

**Determination of Flame Dynamics for Unsteady
Combustion Systems using Tunable Diode Laser
Absorption Spectroscopy**

Adam G. Hendricks

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of the
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science
in
Mechanical Engineering

Dr. Uri Vandsburger, Chair
Dr. William R. Saunders
Dr. William T. Baumann
Dr. Vivek K. Khanna

December 2003
Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: Flame Dynamics, Tunable Diode Laser Absorption
Spectroscopy, Combustion, Thermoacoustic Instabilities

Copyright 2003, Adam G. Hendricks

Determination of Flame Dynamics for Unsteady Combustion Systems using Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy

Adam G. Hendricks

Abstract

Lean, premixed combustion has enjoyed increased application due to the need to reduce pollutant emissions. Unfortunately, operating the flame at lean conditions increases susceptibility to thermoacoustic (TA) instability. Self-excited TA instabilities are a result of the coupling of the unsteady heat release rate of the flame with the acoustics of the combustion chamber. The result is large pressure oscillations that degrade performance and durability of combustion systems.

Industry currently has no reliable tool to predict instabilities a priori. CFD simulations of full-scale, turbulent, reacting flows remain unrealizable. The work in this paper is part of a study that focuses on developing compact models of TA instabilities, i.e. acoustics and flame dynamics. Flame dynamics are defined as the response in heat release to acoustic perturbations. Models of flame dynamics can be coupled with models of combustor enclosure acoustics to predict TA instabilities. In addition, algorithms to actively control instabilities can be based on these compact models of flame dynamics and acoustics.

The work outlined in this thesis aims at determining the flame dynamics model experimentally. Velocity perturbations are imparted on laminar and turbulent flames via a loudspeaker upstream of the flame. The response of the flame is observed through two measurements. Hydroxyl radical (OH*) chemiluminescence indicates the response in chemical reaction rate. Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy (TDLAS), centered over two water absorption features, allows a dynamic measurement of the product gas temperature. The response in product gas temperature directly relates to the enthalpy fluctuations that couple to the acoustics. Experimental frequency response functions of a laminar, flat-flame burner and a turbulent, swirl-stabilized combustor will be presented as well as empirical low-order models of flame dynamics.

Acknowledgements

The work presented in this thesis is the result of the effort of a large network of people. To all that have contributed to my success – thank you. First and foremost, to Dr. Vandsburger, who has been my tireless mentor and advocate for the four and a half years that I have known him: thank you for providing me with the perfect balance between direction and personal discovery. Your emphasis on fostering the growth of your students as researchers will serve me for the rest of my career. To the other members of the Virginia Active Combustion Control Group, thank you for help and willingness to interact and lend your expertise to my project. From my undergraduate years to now, each one of you has played an integral part in my experience and education. To my family and friends, thank you for your constant support and interest in my endeavors. It has meant a great deal in helping me to stay motivated.

A thanks is also in order for those that contributed directly to this project. To Dr. Hanson and the High Temperature Gasdynamics Laboratory at Stanford University, thank you for allowing me to gain experience in your lab and for your willingness to answer questions on Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy. To Glenn Diskin at NASA-Langley, thank you for providing your expertise and equipment in characterizing the diode lasers.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Graphical Materials	vii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Objectives	2
1.3 Background	3
1.3.1 Semiconductor Lasers	3
1.3.2 Absorption Spectroscopy	4
1.3.3 Chemiluminescence	7
1.3.4 Dynamic Systems	8
1.3.5 Flame Dynamics	12
1.4 Scope	13
1.5 Approach	14
1.6 Structure of Thesis	16
2 Literature Review	18
2.1 Diagnostics for Reacting Flows	18
2.1.1 Semiconductor Lasers	18
2.1.2 Absorption Spectroscopy	19
2.1.3 Chemiluminescence	21
2.2 Combustion Instabilities	22
2.2.1 Acoustics	26
2.2.2 Equivalence Ratio Fluctuations	26
2.2.3 Fluid Mechanics and Turbulence	27
3 Experimental Method	31
3.1 Dynamic Temperature Measurement	31
3.1.1 Near-IR Line Candidates	31
3.1.2 Measurement Sensitivity	34
3.1.3 Experimental Hardware	36
3.1.4 Procedure	39
3.2 Equivalence Ratio Fluctuations	44
3.2.1 IR Line Candidates	44
3.2.2 Measurement Sensitivity	45
3.2.3 Experimental Hardware	46
3.3 Hydroxyl Radical Chemiluminescence	48
3.3.1 Species Candidates	48
3.3.2 Experimental Hardware – Laminar Burner	48
3.3.3 Experimental Hardware – Turbulent Combustor	49
3.4 Velocity Sensor	50

