

The Development of a New Cloning Strategy for the Biosynthetic Production of Brush-Forming Poly(Amino Acids)

Douglas B. Henderson

(ABSTRACT)

The design and discovery of new surface-active polymers that self-assemble on solid substrates to form brush layers will have a major impact on numerous applications. Through recombinant DNA technology, there exists the potential to harness a cell's protein synthesis machinery to produce a brush-forming poly(amino acid) (or PAA) with an exactly specified amino acid sequence, thus controlling the polymer's composition at a level unequalled by conventional organic polymer synthesis. The presented work demonstrates the cloning, expression, purification and characterization of *de novo*-designed PAA's designed to form brush layers on alumina surfaces. Using conventional recombinant DNA methods, the feasibility of producing a PAA consisting of a poly-glutamate block and a poly-proline block was demonstrated. However, the PAA design was limited by the inherent limitations of conventional cloning techniques.

We introduce here the development of a simple and versatile strategy for producing *de novo*-designed, high molecular weight PAA's using recombinant DNA technology. The basis of this strategy is that small DNA modules encoding for short PAA blocks can be easily inserted directly into a commercially available and unmodified expression vector. The insertions can be made repeatedly until the gene encodes for a polymer of desired molecular weight and composition. Thus, sequential modifications can be made to the PAA without having to re-start the gene assembly process from the beginning, thereby allowing for quick determination of how these changes affect polymer structure and function. The feasibility and simplicity of this method was shown during the production of a PAA, consisting of a long zwitterionic tail block and a short acidic anchor block, designed to form optimal brush layers on alumina surfaces. The success and flexibility of this method indicates that it can be applied for production of *de novo*-

designed polypeptides in general. It is hoped that this method will contribute towards the rapid development of bio-inspired protein-based polymers for a variety of applications.

This dissertation also contains research that aimed to use phage display technology to develop a new liposome-based immunoassay against biological toxins. This work was part of a collaboration effort with the U.S. Department of Defense and Luna Innovations.

Author's Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank his co-advisors, Dr. Kevin E. Van Cott and Dr. Richey M. Davis for the support and guidance that led to the completion of this work. In addition, the author would like to thank each member of his research committee: Dr. William A. Ducker, Dr. Kim Forsten Williams, Dr. Aaron S. Goldstein and Dr. Ravi Saraf.

The author would like to acknowledge that the funding support for a majority of this project was provided by National Science Foundation Grant BES-0086876. Additional support for the work presented in Appendix A was provided by the U.S. Department of Defense and Luna Innovations.

The author would like to thank the faculty and staff of the Chemical Engineering Department.

The author would like to gratefully thank the following persons for training him in the various molecular biology techniques used in this project and for general assistance in the laboratory:

- Kristin Knight
- Tanya O'Sickey
- Roger Van Tassell (of Luna Innovations)
- Myles Lindsay

The author gratefully acknowledges the following persons for their contributions to this project:

- Jody L. Krsmanovic – for the preliminary homopolymer adsorption experiments
- Myles Lindsay – for the initial cloning work of the Pro_mGlu_n genes
- Beth Caba – for the dynamic light scattering experiments
- Kevin E. Van Cott – for his assistance with the HPLC and MS experiments

The author also acknowledges the help of the late Dr. Christopher G. Russell, formerly of Invitrogen, who consulted and aided in the cloning of the initial Pro_mGlu_n genes.

The author gratefully acknowledges Aysen Tulpar, William A. Ducker and his research group for conducting the various polymer adsorption studies presented in Chapter 4. The author would like to thank them for their major role in authoring the included journal article: "Unnatural proteins for the control of surface forces".

The technical assistance of Dr. Ron Cerny of the University of Nebraska Center for Mass Spectrometry is gratefully acknowledged, as well as the services provided by Cleveland Genomics, Inc., Commonwealth Biotechnologies, Inc. and the Biomolecular Research Facility at the University of Virginia.

