

Network access provided by: **Virginia Tech**

Issue 8, 2022

[Previous](#)[Next](#)

From the journal:

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

CO oxidation on MgAl₂O₄ supported Ir_n: activation of lattice oxygen in the subnanometer regime and emergence of nuclearity-activity volcano †




[Yubing Lu](#), ‡^a [Coogan Thompson](#), ‡^a [Chun-Te Kuo](#),^a [Xiwen Zhang](#), ^a [Adam S. Hoffman](#), ^b [Alexey Boubnov](#),^b [Simon R. Bare](#), ^b [Libor Kovarik](#), ^c
[Hongliang Xin](#) ^a and [Ayman M. Karim](#) *^a

[Author affiliations](#)

Abstract

CO oxidation on Pt group metals is affected by the metal size and reducibility of the oxide support. Here, we report that Ir supported on MgAl₂O₄, traditionally considered non-reducible, exhibits properties similar to reducible oxides when the Ir size is in the subnanometer regime. To show this effect, we synthesized subnanometer Ir clusters and compared their properties to single atoms and nanoparticles (1–

1.5 nm). The CO oxidation activity is highest on Ir_{0.6-0.8nm} while showing distinctly different reaction orders in CO and O₂ (0, +0.4), than single atoms (1, 0) and nanoparticles (-1, +1). Microcalorimetry, *in situ* X-ray absorption, and infrared spectroscopies show that the CO-saturated Ir_{0.6-0.8nm} clusters could adsorb and activate O₂ despite binding CO more strongly than nanoparticles. Density functional theory calculations on CO saturated Ir₄ clusters suggest that the increased activity is due to the ability to activate O₂ on oxygen vacancies at the Ir-MgAl₂O₄ interface. The findings show the important effect of the metal nuclearity on the support and catalyst properties and can guide future design of CO oxidation catalysts.



Introduction

Supported precious metal catalysts are used to perform many important chemical transformations. Dispersing the metals as single atoms and small clusters has become a common strategy to increase the percentage of atoms available for binding and transformation of the reactants.^{1,2} However, supported metal single atoms and subnanometer clusters typically have different reactivity compared with their nanoparticle counterparts.³⁻⁶ As the metal nuclearity decreases into the subnanometer regime, the metal electronic properties can change substantially due to the lower number of neighboring metal atoms and enhanced interaction with the support.⁷⁻⁹ The different properties of isolated atoms, dimers and subnanometer clusters can lead to different reaction mechanisms and/or improved reactivity (*e.g.* superior activity and/or selectivity),¹⁰⁻¹⁵ but this is highly dependent on the reaction, the type of metal, and support. For low-temperature (<200 °C) CO oxidation on platinum group metals, there are reports of both higher (*e.g.* Pt/FeO_x,¹⁵ Pt/mesoporous-Al₂O₃ (ref. 16) Rh/CeO₂ (ref. 17))

and Ir/MgAl₂O₄ (ref. 18 and 19) and lower (e.g. Pt/Al₂O₃ (ref. 20) Pt/H-ZSM5 (ref. 21), Pt/CeO₂ (ref. 22 and 23) and Rh/TiO₂ (ref. 24)) activity on supported metal single atoms compared to nanoparticles. Additionally, the activity can be strongly dependent on metal nuclearity as shown for size selected Pd_n clusters ($n = 1-25$) deposited on rutile TiO₂(110) where the activity was highest on Pd₂₀.²⁵ In this regard, understanding the effect of metal nuclearity on reactivity is important on a fundamental level and offers an opportunity to tune the metal properties and design highly active catalysts.

For low temperature CO oxidation on supported precious metals, the metal nuclearity and support strongly affect the adsorption of CO and O₂, the reaction mechanism, and the catalyst activity. O₂ activation is highly dependent on the type of the support. On non-reducible oxides (Al₂O₃, MgAl₂O₄, etc.), O₂ activation proceeds *via* the Langmuir–Hinshelwood (L–H) mechanism on the nanoparticles, and due to the strong CO adsorption on the metal and lack of adjacent sites for O₂ adsorption and activation, the activation proceeds on one empty metal site assisted by an adsorbed CO on an adjacent metal site.²⁶ On reducible metal oxides (e.g. CeO₂ and TiO₂), CO oxidation proceeds at the interface where CO on the metal nanoparticle reacts with O* at the metal/support interface.^{23,27–31} The metal support interaction also plays an important role in O₂ activation. For example, Oh *et al.* showed that the O₂ shows promoted activation on a defect site at the Pt–TiO₂ interface.³² On the other hand, for single atoms on both reducible and non-reducible supports, most studies show that the support plays a crucial part in O₂ activation.^{33–36} In the subnanometer regime, less is known about O₂ activation but it is likely size- and support-dependent. For example, Kaden *et al.* showed that Pd₂₀/TiO₂ can activate O₂ more efficiently than Pd₇/TiO₂ and Pd₄/TiO₂.³⁷ However, there are limited studies on the effect of metal nuclearity on CO oxidation in the subnanometer regime, especially on supports traditionally considered to be non-reducible.

Results and discussion

By combining advanced experimental and theoretical approaches including aberration-corrected transmission electron microscopy, microcalorimetry, *in situ* and *operando* X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), infrared spectroscopy, and density functional theory (DFT) calculations, we investigated the effect of Ir metal nuclearity on interaction with CO/O₂ and on the CO oxidation kinetics on Ir/MgAl₂O₄. We show that supported Ir subnanometer clusters are more active than single atoms and nanoparticles (>1 nm) and we attribute their superior activity to enhanced cooperation between the metal and support in the subnanometer regime. To achieve this, we synthesized a sample

consisting of mostly Ir subnanometer clusters supported on MgAl₂O₄ (denoted as Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄, [Fig. 1b](#)) and compared it with MgAl₂O₄ supported nanoparticles (denoted as Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄, [Fig. 1a](#)) and single atoms (denoted as Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄, [Fig. 1c](#)). Size analysis of multiple high angle annular dark field-scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images show that Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ contains mostly subnanometer clusters having an average cluster size of ~0.7 nm and ~28% of Ir is present as single atoms. HAADF-STEM characterization on the spent Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ confirmed the stability of the subnanometer Ir clusters under CO oxidation reaction conditions at 155 °C (ESI, Fig. S1, Tables S1 and S2 †). Powder X-ray diffraction spectroscopy (XRD) results (ESI Fig. S2 †) show that there were no significant changes to the support (MgAl₂O₄) structure due to the synthesis and that all species on the support were small as indicated by the lack of non-support peaks. This is consistent with the STEM, EXAFS, and chemisorption results indicating single atoms, subnanometer clusters, and small (1–1.5 nm) nanoparticles.




Fig. 1 Structural characterization and CO oxidation performance of MgAl₂O₄ supported Ir catalysts. HAADF-STEM images and histograms of (a) Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄, (b) Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄, and (c) Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄. (d) Low-temperature CO oxidation performance of Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄, Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ and Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ measured at 155 °C, at various P_{CO} (0.3 kPa, 0.6 kPa, 1 kPa) and P_{O_2} at 10 kPa. (e) XANES and (f) EXAFS results of the Fourier transformed k^2 -weighted $\chi(k)$ data measured on pretreated Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄, pretreated Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ (1 wt%) and Ir foil. $\Delta k = 2.6$ – 12.5 \AA^{-1} .

To provide more insights on the electronic properties and local coordination of Ir, we conducted XAS on the Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ (Fig. 1e and f). The magnitude of the Fourier transformed extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra (Fig. 1f) show peaks consistent with Ir–Ir and Ir–O scattering paths. Indeed, the EXAFS modeling results (ESI Table S3 †) for Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ after reduction in H₂ show Ir–Ir and Ir–O coordination numbers of 3.5 ± 1.3 and 3.2 ± 0.5 , respectively. The EXAFS results are consistent with the small subnanometer Ir clusters measured by HAADF-STEM (ESI Fig. S3–S6 †). The Ir–O coordination number for Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ is significantly higher than Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ (also in ESI, Table S3 †), which can be attributed to the contribution from the presence of Ir single atoms (~28%, Fig. 1a) and Ir atoms in the subnanometer clusters at the MgAl₂O₄ interface. Additionally, the high Ir–O coordination is consistent with the higher white line intensity observed in X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) region compared with that on Ir foil and Ir nanoparticles on MgAl₂O₄ (Fig. 1e). The results indicate that Ir in the subnanometer clusters in Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ is more electron deficient compared to 1–1.5 nm nanoparticles on the MgAl₂O₄ (Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄). The activity of the supported metal catalysts is dependent on the metal oxidation state.³⁸ The oxidation state of the H₂ pretreated Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ was analyzed by the white-line intensity of the XANES spectra at Ir L₃-edge by comparing to Ir references. ESI Fig. S7 † shows that the white-line intensity of Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ is between the Ir foil (Ir⁰) and IrO₂ (Ir⁴⁺). This shows that the average Ir oxidation of the Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ is between 0 and +4 and around +2. In comparison, the white-line intensity of Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ is only slightly higher than the Ir foil which suggests the Ir oxidation state is close to 0. The higher oxidation state of the Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ is attributed to the higher contribution of the metal-support interaction (formation of Ir–O bond at the interface) on smaller-sized clusters (0.6–0.8 nm), which can be seen by the Ir–O coordination from the EXAFS modeling results (ESI Table S3 †). The oxidation state of the Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄, was found to be higher than Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ and close to IrO₂ (*i.e.* oxidation state ~ +4, consistent with our previous DFT calculations)³⁹ due to the lack of Ir–Ir coordination and the higher coordination with oxygen from the support.

During low-temperature CO oxidation at 155 °C, the turnover frequency (TOF) on Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ at various CO partial pressures is up to 10 and 5 times higher than Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ and Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄, respectively (Fig. 1d) where the TOF is the reaction rate normalized with the surface Ir atoms measured by chemisorption for all three catalysts. The reaction orders in CO and O₂ and the apparent activation energy (Table 1 and ESI Fig. S8–S10 †) provide insights on the CO oxidation mechanism and how it changes with Ir nuclearity in the three catalysts. For Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄, the negative order (–1) in CO and positive order (+1) in O₂ indicate that the surface is poisoned by CO and O₂ activation is the rate limiting step as typically observed on supported platinum group metal nanoparticles.⁴⁰ On Ir single atoms, a facile O₂ activation,

and an Eley–Rideal rate limiting step were reported in our previous work resulting in a zero order in O₂ and positive order (+1) in CO.¹⁹ In contrast, the Ir subnanometer clusters (~0.7 nm, Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄) show 0 order in CO and +0.4 order in O₂ (ESI Fig S8 and S9 †). The results indicate a different reaction mechanism on Ir subnanometer clusters than that on single atoms or nanoparticles (1–1.5 nm). The CO oxidation activity is almost independent of CO partial pressure over a large CO partial pressure range (0 ± 0.2 order over a 50× increase in CO partial pressure, ESI Fig. S8a †) suggesting that CO adsorption requires a different site than O₂ adsorption. In fact, the reaction orders are similar to those measured for Pt clusters supported on CeO₂ (ref. 31 and 41) and on TiO₂ (ref. 42) where the reaction follows a two-site mechanism at the metal-support interfacial sites. Therefore, we hypothesize that the abundant metal-support interfacial sites on the Ir subnanometer clusters are likely involved in facilitating the O₂ adsorption and activation steps.

