

**A Statistical Approach to Empirical Macroeconomic Modeling with  
Practical Applications**

Jeffrey A. Edwards

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in  
partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy  
in  
Economics

Richard Cothren, Chair \_\_\_\_\_  
Anya McGuirk, Chair \_\_\_\_\_  
Sheryl Ball \_\_\_\_\_  
Aris Spanos \_\_\_\_\_  
Dennis Yang \_\_\_\_\_

April 23, 2003  
Blacksburg, Virginia

Keywords: Statistical Specification, Misspecification Testing, Growth, Inflation, Mundell-Tobin  
Effect

# A Statistical Approach to Empirical Macroeconomic Modeling with Practical Applications

Jeffrey A. Edwards

(ABSTRACT)

Most of empirical modeling involves the use of Ordinary Least Squares regression where the residuals are assumed normal, independent, and identically distributed. In finite samples, these assumptions become critical for accurate estimations, however, in macroeconomics in particular, these assumptions are rarely tested. This study addresses the applications of statistical testing methods and model respecification within the context of applied macroeconomics.

The first application is a statistical comparison of Gregory Mankiw, David Romer and David Weil's *A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth*, and Nazrul Islam's *Growth Empirics: A Panel Data Approach*. This analysis shows that the models in both papers are statistically misspecified. When respecified, the functional forms of Mankiw, Romer, and Weil's models change considerably whereas Islam's retain the theoretical structure. The second application is a study of the impact of inflation on investment and growth. After instrumenting for inflation with a set of political variables, I find that between approximately 1% and 9% inflation, there is a positive correlation between inflation and investment--the Mundell-Tobin effect may be a valid explanation. I further this analysis to show that treating investment as an exogenous variable may be problematic in empirical growth models.

## **Dedication**

I would like to dedicate this dissertation to my mother, Ann, my wife, Catherine, my daughter, Ashley, and the rest of my family and friends. Their steadfast support throughout my ‘mood swings’ during the completion of my Ph.D. created an atmosphere of caring that kept me going when times got hard, and made me feel like I could do anything that was thrown my way. I could not have done this without you. Thank you, and I love you all for everything you have done for me.

Sincerely,

Jeff

## **Acknowledgements**

Many have given me the support and knowledge I needed to complete this degree. My co-advisors, Professors Richard Cothren and Anya McGuirk, provided me with the theoretical and statistical skills needed to not only complete this dissertation, but to put me on a path to a successful career. Richard and Anya's patience in lending advice and editing my numerous drafts has led to a friendship that will last a lifetime. Professors Aris Spanos, Sheryl Ball, and Dennis Yang have been central in not only providing me with technical support, but also the emotional support to complete this degree.

Thank you all,

Jeffrey A. Edwards

## Table of Contents

	<b>Page</b>
List of Tables.....	vii
List of Figures.....	viii
1. The Consequences, Identification, and Correction of Statistical Misspecification	
I. Consequences of Statistical Misspecification.....	1
II. Statistical Testing and Respecification Procedures.....	4
II a. Testing for Homoskedasticity.....	4
II b. Testing for Linear Determinants.....	5
II c. Normality Testing.....	6
II d. Testing for Independence.....	8
II e. Testing for t or i-invariance.....	8
2. A Contribution to the Statistics of Economic Growth	
I. Introduction.....	10
II. Mankiw, Romer, Weil Paper Results.....	11
II a. The Theoretical Model (The standard Solow model).....	11
II b. The Theoretical Model (The augmented Solow model).....	13
II c. The Theoretical Model (The Solow Growth model).....	14
II d. The Data.....	14
II e. Initial Testing of the Solow Model.....	15
II f. Respecified Estimations for the Levels Models.....	19
II g. Respecified Estimations for the Growth Models.....	22
III. Islam Paper Results.....	26
III a. The Theoretical and Statistical Models.....	26
III b. The Data.....	27
III c. Initial Testing of the Solow Model.....	29
III d. Respecified Results.....	33
IV. Conclusion.....	36

3.	Politics, Inflation, the Mundell-Tobin Effect, and Growth: A robust study of inflation rates below 20%	
I.	Introduction.....	38
II.	The Data.....	40
III.	Inflation and Politics.....	41
IV.	Inflation and Investment (initial plots).....	45
V.	Investment and Growth--the Panel Results.....	49
VI.	Investment and Growth--the Cross Section Results.....	57
VII.	Conclusion.....	64
	Appendices.....	66
	Works Cited.....	132

## Tables

2.	A Contribution to the Statistics of Economic Growth	
2.1	Misspecification Test Results for MRW--No Schooling Levels Model.....	15
2.2	Misspecification Test Results for MRW--With Schooling Levels Model.....	16
2.3	Misspecification Test Results for MRW--Growth Model.....	18
2.4	Original and Respecified Estimations for the Levels of GDP Unrestricted Form.....	19
2.5	Original and Respecified Estimations for the Levels of GDP Restricted Form.....	21
2.6	Original and Respecified Estimations for the Growth Models.....	23
2.7	Respecified Test Results for MRW, Levels Models.....	24
2.8	Respecified Test Results for MRW, Growth Models.....	25
2.9	Misspecification Test Results for Islam--Growth Model without Schooling.....	29
2.10	Misspecification Test Results for Islam--Growth Model with Schooling.....	30
2.11	Estimations of Squared Residuals on Regional Dummy Variables.....	32
2.12	Respecified Test Results for Islam, Growth Model.....	33
2.13	Original and Respecified Estimations for the Non-Oil Countries.....	34
2.14	Original and Respecified Estimations for the Intermediate Countries.....	34
2.15	Comparisons of Physical Capital's Share of Output.....	35

3.	Politics, Inflation, the Mundell-Tobin Effect, and Growth: A robust study of inflation rates below 20%	
3.1	CPI Estimations.....	43
3.2	Initial Investment/Inflation Panel Estimations.....	50
3.3	Respecified, Piecewise Investment/Inflation Panel Estimations.....	51
3.4	Final Investment/Inflation Panel Estimations.....	52
3.5	Growth/Endogenous Investment Estimations.....	55
3.6	Initial Investment/Inflation Cross Section Estimations.....	58
3.7	Respecified, Piecewise Investment/Inflation Cross Section Estimations.....	59
3.8	Final Investment/Inflation Cross Section Estimations.....	61
3.9	Growth/Endogenous Investment Estimations.....	63

## Figures

3.	Politics, Inflation, the Mundell-Tobin Effect, and Growth: A robust study of inflation rates below 20%	
1.A	Investment on Estimated Inflation, Panel Data.....	47
1.B	Investment on Estimated Inflation, Cross Section Data.....	47
2.A	Investment on Estimated Inflation, Panel Data, Truncated.....	48
2.B	Investment on Estimated Inflation, Cross Section Data, Truncated.....	49
	RLS Plots of CPI and $CPI^2$ .....	53