Finally, and most importantly, the author would like to thank his wife, parents, brother, sisters, family and friends for their love and support. He is truly grateful for each and every one of them.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xiii
List of Abbreviations	xv
The Genetic Code	xvi
1. Project Summary	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Objective and Specific Aims	2
1.3 Significance of Research	3
1.4 Outline of Chapters	4
1.5 References	6
2. Background and Review of Relevant Literature	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Surface-Active Polymers	8
2.2.1 Polymer Adsorption	8
2.2.2 Brush Formation	9
2.2.3 Applications of Surface-Active Polymers	11
2.2.3.1 Steric Stabilization of Colloidal Metal Oxide Suspensions	11
2.2.4 Conventional Synthetic Polymer Production	14
2.3 Biosynthetically-derived PAA's	15
2.3.1 Biosynthesis vs. Chemical Synthesis	15
2.3.2 Recombinant DNA Technology	16
2.3.2.1 Conventional Methods	16
2.3.2.2 Prior Cloning Strategies for the Production of PAA's	18
2.4 Recombinant Protein Expression	27
2.4.1 Host Organism	27
2.4.1.1 Bacterial Expression Vectors	27
2.4.2 Affinity Fusion Tags	28
2.4.3 Fusion Tag Removal	30
2.4.3.1 Enzymatic Digestion	30
2.4.3.2 Chemical Cleavage	31
2.5 Protein Techniques	32
2.5.1 SDS-PAGE	32
2.5.2 HPLC	34
2.5.3 Amino Acid Compositional Analysis	36
2.5.4 Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry	37
2.6 References	38
3. 1st Generation PAA – Pro_mGlu_n Diblock	44
3.1 Prior Work	44
3.2 Synthetic Gene Design	45

3.3 Expression System	47
3.4 Expression Experiments	48
3.5 Purification	50
3.5.1 Immobilized Metal Affinity Chromatography	50
3.5.2 Anion Exchange Chromatography	51
3.6 Enzymatic Cleavage	53
3.7 Complications and Improvements	54
3.8 Expression and Purification of the Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ Product	56
3.9 Confirmation of the Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ Product Identity	57
3.9.1 Amino Acid Compositional Analysis	57
3.9.2 Product Polishing Using HP-IEC	58
3.9.3 MALDI-TOF MS Analysis of the Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ Product	59
3.9.4 Conclusions from the Characterization Studies	61
3.10 Isolation of the Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ Product	61
3.10.1 Hydroxylamine Chemical Cleavage	61
3.10.2 Isolation of the Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ Product Using RP-HPLC	63
3.10.3 MALDI-TOF MS Analysis of the Isolated Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ Product	65
3.11 Conclusions	66
3.12 Materials and Methods	68
3.13 Supplemental Information	72
3.13.1 DNA Sequencing Results	72
3.13.2 Amino Acid Sequences	73
3.14 References	74
4. A Brush-Forming PAA with a Capping Domain	76
4.1 Background for Journal Article	76
4.1.1 HP-Thioredoxin as a Capping Domain	76
4.2 Journal Article: "Unnatural Proteins for the Control of Surface Forces"	78
4.2.1 Abstract	78
4.2.2 Introduction	78
4.2.3 Results and Discussion	85
4.2.4 Conclusions	95
4.2.5 Acknowledgements	95
4.2.6 Materials and Methods	96
4.2.7 References	101
5. The Development of a New Cloning Strategy and the Demonstration of its Feasibility	103
5.1 Overview	103
5.2 Specific Aim #1: <i>Design a PAA sequence that will self-assemble on aluminum oxide surfaces to form brush layers, and produce this PAA in genetically engineered E. coli</i>	104
5.3 Application of the Cloning Strategy Developed by McMillan <i>et al.</i> for the Production of High Molecular Weight Brush-Forming PAA's	104