Table 1 Reaction orders and heats of adsorption (ΔH_{ads}) of CO and O₂ on MgAl₂O₄ supported Ir nanoparticles, subnanometer clusters and single atoms

Catalyst	CO reaction order ^a	O ₂ reaction order ^b	ΔH_{ad}
Ir _{NP} /MgAl ₂ O ₄	-1 ± 0.1	+0.9 ± 0.1	-150
Ir _{Subnano} /MgAl ₂ O ₄	0 ± 0.02	+0.4 ± 0.03	-207
Ir _{SA} /MgAl ₂ O ₄	+0.9 ± 0.1	+0.1 ± 0.05	-230

a The CO reaction order was measured at $P_{\text{O}_2} = 10$ kPa and P_{CO} between 0.3–10 kPa (see ESI Fig. S8). **b** The O₂ reaction order was measured at $P_{\text{CO}} = 10$ kPa and P_{O_2} between 0.3–10 kPa (see ESI Fig. S9). **†** DFT calculations on Ir₄ cluster supported on MgAl₂O₄ as shown in ESI Fig. S11d (CO) and ESI Fig. S12 (O₂).

The binding energies of CO and O₂ provide important insights on the reaction mechanism. CO microcalorimetry results (Table 1 and ESI Fig. S13–S15 †) show that subnanometer clusters adsorb CO strongly with an adsorption energy of -207 kJ mol⁻¹ (average at low coverage, based on two measurements), which is much stronger than the binding of CO to Ir nanoparticles (-150 kJ mol⁻¹ at low CO coverage), and similar to the CO binding to Ir single atoms (-230 kJ mol⁻¹ from DFT calculations¹⁹). Similarly, the O₂ adsorption energy on the Ir

subnanometer clusters is also much stronger than that on nanoparticles -515 vs. -330 kJ mol⁻¹ at low coverage. The strong O₂ adsorption on the Ir subnanometer clusters suggests that O₂ adsorption and activation could be more competitive than on Ir nanoparticles. However, competitive adsorption on the same Ir site should result in a CO order that changes with the CO partial pressure. Since the CO order was close to zero over 50× change in CO partial pressure (ESI Fig. S8a †), the reaction orders are more consistent with O₂ adsorption and activation at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interfacial sites while the remaining sites are covered by strongly adsorbed CO (*i.e.* a two-site mechanism, which will be discussed further below).

To test the hypothesis of a two-site mechanism and provide more details on the reaction mechanism, we conducted *in situ* diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) and EXAFS coupled with *in situ* and *operando* high energy resolution fluorescence detected (HERFD)-XANES experiments. *In situ* CO/O₂ cycling spectroscopic experiments were first performed at room temperature to probe the surface structure *via* CO/O₂ adsorptions and to depict an incomplete reaction cycle. *In situ* HERFD-XANES and DRIFTS spectra on the CO saturated Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ (after reduction in H₂) are shown in [Fig. 2a and b](#) (red spectra). When flowing O₂ on the CO covered Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ at room temperature, a significant increase in the white line intensity in HERFD-XANES was observed ([Fig. 2a](#), blue) and the corresponding DRIFTS spectrum ([Fig. 2b](#), blue) showed a blue shift where the ν_{CO} band shifted from 2033 to 2040 cm⁻¹ indicating an increase in the oxidation state of Ir. In addition to the changes observed in HERFD-XANES and DRIFTS when flowing O₂, CO₂ was also detected in the on-line mass spectrometer (ESI Fig. S16 †), which indicates that O₂ could react with some of the adsorbed CO followed by co-adsorption with CO on the subnanometer Ir clusters at room temperature. In addition, surface carbonates at 1691 cm⁻¹ in DRIFTS, were also detected during the room-temperature O₂ flow, which is another indication of CO₂ formation (ESI Fig. S17 †). Consistent with HERFD-XANES and DRIFTS, when the gas was switched from CO to O₂, the Ir–O coordination number from EXAFS increased from 3.2 to 4.1 indicating oxidation of the Ir subnanometer clusters ([Fig. 2c, d](#) and ESI, † Table S3 and Fig. S18). When flowing CO again after the oxygen step, a decrease in the white line intensity was observed in HERFD-XANES ([Fig. 2a](#), orange), but it was still higher than the white line of the initial spectrum in CO indicating that Ir does not return to the initial reduced state and that some adsorbed O* was still present. This can also be seen in DRIFTS after CO re-adsorption (yellow spectrum in [Fig. 2b](#)) where an extra shoulder at 2075 cm⁻¹ was observed which is consistent with adsorbed CO on more oxidized Ir sites (*i.e.* CO* co-adsorbed with O* on Ir). It is important to note that CO was detected on Ir in DRIFTS under all conditions indicating that CO is strongly adsorbed on the reduced Ir subnanometer clusters which is consistent with the CO microcalorimetry results. More importantly, similar results were observed on another subnanometer Ir/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst prepared using

Ir₄(CO)₁₂ (average size 0.5–0.6 nm, denoted as Ir_{Subnano-Ir4}/MgAl₂O₄, see ESI † Fig. S19 and S20) indicating that the observed Ir behavior is due to the size being in the subnanometer regime and not necessarily specific to the synthesis or pretreatment conditions.




Fig. 2 Probing the surface structure of Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ under CO or O₂ atmosphere at room temperature. (a–d) HERFD-XANES, DRIFTS and EXAFS results of Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ under (a) HERFD-XANES spectra of subnanometer cluster sample A in flowing CO (1 kPa) at room temperature (red), in flowing O₂ (1 kPa) at room temperature (blue), and then in flowing CO (1 kPa) at room temperature (orange). (b) DRIFTS spectra in the ν_{CO} region characterizing the spectra of Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ when flowed CO (1 kPa) at room temperature (red), flowed O₂ (1 kPa) at room temperature (blue), and then flowed CO (1 kPa) at room temperature (orange). EXAFS magnitude (c) and imaginary (d) parts of the Fourier transformed k^2 -weighted $\chi(k)$ data measured on the pretreated

Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ when flowing CO and then O₂ at room temperature. $\Delta k = 2.6\text{--}12.5 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$. (e) Proposed states from DFT corresponding to the CO, O₂, and CO treatments. The relative energy (ΔH) and average Bader charge (q) of all four Ir are given. Al: gray; Mg: aqua blue; Ir: dark blue; O: royal blue for the oxygens involved in the reaction and red for other oxygens; C: yellow for the carbon involved in the reaction and green for other carbons.

The *in situ* HERFD-XANES and DRIFTS results at room temperature are qualitatively similar to those we previously reported on single atom Ir supported on MgAl₂O₄ (ref. 19) and strongly suggest that oxygen vacancies at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ are involved in the CO oxidation reaction mechanism. To provide more insight, we performed DFT calculations on Ir₄ clusters supported on the (111) O₂(Al) terminated MgAl₂O₄ surface as a representative model of the Ir subnanometer clusters in the Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst (see model and DFT calculation details in the ESI †). To be consistent with the experimental conditions, the DFT model structure simulated the Ir₄/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst after H₂ reduction, and the optimized structure is shown in ESI Fig. S21. † Specifically, the initial state has an oxygen vacancy at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interface to model the vacancies generated during the Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst reduction at high temperature (700 °C). This can be explained by the presence of the Ir₄ cluster which lowers the average oxygen vacancy formation energy from 220 kJ mol⁻¹ to 174 kJ mol⁻¹ for nearby surface oxygens. Using this structure of Ir₄/MgAl₂O₄ after reduction, the DFT calculation results show an average adsorption energy of -254 kJ mol⁻¹ for CO (ESI Fig. S11 †) and average initial adsorption energy of -529 kJ mol⁻¹ for O₂ (ESI Fig. S12 †), which are very similar to the experimentally measured initial heats of adsorption of -207 and -515 kJ mol⁻¹ for CO and O₂, respectively. The agreement of heats of adsorption between experiments and DFT calculations indicate that the Ir₄ clusters provide a reasonable model for the Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst and will be used to provide insights on the CO oxidation mechanism. Fig. 2e shows a CO saturated Ir₄ cluster that represents the starting state after reduction followed by CO adsorption in the *in situ* experiments, including an oxygen vacancy at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interface as discussed above. Adsorption of O₂ is exothermic and O₂ gets activated between the vacancy and CO adsorbed on the closest Ir atom before they react to form CO₂ and fill the vacancy with a total reaction energy of -489 kJ mol⁻¹ for adsorption and reaction (Fig. 3e). Similar behavior was reported theoretically using DFT calculations where the interfacial Pt–O sites of Pt₁₀/Al₂O₃ were predicted to adsorb O₂ strongly and activate O₂ more facilely than the non-interfacial Pt atoms.⁴³ The estimated barrier for CO₂ formation on the Ir₄ cluster is negligible, consistent with the CO₂ formation detected experimentally when the CO saturated catalyst was exposed to O₂ at room temperature (ESI Fig. S16 and S17 †). This is expected due to the large exothermic reaction energy (-489 kJ mol⁻¹) for CO reacting with an O₂ that is readily activated upon adsorption. Lastly, re-adsorption of CO leaves the Ir₄ cluster saturated with CO similar to the initial

structure, but with a filled oxygen vacancy at the interface. This oxygen reacts with CO adsorbed to a nearby Ir site, forming a CO₂ like structure that is bound strongly to the surface, similar to those reported by Thang *et al.*⁴⁴ on Pt₄/TiO₂ and Nie *et al.*¹¹ on Pt₁/CeO₂. This ends the room temperature reaction pathway as this structure requires ΔH of +137 kJ mol⁻¹ to desorb also in line with results from Thang *et al.* on Pt₄/TiO₂,⁴⁴ and consistent with our experiments where no further reaction was observed at room temperature. In agreement with the white line intensities from HERFD-XANES, the average DFT calculated Bader charges of the Ir₄ cluster at the same states measured experimentally show that Ir gets partially oxidized upon exposure to O₂ (from +1.46e to +1.69e) then it does not get fully reduced (compared to the initial CO saturated cluster) when CO is re-adsorbed (+1.59e) due to the filling of the oxygen vacancy.





Fig. 3 Probing the surface structure of Ir_{subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ under reaction condition. HERFD-XANES spectra of Ir_{subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ (a) flowing CO (1 kPa) at room temperature after flowing O₂ (orange), then flowing CO (1 kPa) and O₂ (1 kPa) at room temperature, and then flowed CO (1 kPa) and O₂ (1 kPa) at 160 °C; (b) flow CO (1 kPa) only at 160 °C and in comparison with the CO + O₂ at 160 °C and the initial spectrum at room temperature in CO (after H₂ reduction). (c) Proposed reaction cycle involving the lattice oxygen activation observed with Ir_{subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ at 160 °C.

