

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	v
LIST OF TABLES .....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	x
CHAPTER ONE	
INTRODUCTION .....	1
CHAPTER TWO	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
Introduction .....	4
Development of Bud Necrosis .....	5
Vegetative Vigor .....	6
Shade .....	7
Fertilizer and Irrigation .....	9
Carbohydrate Status of Necrotic Buds .....	9
Gibberellin-Like Activity .....	10
Conclusion .....	11
Literature Cited .....	13
CHAPTER THREE	
ANATOMICAL DEVELOPMENTS IN THE ONSET OF GRAPEVINE BUD NECROSIS	
Abstract .....	15
Introduction .....	16
Materials and Methods .....	16
Time Frame of BN Development in ‘Riesling’ (1994) .....	16
Comparison of ‘Riesling’ and ‘Chardonnay’ (1995) .....	18
Results .....	18
Time Frame of BN Development in ‘Riesling’ (1994) .....	18
Comparison of ‘Riesling’ and ‘Chardonnay’ (1995) .....	29
Discussion .....	29
Conclusion .....	31
Literature Cited .....	32

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (contd.)

### CHAPTER FOUR

#### LOCALIZED CARBOHYDRATE AND ESSENTIAL NUTRIENT DEPRIVATION AS CAUSAL FACTORS IN GRAPEVINE BUD NECROSIS

Abstract .....	33
Introduction .....	34
Materials and Methods .....	36
Mineral Analysis .....	36
Carbohydrate Analysis .....	37
BN Assessment .....	38
Effect of Shade on BN in 'Riesling' Grapevines, 1994 .....	38
Effect of Shade on BN in 'Riesling' Grapevines, 1996 .....	40
Effect of Shoot Tipping on 'Riesling' BN, 1996 .....	41
Mineral Nutrient and Carbohydrate Survey, 1995 .....	41
Starch Rating of Grape Cultivars, 1996 .....	42
Results .....	43
Shade Experiment, 1994 .....	43
Shade Experiment, 1996 .....	45
Shoot Tipping Experiment, 1996 .....	55
Mineral Nutrient and Carbohydrate Survey, 1995 .....	60
Starch Rating of Grape Cultivars, 1996 .....	66
Discussion .....	66
Conclusion .....	75
Literature Cited .....	76

### CHAPTER FIVE

CONCLUSIONS .....	79
-------------------	----

VITA .....	81
------------	----

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1.	Comparison of BN in Virginia ‘Riesling’ with Virginia ‘Chardonnay’ and New York ‘Riesling’ .....	19
Table 4.1.	Key for Iodine-potassium iodide starch staining rating.....	44
Table 4.2.	Effect of different timings of artificial shade on bud necrosis incidence in ‘Riesling’ at Somerset and Willowcroft Vineyards, 1994.....	46
Table 4.3.	Effect of node position on bud necrosis incidence in ‘Riesling’ grapevines at Somerset and Willowcroft Vineyards, 1994.....	47
Table 4.4.	Total nonstructural carbohydrates level in ‘Riesling’ grapevines at Somerset Vineyard, 1994.....	48
Table 4.5.	Total nonstructural carbohydrates level in ‘Riesling’ grapevines at Willowcroft Vineyard, 1994.....	49
Table 4.6.	Effect of shade at different timings on BN in ‘Riesling’ during 1996.....	51
Table 4.7.	Carbohydrate analysis of shaded and unshaded ‘Riesling’ vines at Willowcroft Vineyard, Virginia during 1996 .....	53
Table 4.8.	BN and fruit set measurements of shoot-tipped and non-shoot-tipped ‘Riesling’ vines during 1996.....	56
Table 4.9.	Carbohydrate analysis of shoot-tipped and non-shoot-tipped ‘Riesling’ vines at AHS AREC, Winchester, VA, 1996.....	57
Table 4.10.	Concentrations of mineral elements in ‘Riesling’ and ‘Chardonnay’ cultivars sampled from Prince Michel Vineyard during 1995.....	61
Table 4.11.	Carbohydrate level in bud tissues of ‘Riesling’ and ‘Chardonnay’ of Prince Michel and AHS AREC Vineyards during 1995.....	63
Table 4.12.	Carbohydrate level in leaf tissues of ‘Riesling’ and ‘Chardonnay’ of Prince Michel and AHS AREC Vineyards during 1995.....	64

## LIST OF TABLES (contd.)

- Table 4.13. Carbohydrate level in stem tissues of 'Riesling' and 'Chardonnay' of Prince Michel and AHS AREC Vineyards during 1995 .....65
- Table 4.14. Effect of node position on starch deposits and BN in bud tissues of grape cultivars 'Riesling', 'Viognier', 'Syrah', and 'Chardonnay' at AHS AREC during 1996...69

## List of Figures

Fig. 3.1.	Healthy ‘Riesling’ buds without any cell abnormalities at 46 and 56 days after budbreak.....	21
Fig. 3.2.	Appearance of distorted and compressed zone of cells at 66 days after budbreak in ‘Riesling’ buds from Somerset Vineyard, Virginia .....	22
Fig. 3.3.	Zone of compression is visible at the base of the primary axis at the junction of the nodal cushion where the cells have undergone secondary thickening and the younger cells at the base of the primary axis .....	23
Fig. 3.4.	Three to four distorted cell layers were observed at 76 days after budbreak in ‘Riesling’ grapevines of Somerset Vineyard, Virginia.....	24
Fig. 3.5.	Seven to eight distorted layers of cells are visible at 86 and 96 days after budbreak in ‘Riesling’ vines .....	25
Fig. 3.6.	Localized groups of distorted cells in Willowcroft ‘Riesling’ buds at 66 days after budbreak.....	26
Fig. 3.7.	SEM micrograph showing a zone of compression at the base of the primary axis and extending to the base of the secondary buds and showing compressed layers of cells at the base of the primary axis observed at 76 days after budbreak.....	27
Fig. 3.8.	SEM micrograph of a bud where the primary axis and the secondary axis have separated from the nodal cushion.....	28
Fig. 4.1.	Relationship between shoot diameter (measured at the widest point of the second basal internode) and bud necrosis incidence in ‘Riesling’ at Somerset Vineyard.....	50
Fig. 4.2.	Effect of node position in shaded and non-shaded ‘Riesling’ vines at Willowcroft Vineyard during 1996 .....	52
Fig. 4.3.	Effect of node position on BN in shoot-tipped and non-shoot-tipped ‘Riesling’ vines during 1996.....	59
Fig. 4.4.	Comparison of starch levels from 50 to 80 days after budbreak within each grape cultivar at AHS AREC during 1996 .....	67

### **List of Figures (contd.)**

Fig. 4.5.	Comparison of starch levels among four grape cultivars within each sampling date at AHS AREC during 1996.....	68
Fig. 4.6.	Correlation of BN with starch deposits in bud tissues of 'Riesling', 'Viognier', 'Syrah', and 'Chardonnay' at AHS AREC.....	70