Personal, Family, and Curriculum Variables Among High School Dropouts with Mild Disabilities

By

Teresa Whitt

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State
University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in Administration and Supervision of Special Education Programs.

Approved: Diane Newkirt Gillespie, Chair

Mark Benson James Hoerner

Cosby Rogers Dick Salmon

April 1998 Blacksburg, Virginia

Personal, Family, and Curriculum Variables Among High School Dropouts with Mild Disabilities

By

Teresa Whitt

(Abstract)

The purpose of this study was to examine personal, family, and curriculum variables among high school dropouts with mild disabilities. The review of research literature on dropouts from general and special education assisted in the selection of the variables.

The outcome variable (high school dropouts with mild disabilities) and the relationship to the following variables: **personal** (age, attendance, gender, and ethnicity); **family** (parents' economic level, parents' educational level, and one vs. two-parent households) and **curriculum** (academic credits, vocational credits, support credits, and work experience credits) were examined. The research examined the relationship of selected variables to dropouts.

Dropout status among students with mild disabilities was found to be 29 percent. When age was examined, increased age was weakly associated with a higher likelihood of becoming a dropout. Increased absenteeism was moderately associated with a higher likelihood of dropping out. However, the strength of the relationship between gender and the drop out status was not significant across any of the three categories of mild disabilities.

The analysis of ethnicity found that African-Americans with learning disabilities were more likely to drop out. In addition, the drop out rates for Caucasian youth were statistically significantly lower than drop out rates for other groups. Higher economic level was associated with a lower likelihood of dropping out. Increased educational level and households with two parents were also associated with a lower likelihood of dropping out among students with learning disabilities or mental retardation.

The higher the number of credits, the lower the likelihood of dropping out.

Dropouts took fewer credits which is not surprising since dropouts by nature have fewer credits.

Acknowledgments

The utmost appreciation and gratitude is expressed to the members of the dissertation committee for their effective assistance and guidance during the research process.

Dr. Phil Jones, former chair and advisor was instrumental in my decision to enter the doctoral program at Virginia Tech during the summer of 1992. He fully supported my decision to complete my internship at the U.S. Department of Education and was responsible for my placement in the Division of Innovation and Development. I missed his guidance and support during the remainder of the doctoral program.

Grateful acknowledgment is extended to Dr. Diane Gillespie, Chairperson and advisor for her encouragement and unwavering support and feedback during the dissertation process. Her support has meant a great deal. Appreciation is also expressed to other members of my committee: Dr. Mark Benson, who assisted with the first draft of the proposal; Dr. James Hoerner, who understands and shares my passion for job ready graduates; Dr. Cosby Rogers, whose teaching methodology has been an inspiration and Dr. Dick Salmon, who has been a supportive committee member since my qualifying exam. Above all I thank my committee for their assistance and support during the dissertation process.

Appreciation is also extended to the number of statisticians who were instrumental in preparing the data tape for analysis. Thanks to:

- P. Abrams
- B. Boling
- D. Burton
- L. Cross
- B. Frary
- J.R. Harvey
- G. Kroll
- B. Syder
- K. Valdes
- M. Wagner

Many thanks are extended to my friends for their moral support and assistance, especially Katleen who has been there for me during the enire graduate journey.

Finally, but not least, I express gratitude to Gabriel, whose exceptional patience, understanding, and support made this study a reality.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	ix
List of Abbreviations & Acronyms	xii
CHAPTER ONEINTRODUCTION	1
Types of Drop Out Rates	1
National Drop Out Rates	2
Overview of Reform Efforts	4
Statement of the Problem	10
Purpose of the Study	11
Research Questions	12
Database	12
Limitations	13
Outcomes	14
Method	15