In order to complete the reaction cycle, the oxygen vacancy at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interface needs to be regenerated by reaction with CO which did not occur at room temperature as the *in situ* DRIFTS and HERFD-XANES indicate. *In situ/operando* HERFD-XANES experiments were

performed at 160 °C (same temperature used for kinetics measurements in [Fig. 1](#)) to determine the state of Ir under reaction conditions. The Ir L₃ HERFD-XANES spectra were almost unchanged during CO and O₂ co-flow at both room temperature and reaction temperature of 160 °C ([Fig. 3a](#)), which indicates that the state of Ir under reaction conditions is similar to the state where Ir is saturated with CO and the oxygen vacancies at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interface are filled (last structure in [Fig. 2e](#)). Furthermore, when the O₂ flow was stopped, a significant decrease in the white line intensity was observed and the HERFD-XANES spectrum was almost identical to the initial CO adsorption after H₂ reduction ([Fig. 3b](#)). The results indicate that exposure to CO at the reaction temperature can regenerate the vacancies at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interface which was not possible at room temperature ([Fig. 2](#)). Therefore, the results suggest that the rate limiting step involves a reaction between CO adsorbed on Ir and O* at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interface which was also proposed to be rate limiting for CO oxidation on Au/TiSBA-15.⁴⁵ [Fig. 2e](#) shows the final step containing a CO₂-like structure that is bound to the Ir₄ through the CO* and to the MgAl₂O₄ through the O*. This complex requires a high ΔH of +137 kJ mol⁻¹ to desorb thus making it kinetically similar to a reaction between an adsorbed CO*/Ir and O*/MgAl₂O₄ being rate limiting.

[Fig. 3c](#) shows a proposed reaction cycle and the accompanied structural changes observed on Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ involving lattice O activation at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interface. The interfacial lattice O vacancy (i) is filled by O₂ adsorption and reaction with adsorbed CO on Ir to form CO₂ (ii). CO then adsorbs on (ii) and the resulting structure with a filled O vacancy (iii) is the most stable intermediate in CO + O₂ at both room temperature and 160 °C. The rate determining step involves the reaction between adsorbed CO and the interfacial lattice O in (iii) to regenerate the O vacancy (iv), which was observed when flowing CO in the absence of O₂ at 160 °C. The cycle is then complete by adsorption of CO on (iv). The steps for this two-site mechanism are written as elementary steps in [Scheme 1](#). In this scheme, the rate determining step (RDS), step (4), is the reaction of a CO adsorbed on the Ir cluster with an O filled vacancy. We can eliminate the other steps as being rate determining as the reaction orders would be +1 in CO for step (1) being rate determining and +1 in O₂ for step (2) or (3) being rate determining. The DRIFTS and HERFD-XANES spectroscopy results in [Fig. 2](#) and [3](#) indicate that the iridium clusters are covered in CO under reaction conditions due to the strong Ir–CO binding (see [Table 1](#)). The high CO coverage observed experimentally on Ir justifies the approximation of setting the coverage equal to 1 in the model. Additionally, since the reaction of CO on Ir with O₂ adsorbed on a vacancy at the Ir-support interface is facile ([Fig. 2](#)), the O₂* coverage can be neglected, making the O* the most abundant surface intermediate (MASI) for the support sites. These all combine to give the rate equation presented in [Scheme 1](#) (derivation in the ESI †) which is consistent with

the 0 order in CO and the partial positive order in O₂ measured experimentally and also consistent with the *in situ*/operando spectroscopy results in [Fig. 2](#) and [3](#).



Scheme 1 Elementary steps, site balances, and kinetic equations for the proposed reaction mechanism. Note: step (1) is exercised twice per mechanism cycle. The site balances have already included the assumptions that Ir is fully covered by CO and that $\text{O}_2^\#$ coverage is negligible as discussed in the text.

[Fig. 4](#) shows a scheme summarizing the proposed CO oxidation reaction mechanism on MgAl₂O₄ supported subnanometer clusters in comparison to previously reported mechanisms on Ir single atoms and nanoparticles. On Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄, a previous study by our group showed that CO binds very strongly to Ir₁ (−230 kJ mol^{−1}) and forms an Ir(CO) active complex that promotes the O₂ activation at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interfacial site. A second gas phase CO molecule then reacts with an interfacial surface O* *via* an Eley–Rideal mechanism. In this work, our results strongly suggest that a similar interfacial O₂ activation is involved on MgAl₂O₄ supported subnanometer Ir clusters. Different from the Eley–Rideal mechanism observed on Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄, the reaction proceeds *via* a dual-site mechanism at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interface where CO from the Ir cluster reacts with O* from the support. For the rate determining step on SA and subnanometer clusters, the preference for an adsorbed CO to react with the interfacial O* as opposed to have a gas phase CO molecule on Ir_{SA} can be due to the availability of multiple CO molecules near the interface on the clusters (unlike one CO molecule for Ir₁). In contrast, the number of interfacial sites is limited on larger nanoparticles and CO oxidation is dominated by the reaction on the Ir metal sites *via* a Langmuir–Hinshelwood reaction mechanism where O₂ activation proceeds on a vacant site assisted by CO adsorbed on a neighboring site. Therefore, despite the

stronger CO adsorption on Ir subnanometer clusters, the support provides CO-free sites at the Ir–MgAl₂O₄ interface where O₂ activation can proceed while on nanoparticles all neighboring Ir sites are poisoned by CO. We note that our results demonstrate that the activity of Ir subnanometer clusters is mostly contributed by the metal-support interfacial sites and the non-interfacial sites are almost inactive. Therefore, a correction could be made for the TOF results shown [Fig. 1d](#) to normalize the TOF of Ir subnanometer clusters with the interfacial Ir sites instead of all the surface Ir sites. At 0.3 kPa CO and 10 kPa O₂, the estimated TOF normalized to the interfacial sites of 0.77 nm subnanometer clusters is 6.2 and 8.6 times of the TOF of Ir NP and Ir SA, respectively (see ESI Table S5 † for more details).




Fig. 4 Proposed reaction mechanisms of CO oxidation on MgAl₂O₄ supported single atoms, subnanometer clusters and nanoparticles. CO molecules that saturate the Ir subnanometer cluster and nanoparticle are not shown for simplicity.

To put our results in perspective, we will first discuss CO oxidation on metal clusters and nanoparticles supported on reducible oxides. On reducible oxides, the interfacial sites between the metal and the support play an important role in CO oxidation by providing sites for O₂ adsorption and activation. For Pt/CeO₂ (ref. 31, 41 and 46) and Pt/TiO₂,⁴⁷ the lattice oxygen activation is facile and the reaction is dominated by the metal-support interfacial sites. For example, by varying the Pt size between 1.6–3 nm for Pt/CeO₂, Cargnello *et al.* showed that the low-temperature CO oxidation reactivity scales with the number of interfacial sites.⁴⁸ Similarly for Pt/TiO₂, the TOF based on interfacial Pt atoms is independent of Pt particle size between 1–10 nm.⁴⁷ On the other hand, in the subnanometer regime (<1 nm), significant promotion of O₂ activation at the Rh–TiO₂ interface was reported, where 0.4–0.8 nm Rh clusters showed better CO oxidation performance than the single atoms and nanoparticles.²⁴

The reports on reducible metal oxide supports further show the significance of our work on MgAl₂O₄. Traditionally, MgAl₂O₄ is considered a non-reducible support and CO oxidation proceeds only on the metal sites of the supported nanoparticles. Our results are consistent with this definition when MgAl₂O₄ is used to support Ir metal nanoparticles (>1 nm). However, we show that by decreasing the Ir size in the subnanometer regime, the strong interaction between MgAl₂O₄ and Ir single atoms and subnanometer clusters activates the interfacial lattice oxygens similar to a reducible oxide. More importantly, the activation of interfacial lattice oxygens provides new, more efficient CO oxidation pathway compared to nanoparticles where the rate is limited by O₂ activation on a CO poisoned surface. Additionally, despite the similarity in lattice oxygen being involved in CO oxidation on Ir single atoms and subnanometer clusters, we show that the metal nuclearity has a strong effect on the mechanism and activity. Specifically, increasing the metal nuclearity from single atoms to subnanometer clusters resulted in the mechanism changing from Eley–Rideal/Mars–van Krevelen to a dual-site Langmuir–Hinshelwood/Mars–van Krevelen mechanism. Notably, the change in mechanism from single atoms, to subnanometer clusters, to nanoparticles, leads to a volcano-type dependence with the Ir subnanometer clusters being the most active. Furthermore, due to the different mechanism in the subnanometer regime, the initial CO binding energy fails to predict the activity of the catalysts. Therefore, our work shows that the change in mechanism in the subnanometer regime on metal supported catalysts provides opportunities to escape traditional reactivity descriptors and design more active metal catalysts.

Conclusions

Our work demonstrates that on a traditionally non-reducible oxide, decreasing the size of the metal into the subnanometer regime results in the emergence of properties similar to reducible oxides. Using advanced *in situ/operando* characterization complemented by DFT calculations we show that the Ir–O interfacial sites of MgAl₂O₄ supported Ir subnanometer clusters (0.6–0.8 nm) participate in efficient CO oxidation and O₂ activation leading to enhanced activity compared with Ir nanoparticles (1–1.5 nm). Furthermore, detailed kinetic measurements reveal that the reaction mechanism for CO oxidation in the subnanometer regime is intrinsically different from that on nanoparticles and is strongly dependent on the metal nuclearity. While Ir single atoms are more active than nanoparticles due to their ability to activate interfacial O*, increasing the metal nuclearity in the subnanometer regime resulted in a change in the mechanism from Eley–Rideal to a dual-site Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism and enhanced activity. We show that the change in the reaction mechanism

as a function of Ir nuclearity leads to a volcano-type dependence of the CO oxidation reactivity on MgAl₂O₄, a traditionally non-reducible oxide.