3.5	Laminar, Flat-Flame Burner	57
	3.5.1 Design	57
	3.5.2 Apparatus	57
3.6	Turbulent, Swirl-Stabilized Combustor	59
	3.6.1 Design	59
	3.6.2 Apparatus	59
3.7	Data Acquisition System	61
4	Laminar Flame Dynamics Study	63
4.1	Objectives	63
4.2	Experimental Procedure	63
	4.2.1 Measurement Process	64
	4.2.2 Frequency Response Data Analysis	64
4.3	Experimental Results	66
	4.3.1 OH* Chemiluminescence Frequency Response Functions	66
	4.3.2 Temperature Frequency Response Functions	73
	4.3.3 Comparison of Reaction Rate and Acoustic Forcing Function Dynamics	80
	4.3.4 Zeros in Temperature Frequency Response Functions	81
	4.3.5 Evidence of Flame Wrinkling	84
	4.3.6 Comparison to Laminar Flame Code	88
	4.3.7 Fuel Variability	94
4.4	Models of Laminar Flame Dynamics	99
	4.4.1 Approach	99
	4.4.2 Dimensional Analysis	99
	4.4.3 Case Models	104
	4.4.4 General Model	120
4.5	Summary and Conclusions	124
5	Turbulent Flame Dynamics Study	127
5.1	Objectives	127
5.2	Experimental Procedure	127
	5.2.1 Measurement Process	127
	5.2.2 Frequency Response Data Analysis	128
5.3	Experimental Results	129
	5.3.1 OH* Chemiluminescence Frequency Response Functions	129
	5.3.2 Temperature Frequency Response Functions	131
	5.3.3 Chimney Acoustics	133
	5.3.4 Comparison of Laminar and Turbulent Flame Dynamics	135
	5.3.5 Comparison of Reaction Rate and Acoustic Forcing Function Dynamics	138
5.4	Models of Turbulent Flame Dynamics	140
	5.4.1 Approach	140
	5.4.2 Dimensional Analysis	140
	5.4.3 Case Models	147

5.4.4	General Model	160
5.5	Summary and Conclusions	161
6	Conclusions and Recommendations	164
6.1	Conclusions	164
6.2	Recommendations for Future Study	166
6.2.1	Laminar Flame Dynamics	167
6.2.2	Turbulent Flame Dynamics	167
6.2.3	Equivalence Ratio Fluctuations	167
6.2.4	Fuel Variability	168
6.2.5	Active Combustion Control	169
Appendix A:	Error Analysis	170
Appendix B:	Spectroscopic Data	175
B1	Calibration Data	
B2	Wavelength-Scanning Technique	
Appendix C:	Equipment Information	181
Appendix D:	Technical Drawings	182
Appendix E:	Circuit Diagrams	184
Appendix F:	TDLAS Manual	190
Vita		203

List of Graphical Materials

Figure 1.1. System Diagram. System diagram of self-excited thermo-acoustic instabilities.....	2
Table 1.2 Relevant Absorption Bands. Absorption bands of species in combustion	4
Figure 1.3. Typical Absorption Spectroscopy Experimental Setup	4
Figure 1.4. Energy Levels. Chemiluminescence results from an excited radical decreasing energy levels and emitting light [6].....	8
Figure 1.5. Typical Frequency Response Functions. FRF's are presented as magnitude and phase.....	11
Figure 1.6. Open-Loop System. No feedback is present in an open-loop system.	12
Figure 1.7. Step Response of Acoustic Forcing Term. A 1-D model including full chemistry and all modes of heat transfer was used [8].....	15
Figure 1.8. Comparison of $\partial T / \partial t$ and $\partial T / \partial x$ Terms from 1-D Model. The $\partial T / \partial t$ term dominates the acoustic forcing function.....	15
Figure 2.1. Modes of Vibration. The mode of vibration determines the wavelength of emission or absorption.....	20
Figure 2.2. Chemiluminescence Spectrum. The spectrum was measured on a Bunsen-type flame [26].....	22
Figure 2.3. Rijke Tube Stability. When the velocity and pressure fluctuations are in phase (a), a positive Rayleigh index (b) indicates growth of the oscillation [from [30]].	24
Figure 3.1. Water Absorption Spectra. Calculated absorption spectrum of water at 2000K overlaid with available diode laser wavelengths (gray bars). The heavy arrows indicate candidate transitions [1].....	32
Figure 3.2. Selected Transitions. Expanded view of transitions used in this study.	33
Figure 3.3. Temperature Dependence. The dependence of linestrength on temperature.....	33