Definitions of Terms	15
Summary	16
CHAPTER TWO REVIEW OF	
LITERATURE	17
Drop Outs	17
Personal Variables	19
Family Variables	23
Curriculum Variables	24
Summary	29
CHAPTER THREE	
METHODOLOGY	31
NLTS Database	31
NLTS Method of Collection	32
Creating the HIDS Database	33
Analysis	38
CHAPTER FOUR	
	40
Synopsis of Findings	40
Descriptive Statistics	41

Limitations	52
CHAPTER FIVE SUMMARY,	
CONCLUSIONS AND	
RECOMMENDATIONS	54
Summary of the findings	54
Conclusions	59
Recommendations	61
REFERENCES	64
Appendix A Key Definitions	73
Appendix B Description of Credits	75
Appendix C Description of NLTS	
	78
Appendix D Method: NLTS Components	
11	84
Appendix E Data Collection Questions &	
-	92
Appendix F T-tests & Chi Square	95
Appendix G Range of	
Variables	98

Appendix H Number, Mean and Standard	
Deviation of Selected Independent	
Variables	100
Appendix I Frequencies of Selected	
Independent Variables	101
Vita	110

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1: The Economic Dependence on Society by Dropouts	11
Table 2: Frequencies of "Other" Disabilities	32
Table 3: Wave 2 Database Organization	33
Table 4: Steps in the Formation of MDS	34
Table 5: Frequencies for Overall Group & Dropout Group	35
Table 6: Cumulative Frequency & Percentage of Dropouts by Grade	42
Table 7: Standardized Discriminant Function Coefficients	44
Table 8: Correlation between Dropouts and Personal Variables	44
Table 9: Correlation between Dropouts and Ethnicity	46
Table 10: Correlation between Dropouts and Family Variables	48
Table 11: Correlation between Dropouts and Curriculum Variables	50
Table 12: Standardized Discriminant Function Coefficients	51

Table 13: Classification Results	51
Table 14: Wave 2 Data Collection Results	86
Table 15: Wave 2 Data Collection Results	87
Table 16: NLTS Wave 2 Collection	
Questions and Collection Components	92
Table 17: NLTS Wave 2 Collection	
Questions and Collection Components	93
Table 18: NLTS Wave 2 Collection	
Questions and Collection Components	94
Table 19: T-tests Χ Square	95
Table 20: Chi Square Comparisons	
Between Transcripts	96
Table 21: Chi Square Comparisons	
Between Transcripts	97
Table 22: Range of Variables	98
Table 23: Range of Variables	99
Table 24: Range of Curriclum	
Variables	99

Table 25: The Number, Mean and Standard	
Deviation of Selected Independent	
Variables	100
Table 26: Frequency of Age	101
Table 27: Frequency of Absences	101
Table 28: Frequency and Percentage of	
Gender	102
Table 29: Frequency of Age Among Males.	102
Table 30: Frequency of Age Among	
Females	103
Table 31: Frequency of Ethnicity	103
Table 32: Frequency of Economic Level	104
Table 33: Frequency of Educational Level	104
Table 34: One vs. Two-parent Households.	105
Table 35: Frequency of Curriculum	
Variables Relative to Total Curriculum	106
Table 36: Frequency of Academic Credits	107
Table 37: Frequency of Vocational Credits	108
Table 38: Frequency of Support Credits	108
Table 39: Frequency of Work Credits	109

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

CRS...... Chilton Research Services ED..... Emotional Disturbance ETS..... Educational Testing Service FERPA..... Family Rights & Privacy Act GED..... General Equivalency Diploma IDEA...... Individuals with Disabilities Education Act IEP..... Individual Education Program JTPA..... Job Training Partnership Act LD..... Learning Disability LEA..... Local Education Agency MDS..... Mild Disability Study MR...... Mental Retardation NLS...... National Longitudinal Survey NLTS...... National Longitudinal Transition Study

OSEP	Office of Special Education Programs
QED	Quality Education Data
SES	Socio Economic Status
SOP	State Operated Programs
STWOA	School-to-work Opportunities Act