Experimental section

Synthesis and pretreatment

Two subnanometer clusters catalysts were prepared using different precursors. The first Ir/MgAl₂O₄ subnanometer clusters catalyst (0.05 wt%, denoted as Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄) was prepared by wet impregnation using Ir nitrate. The MgAl₂O₄ support (Puralox MG 30, Sasol) was calcined at 500 °C for 4 h before impregnation. 200 mL DI water was added to a Pyrex bottle and the pH was adjusted with nitric acid to 2.8. Proper amount of the Ir nitrate precursor (8.7 wt% Ir, Furuya Metal Co. Ltd) was added into the pH adjusted solution. 5 g of the MgAl₂O₄ support was then added into the solution while stirring for 2 h. The final pH of the solution was 9.5. The solution was filtered out, and the solid sample was dried in air for 24 h at room temperature. The sample was then dried at 80 °C (0.5 °C min⁻¹) for 4 h and 100 °C (0.5 °C min⁻¹) for 4 h before calcination at 500 °C for 4 h with a ramp rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. The Ir in the solution after filtration was measured by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy and no Ir was detected. The sample was pretreated in 20 kPa H₂ *in situ* (80 sccm total) at 700 °C for 2 h and cooled down to room temperature in the same gas. The second Ir/MgAl₂O₄ subnanometer clusters catalyst (0.1 wt%, denoted as Ir_{Subnano-Ir4}/MgAl₂O₄) was prepared by wet impregnation using Ir₄(CO)₁₂. Proper amount Ir₄(CO)₁₂ (Sigma Aldrich) precursor was dissolved in 16 mL of toluene (Sigma Aldrich) in a 20 mL vial while flowing N₂ at room temperature. Then the dissolved Ir₄(CO)₁₂ toluene solution was injected into another vial containing 5 g MgAl₂O₄ (Puralox MG 28, Sasol) under N₂ flow. The sample was then dried under N₂ flow at room temperature overnight to evaporate the toluene. No calcination was performed on this catalyst. The CO and acac ligands were removed *in situ* by treatment in He at 400 °C (10 °C min⁻¹) and then H₂ at 200 °C which led to the formation of subnanometer clusters. The 2% Ir/γ-Al₂O₃ (Ir nanoparticle sample, NP, average size 5–12 nm, denoted as Ir_{NP}/γ-Al₂O₃) was synthesized by incipient wetness impregnation. Ir nitrate (8.7 wt% Ir, Furuya Metal CO. Ltd) in aqueous solution was used as precursor and was diluted to achieve a final Ir weight loading of 2%. The Al₂O₃ support (Puralox TH 100/150, Sasol) was calcined at 550 °C for 4 h before impregnation. After impregnation at room temperature for 24 h, the 2% Ir/γ-Al₂O₃ sample was dried at 80 °C for 12 h with a slow ramp rate of 0.5 °C min⁻¹ followed by heating to

100 °C (at 0.5 °C min⁻¹) and dwelling for 4 h. The dried sample was then calcined at 500 °C in air for 4 h (5 °C min⁻¹). The preparation of the Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄ and Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ were reported in our previous work.¹⁹

High energy resolution fluorescence detected-X-ray absorption near energy spectroscopy (HERFD-XANES)

HERFD-XANES measurements were collected at beam line 6-2 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Light Source (SSRL). A liquid-nitrogen-cooled double-crystal Si(311) monochromator was equipped to select the energy of the incident beam with a flux of 3×10^{12} photons s⁻¹. A Rowland circle spectrometer (radius 1 m) equipped with three spherically bent Si (800) analyzers and a silicon drift detector was used to select the Ir L_α (9175 eV) emission line with a measured resolution of 1.3 eV. An iridium foil was scanned in the transmission mode for initial energy calibration.

Each sample (120 mg in mass, 25–90 microns) was loaded into a packed bed flow reactor. The catalysts were characterized by *in situ* XAS at the Ir L₃-edge (11 215 eV) using an in-house built cell⁴⁹ with a 4 mm ID glassy carbon tube connected to the gas line using graphite ferrules and heated by a stainless-steel heating block equipped with four 100 W heating cartridges (Watlow). The *in situ* reactor assembly was protected from oxidation by an air-free box with polyimide film X-rays windows and continuous He or N₂ flow. Two type-K thermocouples were used to monitor and control the heating block and the catalytic bed temperature. A portable gas delivery system equipped with 5 MFCs (Brooks – SLA5800) was used to control the gas flow. The composition of effluent gases was measured by an online quadrupole mass spectrometer (Hiden HPR20). Samples were pretreated the same as the previous section.

All HERFD-XANES spectra were measured within 2 min and three to six scans were averaged to improve signal-to-noise ratio. The analysis of the HERFD-XANES data was carried out with the software Athena of the Demeter package.^{50,51} The edge, determined by the first inflection point of the absorption edge of the Ir foil, was calibrated to the reported Ir L₃ energy, 11 215 eV. This calibration was used to calibrate a known glitch in the monochromator observed in the *I*₀ signal of each scan. A least-squares Gaussian fit of the glitch, determined the error in the energy calibration of the samples to be 0.022 eV. Energy calibration was achieved by aligning the glitch in each scan to the glitch in the Ir foil reference scans. Three to six scans per sample were averaged with the averaged spectra being used for deglitching and normalization. The averaged spectrum was processed by fitting a second-order polynomial to the pre-edge region and subtracting this from the entire spectrum. Edge energy was determined by the first derivative of the normalized absorbance. The data were normalized by dividing the absorption intensity by the height of the absorption edge.

Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS)

In situ XAS measurements at Ir L₃ (11 215 eV) edge was performed at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Light Source (SSRL) beam line 9-3 in fluorescence mode at the Ir L₃-edge (11 215 eV) using an in-house built cell with a 4 mm ID glassy carbon tube^{16,17} Beam line 9-3 is a 16-pole, 2 Tesla wiggler side station with vertically collimating mirror for harmonic rejection and a cylindrically bent mirror for focusing. The photon energy was selected using a liquid-nitrogen-cooled, double-crystal Si (220) $\phi = 90^\circ$ monochromator. Samples were scanned simultaneously in transmission and fluorescence detection modes using ion chambers (I_0 filled with N₂ and I_t and I_{ref} filled with Ar) and a 100-element solid-state Ge monolith detector (Canberra), respectively. An Ir standard (Ir black powder) was scanned simultaneously with each sample for energy calibration. Step-scanning X-ray absorption spectra were measured from up to photoelectron wavenumber k of 14 Å⁻¹. The catalysts were pretreated similar to before the catalytic measurements, then cooled to room temperature in pure H₂ flow (50 sccm) and the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra were collected at room temperature under pure H₂ flow. XANES and EXAFS data processing and analysis were performed using Athena and Artemis programs of the Demeter data analysis package.^{18,19} Nine scans were collected and merged after alignment. $\chi(k)$ was obtained by subtracting smooth atomic background from the normalized absorption coefficient using the AUTOBK code. The theoretical EXAFS signal was constructed using the FEFF6 code²⁰ and fitted to the data in R -space using the Artemis program. For modeling the Ir–Ir scattering, an fcc crystal structure with a lattice constant of 3.92 Å was used. The Ir–Al/Mg, Ir–O, Ir–C, Ir–O_(CO) scattering paths were simulated from density functional theory calculated structure of CO adsorbed on Ir on the (211) step site.⁹ The theoretical EXAFS scattering paths were fit to the data in R -space using the Artemis program of the Demeter package. The spectra were fit by varying the coordination number of the single scattering Ir–O, Ir–C, Ir–O_(CO), Ir–Ir and Ir–Al/Mg paths, the bond length disorder (σ^2), and the effective scattering lengths for each path and the correction to the threshold energy, ΔE_0 (except for Ir–Ir, ΔE_0 was the same for all scattering paths since they are calculated from one FEFF calculation on the same structure). The double (O–C–Ir, degeneracy = 2) and triple (C–O–C–Ir, degeneracy = 1) scattering paths of Ir–C–O were included in the model using the same scattering length and σ^2 as Ir–O_(CO) and therefore did not add any extra parameters to the model. S_0^2 (the passive electron reduction factor) was obtained by first analyzing the spectrum for an Ir black, and the best fit value (0.83) was fixed during the fitting. The k -range used for Fourier-transform of the $\chi(k)$ was 2.6–12.5 Å⁻¹ and the R -range for fitting was 1.1–2.9 Å. The best parameters fit using k -weight of 1,2,3 are reported. We note that the spectra under the different conditions were fit simultaneously with shared common parameters (*i.e.* ΔE_0 for the same paths) to minimize the fit uncertainty.

Diffuse-reflectance infrared Fourier-transform spectroscopy

DRIFTS was used to characterize the interaction of the supported Ir catalysts with CO. The *in situ* DRIFTS experiments were performed using a Thermo Scientific IS-50R Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR) equipped with an MCT/A detector. A spectral resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ was used to collect spectra, which are reported in the Kubelka–Munk (KM) units. Approximately 50 mg sample (25–90 μm diameter particles) was loaded in the Harrick Praying Mantis high-temperature DRIFTS reaction chamber. The chamber was sealed and connected to a flow system with temperature control, and gases were flown through the sample at atmospheric pressure. Each reported spectrum is an average of 32 scans. The supported Ir samples were pretreated *in situ* in the DRIFTS cell before collecting the spectra. The gas pretreatment procedure was the same as mentioned above. A spectrum under N₂ after the pretreatment was collected as the background for each catalyst.

Microcalorimetry

Microcalorimetry was performed on a Setaram SENSYS Evo DSC calorimeter with a self-built fork-shape sample tube. The forked sample tube was connected to a Micromeritics 3Flex for the adsorption quantity measurement and temperature control. 70 mg catalyst was put in on side of the forked reactor each time, while the other side was used as the reference in the calorimeter. The gas pretreatment procedure was the same as mentioned above. After pretreatment, the samples were exposed to ultra-high vacuum (UHV) with pressure below 10⁻⁵ mm Hg. For the first set of doses, adsorption heat of both chemisorption and physisorption CO/O₂ was measured. The CO/O₂ adsorption experiments were measured at 30 °C with pressure between 0–300 mm Hg for O₂ calorimetry and 0–20 mm Hg for CO calorimetry.

Scanning transmission electron microscopy

Aberration-corrected electron microscopy images for Ir/MgAl₂O₄ samples were taken on FEI TITAN 80-300 in STEM mode using a high angle annular dark field (HAADF) detector. The resolution is 0.1 nm, with the CEOS GmbH double-hexapole aberration corrector. To calculate the atomic percentage from multiple STEM images, the number of atoms per particle (N) was estimated with a hemispherical model:^{18,52}

$$N = \frac{\pi D^3 \rho N_A}{6M_w} \quad (1)$$

where D is the nanoparticle diameter, ρ is the bulk metal density, N_A is Avogadro's number, and M_w is the metal molecular weight.

X-ray diffraction

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed on fine powdered samples of the indicated pre-treatment. XRD was performed on a Bruker D2 PHASER operating at 30 kV and 10 mA. The radiation source was a Cu filament at the K α edge ($\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ \AA}$). The samples were measured from 20° to 80° (2θ) with a step size of 0.1° and a dwell time of 1 s at each step.