Figure 3.4. Sensitivity to Concentration. A change in concentration does not significantly change R.....	35
Figure 3.5. Diode-Laser Experimental Setup. The beams (only one shown) pass through the flame twice to increase signal to noise ratio.....	37
Figure 3.6. Beam Paths. The beams are on approximately the same path.....	37
Figure 3.7. Laminar Burner Setup. The lasers and detectors were kept on the same optical bench: 1-1343nm, 2-1392nm.....	38
Figure 3.8. Turbulent Burner Setup. Water-cooling and shields protect the optics from the flame.....	39
Figure 3.9. Absorption Lineshapes in Ambient Air. The absorption of water in ambient air must be accounted for to obtain accurate temperatures (a) 7444.37 cm^{-1} (b) 7185.59 cm^{-1}	42
Figure 3.10. Absorption Lineshapes with Flame. The water absorption in the presence of the flame is much greater than the background absorption.....	43
Figure 3.11. Digital filter. The digital filter does not affect the phase of the data..	44
Figure 3.12. Methane Transition. The transition at 3392 nm (2948.1 cm^{-1}) was probed in this study.....	45
Figure 3.13. Methane Absorption Experimental Setup.....	46
Figure 3.14. Window Assembly – Laminar Burner. Windows are mounted on tubes to adjust the pathlength.....	47
Figure 3.15. Window Assembly – Turbulent Combustor. Threaded tubes hold sapphire windows in place.....	47
Figure 3.16. OH* Chemiluminescence Receiving Optics – Laminar Burner. Fiber optics carried light to a monochrometer.....	49
Figure 3.17. OH* Chemiluminescence Receiving Optics – Turbulent Combustor. The turbulent combustor used a lens and filter system.....	50
Figure 3.18. Velocity Sensor used in the Laminar Burner. The sensor is located directly upstream of the honeycomb.....	51
Figure 3.19. Velocity Sensor used in the Turbulent Combustor. The sensor is located upstream of the swirler.....	51

Figure 3.20. Frequency Response and Coherence between Microphones. Variations are due to the locations of the microphones in the combustor.....	53
Figure 3.21. Velocity Frequency Response and Coherence. (a) laminar burner, (b) turbulent combustor.....	54
Figure 3.22. Circuit Frequency Response Function. The response of the circuit to random noise input.....	56
Figure 3.23. Laminar Flat-Flame Burner. The stable burner was used to study laminar flame dynamics.....	58
Figure 3.24. Turbulent, Swirl-Stabilized Combustor [Khanna, 2001]. Swirl-Stabilized combustors are used in many current gas turbines.....	60
Figure 3.25. Rig Modifications. The turbulent rig was modified to allow for static swirlers and optical access to measure equivalence ratio fluctuations.....	60
Figure 4.1. Time-Trace Data. The filtered signal (dark blue) maintains phase integrity with the raw signal (light blue).....	65
Figure 4.2. OH* Chemiluminescence Frequency Response - Methane. Normalized to DC value (a)Q=145 cc/sec, (b) Q=160 cc/sec, (c)Q=180 cc/sec, (d) Q=200 cc/sec..	70
Figure 4.3. OH* Chemiluminescence Frequency Response - Ethane. Normalized to DC value (a)Q=145 cc/sec, (b) Q=200 cc/sec	71
Figure 4.4. OH* Chemiluminescence Frequency Response - Propane. Normalized to DC value (a)Q=145 cc/sec, (b) Q=200 cc/sec.....	72
Figure 4.5. Temperature Frequency Response - Methane. Normalized to DC value. (a)Q=145 cc/sec (b) Q=160 cc/sec, (c)Q=180 cc/sec (d) Q=200 cc/sec.....	77
Figure 4.6. Temperature Frequency Response - Ethane. Normalized to DC value. (a)Q=145 cc/sec (b) Q=200 cc/sec.....	78
Figure 4.7. Temperature Frequency Response - Propane. Normalized to DC value. (a)Q=145 cc/sec (b) Q=200 cc/sec.....	79
Figure 4.8. Comparison of Reaction Rate and Acoustic Forcing Function Dynamics. The damping and bandwidth of the frequency responses are dissimilar.....	81
Figure 4.9. Zeros in the Temperature FRF's. A phase rise of 180 degrees and an inverted peak in the magnitude indicate a set of complex conjugate zeros.....	83

