

Acknowledgements

I can hardly, if ever praise Allah for the wisdom and capability He has bestowed on me in all my endeavors, and especially in conducting this research.

I would like to thank my advisor, Professor Mehdi Ahmadian, for his help and support throughout my studies here at Virginia Tech. This research would not have been possible without his sincere guidance. In addition, I would like to thank professors William T. Baumann, Edmund G. Henneke, II, Donald J. Leo, Daniel J. Inman, and Ali H. Nayfeh for being a part of my doctorate committee.

I am also indebted to my friends at the Advanced Vehicle Dynamics Laboratory; Michael Seigler whom I owe the initial motivation to look into some of my main research ideas, Dr. Jeong-Hoi Koo who inspired me for looking into different applications of Shape Memory Alloys, and Fernando Goncalves who has been a great friend and lab mate. I have traveled to several conferences with him and I have a lot of good memories from those travels.

Last but not least the role of my family has been significant in completion of this work. Without the strong support of my wife, Fatemeh, I would have not reached this step in my education. I cannot thank her enough. Many thanks to my lovely daughters Hedyeh and Hoda who give me so much joy in life by their tender kisses.

I owe a great deal of appreciation to my father and mother Abolfath and Zahra. I had to live very far from them over the past five years. While they would have very much enjoyed having my wife, their only two grand children, and I around, they were so generous and kind in providing emotional support for us.

Contents

- Abstract** **ii**

- Acknowledgements** **iii**

- List of Figures** **vii**

- List of Tables** **xii**

- 1 Introduction** **1**
 - 1.1 SMA Actuators 3
 - 1.2 SMA Applications 5
 - 1.3 SMA Modeling 7
 - 1.4 Problem Statement 8
 - 1.5 Objectives 9
 - 1.6 Approach 9
 - 1.7 Outline 10

- 2 Background** **12**
 - 2.1 SMA Model Classification 16
 - 2.2 Phenomenological Models 17
 - 2.3 Other SMA Models 23
 - 2.4 Summary 26

- 3 The Enhanced Phenomenological Model** **28**

3.1	SMA-actuated Robotic Arm	29
3.2	SMA-actuated Robotic Arm Model	30
3.2.1	Heat Transfer Model	32
3.2.2	Wire Constitutive Model	32
3.2.3	Phase Transformation Model	33
3.2.4	Kinematic Model	35
3.2.5	Dynamic Model	35
3.3	Model Simulation and Verification	36
3.4	SMA Phenomenological Models: Where They Fail	41
3.5	Experimental Study	53
3.5.1	Experimental Results	58
3.6	The Enhanced Phenomenological Model	67
3.7	Modeling Procedure for SMA Actuators	85
3.8	Summary	87
4	Control of SMA Actuators	89
4.1	Background	90
4.1.1	Pulse Width Modulation Control	92
4.1.2	Linear Control	93
4.1.3	Nonlinear Control	94
4.2	Control Challenge	95
4.3	PID control	98
4.4	Variable Structure Control	102
4.4.1	VSC1: Simple Switching	103
4.4.2	VSC2: Simple Switching with Boundary Layer	103
4.4.3	VSC3: Modified Switching with Boundary Layer	104
4.4.4	VSC Results	104
4.5	Extended Kalman Filter	108
4.5.1	EKF for SMA actuator	112

4.5.2	Estimation Results with EKF	115
4.6	Temperature-Based VSC	117
4.7	Stress-Based Sliding Mode Control	122
4.8	Model-Based Control	132
4.8.1	Approach I	135
4.8.2	Approach II	139
4.8.3	Stability Analysis	143
4.8.4	Simulation Results	145
4.9	Summary	153
5	Conclusions	154
A	Heat transfer analysis	162
A.1	Convection heat transfer	163
B	Using SMA for Sensing	167
	Appendices	162
	Vita	171