CO oxidation kinetic measurements

Co oxidation kinetic measurements were performed under differential conditions (<3% conversion) in a conventional laboratory tubular plug flow reactor (7 mm ID quartz tube). Dilution experiments were performed according to Koros–Nowak test to determine the necessary dilution ratio for measurements under strict kinetic control without mass and heat transfer effects.^{53–55} The catalysts (after intraparticle dilution) were pressed and sieved into a 106–250 μm diameter fraction. The dilution ratio test to eliminate transport limitations was performed on Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ (1 wt%) using SiO₂ (silica gel, for chromatography, 0.075–0.250 mm particle size and 150 \AA pore size) calcined at 850 $^\circ\text{C}$ as the diluent. Intraparticle dilutions ratio of 1 : 40, 1 : 200 and 1 : 1000 showed no difference in activity under different CO and O₂ conditions between 145 $^\circ\text{C}$ and 170 $^\circ\text{C}$, which indicates the measured catalytic activity were evaluated under kinetic control without transport artifacts. Based on the dilution test, the Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ (0.2 wt%) was diluted with silica at a 1 : 20 ratio, and the Ir_{SA+NP}/MgAl₂O₄ (1 wt%) and Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ (1 wt%) were diluted with silica at a 1 : 40 ratio, and the Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄ and the Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ required no dilution because of the low Ir loading. 0.2–0.6 g of each catalyst (including dilution) was loaded in the reactor to keep the conversion below 3% under all conditions measured. The total flow rate during the measurements was between 75–100 sccm. Negligible activity ($\sim 1/10$ activity of the lowest loading catalyst, 0.0025 wt%, sample 4) was measured on the MgAl₂O₄ support compared to all the catalysts. Each catalyst pretreatment was the same as mentioned above, and the total flow rate during pretreatment was kept at 80 sccm. The catalyst temperature was measured by a K-type thermocouple (OMEGA) attached at the center of the catalyst bed on the outside of the tube. After pretreatment, CO (5%, balance N₂, certified grade Airgas) was mixed with pure O₂ (99.999% Airgas) and He (99.999% Airgas, equipped with moisture/O₂ trap, Agilent OT3-2) at 35 $^\circ\text{C}$ to control the partial pressures of CO and O₂ (by varying their flowrates using Brooks mass flow controllers SLA5800 series). The CO gas line was equipped with a metal carbonyl purifier (Matheson, NanoChem Metal-X) to remove the trace amount of

metal carbonyl and a molecular sieve 3A (8–12 mesh) trap to remove trace (ppm) levels of CO₂. No CO₂ (above baseline level in He) was detected in the mass spectrometer with the CO or O₂ flowing during a blank test. The reactor was heated from room temperature to the reaction temperature at 3 °C min⁻¹ in 0.5 kPa CO and 10 kPa O₂ balanced with He. During the steady state kinetic measurements, the conversion of CO was always below 3% by varying the total flow rate between 75–100 sccm. To investigate the effect of CO partial pressure on reaction rate, the partial pressure of O₂ was held constant at 10 (or 2) kPa and the partial pressure of CO was varied between 0.2–1.0 kPa. To investigate the effect of O₂ partial pressure, the CO partial pressure was held constant at 0.2 kPa and the partial pressure of O₂ was varied between 2–14 kPa. To investigate the effect of temperature and measure the apparent activation energy, the CO and O₂ partial pressures were kept at 1 kPa and 10 kPa, respectively. The temperature was varied between 145–160 °C. The kinetic experiments were reproduced using two different aliquots from the same batch and also reproduced using two different batches of catalyst. We note that we rigorously monitored the catalyst stability by re-measuring the catalyst activity periodically throughout the experiment under the first condition measured. The activity was stable (<10% deactivation) for each catalyst tested during the entire kinetic measurement. The composition of the effluent gases was measured by a gas chromatograph, Inficon Micro GC Fusion with two modules each with a separate carrier gas, injector, column and thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Column A: Rt-Molsieve 5A, 0.25 mm ID (10 m) using Ar as carrier gas. Column B: Rt-Q-Bond 0.25 mm ID (12 m) using He as carrier gas.

Calculation of Ir dispersion and turnover frequency (TOF)

Ir dispersion is defined as the ratio of surface Ir sites to total Ir atoms in the catalyst. Ir dispersion could be calculated by the following

equation:
$$\text{Ir dispersion} = \frac{\text{Surface Ir sites (mol)}}{\text{Total Ir sites (mol)}}$$
. Dispersion of Ir in the Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄, Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ and Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts was

calculated based on the volumetric CO chemisorption measurements and the Ir loading. To convert the measured CO uptake from chemisorption to Ir sites, we used CO: Ir ratio. The CO : Ir for Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄ is 2 : 1 based on the dicarbonyl (Ir(CO)₂) configuration. The CO : Ir for Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ or Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ is both assumed as 1 : 1 based on the adsorption configuration. Based on the volumetric CO adsorption results (ESI Table S4 †) and the above CO to Ir ratios, the dispersion of the Ir_{SA}/MgAl₂O₄, Ir_{Subnano}/MgAl₂O₄ and Ir_{NP}/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts is 100%, 90% and 90%.

TOF is defined as the number of molecules reacted at each available surface site per unit time.

$$\text{TOF (s}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Reactoin rate per g catalyst (mol s}^{-1}\text{ g}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Molar concentration of surface Ir per g catalyst (mol g}^{-1}\text{)}} . \text{ Molar concentration of surface Ir per g catalyst (mol g}^{-1}\text{) is obtained}$$

from the volumetric CO adsorption measurement by measuring the molar of CO per g catalyst and using the CO : surface Ir ratio mentioned

above.
$$\text{Molar concentration of surface Ir per g catalyst (mol g}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{CO adsorbed per g of catalyst (mol g}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{CO:surface Ir}} .$$

Computational details

DFT calculations were performed using the CP2K computational code.^{56–61} The generalized gradient approximation parameterized by the spin-polarized Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional was used to treat the exchange–correlation potential.^{62–66} All elements used the double ζ valence polarized Gaussian basis sets with an auxiliary plane-wave basis set with a cutoff energy of 480 Ry with Goedecker–Teter–Hutter potentials used for the core electrons.^{67,68} The calculations consist of only gamma point sampling. The DFT-D3 van der Waals correction was applied.⁶⁹ In geometry optimizations,⁷⁰ the forces were converged to 4.5×10^{-4} Hartree Bohr⁻¹. Bader charge analysis was calculated using the Bader Charge Analysis code from the Henkelman group.^{71–74} Enthalpies were approximated as the DFT energetics reported in CP2K. In order to compensate for the known O₂ over-binding from PBE functional, the gas phase energy of O₂ was increased by 0.75 eV.¹⁹

Optimized spinel bulk calculations and surface calculations were taken from previous work^{19,75} and were used to determine lattice constants and surface terminations respectively. Those previous results showed that the (111) O₂(Al) terminated spinel surface was the most stable under a wide variety of conditions and was thus chosen. The slabs (ESI Fig. S1a †) were composed of 2 unit cells in the two surface lattice directions and 3 unit cells in the dimension perpendicular to the surface. The bottom two layers of the slab were then fixed to reduce computational time and simulate bulk behavior. 15 Å of vacuum separated the slabs, as this is a periodic calculation.

Different geometries of four iridium atom clusters were placed on the (111) spinel surface, including the square planar geometry.⁷⁶ The most energetically favorable was the near tetrahedral configuration with three iridium atoms bound to the surface.^{77,78} ESI Fig. S21a † was chosen to be our representative Ir₄ cluster. With a representative Ir₄ cluster established, we began investigating the effects this would have on the surface termination, specifically number of surface oxygens. The addition of the Ir₄ cluster lead to a decrease in the average oxygen

vacancy formation energy from 220 to 174 kJ mol⁻¹ for the O* around the cluster. We define “the O* around the Ir₄ cluster” as all surface O in the model. Since the cluster is in a periodic unit cell, this equates to approximately all O within ~4.7 angstroms from an Ir atom. In order to investigate the proper surface termination to use, we calculated the surface vacancy formation energy of each O and removed a single O at a time until all surface O had a vacancy formation energy of 1 eV or more. This was done to simulate the reducing environment the catalyst was exposed to. The O's removed are those highlighted in ESI Fig. S21b. †

To calculate the initial binding energies of O₂ on Ir₄, different combinations of 2 O species were added individually to the iridium cluster (ESI Fig. S12 †). We calculated the dissociative binding energy to be -529 kJ mol⁻¹ O₂. This compares favorably to the -480 to -550 kJ mol⁻¹ observed from experiments for the initial binding energy of oxygen. Similarly, CO was added to the cluster in various amounts (1–4 molecules at a time) until saturated to represent a CO covered cluster (ESI Fig. S4 †). The average binding energy for CO was -254 kJ mol⁻¹. The resulting CO covered structure is similar in structure to a distorted Ir₄(CO)₁₂ organometallic species⁷⁹ but with three CO molecules replaced with surface bonds (ESI Fig. S11 †).

With the CO covered Ir₄ cluster established as our model after reduction and CO dosing, we probed the surface oxygen vacancies again to see if any oxygens would be removed after the addition of the CO. All Os were found to have a vacancy formation energy of at least 1 eV, the cut-off we considered. The average surface vacancy formation energy for the oxygen species increased from 339 kJ mol⁻¹ to 419 kJ mol⁻¹ from the addition of the COs.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was primarily sponsored by the Army Research Office and was accomplished under Grant Numbers W911NF-16-1-0400 and W911NF-19-1-0308. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Army Research Office or the U. S. Government. The U. S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Government purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation herein. Use of the

Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Light Source (SSRL, beamlines 6-2 and 9-3, user proposal 4645), SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. Additional support by the Consortium for Operando and Advanced Catalyst Characterization *via* Electronic Spectroscopy and Structure (Co-ACCESS) at SLAC is acknowledged. Co-ACCESS, is supported by the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences under Contract DE-AC02-76SF00515. STEM imaging was performed at the William R. Wiley Environmental Molecular Science Laboratory (EMSL) sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, office of Biological and Environmental Research located at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) under science theme proposal 49326. The computational resource used in this work is provided by the advanced research computing at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.

References

1. S. Ji , Y. Chen , X. Wang , Z. Zhang , D. Wang and Y. Li , *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120** , 11900 —11955 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
2. S. Mitchell , E. Vorobyeva and J. Perez-Ramirez , *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57** , 15316 —15329 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
3. L. C. Liu and A. Corma , *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118** , 4981 —5079 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
4. S. K. Kaiser , Z. Chen , D. Faust Akl , S. Mitchell and J. Pérez-Ramírez , *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120** , 11703 —11809 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
5. S. P. Ding , M. J. Hulsey , J. Perez-Ramirez and N. Yang , *Joule*, 2019, **3** , 2897 —2929 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
6. A. Q. Wang , J. Li and T. Zhang , *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2018, **2** , 65 —81 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
7. L. C. Liu , U. Diaz , R. Arenal , G. Agostini , P. Concepcion and A. Corma , *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, **16** , 132 —138 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
8. J. Fu , J. Lym , W. Zheng , K. Alexopoulos , A. V. Mironenko , N. Li , J. A. Boscoboinik , D. Su , R. T. Weber and D. G. Vlachos , *Nat. Catal.*, 2020, **3** , 446 —453 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
9. S. Vajda , M. J. Pellin , J. P. Greeley , C. L. Marshall , L. A. Curtiss , G. A. Ballentine , J. W. Elam , S. Catillon-Mucherie , P. C. Redfern , F. Mehmood and P. Zapol , *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8** , 213 —216 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
10. M. J. Hülsey , B. Zhang , Z. Ma , H. Asakura , D. A. Do , W. Chen , T. Tanaka , P. Zhang , Z. Wu and N. Yan , *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10** , 1330 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .

11. L. Nie , D. Mei , H. Xiong , B. Peng , Z. Ren , X. I. P. Hernandez , A. DeLaRiva , M. Wang , M. H. Engelhard , L. Kovarik , A. K. Datye and Y. Wang , *Science*, 2017, **358** , 1419 —1423 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
12. C.-T. Kuo , Y. Lu , L. Kovarik , M. Engelhard and A. M. Karim , *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9** , 11030 —11041 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
13. S. Zhang , L. Chen , Z. Qi , L. Zhuo , J.-L. Chen , C.-W. Pao , J. Su and G. A. Somorjai , *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142** , 16533 —16537 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
14. Y. Lei , F. Mehmood , S. Lee , J. Greeley , B. Lee , S. Seifert , R. E. Winans , J. W. Elam , R. J. Meyer , P. C. Redfern , D. Teschner , R. Schlögl , M. J. Pellin , L. A. Curtiss and S. Vajda , *Science*, 2010, **328** , 224 —228 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
15. B. T. Qiao , A. Q. Wang , X. F. Yang , L. F. Allard , Z. Jiang , Y. T. Cui , J. Y. Liu , J. Li and T. Zhang , *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3** , 634 —641 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
16. Z. Zhang , Y. Zhu , H. Asakura , B. Zhang , J. Zhang , M. Zhou , Y. Han , T. Tanaka , A. Wang , T. Zhang and N. Yan , *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8** , 16100 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
17. B. Han , T. Li , J. Zhang , C. Zeng , H. Matsumoto , Y. Su , B. Qiao and T. Zhang , *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56** , 4870 —4873 [RSC](#) [Get VText](#) .
18. Y. Lu , C.-T. Kuo , L. Kovarik , A. S. Hoffman , A. Boubnov , D. M. Driscoll , J. R. Morris , S. R. Bare and A. M. Karim , *J. Catal.*, 2019, **378** , 121 —130 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
19. Y. B. Lu , J. M. Wang , L. Yu , L. Kovarik , X. W. Zhang , A. S. Hoffman , A. Gallo , S. R. Bare , D. Sokaras , T. Kroll , V. Dagle , H. L. Xin and A. M. Karim , *Nat. Catal.*, 2019, **2** , 149 —156 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
20. M. Moses-DeBusk , M. Yoon , L. F. Allard , D. R. Mullins , Z. L. Wu , X. F. Yang , G. Veith , G. M. Stocks and C. K. Narula , *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135** , 12634 —12645 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
21. K. Ding , A. Gulec , A. M. Johnson , N. M. Schweitzer , G. D. Stucky , L. D. Marks and P. C. Stair , *Science*, 2015, **350** , 189 —192 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
22. J. Jones , H. F. Xiong , A. T. Delariva , E. J. Peterson , H. Pham , S. R. Challa , G. S. Qi , S. Oh , M. H. Wiebenga , X. I. P. Hernandez , Y. Wang and A. K. Datye , *Science*, 2016, **353** , 150 —154 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
23. X. I. Pereira-Hernandez , A. DeLaRiva , V. Muravev , D. Kunwar , H. Xiong , B. Sudduth , M. Engelhard , L. Kovarik , E. J. M. Hensen , Y. Wang and A. K. Datye , *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10** , 1358 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .

24. H. L. Guan , J. Lin , B. T. Qiao , X. F. Yang , L. Li , S. Miao , J. Y. Liu , A. G. Wang , X. D. Wang and T. Zhang , *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55** , 2820 —2824 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
25. W. E. Kaden , T. P. Wu , W. A. Kunkel and S. L. Anderson , *Science*, 2009, **326** , 826 —829 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
26. A. D. Allian , K. Takanebe , K. L. Fajdala , X. Hao , T. J. Truex , J. Cai , C. Buda , M. Neurock and E. Iglesia , *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133** , 4498 —4517 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
27. A. M. Ganzler , M. Casapu , F. Maurer , H. Stormer , D. Gerthsen , G. Ferre , P. Vernaux , B. Bornmann , R. Frahm , V. Murzin , M. Nachtegaal , M. Votsmeier and J. D. Grunwaldt , *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8** , 4800 —4811 [CrossRef](#) [Get VText](#) .
28. A. M. Ganzler , M. Casapu , P. Vernoux , S. Lorient , F. J. C. S. Aires , T. Epicier , B. Betz , R. Hoyer and J. D. Grunwaldt , *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56** , 13078 —13082 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
29. C. Zong , C. Wang , L. Hu , R. Zhang , P. Jiang , J. Chen , L. Wei and Q. Chen , *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58** , 14238 —14243 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
30. G. Spezzati , A. D. Benavidez , A. T. DeLaRiva , Y. Su , J. P. Hofmann , S. Asahina , E. J. Olivier , J. H. Neethling , J. T. Miller , A. K. Datye and E. J. M. Hensen , *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **243** , 36 —46 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
31. Y. B. Lu , C. Thompson , D. Kunwar , A. K. Datye and A. M. Karim , *ChemCatChem*, 2020, **12** , 1726 —1733 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
32. S. Oh , H. Ha , H. Choi , C. Jo , J. Cho , H. Choi , R. Ryoo , H. Y. Kim and J. Y. Park , *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **151** , 234716 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
33. L. Nie , D. H. Mei , H. F. Xiong , B. Peng , Z. B. Ken , X. I. P. Hernandez , A. DeLaRiva , M. Wang , M. H. Engelhard , L. Kovarik , A. K. Datye and Y. Wang , *Science*, 2017, **358** , 1419 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
34. M. J. Hulsey , B. Zhang , Z. Ma , H. Asakura , D. A. Do , W. Chen , T. Tanaka , P. Zhang , Z. Wu and N. Yan , *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10** , 1330 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
35. Y. Lu , Z. Zhang , F. Lin , H. Wang and Y. Wang , *ChemNanoMat*, 2020, **6** , 1659 —1682 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
36. Y. Lu , S. Zhou , C.-T. Kuo , D. Kunwar , C. Thompson , A. S. Hoffman , A. Boubnov , S. Lin , A. K. Datye , H. Guo and A. M. Karim , *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11** , 8701 —8715 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
37. W. E. Kaden , W. A. Kunkel , M. D. Kane , F. S. Roberts and S. L. Anderson , *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132** , 13097 —13099 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .

38. W. Huang , J. N. Kuhn , C.-K. Tsung , Y. Zhang , S. E. Habas , P. Yang and G. A. Somorjai , *Nano Lett.*, 2008, **8** , 2027 —2034 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
39. J. Wang , Y. Lu , L. Liu , L. Yu , C. Yang , M. Delferro , A. S. Hoffman , S. R. Bare , A. M. Karim and H. Xin , *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2021, **125** , 11380 —11390 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
40. P. J. Berlowitz , C. H. F. Peden and D. W. Goodman , *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1988, **92** , 5213 —5221 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
41. H.-H. Liu , Y. Wang , A.-P. Jia , S.-Y. Wang , M.-F. Luo and J.-Q. Lu , *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2014, **314** , 725 —734 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
42. L. DeRita , J. Resasco , S. Dai , A. Boubnov , H. V. Thang , A. S. Hoffman , I. Ro , G. W. Graham , S. R. Bare , G. Pacchioni , X. Q. Pan and P. Christopher , *Nat. Mater.*, 2019, **18** , 746 —751 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
43. C. R. Yin , F. R. Negreiros , G. Barcaro , A. Beniya , L. Sementa , E. C. Tyo , S. Bartling , K. H. Meiwes-Broer , S. Seifert , H. Hirata , N. Isomura , S. Nigam , C. Majumder , Y. Watanabe , A. Fortunelli and S. Vajda , *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5** , 4923 —4931 [RSC](#) [Get VText](#) .
44. H. V. Thang and G. Pacchioni , *Catal. Lett.*, 2019, **149** , 390 —398 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
45. G. Che-Galicia , V. Ruíz-Santoyo , R. Zanella , N. Y. Mendoza-González , I. I. Ruiz-López and A. Sampieri , *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **405** , 126644 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
46. A. M. Gänzler , M. Casapu , D. E. Doronkin , F. Maurer , P. Lott , P. Glatzel , M. Votsmeier , O. Deutschmann and J.-D. Grunwaldt , *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10** , 7698 —7705 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
47. N. Li , Q.-Y. Chen , L.-F. Luo , W.-X. Huang , M.-F. Luo , G.-S. Hu and J.-Q. Lu , *Appl. Catal., B*, 2013, **142-143** , 523 —532 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
48. M. Cargnello , V. V. T. Doan-Nguyen , T. R. Gordon , R. E. Diaz , E. A. Stach , R. J. Gorte , P. Fornasiero and C. B. Murray , *Science*, 2013, **341** , 771 —773 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
49. A. M. Karim , C. Howard , B. Roberts , L. Kovarik , L. Zhang , D. L. King and Y. Wang , *ACS Catal*, 2012, **2** , 2387 —2394 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
50. B. Ravel and M. Newville , *J. Synchrotron Radiat.*, 2005, **12** , 537 —541 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
51. M. Newville *J. Synchrotron Radiat.*, 2001, **8** , 322 —324 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
52. S. Mozaffari , W. H. Li , C. Thompson , S. Ivanov , S. Seifert , B. Lee , L. Kovarik and A. M. Karim , *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9** , 13772 —13785 [RSC](#) [Get VText](#) .

53. F. Bonet , S. Grugeon , L. Dupont , R. H. Urbina , M. Boudart and G. Djega-Mariadassou , *Kinetics of Heterogeneous Catalytic Reactions* , Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1984, [Search PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
54. R. M. Koros and E. J. Nowak , *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 1967, **22** , 470 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
55. R. J. Madon and M. Boudart , *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.*, 1982, **21** , 438 —447 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
56. U. Borštnik , J. VandeVondele , V. Weber and J. Hutter , *Parallel Comput.*, 2014, **40** , 47 —58 [CrossRef](#) [Get VText](#) .
57. S. Grimme , S. Ehrlich and L. Goerigk , *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2011, **32** , 1456 —1465 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
58. J. VandeVondele , M. Krack , F. Mohamed , M. Parrinello , T. Chassaing and J. Hutter , *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2005, **167** , 103 —128 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
59. J. VandeVondele and J. Hutter , *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2003, **118** , 4365 —4369 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
60. J. Hutter , M. Iannuzzi , F. Schiffmann and J. VandeVondele , *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 2014, **4** , 15 —25 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
61. M. Frigo and S. G. Johnson , *Proc. IEEE*, 2005, **93** , 216 —231 [Search PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
62. B. G. Lippert , J. Hutter and M. Parrinello , *Mol. Phys.*, 1997, **92** , 477 —488 [CrossRef](#) [Get VText](#) .
63. M. Krack *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2005, **114** , 145 —152 [Search PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
64. C. Hartwigsen , S. Goedecker and J. Hutter , *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1998, **58** , 3641 —3662 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
65. J. P. Perdew , K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof , *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1997, **77** , 3865 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
66. J. Paier , R. Hirschl , M. Marsman and G. Kresse , *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **122** , 234102 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
67. J. VandeVondele and J. Hutter , *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **127** , 114105 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
68. S. Goedecker , M. Teter and J. Hutter , *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1995, **54** , 1703 —1710 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
69. S. Grimme , J. Antony , S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg , *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132** , 154104 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
70. J. Kolafa *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2003, **25** , 335 —342 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
71. W. Tang , E. Sanville and G. Henkelman , *J. Phys. Condens. Matter.*, 2009, **21** , 084204 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
72. E. Sanville , S. D. Kenny , R. Smith and G. Henkelman , *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2007, **28** , 899 —908 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .
73. G. Henkelman , A. Arnaldsson and H. Jónsson , *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2006, **36** , 354 —360 [CrossRef](#) [Get VText](#) .
74. M. Yu and D. R. Trinkle , *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **134** , 064111 [CrossRef](#) [PubMed](#) [Get VText](#) .