5.4 Specific Aim #2: <i>Develop a new cloning strategy to meet the need for a simple and universal method for constructing the genes required for producing specifically designed, high molecular weight brush-forming PAA's in genetically engineered E. coli</i>	108
5.4.1 Features of the New Cloning Strategy	108
5.4.2 Overview of Strategy	109
5.4.3 Demonstrating Feasibility of Specific Aim #2	111
5.5 Conclusions	120
5.6 Materials and Methods	121
5.7 Supplemental Information	125
5.7.1 DNA Sequencing Results	125
5.8 References	129
6. Applying the New Cloning Strategy: Production of a Specifically Designed PAA Diblock Containing a Zwitterionic Tail Block	130
6.1 Overview	130
6.2 Specific Aim #1: <i>Design a PAA sequence that will self-assemble on aluminum oxide surfaces to form brush layers, and produce this PAA in genetically engineered E. coli</i>	130
6.2.1 Improved Anchor Block	131
6.2.2 Possible Universal Tail Block – Zwitterionic PAA Sequence	131
6.3 Application of Cloning Strategy #1	133
6.4 Expression Experiments	141
6.5 Production of the TrxT ₄ A Product	143
6.5.1 Expression and Cell Lysis	144
6.5.2 IMAC Purification of the TrxT ₄ A Product	144
6.5.3 Product Polishing Using HP-IEC	145
6.5.4 MALDI-TOF MS Analysis of the TrxT ₄ A Product	146
6.6 Enzymatic Digestion	148
6.6.1 MALDI-TOF MS Analysis of the Digestion Reaction	149
6.7 Hydroxylamine Chemical Cleavage	150
6.7.1 MALDI-TOF MS Analysis of the Chemical Cleavage Reaction	152
6.8 Isolation of the T ₄ A Product Using HP-IEC	154
6.8.1 MALDI-TOF MS Analysis of the Isolated T ₄ A Product	155
6.8.2 Instability of the Zwitterionic PAA Block	156
6.9 Conclusions	159
6.10 Materials and Methods	160
6.11 Supplemental Information	166
6.11.1 DNA Sequencing Results	166
6.11.2 Amino Acid Sequences	170
6.12 References	171
7. Conclusions and Future Work	173
7.1 Summary of Research	173
7.1.1 Conclusions from Chapter 3	174
7.1.2 Conclusions from Chapter 4	174

7.1.3 Conclusions from Chapter 5	175
7.1.4 Conclusions from Chapter 6	176
7.2 Future Work	177
7.2.1 Continuation of Current Project	177
7.2.2 Future Directions	179
7.3 Conclusion	180
7.4 References	182
8. Development of a Peptide-Liposome Immunoassay for the Detection of Biological Toxins	184
8.1 Project Summary	184
8.1.1 Objective and Specific Aims	185
8.1.2 Significance of Research	185
8.2 Background	186
8.2.1 Cholera Toxin	186
8.2.2 Phage Display	187
8.3 Experimental	189
8.3.1 Panning Using Phage Display	189
8.3.2 ELISA: Cholera Toxin-Coated Plates	190
8.3.3 ELISA: Phage-Coated Plates	192
8.4 Results and Discussion	194
8.4.1 Panning Experiments	194
8.4.2 ELISA: Cholera Toxin-Coated Plates	196
8.4.3 ELISA: Phage-Coated Plates	198
8.4.4 Plastic-Binding Sequences	199
8.5 Conclusions	200
8.6 References	201
Appendix A. Manuscript Submitted to <i>Biomacromolecules</i>	202
A.1 Manuscript Title: " <i>A new cloning strategy for producing high molecular weight brush-forming poly(amino acids)</i> "	202
A.1.1 Abstract	202
A.1.2 Introduction	202
A.1.3 Experimental	204
A.1.4 Results and Discussion	212
A.1.5 Conclusions	221
A.1.6 Acknowledgments	222
A.2. Manuscript: " <i>Supporting Information</i> "	223
A.2.1 Addition of <i>Bsu</i> 36I site	223
A.2.2 Example Variation of the Strategy	224
A.3 References	228
Vita	230