List of Figures

2.1	(a) The process of shape memory effect; (b) The process of pseudoelasticity .	13
2.2	Mechanical behavior of the shape memory alloy. The nonlinear portion of the plot is due to detwinning the martensite variants or transforming the austenite to martensite. (a) $T < A_s$ at the beginning material is fully martensitic (b) $M_s < T < A_s$ at the beginning material is fully austenitic (c) $A_s < T < A_f$ at the beginning material is fully austenitic (d) $T > A_f$ at the beginning material is fully austenitic	14
3.1	The one degree of freedom arm, actuated by SMA NiTi wire, with a bias spring	30
3.2	Block diagram model of the SMA-actuated robotic arm	31
3.3	Comparison of theoretical model simulations and experimental results	38
3.4	SMA model open-loop simulation for a 7.2 V input	39
3.5	SMA model open-loop simulation for a 7.3 V input	40
3.6	When the arm moves beyond the maximum stress position the transformation temperatures decrease	41
3.7	Phase transformation model problem: arm does not reach the desired position	44
3.8	Phase transformation model problem: temperature of the SMA wire and transformation temperatures	45
3.9	Phase transformation model problem: martensite fraction	46
3.10	Phase transformation model problem: stress vs. temperature	47
3.11	The shortcomings of the phase transformation model in predicting the martensite fraction for complex force and heat loading, (a) exceeding the austenite final temperature while cooling (b) remaining in the phase transformation range while cooling (c) exceeding austenite final temperature with constant temperature	48
3.12	Phase transformation model works properly: arm follows the desired position	49

3.13	Phase transformation model works properly: temperature of the SMA wire and transformation temperatures	50
3.14	Phase transformation model works properly: martensite fraction	51
3.15	Phase transformation model works properly: stress vs. temperature	52
3.16	Test setup consists of an SMA wire, a removable mass, LVDT, and a personal computer	56
3.17	Mechanical behavior of the shape memory alloy. The nonlinear portion of the plot is due to detwinning the martensite variants or transforming the austenite to martensite. (a) $T < A_s$ at the beginning material is fully martensitic (b) $M_s < T < A_s$ at the beginning material is fully austenitic (c) $A_s < T < A_f$ at the beginning material is fully austenitic (d) $T > A_f$ at the beginning material is fully austenitic	56
3.18	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire for several step voltage inputs with mechanical load of $m = 75\text{g}$	60
3.19	Experimental heating (form 0 to 30 sec) and cooling (form 30 to 60 sec) behavior of the SMA wire for several step voltage inputs with mechanical load of $m = 75\text{g}$	61
3.20	Variation of the experimental behavior of the SMA wire for $V = 1\text{V}$ to $V = 8\text{V}$ with mechanical load of $m = 75\text{g}$	62
3.21	Variation of the experimental behavior of the SMA wire for $V = 9\text{V}$ to $V = 12\text{V}$ with mechanical load of $m = 75\text{g}$	63
3.22	Variation of the experimental behavior of the SMA wire for $V = 13\text{V}$ to $V = 16\text{V}$ with mechanical load of $m = 75\text{g}$	64
3.23	Variation of the experimental behavior of the SMA wire as a function of the input voltage with mechanical load of $m = 75\text{g}$	65
3.24	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire with changing mechanical load, initial mass $m = 363\text{g}$, change in mass $\Delta m = 96\text{g}$, voltage $U = 16\text{V}$	67
3.25	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire with changing mechanical load, initial mass $m = 363\text{g}$, change in mass $\Delta m = 96\text{g}$, voltage $U = 16\text{V}$ (closer view)	68
3.26	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire (strain) with changing mechanical load, initial mass $m = 363\text{g}$, change in mass $\Delta m = 96\text{g}$, voltage $U = 16\text{V}$	69
3.27	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire with changing mechanical load, initial mass $m = 363\text{g}$, change in mass $\Delta m = 192\text{g}$, voltage $U = 16\text{V}$	70
3.28	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire with changing mechanical load, initial mass $m = 363\text{g}$, change in mass $\Delta m = 192\text{g}$, voltage $U = 16\text{V}$ (closer view)	71