Figure 4.10. Possible Causes of Zeros. (a) entropy wave interaction, (b) symmetric modes.....	84
Figure 4.11. Wrinkled Flame Front. Image taken from Markstein.....	85
Figure 4.12. 2-D Model Coordinates. A line-of-sight measurement on a 2-D phenomenon.....	86
Figure 4.13. Iso-Surface. The surface represents a vertical plane of the flame front, fluctuating in time (t), and space (x).....	87
Figure 4.14. Simulated Signal. Integration over the y-range [-0.4,0.6] generates a time-varying signal representing the line-of-sight measurement.....	87
Figure 4.15. Forced Frequency Response. The FRF shows resonances with no phase drop.....	88
Figure 4.16. Numerical Flame Model. The numerical model predicts the frequency responses well for most cases.....	91
Figure 4.17. Local v. Global Frequency Response Function. The frequency response is dependent on measurement location.....	93
Table 4.18. Fuel Properties. Methane, ethane, and propane were studied [9].....	94
Figure 4.19. Fuel Variability – OH* FRF. Fuel properties affect the flame dynamics.....	96
Figure 4.20. Fuel Variability – Temperature FRF. Properties of the fuel affect the transient response.....	98
Figure 4.21. Laminar Flame Speed for Methane. The flame speed varies with equivalence ratio.....	104
Table 4.22. Steady-state OH* levels [V].....	106
Table 4.23. Dynamic Models of Chemical Heat Release Rate.....	107
Figure 4.24. Parameters of the Dynamic Models of Chemical Heat Release Rate. Flow rates [cc/sec]: ○ 145, △ 160, □ 180, ◇ 200.....	108
Figure 4.25. Dynamic Models of Chemical Heat Release Rate for Methane.....	110
Figure 4.26. Dynamic Models of Chemical Heat Release Rate for Ethane.....	111
Figure 4.27. Dynamic Models of Chemical Heat Release Rate for Propane.....	112

Table 4.28. Steady-State Temperature. The steady-state temperature was measured at the beginning and end of each test.....	113
Table 4.29. Parameters of the Dynamic Models of Acoustic Forcing Function. Second-order models with time delay approximate the data.....	114
Figure 4.30. Parameters of the Dynamic Models of the Acoustic Forcing Function. Flow rates [cc/sec]: ○ 145, ▲ 160, □ 180, ◇ 200.....	115
Figure 4.31. Dynamic Models of Acoustic Forcing Function Dynamics for Methane. Transfer functions were fit to the data plotted as a function of equivalence ratio * 0.50, x 0.55, + 0.60, ○ 0.65, □ 0.75.....	117
Figure 4.32. Dynamic Models of Acoustic Forcing Function Dynamics for Ethane. Transfer functions were fit to the data plotted as a function of equivalence ratio: x 0.55, □ 0.75.....	118
Figure 4.33. Dynamic Models of Acoustic Forcing Function Dynamics for Propane. Transfer functions were fit to the data plotted as a function of equivalence ratio: x 0.55, □ 0.75.....	119
Table 4.34. General Model Parameters. The general model describes a large portion of the data well.....	120
Figure 4.35. General Dynamic Model of Acoustic Forcing Function Dynamics for Methane. The general model describes the majority of the cases. Plotted as a function of equivalence ratio * 0.50, x 0.55, + 0.60, ○ 0.65, □ 0.75.....	122
Figure 4.36. General Dynamic Model of Acoustic Forcing Function Dynamics for Ethane. The general model describes the majority of the cases. Plotted as a function of equivalence ratio: x 0.55, □ 0.75.....	123
Figure 4.37. General Dynamic Model of Acoustic Forcing Function Dynamics for Propane. The general model describes the majority of the cases. Plotted as a function of equivalence ratio: x 0.55, □ 0.75.....	124
Figure 5.1. OH* Chemiluminescence Frequency Response Functions. The frequency responses show similar trends (a) data, (b) legend.....	131
Figure 5.2. Temperature Frequency Response. Turbulent flames exhibit complicated AFF dynamics. (a) data, (b) legend.....	133
Figure 5.3 Chimney Pressure: No Flame. The chimney pressure shows no significant acoustic resonances.....	134