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Figure 2.1	(A) ‘Loops, Trains and Tails’ conformation of a homopolymer and (B) block copolymer adsorption with brush formation.....	9
Figure 2.2	Potential energy-distance curves.....	12
Figure 2.3	Sterically stabilized colloidal particles.....	13
Figure 2.4	Schematic illustrating conventional synthetic gene assembly.....	18
Figure 2.5	Schematic illustrating the cloning method developed by Cappello <i>et al.</i>	19
Figure 2.6	Schematic diagram of the seamless cloning method.....	21
Figure 2.7	Schematic diagram of the RDL cloning method.....	23
Figure 2.8	Schematic illustrating the circularization of DNA concatemers.....	24
Figure 2.9	Schematic diagram of the Won and Barron method.....	25
Figure 2.10	Hydroxylamine cleavage reaction.....	32
Figure 3.1	Schematic of brush-forming diblock copolymers.....	44
Figure 3.2	Schematic showing the construction of the Pro _m Glu _n gene and the restriction enzyme cloning of the gene into a linearized expression vector forming the recombinant vector, pTrxPro ₃₂ Glu ₁₀	46
Figure 3.3	DNA sequence of synthetic gene insert encoding for Pro ₃₂ Glu ₁₀	46
Figure 3.4	Trx-Pro ₃₂ Glu ₁₀ expression analysis.....	48
Figure 3.5	IMAC purification of the Trx-Pro ₃₂ Glu ₁₀ product.....	51
Figure 3.6	Ion exchange purification of the Trx-Pro ₃₂ Glu ₁₀ product.....	52
Figure 3.7	SDS-PAGE analysis of enzymatic digestion of Trx-Pro ₃₂ Glu ₁₀ with varying amounts of EKMax™ after 16 hrs at 37°C.....	53
Figure 3.8	Schematic showing the subcloning of the Pro _m Glu _n gene into a linearized expression vector forming pTrxPro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀	55
Figure 3.9	Silver stained SDS-PAGE gel showing purification of Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ using IMAC and IEC.....	56
Figure 3.10	Product Purity Analysis.	57
Figure 3.11	Chromatogram showing the HP-IEC purification of the Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ product.....	59
Figure 3.12	Results of MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the purified Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ product.....	60
Figure 3.13	SDS-PAGE analysis of chemical cleavage of the Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ product with hydroxylamine.....	62
Figure 3.14	Chromatograms showing RP-HPLC purification of the Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ product from the hydroxylamine cleavage reaction.....	64
Figure 3.15	Results of MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the isolated Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ product.	65
Figure 4.1	Schematic of surface-active PAA with a folded protein as a capping domain.	77
Figure 4.2	Calculated net charge on Trx as a function of pH.....	77
Figure 4.3	Schematic of polymer sequence.....	82
Figure 4.4	Schematic representation of Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ structure and hypothetical structure of adsorbed polymer layer.....	85

Figure 4.5	Silver stained SDS-PAGE showing purification of Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ .	86
Figure 4.6	SDS-PAGE gel used for purity analysis of the products using densitometry.	87
Figure 4.7	Forces between an alumina sphere and a sapphire plate in 1 mM KNO ₃ solution.	88
Figure 4.8	Forces on approach between an alumina sphere and a sapphire plate in KNO ₃ solutions at pH ~ 6 after the surfaces have been exposed to a 0.1 mgmL ⁻¹ Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ solution in 1 mM KNO ₃ , and then rinsed with 1 mM KNO ₃ solution.	91
Figure 4.9	Natural log of data from Figure 4.8 showing that force decay is approximately exponential.	92
Figure 4.10	Forces on approach between an alumina sphere and a sapphire plate in KNO ₃ solutions at pH ~ 6 after the surfaces have been exposed to a 0.1 mgmL ⁻¹ Trx solution in 1 mM KNO ₃ then rinsed with 1 mM KNO ₃ solution.	93
Figure 4.11	Schematic showing the construction of the Pro _m Glu _n gene (Panel A) and the PCR subcloning of the Pro _m Glu _n gene into the expression vector, forming pTrxPro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ (Panel B).	97
Figure 5.1	Schematic of new brush-forming PAA design.	104
Figure 5.2	Amino acid sequence and charge structure of the PAA sequence encoded by the DNA Monomer.	105
Figure 5.3	DNA monomer sequence (bold) encoding for the PAA sequence given in Figure 5.2.	105
Figure 5.4	Application of the strategy developed by McMillan <i>et al.</i>	106
Figure 5.5	Schematic of DNA encoding for PAA diblock.	106
Figure 5.6	Outline of Cloning Strategy #1.	110
Figure 5.7	Outline of Cloning Strategy #2.	111
Figure 5.8	Anchor DNA Module sequence.	113
Figure 5.9	Amino acid sequence encoded by Anchor DNA Module.	113
Figure 5.10	Insertion of initial DNA module into the expression vector.	114
Figure 5.11	Amino acid sequences and charge structure of the three zwitterionic PAA blocks used during the feasibility experiments.	115
Figure 5.12	Summary of the blunt-end ligation of a DNA module into a linearized expression vector.	116
Figure 5.13	Outline of procedure used for feasibility studies (based on Cloning Strategy #2).	117
Figure 5.14	Schematic showing the methods used for analyzing transformant colonies after each insertion.	118
Figure 5.15	Analysis of insert size using PCR.	119
Figure 5.16	The amino acid sequence of the PAA encoded by the pHis ₆ -T ₃ A vector that was constructed during the feasibility experiments.	120
Figure 6.1	Schematic of target brush-forming PAA design.	130
Figure 6.2	Amino acid sequence of the improved anchor block (AlaGly ₄ Glu ₁₀ Ala) which includes a Gly ₄ linker.	131
Figure 6.3	Amino acid sequence and charge structure of each of the four zwitterionic tail blocks.	133