3.29	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire (strain) with changing mechanical load, initial mass $m = 363\text{g}$, change in mass $\Delta m = 96\text{g}$, voltage $U = 16\text{V}$. . .	72
3.30	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire with changing mechanical load, initial mass $m = 363\text{g}$, change in mass $\Delta m = 288\text{g}$, voltage $U = 16\text{V}$	73
3.31	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire with changing mechanical load, initial mass $m = 363\text{g}$, change in mass $\Delta m = 288\text{g}$, voltage $U = 16\text{V}$ (closer view) .	74
3.32	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire with changing mechanical load, initial mass $m = 363\text{g}$, change in mass $\Delta m = 288\text{g}$ in three steps, voltage $U = 16\text{V}$	75
3.33	Experimental behavior of the SMA wire with changing mechanical load, initial mass $m = 363\text{g}$, change in mass $\Delta m = 288\text{g}$ in three steps, voltage $U = 16\text{V}$ (closer view)	76
3.34	Phase transformation as predicted by the enhanced phenomenological model; martensite to austenite transformation takes place for all the loading paths starting from point a; austenite to martensite phase transformation takes place for all the loading paths starting from point g	79
3.35	Enhanced phase transformation model: arm does reach the desired position .	81
3.36	Enhanced phase transformation model: martensite fraction	82
3.37	Modeling of the SMA actuators; four sub-models are inter-connected	86
4.1	The one degree of freedom arm, actuated by SMA NiTi wire, with a bias spring	90
4.2	When the arm moves beyond the maximum stress position the transformation temperatures decrease, which makes the position control more difficult . . .	97
4.3	Simulation results for the PID controller that is tuned for $\theta_d = 10^\circ$	99
4.4	Simulation results for the PID controller that is tuned for $\theta_d = 40^\circ$	100
4.5	Experimental results for the PID controller that is tuned for $\theta_d = 0^\circ$	101
4.6	Simulation results with simple switch (VSC1), simple switch with boundary layer (VSC2), and modified switch with boundary layer (VSC3) controllers; (top) control input V , (bottom) system output θ	105
4.7	Phase plane of simulations with simple switch (VSC1), simple switch with boundary layer (VSC2), and modified switch with boundary layer (VSC3) controllers	106
4.8	Modified switch with boundary layer controller experimental results for different desired angles	107

4.9	The schematic of the Extended Kalman Filter designed to predict the state vector of the SMA-actuated manipulator	112
4.10	Comparison of the simulation and EKF estimated parameters in prediction the hysteretic behavior of SMA	116
4.11	The SMA wire phase transformation temperatures drop as the result of the arm passing through the maximum stress angle while the variable structure control is stabilizing the arm at 50°	120
4.12	The temperature-based variable structure controller (NVSC) switches from position control to temperature control when arm is passing the maximum stress angle.	121
4.13	Comparing the performance of the temperature-based VSC (based on position and velocity feedbacks and the EKF estimate of temperature and stress) with the performance of the position-based VSC (based on position and velocity feedbacks only)	122
4.14	The transformations and SMA wire temperatures for (a) position-based VSC (based on position and velocity feedbacks only) and (b) temperature-based VSC (based on position and velocity feedbacks and an EKF estimator); desired angular position $\theta_d = 50^\circ$	123
4.15	The effect of the temperature boundary thickness $\phi_T^\circ\text{C}/\text{sec}$ on the overshoot minimization performance of the NVSC, for $\theta_d = 50^\circ$	124
4.16	The feedforward-feedback controller schematic and the Extended Kalman Filter	128
4.17	Comparing the regulation performance of the feedforward-feedback controller (SLD) with a PID controller	129
4.18	The regulation performance of the feedforward-feedback controller (SLD) for desired positions $\theta_d = -40^\circ$ up to $\theta_d = 60^\circ$	130
4.19	The tracking performance of the feedback part of the controller following the desired stress of the wire $\theta_d = 45^\circ$	131
4.20	Backstepping control simulation results; (a) angular position of the SMA-actuated arm, (b) stress of the SMA wire, (c) martensite fraction of the SMA wire, (d) temperature of the wire and the transformation temperatures . . .	146
4.21	Effect of the controller gain K on the performance of the controller	148
4.22	Effect of the controller gain K_1 on the performance of the controller	149
4.23	Robustness of the backstepping controller, effect of choosing different values for moment of inertia, in the controller and the model	150

4.24	Robustness of the backstepping controller, effect of choosing different values for damping coefficient, in the controller and the model	151
4.25	Robustness of the backstepping controller, effect of choosing different values for convection heat transfer coefficient, in the controller and the model . . .	152
A.1	The convection heat transfer coefficient as the function of the SMA wire temperature	166
B.1	Current passing through the SMA wire changes as a hysteretic function of the angular position of the actuator	168
B.2	Voltage of the SMA wire changes as a hysteretic function of the angular position of the actuator	169
B.3	Resistance of the SMA wire changes almost as a linear function of the angular position of the actuator	170

List of Tables

3.1	Modeling parameters and their numerical value	37
3.2	Flexinol SMA wire parameters and their value	58
3.3	Comparing phase transformation condition of four SMA phenomenological models: Tanka, Liang, Brinson, and the Enhanced Model	80
A.1	Air properties as a function of the SMA wire temperature, the ambient temperature assumed to be $T_\infty = 23^\circ C$	165