Figure 5.4 Chimney Pressure: with Flame. No acoustic resonances are observed at high forcing amplitudes.....	134
Figure 5.5. OH* Chemiluminescence FRF. Reaction rate dynamics are similar for the laminar (.) and turbulent (o) flames at low frequencies.....	136
Figure 5.6. Temperature FRF. AFF dynamics are similar for the laminar (.) and turbulent (o) flames at frequencies below 200 Hz.....	137
Figure 5.7. Comparison of OH* Chemiluminescence (OH) and Temperature (T) Frequency Response Functions. Responses are similar at frequencies below 180 Hz.	139
Table 5.8. Steady-State OH* Chemiluminescence.....	142
Table 5.9. Steady-State Temperatures.....	143
Figure 5.10. Turbulent Flame Speed. Turbulent flame speed was estimated...	143
Table 5.11. Legend. The legend applies to the remainder of figures in Chapter 5..	143
Table 5.12. Regimes of Turbulent Premixed Combustion [3].....	144
Figure 5.13. Damkohler Number. The Damkohler number indicates the residence time.....	145
Figure 5.14. Turbulence Diagram. Image taken from [5].....	146
Figure 5.15. Karlovitz number. The Karlovitz number indicates the degree of flame stretch.....	147
Table 5.16. Reaction Rate Model Parameters.....	148
Figure 5.17. Pole-Zero Map. X – poles, O – zeros.....	149
Figure 5.18. Model Parameters. The parameters are monotonic with equivalence ratio. blue: Q=20, S=0.78, magenta: Q=20, S=0.45, green: Q=25, S=0.78.....	152
Figure 5.19. Reaction Rate Models. A 6 th order system with time delay describes the reaction rate dynamics.....	154
Table 5.20. AFF Model Parameters. The turbulent AFF is modeled with a 4 th order system.....	155
Figure 5.21. Pole-Zero Map. X – poles, O – zeros.....	156

Figure 5.22. Model Parameters. The parameters are monotonic with equivalence ratio. blue: $Q=20$, $S=0.78$, magenta: $Q=20$, $S=0.45$, green: $Q=25$, $S=0.78$158

Figure 5.23. Acoustic Forcing Function Models. The acoustic forcing function was modeled with 4 zeros and 4 poles.160

Table 5.24. General Model Parameters. The general model describes a large portion of the data well.160

Figure 5.25. General Model. The general model describes the majority of the cases.161

Figure 6.1. System Diagram of Self-Excited Thermoacoustic Instabilities.168

Figure A1.1. Frequency Response between Microphones. Microphones with similar sensitivities were used in the sensor [4].171

Figure A1.2. Flow Meter Calibration. A linear curve was fit to the calibration data supplied for the Eldridge air flow meter (SR 20050501).173

Table B1.1 Diode Calibration Data. The diodes behave linearly with temperature and injection current.175

Figure B1.2. Diode Calibration Data. (a) 1343 nm laser, (b) 1392 nm laser.176

Table B1.3. Spectroscopic Parameters. These parameters were used to simulate water absorption.177

Figure B2.1. Transmission and Absorption Data with Polynomial Baseline. The data was obtained on the turbulent, swirl-stabilized combustor.179

Figure B2.2. Effect of Scan Percentage and Polynomial Order. Error increases as less of the scan is used in the baseline determination and as the order of the polynomial is increased [2].180

Figure E.1. Photodiode Circuit. The circuit amplifies and filters the photodiode signal.184

Figure E.2. Photodiode Circuit Frequency Response. The magnitude and phase are relatively constant from 0-955 Hz.185

Figure E.3. PMT Circuit. The circuit provides signal conditioning for the photomultiplier tube.186

Figure E.4. PMT Circuit Frequency Response. The phase varies 15 degrees over 3 kHz.187

Figure E.5. Dynamic Velocity Probe Circuit. The circuit simulates the 1-D Euler equation to measure velocity from two microphones.....188

Figure E.6. Dynamic Velocity Probe Circuit FRF. (a) laminar burner (b) turbulent combustor.....189

Figure F.1. Diode-Laser Experimental Setup. The beams (only one shown) pass through the flame twice to increase signal to noise ratio.....192

Figure F.2. Beam Paths. The beams are on approximately the same path.....193

Figure F.3. Laminar Burner Setup. The lasers and detectors were kept on the same optical bench: 1-1343nm, 2-1392nm.....193

Figure F.4. Turbulent Burner Setup. Water-cooling and shields protect the optics from the flame.....194

Figure F.5. Absorption Lineshapes in Ambient Air. The absorption of water in ambient air must be accounted for to obtain accurate temperatures (a) 7444.37 cm^{-1} (b) 7185.59 cm^{-1}199

Figure F.6. Absorption Lineshapes with Flame. The water absorption in the presence of the flame is much greater than the background absorption.....200

Figure F.7. Digital filter. The digital filter does not affect the phase of the data..201