Figure 6.4	Tail DNA Module #1 sequence.....	135
Figure 6.5	Amino acid sequence encoded by Tail DNA Module #1.....	135
Figure 6.6	Insertion of initial DNA module into the expression vector.....	136
Figure 6.7	Schematic showing the application of Cloning Strategy #1.....	137
Figure 6.8	Tail DNA Module #2 sequence encoding for zwitterionic PAA block #2 (given in Figure 6.3).....	138
Figure 6.9	Tail DNA Module #3 sequence encoding for zwitterionic PAA block #3 (given in Figure 6.3).....	138
Figure 6.10	Tail DNA Module #4 sequence encoding for zwitterionic PAA block #4 (given in Figure 6.3).	138
Figure 6.11	Schematic illustrating the construction of the dimer module consisting of Tail DNA Modules #2 and #3.....	139
Figure 6.12	Anchor DNA Module sequence encoding for the PAA sequence given in Figure 6.2.....	140
Figure 6.13	Agarose electrophoresis gel showing PCR screening of recombinant vectors constructed using Cloning Strategy #1.....	140
Figure 6.14	Schematic illustrating the PCR analysis of insert orientation.....	141
Figure 6.15	Schematic showing the PAA product expressed by each recombinant vector.....	142
Figure 6.16	SDS-PAGE analysis of PAA expression.....	143
Figure 6.17	SDS-PAGE analysis of TrxT ₄ A purification using Qiagen Ni-NTA spin columns.....	145
Figure 6.18	Chromatogram showing the HP-IEC purification of the TrxT ₄ A product eluted from the spin columns.....	146
Figure 6.19	Results of MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the purified TrxT ₄ A product.....	147
Figure 6.20	(A) SDS-PAGE analysis of the enzymatic removal of Trx using EKMax™. (B) Western blot analysis.....	148
Figure 6.21	Results of MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the enzymatic digestion of TrxT ₄ A.....	149
Figure 6.22	SDS-PAGE analysis of the chemical removal of Trx using hydroxylamine.....	151
Figure 6.23	Results of MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the hydroxylamine cleavage reaction (t = 4 hrs).	153
Figure 6.24	Chromatogram showing the HP-IEC purification of the T ₄ A product from the hydroxylamine cleavage reaction.....	154
Figure 6.25	Results of MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the isolated T ₄ A product...	155
Figure 6.26	HP-IEC and MALDI-TOF MS analysis results suggesting degradation of TrxT ₄ A product.....	157
Figure 8.1	Schematic summarizing the process of panning.....	188
Figure 8.2	Schematic illustrating the ELISA experiments conducted with cholera toxin-coated plates.....	192
Figure 8.3	Schematic illustrating the ELISA experiments conducted with phage-coated plates.....	193
Figure 8.4	Bar graph showing OD values at 405 nm obtained from ELISA experiments using cholera toxin-coated wells.....	197

