIONS (COMPOSITE VEHICLE – LOG-TRANSFORMED POLYNOMIAL MODEL)	42
FIGURE 4-4. REGRESSION MODEL PREDICTIONS (COMPOSITE VEHICLE – LOG-TRANSFORMED HYBRID POLYNOMIAL MODEL)	42
FIGURE 4-5. SIMULATED EMISSIONS FOR DIFFERENT DRIVING CYCLES	43
FIGURE 4-6. HC EMISSION COMPARISON FOR THE ARTA DRIVING CYCLE	44
FIGURE 4-7. MODEL COMPARISON TO MOBILE5A	45
FIGURE 5-1. VT-MICRO MODEL PREDICTIONS (COMPOSITE VEHICLE – LOG-TRANSFORMED POLYNOMIAL MODEL)	59
FIGURE 5-2. VT-MICRO MODEL PREDICTIONS (COMPOSITE VEHICLE – LOG-TRANSFORMED HYBRID POLYNOMIAL MODEL)	59
FIGURE 5-3. VARIATION IN TRIP MOE ERROR FOR THE 14 MODEL STRUCTURES	60
FIGURE 5-4. MODEL COMPARISON WITH ORNL DATA SET (TOTAL ERROR)	60
FIGURE 5-5. MODEL COMPARISON WITH ORNL DATA SET (RMSE)	61
FIGURE 5-6. COMPARISON OF VT-MICRO AND CMEM MODEL USING DRIVING CYCLES	62
FIGURE 5-7. DISAGGREGATE MODEL COMPARISON OF VT-MICRO AND CMEM MODEL OUTPUT (FUEL CONSUMPTION)	63
FIGURE 5-8. DISAGGREGATE MODEL COMPARISON OF VT-MICRO AND CMEM MODEL (HC EMISSIONS)	64
FIGURE 5-9. DISAGGREGATE MODEL COMPARISON OF VT-MICRO AND CMEM MODEL (CO EMISSIONS)	65
FIGURE 5-10. DISAGGREGATE MODEL COMPARISON OF VT-MICRO AND CMEM MODEL (NOX EMISSIONS)	66
FIGURE 5-11. VT-MICRO COMPARISON AGAINST MOBILE5A AND EPA DATA	67
FIGURE 6-1. EPA TEST VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS	84
FIGURE 6-2. SECOND-BY-SECOND EMISSION DATA BINNING FRAMEWORK	85
FIGURE 6-3. LIGHT DUTY VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION USING CART ALGORITHM	86
FIGURE 6-4. LIGHT DUTY TRUCK CLASSIFICATION USING CART ALGORITHM	86
FIGURE 6-5. SPEED AND ACCELERATION DISTRIBUTION FOR SAMPLE VEHICLE (15 DRIVE CYCLES)	87
FIGURE 6-6. SAMPLE NORMALIZED EMISSION DATA (LDT1)	88
FIGURE 6-7. MODEL PREDICTION (LDT1)	89
FIGURE 6-8. MODEL PREDICTION (LDV2)	89
FIGURE 6-9. FUEL CONSUMPTION PREDICTION (LDT2)	90
FIGURE 6-10. MODEL VALIDATION FOR 15 DRIVING CYCLES (LDT1)	91
FIGURE 6-11. MODEL PREDICTION FOR 15 DRIVING CYCLES (LDV2)	92
FIGURE 6-12. SPEED AND ACCELERATION PROFILES FOR ARTA DRIVING CYCLE	93
FIGURE 6-13. INSTANTANEOUS MODEL VALIDATION FOR ARTA CYCLE (LDT1)	94
FIGURE 6-14. INSTANTANEOUS MODEL VALIDATION FOR ARTA CYCLE (LDV2)	95

FIGURE 7-1. ARTA DRIVING CYCLE HC EMISSIONS OF DIFFERENT TEST VEHICLES	125
FIGURE 7-2. HIGH EMITTER MODELLING TEST VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS	126
FIGURE 7-3. SAMPLE NORMALIZED EMISSION DATA	127
FIGURE 7-4. MODEL PREDICTION (VEHICLE CATEGORY HIGH EMITTER GROUP 4)	128
FIGURE 7-5. FUEL CONSUMPTION PREDICTION (VEHICLE CATEGORY HIGH EMITTER GROUP 4)	128
FIGURE 7-6. AGGREGATE MODEL VALIDATION FOR VEHICLE CATEGORY HIGH EMITTER GROUP 4	129
FIGURE 7-7. INSTANTANEOUS MODEL VALIDATION FOR VEHICLE CATEGORY HIGH EMITTER GROUP 4	130
FIGURE 7-8. INSTANTANEOUS PREDICTION VERIFICATION USING X-Y COORDINATION FOR VEHICLE CATEGORY HIGH EMITTER GROUP 4	131
FIGURE 8-1. HC CALIFORNIA SOAK FUNCTION FOR A CATALYST-EQUIPPED VEHICLE	148
FIGURE 8-2. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK FOR ESTIMATING VEHICLE START EMISSIONS	149
FIGURE 8-3. SPEED PROFILES FOR LA92 AND ST01 DRIVE CYCLES	150
FIGURE 8-4. EPA TEST VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS	151
FIGURE 8-5. MICROSCOPIC ENGINE START EMISSIONS FOR A SAMPLE VEHICLE (VEHICLE 5174)	152
FIGURE 8-6. ADDITIONAL HC EMISSIONS ATTRIBUTED TO COLD START OPERATION (EMISSION DIFFERENCE BETWEEN COLD START AND HOT STABILIZED CONDITIONS)	153
FIGURE 8-7. REGRESSION MODEL COLD START EMISSION MODEL FOR SAMPLE VEHICLE (VEHICLE 5174)	153
FIGURE 8-8. PROPOSED LINEAR DECAY FUNCTION IN COLD START EMISSIONS FOR SAMPLE VEHICLE	154
FIGURE 8-9. INSTANTANEOUS HOT STABILIZED EMISSION VALIDATION	155
FIGURE 8-10. INSTANTANEOUS HOT STABILIZED EMISSION VALIDATION (SMOOTHING FACTOR=1.0)	156
FIGURE 8-11. INSTANTANEOUS HOT STABILIZED EMISSION VALIDATION (SMOOTHING FACTOR=0.3)	157
FIGURE A-1. DRIVING CYCLES OF ARTERIAL AND LOCAL ROADWAYS	169
FIGURE A-2. DRIVING CYCLES OF FREEWAYS	170
FIGURE A-3. DRIVING CYCLES OF ADDITIONAL CYCLES	171
FIGURE B-1. MODEL PREDICTION (LDT2)	172
FIGURE B-2. MODEL PREDICTION (LDV1)	172
FIGURE B-3. MODEL PREDICTION (LDV3)	173
FIGURE B-4. MODEL PREDICTION (LDV4)	173
FIGURE B-5. MODEL PREDICTION (LDV5)	174
FIGURE C-1. MODEL PREDICTION (VEHICLE CATEGORY HIGH EMITTER GROUP 1)	175
FIGURE C-2. MODEL PREDICTION (VEHICLE CATEGORY HIGH EMITTER GROUP 2)	176
FIGURE C-3. MODEL PREDICTION (VEHICLE CATEGORY HIGH EMITTER GROUP 3)	176