75. Q. X. Cai , J. G. Wang , Y. Wang and D. H. Mei , *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120** , 19087 —19096 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
76. M. Tayyem , B. Hamad and B. Paulus , *Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **495** , 10 —15 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
77. V. Stevanović , Ž. Šljivancanin and A. Baldereschi , *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114** , 15653 —15660 [CrossRef](#) [Get VText](#) .
78. F. S. Lai and B. C. Gates , *Nano Lett.*, 2001, **1** , 583 —587 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .
79. M. R. Churchill and J. P. Hutchinson , *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, **17** , 3528 —3535 [CrossRef](#) [CAS](#) [Get VText](#) .

Footnotes

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Size distribution of Ir samples, details of EXAFS modeling, details of reaction kinetic measurements, CO microcalorimetry results, DFT optimized structures. See DOI: [10.1039/d1ta09740g](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ta09740g)

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

About

Cited by

Related

CO oxidation on MgAl₂O₄ supported Ir_n: activation of lattice oxygen in the subnanometer regime and emergence of nuclearity-activity volcano

Y. Lu, C. Thompson, C. Kuo, X. Zhang, A. S. Hoffman, A. Boubnov, S. R. Bare, L. Kovarik, H. Xin and A. M. Karim, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, **10**, 4266 DOI: [10.1039/D1TA09740G](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1TA09740G)

To request permission to reproduce material from this article, please go to the [Copyright Clearance Center request page](#).

If you are **an author contributing to an RSC publication, you do not need to request permission** provided correct acknowledgement is given.

If you are **the author of this article, you do not need to request permission to reproduce figures and diagrams** provided correct acknowledgement is given. If you want to reproduce the whole article in a third-party publication (excluding your thesis/dissertation for which permission is not required) please go to the [Copyright Clearance Center request page](#).

Read more about [how to correctly acknowledge RSC content](#).




- Adam S. Hoffman
- Alexey Boubnov
- Simon R. Bare
- Libor Kovarik
- Hongliang Xin
- Ayman M. Karim

Go

Spotlight

Advertisements



› Journals, books & databases



- Home
- About us
- Membership & professional community
- Campaigning & outreach
- Journals, books & databases
- Teaching & learning
- News & events

[Locations & contacts](#)

[Careers](#)

[Awards & funding](#)

[Advertise](#)

[Help & legal](#)

[Privacy policy](#)

[Terms & conditions](#)



© Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

Registered charity number: 207890

RightsLink

You have been directed to this webpage as a result of the type of license signed between the author and the American Chemical Society that provides users with some different terms of use.

Open Access licenses

If the article is open access and uses a Creative Commons public use license, e.g., CC-BY or CC-BY-NC-ND, rights and permissions questions should be directed to Creative Commons. Contact information can be found on their website at <https://creativecommons.org/about/contact>

If the article is open access and does not use a Creative Commons license, it is subject to the terms of an ACS public use license. For rights and permissions questions about ACS public use licenses, contact support@services.acs.org with your request, including the following information:

- A link to the ACS article from which you wish to reuse content
- The portion of content you wish to reuse (e.g., number of figures, entire article for thesis)
- A description of where the content will be reused (e.g., name of journal, book title, thesis)

Public Domain and Crown Copyright, and Other Articles:

- **For articles in the public domain:**

Check the copyright notice that appears with the abstract or on the first page of the article/chapter.

An article is in the public domain if all of the authors of the article worked for the U.S. Government when the article was written. Requesters who want to use material that appeared in articles that are in the public domain do not need permission if the notice on the article/chapter states, "Not subject to U.S. Copyright," and credit to another source does not appear in the figure/table caption, if you are using figures/tables from the article.

If all of the authors of a requested article worked for the governments of Australia, Canada, or the United Kingdom when the article was written, a Crown copyright notice appears. Write directly to the authors of the article for permission because the authors' countries own copyright to the article.

- **For other articles not recognized by RightsLink or the ACS article delivery system:**

If the solutions above do not apply, it's possible the error is with the article delivery system.

Send your request directly to the ACS Copyright Office at copyright@acs.org. Send a permission request that includes a complete reference citation, the amount of material you want to use from the article/chapter (such as the specific ACS figure numbers), and where you plan to reuse the ACS material. Include your complete mailing address, your 24-hour fax number, and the specific deadline by which you need to receive our response.

Inquiries about the public domain and crown copyright should be addressed to copyright@acs.org.

Partners



Washington, DC 20036
Copyright © 2022
American Chemical Society

About

[About ACS Publications](#)
[ACS & Open Access](#)
[ACS Membership](#)

Resources and Information

[Journals A-Z](#)
[Books and Reference](#)
[Advertising Media Kit](#)
[Institutional Sales](#)
[ACS Publishing Center](#)
[Privacy Policy](#)
[Terms of Use](#)

Support & Contact

[Help](#)
[Live Chat](#)
[FAQ](#)

Connect with ACS Publications



Standard ACS AuthorChoice/Editors' Choice Usage Agreement

Note

ACS Publications has now ceased using this license for newly published articles, but the license remains valid for select articles published previously.

This ACS article is provided to You under the terms of this Standard *ACS AuthorChoice/Editors' Choice* usage agreement between You and the American Chemical Society ("ACS"), a federally-chartered nonprofit located at 1155 16th Street NW, Washington DC 20036. Your access and use of this ACS article means that you have accepted and agreed to the Terms and Conditions of this Agreement. ACS and You are collectively referred to in this Agreement as "the Parties").

1. SCOPE OF GRANT

ACS grants You non-exclusive and nontransferable permission to access and use this ACS article subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement.

2. PERMITTED USES

a. For non-commercial research and education purposes only, You may access, download, copy, display and redistribute articles as well as adapt, translate, text and data mine content contained in articles, subject to the following conditions:

i. The authors' moral right to the integrity of their work under the Berne Convention (Article 6bis) is not compromised.

iii. Copyright notices or the display of unique Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs), ACS or journal logos, bibliographic (e.g. authors, journal, article title, volume, issue, page numbers) or other references to ACS journal titles, web links, and any other journal-specific “branding” or notices that are included in the article or that are provided by the ACS with instructions that such should accompany its display, should not be removed or tampered with in any way. The display of *ACS AuthorChoice* or *ACS Editors’ Choice* articles on non-ACS websites must be accompanied by prominently displayed links to the definitive published versions of those articles on the ACS website.

iv. Any adaptations for non-commercial purposes must prominently link to the definitive published version on the ACS website and prominently display the statement: “This is an unofficial adaptation of an article that appeared in an ACS publication. ACS has not endorsed the content of this adaptation or the context of its use.”

v. Any translations for non-commercial purposes, for which a prior translation agreement with ACS has not been established, must prominently link to the definitive published version on the ACS website and prominently display the statement: “This is an unofficial translation of an article that appeared in an ACS publication. ACS has not endorsed the content of this translation or the context of its use.”

b. Each time You distribute this ACS article or an adaptation, ACS offers to the recipient a license to this ACS article on the same terms and conditions as the license granted to You under this License.

c. For permission to use ACS copyrighted articles beyond that permitted here, visit: <http://pubs.acs.org/copyright/permissions.html>

3. PROHIBITED USES

a. Use of this ACS article for commercial purposes is prohibited. Examples of such prohibited commercial purposes include but are not limited to:

i. Copying or downloading of articles, or linking to such postings, for further distribution, sale or licensing, for a fee;

ii. Copying, downloading or posting by a site or service that incorporates advertising with such content;

iii. The inclusion or incorporation of article content in other works or services (other than normal quotations with an appropriate citation) that is then available for sale or licensing, for a fee;

v. Sale of translated versions of the article that have not been authorized by license or other permission from the ACS

4. TERMINATION

ACS reserves the right to limit, suspend, or terminate your access to and use of the ACS Publications Division website and/or all ACS articles immediately upon detecting a breach of this License.

5. COPYRIGHTS; OTHER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

Except as otherwise specifically noted, ACS is the owner of all right, title and interest in the content of this ACS article, including, without limitations, graphs, charts, tables illustrations, and copyrightable supporting information. This ACS article is protected under the Copyright Laws of the United States Codified in Title 17 of the U.S. Code and subject to the Universal Copyright Convention and the Berne Copyright Convention. You agree not to remove or obscure copyright notices. You acknowledge that You have no claim to ownership of any part of this ACS article or other proprietary information accessed under this Agreement.

The names "American Chemical Society," "ACS" and the titles of the journals and other ACS products are trademarks of ACS.

6. DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES; LIMITATION OF LIABILITY

ACS warrants that it is entitled to grant this Agreement.

EXCEPT AS SET FORTH IN THE PRECEDING SENTENCE, ACS MAKES NO WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS ACS ARTICLE INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO WARRANTIES AS TO THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THE ACS ARTICLE, ITS QUALITY, ORIGINALITY, SUITABILITY, SEARCHABILITY, OPERATION, PERFORMANCE, COMPLIANCE WITH ANY COMPUTATIONAL PROCESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

ACS SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR: EXEMPLARY, SPECIAL, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL OR OTHER DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE AGREEMENT GRANTED HEREUNDER, THE USE OR INABILITY TO USE ANY ACS PRODUCT, ACS'S PERFORMANCE UNDER THIS AGREEMENT, TERMINATION OF THIS AGREEMENT BY ACS OR THE LOSS OF DATA, BUSINESS OR GOODWILL EVEN IF ACS IS ADVISED OR

DUE TO NEGLIGENCE.

The foregoing limitations and exclusions of certain damages shall apply regardless of the success or effectiveness of other remedies. No claim may be made against ACS unless suit is filed within one (1) year after the event giving rise to the claim.