Figure 8.5	Bar graph showing OD values at 492 nm obtained from ELISA experiments using phage-coated wells.....	199
Figure A.1	Illustration of the method used in this work to generate high molecular weight PAA's.....	206
Figure A.2	Schematic of the general structure of the designed synthetic polypeptide.....	208
Figure A.3	A variation on the theme of sequentially modifying the polypeptide.....	215
Figure A.4	Methods to analyze insertions.....	217
Figure A.5	Insertion analysis using PCR.....	218
Figure A.6	Coomassie-stained SDS PAGE of the polypeptide products (with the HP-Thioredoxin fusion tag) after each ligation step.....	219
Figure A.7	Coomassie blue-stained SDS PAGE of the products from IMAC purification of TrxT ₄ A.....	220
Figure A.8	HPIEC analysis of the TrxT ₄ A product purified by IMAC.....	221
Figure A.9	MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the TrxT ₄ A IMAC purification product.....	221
Figure A.10	Illustration of how the <i>Bsu</i> 36I site can be used to add DNA modules to the 3' end of the PAA-coding sequence (C-terminal anchor block in this example) to make a tri-block copolymer.....	223
Figure A.11	A variation on the theme of sequentially modifying the polypeptide.....	224
Figure A.12	Colony PCR results confirming the sequential insertion of the DNA modules given in Table A.4 into the pCR [®] T7/NT-TOPO [®] vector.....	226
Figure A.13	An example of the analysis of the orientation of DNA modules inserted into the pCR [®] T7/NT-TOPO [®] vector by restriction digest with <i>Xba</i> I and <i>Sfo</i> I.....	227

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Table 2.1	Summary of cloning techniques discussed, including key features and the disadvantages of each.....	26
Table 3.1	Results of amino acid compositional analysis conducted on the Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ product.....	58
Table 3.2	Results from MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the purified Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ product.....	60
Table 3.3	Amino acid sequence of the Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ product and the location of the peptide bonds susceptible to cleavage by hydroxylamine (↓).....	62
Table 3.4	Peptide fragments resulting from 100% cleavage of Trx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ by hydroxylamine.....	63
Table 3.5	Results from MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the purified Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀ product.....	66
Table 3.6	DNA sequencing results for pTrx-Pro ₃₉ Glu ₁₀	72
Table 3.7	DNA sequencing results for pThioHisA.....	73
Table 3.8	Amino acid sequences of polypeptides relevant to Chapter 3.....	73
Table 4.1	Comparison between the decay length of measured forces between Trx-coated alumina and the theoretical Debye-length.....	94
Table 5.1	Efficiencies of the insertion steps made during the feasibility experiments using TOPO [®] TA cloning and blunt-end ligation.....	119
Table 5.2	Synthetic oligonucleotide and primer sequences used to construct the DNA module for applying the method developed by McMillan <i>et al.</i>	122
Table 5.3	Synthetic oligonucleotides used to construct Anchor DNA Module..	122
Table 5.4	Synthetic oligonucleotide and primer sequences used to construct Tail DNA Modules A, B and C.....	123
Table 5.5	Synthetic DNA primers used to analyze insertion size.....	124
Table 5.6	DNA sequencing results for pHis ₆ -A.....	125
Table 5.7	DNA sequencing results for pHis ₆ -TA.....	126
Table 5.8	DNA sequencing results for pHis ₆ -T ₂ A.....	127
Table 5.9	DNA sequencing results for pHis ₆ -T ₃ A.....	128
Table 6.1	Results from MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the purified Trx-T ₄ A product.....	147
Table 6.2	Results from MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the enzymatic digestion reaction.....	150
Table 6.3	Amino acid sequence of the TrxT ₄ A product and the location of the peptide bonds susceptible to cleavage by hydroxylamine (↓).....	152
Table 6.4	Peptide fragments resulting from 100% cleavage of TrxT ₄ A by hydroxylamine.	152
Table 6.5	Results from MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the hydroxylamine cleavage reaction.....	153
Table 6.6	Results from MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the isolated T ₄ A product.....	156

Table 6.7	Synthetic oligonucleotides used to construct Tail DNA Module #1.....	161
Table 6.8	DNA sequence of the dimer module constructed using PCR amplification with primers Tail2 Forward and Tail3 Reverse and the template vector pHis ₆ -T ₂ A.....	161
Table 6.9	Synthetic oligonucleotide and primer sequences used to construct Tail DNA Module #4.	162
Table 6.10	Synthetic oligonucleotide and primer sequences used to construct Anchor DNA Module.	162
Table 6.11	Synthetic DNA primers used to analyze insert size.....	163
Table 6.12	Synthetic DNA primers used to analyze insert orientation.	163
Table 6.13	DNA sequencing results for pTrx-T ₁	166
Table 6.14	DNA sequencing results for pTrx-T ₃	167
Table 6.15	DNA sequencing results for pTrx-T ₄	168
Table 6.16	DNA sequencing results for pTrx-T ₄ A.	169
Table 6.17	Amino acid sequences of polypeptides relevant to Chapter 6.....	170
Table 8.1	The 12-amino acid sequences obtained from 20 phage clones isolated after 3 rounds of panning against cholera toxin.....	194
Table 8.2	Phage clones containing possible consensus cholera toxin-binding sequence.....	195
Table 8.3	OD values at 405 nm obtained from ELISA experiments using cholera toxin-coated wells.....	197
Table 8.4	OD values at 492 nm obtained from ELISA experiments using phage-coated wells.....	198
Table A.1	DNA sequences and the corresponding amino acid sequences.....	207
Table A.2	DNA primers used to analyze insertion size.....	210
Table A.3	DNA primers used to analyze insertion orientation.....	210
Table A.4	DNA sequences and the corresponding amino acid sequences.....	225
Table A.5	Efficiencies of the sequential insertion steps made during the feasibility experiments using TOPO [®] cloning and blunt-end ligation.....	227

List of Abbreviations

a.a. – amino acid
bp – base pair
CIP – alkaline phosphatase, calf intestinal
CV – column volume
DEAE – diethylaminoethyl
DLVO Theory - Derjaguin, Landau, Verwey and Overbeek Theory
DNA – deoxyribonucleic acid
HPLC – high performance liquid chromatography
IDA – iminodiacetic acid
IEP (pI) – isoelectric point
IEC – ion exchange chromatography
IMAC – immobilized metal affinity chromatography
IPTG – isopropyl-B-D-thiogalactopyranoside
kDa – kilo-Daltons
MALDI-TOF MS – matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry
MW – molecular weight
NTA – nitrilotriacetic acid
OD – optical density
PAA – poly(amino acids)
PCR – polymerase chain reaction
RE – restriction enzyme
RP – reversed-phase
SDS-PAGE – sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
ssDNA – single-stranded deoxyribonucleic acid
T4 PNK – T4 polynucleotide kinase
T_A – annealing temperature
T_M – melting temperature
Trx – HP-Thioredoxin

Notes:

- both the three-letter and one-letter amino acid abbreviations are used throughout this work; all amino acid sequences are written in order of amino (N-) terminus → carboxy (C-) terminus
- all DNA sequences are written in order of 5' → 3'

Base	Single-letter Symbol
Adenine	a
Thymine	t
Guanine	g
Cytosine	c

The Genetic Code

Amino Acid	Symbols		Standard Genetic Code
	One-Letter	Three-Letter	
Alanine	A	Ala	gct
			gcc
			gca
			gcg
Cysteine	C	Cys	tgt
			tgc
Aspartic Acid	D	Asp	gat
			gac
Glutamic Acid	E	Glu	gaa
			gag
Phenylalanine	F	Phe	ttt
			ttc
Glycine	G	Gly	ggt
			ggc
			gga
			ggg
Histidine	H	His	cat
			cac
Isoleucine	I	Ile	att
			atc
			ata
Lysine	K	Lys	aaa
			aag
Leucine	L	Leu	tta
			ttg
			ctt
			ctc
			cta
			ctg
Methionine	M	Met	atg
Asparagine	N	Asp	aat
			aac
Proline	P	Pro	cct
			ccc
			cca
			ccg
Glutamine	Q	Gln	caa
			cac

Amino Acid	Symbols		Standard Genetic Code
	One-Letter	Three-Letter	
Arginine	R	Arg	cgt
			cgc
			cga
			cgg
			aga
			agg
Serine	S	Ser	agt
			agc
			tct
			tcc
			tca
			tcg
Threonine	T	Thr	act
			acc
			aca
			acg
Valine	V	Val	gtt
			gtc
			gta
			gtg
Tryptophan	W	Trp	tgg
Tyrosine	Y	Tyr	tat
			tac
STOP	-	-	taa
			tag
			tga