7. GENERAL

This Agreement sets forth the entire understanding of the Parties. The validity, construction and performance of this Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the District of Columbia, USA without reference to its conflicts of laws principles. You acknowledge that the delivery of the ACS article will occur in the District of Columbia, USA. You shall pay any taxes lawfully due from it, other than taxes on ACS's net income, arising out of your use of this ACS article and/or other rights granted under this Agreement. You may not assign or transfer its rights under this Agreement without the express written consent of ACS.

8. ACCEPTANCE

You warrant that You have read, understand, and accept the terms and conditions of this Agreement. ACS reserves the right to modify this Agreement at any time by posting the modified terms and conditions on the ACS Publications Web site. Any use of this ACS article after such posting shall constitute acceptance of the terms and conditions as modified.



Master the fundamentals of peer review in our free online course. [Click here to sign up.](#)

SERVICES

Connect with our language editors and scientific illustrators to help showcase your science at its best. [Click here to learn more.](#)



Get expert advice from the ACS editor community in this brand-new video series. [Click here to watch.](#)

Partners



Washington, DC 20036

Copyright © 2022

American Chemical Society

About

[About ACS Publications](#)

[ACS & Open Access](#)

[ACS Membership](#)

Resources and Information

[Journals A-Z](#)

[Books and Reference](#)

[Advertising Media Kit](#)

[Institutional Sales](#)

[ACS Publishing Center](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

Support & Contact

[Help](#)

[Live Chat](#)

[FAQ](#)

Connect with ACS Publications





Home



Help ▾



Live Chat



Sign in



Create Account

Kinetic Synergy between Supported Ir Single Atoms and Nanoparticles during CO Oxidation Light-Off



Author: Coogan B. Thompson, Yubing Lu, Ayman M. Karim

Publication: Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research

Publisher: American Chemical Society

Date: Nov 1, 2021

Copyright © 2021, American Chemical Society

PERMISSION/LICENSE IS GRANTED FOR YOUR ORDER AT NO CHARGE

This type of permission/license, instead of the standard Terms and Conditions, is sent to you because no fee is being charged for your order. Please note the following:

- Permission is granted for your request in both print and electronic formats, and translations.
- If figures and/or tables were requested, they may be adapted or used in part.
- Please print this page for your records and send a copy of it to your publisher/graduate school.
- Appropriate credit for the requested material should be given as follows: "Reprinted (adapted) with permission from {COMPLETE REFERENCE CITATION}. Copyright {YEAR} American Chemical Society." Insert appropriate information in place of the capitalized words.
- One-time permission is granted only for the use specified in your RightsLink request. No additional uses are granted (such as derivative works or other editions). For any uses, please submit a new request.

If credit is given to another source for the material you requested from RightsLink, permission must be obtained from that source.

[BACK](#)[CLOSE WINDOW](#)

SPRINGER NATURE LICENSE TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Apr 12, 2022

This Agreement between Mr. Coogan Thompson ("You") and Springer Nature ("Springer Nature") consists of your license details and the terms and conditions provided by Springer Nature and Copyright Clearance Center.

License Number 5286580812707

License date Apr 12, 2022

Licensed Content
Publisher Springer Nature

Licensed Content
Publication Nature Catalysis

Licensed Content Title Identification of the active complex for CO oxidation over single-atom Ir-on-MgAl₂O₄ catalysts

Licensed Content Author Yubing Lu et al

Licensed Content Date Dec 31, 2018

Type of Use Thesis/Dissertation

Requestor type	academic/university or research institute
Format	print and electronic
Portion	figures/tables/illustrations
Number of figures/tables/illustrations	2
High-res required	no
Will you be translating?	no
Circulation/distribution	1 - 29
Author of this Springer Nature content	no
Title	Optimizing Iridium Single Atom and Small Cluster Catalysts for CO Oxidation
Institution name	Virginia Tech
Expected presentation date	May 2022
Portions	Imges from figures 2 and 4

Requestor Location Mr. Coogan Thompson
20 Gavins Court NW

CHRISTIANSBURG, VA 24073
United States
Attn: Mr. Coogan Thompson

Total 0.00 USD

Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature Customer Service Centre GmbH Terms and Conditions

This agreement sets out the terms and conditions of the licence (the **Licence**) between you and **Springer Nature Customer Service Centre GmbH** (the **Licensor**). By clicking 'accept' and completing the transaction for the material (**Licensed Material**), you also confirm your acceptance of these terms and conditions.

1. Grant of License

1. 1. The Licensor grants you a personal, non-exclusive, non-transferable, world-wide licence to reproduce the Licensed Material for the purpose specified in your order only. Licences are granted for the specific use requested in the order and for no other use, subject to the conditions below.

1. 2. The Licensor warrants that it has, to the best of its knowledge, the rights to license reuse of the Licensed Material. However, you should ensure that the material you are requesting is original to the Licensor and does not carry the copyright of another entity (as credited in the published version).

1. 3. If the credit line on any part of the material you have requested indicates that it was reprinted or adapted with permission from another source, then you should also seek permission from that source to reuse the material.

2. Scope of Licence

- 2. 1.** You may only use the Licensed Content in the manner and to the extent permitted by these Ts&Cs and any applicable laws.
- 2. 2.** A separate licence may be required for any additional use of the Licensed Material, e.g. where a licence has been purchased for print only use, separate permission must be obtained for electronic re-use. Similarly, a licence is only valid in the language selected and does not apply for editions in other languages unless additional translation rights have been granted separately in the licence. Any content owned by third parties are expressly excluded from the licence.
- 2. 3.** Similarly, rights for additional components such as custom editions and derivatives require additional permission and may be subject to an additional fee. Please apply to Journalpermissions@springernature.com/bookpermissions@springernature.com for these rights.
- 2. 4.** Where permission has been granted **free of charge** for material in print, permission may also be granted for any electronic version of that work, provided that the material is incidental to your work as a whole and that the electronic version is essentially equivalent to, or substitutes for, the print version.
- 2. 5.** An alternative scope of licence may apply to signatories of the [STM Permissions Guidelines](#), as amended from time to time.

3. Duration of Licence

- 3. 1.** A licence for is valid from the date of purchase ('Licence Date') at the end of the relevant period in the below table:

Scope of Licence	Duration of Licence
Post on a website	12 months
Presentations	12 months
Books and journals	Lifetime of the edition in the language purchased

4. Acknowledgement

4. 1. The Licensor's permission must be acknowledged next to the Licenced Material in print. In electronic form, this acknowledgement must be visible at the same time as the figures/tables/illustrations or abstract, and must be hyperlinked to the journal/book's homepage. Our required acknowledgement format is in the Appendix below.

5. Restrictions on use

5. 1. Use of the Licensed Material may be permitted for incidental promotional use and minor editing privileges e.g. minor adaptations of single figures, changes of format, colour and/or style where the adaptation is credited as set out in Appendix 1 below. Any other changes including but not limited to, cropping, adapting, omitting material that affect the meaning, intention or moral rights of the author are strictly prohibited.

5. 2. You must not use any Licensed Material as part of any design or trademark.

5. 3. Licensed Material may be used in Open Access Publications (OAP) before publication by Springer Nature, but any Licensed Material must be removed from OAP sites prior to final publication.

6. Ownership of Rights

6. 1. Licensed Material remains the property of either Licensor or the relevant third party and any rights not explicitly granted herein are expressly reserved.

7. Warranty

IN NO EVENT SHALL LICENSOR BE LIABLE TO YOU OR ANY OTHER PARTY OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR FOR ANY SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, INCIDENTAL OR INDIRECT DAMAGES, HOWEVER CAUSED, ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE DOWNLOADING, VIEWING OR USE OF THE MATERIALS REGARDLESS OF THE FORM OF ACTION, WHETHER FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT, BREACH OF WARRANTY, TORT, NEGLIGENCE, INFRINGEMENT OR OTHERWISE (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, DAMAGES BASED ON LOSS OF PROFITS, DATA, FILES, USE, BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY OR CLAIMS OF

THIRD PARTIES), AND WHETHER OR NOT THE PARTY HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. THIS LIMITATION SHALL APPLY NOTWITHSTANDING ANY FAILURE OF ESSENTIAL PURPOSE OF ANY LIMITED REMEDY PROVIDED HEREIN.

8. Limitations

8. 1. BOOKS ONLY: Where 'reuse in a dissertation/thesis' has been selected the following terms apply: Print rights of the final author's accepted manuscript (for clarity, NOT the published version) for up to 100 copies, electronic rights for use only on a personal website or institutional repository as defined by the Sherpa guideline (www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo/).

8. 2. For content reuse requests that qualify for permission under the [STM Permissions Guidelines](#), which may be updated from time to time, the STM Permissions Guidelines supersede the terms and conditions contained in this licence.

9. Termination and Cancellation

9. 1. Licences will expire after the period shown in Clause 3 (above).

9. 2. Licensee reserves the right to terminate the Licence in the event that payment is not received in full or if there has been a breach of this agreement by you.

Appendix 1 — Acknowledgements:

For Journal Content:

Reprinted by permission from [the Licensor]: [Journal Publisher (e.g. Nature/Springer/Palgrave)] [JOURNAL NAME] [REFERENCE CITATION (Article name, Author(s) Name), [COPYRIGHT] (year of publication)

For Advance Online Publication papers:

Reprinted by permission from [the Licensor]: [Journal Publisher (e.g. Nature/Springer/Palgrave)] [JOURNAL NAME] [REFERENCE CITATION

(Article name, Author(s) Name), [COPYRIGHT] (year of publication), advance online publication, day month year (doi: 10.1038/sj.[JOURNAL ACRONYM].)

For Adaptations/Translations:

Adapted/Translated by permission from [the Licensor]: [Journal Publisher (e.g. Nature/Springer/Palgrave)] [JOURNAL NAME] [REFERENCE CITATION (Article name, Author(s) Name), [COPYRIGHT] (year of publication)]

Note: For any republication from the British Journal of Cancer, the following credit line style applies:

Reprinted/adapted/translated by permission from [the Licensor]: on behalf of Cancer Research UK: : [Journal Publisher (e.g. Nature/Springer/Palgrave)] [JOURNAL NAME] [REFERENCE CITATION (Article name, Author(s) Name), [COPYRIGHT] (year of publication)]

For Advance Online Publication papers:

Reprinted by permission from The [the Licensor]: on behalf of Cancer Research UK: [Journal Publisher (e.g. Nature/Springer/Palgrave)] [JOURNAL NAME] [REFERENCE CITATION (Article name, Author(s) Name), [COPYRIGHT] (year of publication), advance online publication, day month year (doi: 10.1038/sj.[JOURNAL ACRONYM])]

For Book content:

Reprinted/adapted by permission from [the Licensor]: [Book Publisher (e.g. Palgrave Macmillan, Springer etc)] [Book Title] by [Book author(s)] [COPYRIGHT] (year of publication)

Other Conditions:

Version 1.3

Questions? customercare@copyright.com or +1-855-239-3415 (toll free in the US) or +1-978-646-2777.